



SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
 1501 K STREET, N.W.
 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005
 +1 202 736 8000
 +1 202 736 8711 FAX

mjwarren@sidley.com
 (202) 736 8996

BEIJING	HONG KONG	SHANGHAI
BOSTON	HOUSTON	SINGAPORE
BRUSSELS	LONDON	SYDNEY
CENTURY CITY	LOS ANGELES	TOKYO
CHICAGO	NEW YORK	WASHINGTON, D.C.
DALLAS	PALO ALTO	
GENEVA	SAN FRANCISCO	

FOUNDED 1866

240259

March 8, 2016

ENTERED
 Office of Proceedings
 March 8, 2016
 Part of
 Public Record

By Hand Delivery

Cynthia T. Brown
 Surface Transportation Board
 395 E Street, SW
 Washington DC 20423

Re: Consumers Energy Co. v. CSX Transp. Inc., STB Docket No. 42142

Dear Ms. Brown,

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced matter are the original and ten copies of an Errata to Defendant CSX Transportation Inc.'s ("CSXT's") Reply Evidence, filed on March 7, 2016 in the above referenced matter. Also enclosed are 3 computer disks containing an electronic copy of the attached Errata. CSXT files this Errata to correct the omission of Section IV (Revenue Adequacy) from the Master Table of Contents, which accompanied each volume of its Reply Evidence.

The Errata contains two copies of the revised Master Table of Contents (a Public version and a Highly Confidential version).

CSXT requests that this letter and the accompanying Errata be accepted for inclusion into the record of this proceeding. Please file-stamp the additional copies of the attached Errata and return them with our messenger for our files.

Thank you for your assistance. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Matthew J. Warren

cc: Kelvin J. Dowd

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. COUNSEL’S ARGUMENT AND SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE.....	I-1
A. VESSEL SERVICE ON THE GREAT LAKES CONSTITUTES AN EFFECTIVE COMPETITIVE ALTERNATIVE TO CSXT’S RAIL SERVICE.....	I-6
B. THE CHALLENGED RATE IS REASONABLE UNDER THE SAC CONSTRAINT.....	I-14
1. Consumers is gaming the SAC results by selecting merchandise traffic in an indefensible way, claiming revenues for services the CERR would not provide, and knowingly using an outdated estimate of its own coal volumes.....	I-15
2. Consumers’ operating plan and expenses for this hypothetical railroad ignore the realities of operating in Chicago.	I-21
3. Consumers seeks to use “reciprocal” trackage rights over the NS corridor—the most congested rail line in Chicago—without providing the same compensation paid by CSXT.....	I-25
4. Building a railroad through downtown Chicago would be much more expensive than claimed by Consumers.	I-29
C. THE REVENUE ADEQUACY CLAIM SHOULD BE DISMISSED..	I-31
1. Consumers cannot simultaneously seek relief under both the SAC constraint and the Revenue Adequacy constraint.....	I-32
2. CSXT has never been found “Revenue Adequate” for even a single year.....	I-35
CONCLUSION	I-38
II. MARKET DOMINANCE	II-A-1
A. Quantitative Evidence	II-A-1
1. Traffic and Operating Characteristics.....	II-A-1
2. Variable Costs.....	II-A-6
II. MARKET DOMINANCE	II-B-1
B. Qualitative Evidence.....	II-B-1
1. Qualitative Market Dominance Limits the Board’s Jurisdiction and Precludes Review Even If a Shipper Would Prefer a Regulatory Option.	II-B-10
2. The Direct Water Option and Rail-Cobb Option Are Feasible Competitive Alternatives To CSXT Rail Service.....	II-B-16
a. Water Transportation Is Widely Used To Transport Coal To Great Lakes Utilities.....	II-B-16
b. The Direct Water Alternative	II-B-18
i. The Direct Water Alternative Is Nearly Identical to the Cobb Movement.	II-B-18

- ii. The Direct Water Alternative Is Nearly Identical
 {
 }II-B-20
- iii. Consumers’ Objections To the Feasibility of a
 Direct Water Alternative Are Meritless.....II-B-28
 - (a) The Direct Water Alternative Does Not
 Need To Handle 100% of The Issue Traffic
 to Constitute Effective Competition. ...II-B-29
 - (b) Consumers Cannot {{ }} Into
 Market Dominance.....II-B-32
 - (c) KCBX Is An Available and Feasible
 Alternative.....II-B-33
 - (d) The Direct Water Alternative Is
 Permittable.....II-B-36
 - (e) Vessels Are Available for the Direct Water
 Alternative.....II-B-37
- c. The Cobb-Rail Alternative.....II-B-38
 - i. The Cobb-Rail Alternative Is Feasible.....II-B-38
 - ii. Consumers’ Experts Confirm That The Cobb-Rail
 Alternative Is Feasible.....II-B-39
 - iii. Consumers’ Objections to the Feasibility of The
 Cobb-Rail Alternative Are Meritless.....II-B-40
- 3. The Competitive Alternatives Are Cost-Competitive.II-B-42
 - a. The Costs of The Direct Water Alternative are
 {{ }}.....II-B-42
 - b. The Costs of the Cobb-Rail Alternative are
 {{ }}.....II-B-48
- 4. The Transportation Alternatives Provide Effective
 Competition.II-B-51
 - a. The Limit Price Test Is Unlawful.II-B-55
 - b. The Limit Price Test Is Irrational.....II-B-61
 - i. The Limit Price Test Produces False
 Positives.....II-B-62
 - ii. RSAM Benchmark Is a Terrible Measure of
 Effective Competition.II-B-64
 - iii. No Agency Precedent Supports the Use
 of RSAM.....II-B-73
 - c. The Limit Price Test Is Unnecessary.....II-B-76
 - d. Price Differentials Between Contract and Tariff Rates
 Or Between Different Competitive Markets Do Not
 Disprove The Effectiveness of Competition.II-B-81

III.	STAND ALONE COSTS.....	III-A-1
A.	TRAFFIC AND REVENUE OVERVIEW.....	III-A-1
1.	CERR Traffic Group.....	III-A-6
2.	Volumes (Historical and Projected).....	III-A-16
a.	Consumers' Coal Traffic to Campbell.....	III-A-16
b.	General Freight and Non-Issue Coal Traffic.....	III-A-20
c.	Intermodal Traffic.....	III-A-23
d.	Crude Oil Traffic.....	III-A-24
3.	Revenues (Historical and Projected).....	III-A-27
a.	Historical.....	III-A-27
b.	Projected.....	III-A-28
c.	Divisions—Cross-Over Traffic.....	III-A-28
i.	General Theory – Unbiased Allocations.....	III-A-28
ii.	Consumers seeks allocations that bias the results by providing revenue for services CERR does not offer.....	III-A-32
	(a) Merchandise Traffic.....	III-A-33
	(b) Empty Unit Trains.....	III-A-38
	(c) Intermodal Trains.....	III-A-42
iii.	Other ATC Adjustments.....	III-A-51
d.	Fuel Surcharge Revenue.....	III-A-54
B.	STAND-ALONE RAILROAD SYSTEM.....	III-B-1
1.	Routes and Mileage.....	III-B-1
a.	Main Line.....	III-B-1
b.	Branch Lines.....	III-B-2
c.	Interchange Points.....	III-B-3
d.	Total Route Mileage.....	III-B-7
2.	Track Miles and Weight of Track.....	III-B-9
a.	Main Lines.....	III-B-10
b.	Branch Lines.....	III-B-11
c.	Sidings.....	III-B-11
d.	Other Tracks.....	III-B-12
3.	Yards.....	III-B-12
4.	Other.....	III-B-13
a.	Joint Facilities.....	III-B-13
i.	Consumers Must Account For A Share Of The IHB's Construction Costs If The CERR Is To Use CSXT's Operating Rights On The IHB.....	III-B-13
ii.	Assuming That a SARR Can Use "Trackage Rights" Over Joint Facilities Without Replicating CSXT's Ownership Interest Violates SAC Principles and Board Precedent.....	III-B-14

- iii. Because the CERR Only Can Step Into CSXT's Shoes on the Same Terms Applicable to CSXT, It Cannot Use CSXT Operating Rights on the IHB Without Replicating CSXT's Ownership Interests in Those Facilities. III-B-17
 - iv. The Fact That The Partial Ownership Interest In IHB Is Held By CSX Rather Than CSXT Is Irrelevant to Whether Consumers Must Account for the Full Stand-Alone Costs of Operations Over the IHB. III-B-19
 - b. Signal/Communications System III-B-21
 - c. Turnouts, FEDs and AEI Scanners III-B-21
 - d. RTC Model Simulation of CERR Configuration..... III-B-22

- C. OPERATING PLAN..... III-C-1
- INTRODUCTION..... III-C-1
 - A. Consumers Posits A SARR That Is Virtually Immune From The Congestion And Delays That Affect All Trains Operating In The Chicago Terminal Area. III-C-7
 - B. Consumers' Operating Plan Fails To Account For The Additional Trains Required To Handle The CERR's Peak Year Traffic..... III-C-27
 - C. Consumers' Operating Plan Makes No Provision For the Delivery Of Loaded Issue Coal Cars That Are Bad-Ordered Enroute From the PRB To Chicago. III-C-40
- 1. General Parameters III-C-44
 - a. Traffic Flow and Interchange Points III-C-45
 - b. Track and Yard Facilities..... III-C-47
 - c. Trains and Equipment..... III-C-48
 - i. Train Sizes..... III-C-48
 - ii. Locomotives III-C-49
 - (a) Road Locomotives..... III-C-50
 - (b) Yard Locomotives III-C-52
 - iii. Spare Margin..... III-C-55
 - iv. Peaking Factor III-C-55
 - v. Railcars..... III-C-56
- 2. Service Efficiency and Capacity..... III-C-57
- 3. Operating Inputs to the RTC Model III-C-58
 - a. Road Locomotive Consists III-C-58
 - b. Train Size and Weight..... III-C-58
 - c. Maximum Train Speeds III-C-59
 - d. On-SARR Interchange Dwell Times III-C-60

PUBLIC VERSION

e.	Dwell Times for 1,000 and 1,500 Mile Inspections	III-C-61
f.	Helper Service.....	III-C-62
g.	Time to Depart the 59th Street Intermodal Facility	III-C-62
h.	Dwell Time at Campbell.....	III-C-65
i.	Time To Traverse Trackage Rights Segments	III-C-67
j.	Time for Foreign Road Delays.....	III-C-68
k.	Time for Random Outages.....	III-C-69
l.	Crew-Change Locations/Times.....	III-C-69
m.	Track Inspections and Maintenance Windows.....	III-C-71
n.	Results of the RTC Model Simulation	III-C-73
4.	Other	III-C-77
a.	Crew Districts	III-C-77
b.	Other Crew Assignments	III-C-78
c.	1,000/1,500 Mile Inspections	III-C-79
d.	Rerouted Traffic.....	III-C-79
e.	Fueling of Locomotives	III-C-80
f.	Train Control and Communications	III-C-80
g.	Traffic Growth and Train Consists	III-C-80
D.	OPERATING EXPENSES	III-D-1
1.	Locomotives	III-D-4
a.	Locomotive Acquisition.....	III-D-5
i.	Consumers Understates The Number Of Locomotives Required To Support CERR Operations.....	III-D-5
ii.	Consumers Understates the CERR's Locomotive Lease Costs	III-D-24
b.	Locomotive Maintenance	III-D-26
c.	Locomotive Servicing (Fuel, Sand, and Lubrication).....	III-D-29
i.	Fuel Cost	III-D-29
ii.	Fuel Consumption.....	III-D-30
iii.	Locomotive Servicing	III-D-31
2.	Railcars	III-D-32
a.	Leasing.....	III-D-32
b.	Maintenance.....	III-D-33
c.	Private Car Allowances	III-D-34
3.	Operating Personnel.....	III-D-34
a.	Train/Switch Crew Personnel	III-D-34
i.	Compensation.....	III-D-45
ii.	Fringe Benefits.....	III-D-46
iii.	Taxi and Hotel Expense.....	III-D-49

b.	Non-Train Operating Personnel.....	III-D-50
i.	Headquarters Transportation Staff	III-D-50
ii.	Train Operations.....	III-D-54
iii.	Manager Mechanical Operations	III-D-56
iv.	Equipment Inspectors.....	III-D-56
v.	Director Dispatch and Data Control	III-D-58
vi.	CERR Operating Material & Supplies.....	III-D-63
c.	General & Administrative.....	III-D-68
i.	Staffing Requirements.....	III-D-72
	(a) Executive Department	III-D-72
	(b) Board of Directors	III-D-75
	(c) Marketing Department	III-D-76
	(d) Finance and Accounting Department.....	III-D-80
	(e) Law and Administration Department.....	III-D-86
	(i) Law.....	III-D-86
	(ii) Human Resources	III-D-88
	(iii) Asset Protection.....	III-D-90
	(f) Information Technology Department.....	III-D-96
ii.	Compensation.....	III-D-100
	(a) Salaries for Non-Executives.....	III-D-100
	(b) Executive Compensation.....	III-D-100
	(c) Outside Director Compensation	III-D-100
iii.	Materials, Supplies & Equipment.....	III-D-101
iv.	Other.....	III-D-103
	(a) IT Systems	III-D-103
	(b) Other Out-Sourced Functions.....	III-D-104
	(c) Start-up and Training Costs.....	III-D-105
	(d) Travel Expense	III-D-106
	(e) Attrition	III-D-106
4.	Maintenance of Way.....	III-D-107
a.	CSXT’s MOW Plan Is Based on Careful Consideration of the Maintenance Needs of Both the CERR’s Urban Segment and Its Rural Segment.	III-D-109
b.	A Feasible MOW Plan Must Account For The Very Different Needs of The Urban Segment and the Rural Segment.....	III-D-112
c.	MOW Personnel.....	III-D-114
i.	Headquarters Location	III-D-116
ii.	General Office Staff.....	III-D-117
iii.	Track Department.....	III-D-118
iv.	Communications & Signals Department ...	III-D-127

PUBLIC VERSION

- v. Bridge & Building Department III-D-132
 - d. Compensation of MOW Employees III-D-132
 - e. Non-Program MOW Work Performed by Contractors..... III-D-132
 - i. Planned Contract Maintenance..... III-D-132
 - ii. Unplanned Contracted Maintenance III-D-135
 - iii. Large Magnitude, Unplanned Maintenance..... III-D-136
 - f. Contract Maintenance III-D-136
 - g. Equipment..... III-D-137
 - i. Hi-Rail Vehicles III-D-137
 - ii. Equipment for Track and Related Work.... III-D-137
 - iii. Snow Removal Equipment..... III-D-137
 - h. Contributions from Michigan DOT III-D-139
 - 5. Leased Facilities III-D-140
 - a. Consumers' Proposed Use Of The NS/CSXT Reciprocal Trackage Rights Agreement Must Be Rejected.... III-D-144
 - i. STB Precedent Requires That A SARR Accept All Terms, Conditions, And Prerequisites Of An Agreement In Order To Step Into The Incumbent's Shoes. III-D-146
 - ii. It is Impossible For The CERR To Step Into CSXT's Shoes Under The Reciprocal Trackage Rights Agreement. III-D-148
 - b. The SSW Compensation Methodology Should Be Used to Determine the Rate that Consumers Would Have to Pay to Utilize the NS Trackage Rights. III-D-150
 - c. In The Alternative, the Board Should Apply the Earlier, Arms-Length Negotiated Trackage Rights Fee.... III-D-155
 - d. Requiring Consumers to Pay Market Rate for These Trackage Rights Does Not Constitute a Barrier To Entry..... III-D-156
 - 6. Loss & Damage..... III-D-157
 - 7. Insurance III-D-157
 - 8. Ad Valorem Tax..... III-D-158
 - 9. Other III-D-159
 - a. Intermodal Lift and Ramp Cost III-D-159
- E. Non-Road Property Investment..... III-E-1
- F. Road Property Investment..... III-F-1
 - 1. Land III-F-4

- a. Consumers’ Across-the-Board Appraisal Failed to Properly Discern Changes in Land Use Classification Along the CERR. III-F-6
 - i. Consumers’ Across-the-Board Appraisal Produced Inaccurate Valuation Units along the CERR ROW. III-F-8
 - ii. CSXT’s More Detailed Appraisal Identified Errors in Consumers’ Land Classifications. III-F-10
- b. Consumers’ Use of Comparable Sales Bears No Relation to Its Value Conclusions. III-F-12
- c. Consumers’ Valuation of Residential Land Uses in Cook County, Illinois is Invalid. III-F-14
- d. Appraisal of Land for Yards and Communications Facilities. III-F-17
- e. Real Estate Acquisition Costs III-F-18
- 2. Roadbed Preparation..... III-F-22
 - a. Consumers’ Proposed Use of Contractor Bid Data From the Michigan Department of Transportation For Certain Earthwork Costs. III-F-23
 - i. R.S. Means is the Proven Source for SARR Earthwork Unit Prices..... III-F-24
 - ii. Consumers’ Claim That Means Costs Do Not Reflect Economies of Density Is Wrong. III-F-27
 - iii. CSXT’s Own AFE Costs On Projects Involving Earthwork Are Higher Than Means. III-F-30
 - iv. Consumers Has Misrepresented the MDOT Data in a Manner That Artificially Understates the Actual Costs. III-F-31
 - (a) Flaws in Consumers’ Analysis of MDOT Data III-F-32
 - b. Clearing and Grubbing..... III-F-37
 - i. Clearing and Grubbing Quantities and Costs. III-F-38
 - ii. Cost for Acres Requiring Both Clearing and Grubbing..... III-F-40
 - iii. Costs for Acres Requiring Only Clearing..... III-F-41
 - c. Earthwork III-F-41
 - i. Earthwork Quantities from ICC Engineering Reports..... III-F-42
 - ii. Other CERR Earthwork Quantities and Costs. III-F-43
 - (a) CERR Yards..... III-F-43
 - (b) Segments with Partial CSXT Ownership..... III-F-43

PUBLIC VERSION

	(c)	Total Earthwork Quantities.	III-F-44
	(d)	Earthwork Unit Costs.	III-F-44
		(i) Common Excavation.	III-F-44
		(ii) Loose Rock Excavation.	III-F-48
		(iii) Solid Rock Excavation.	III-F-49
		(iv) Embankment/Borrow.	III-F-49
	(e)	Other Earthwork Quantities & Unit Costs.	III-F-51
		(i) Land for Waste Excavation.	III-F-51
		(ii) Fine Grading.	III-F-52
		(iii) Adjustment to Material Haulage Quantities to Match R.S. Means Reported Costs.	III-F-53
	(f)	Subgrade Preparation (Moisture Conditioning).	III-F-56
	(g)	Total Earthwork Cost.	III-F-56
d.		Drainage.	III-F-56
	i.	Lateral Drainage.	III-F-56
	ii.	Yard Drainage.	III-F-57
e.		Culverts.	III-F-57
	i.	Culvert Unit Costs.	III-F-57
	ii.	Culvert Installation Plans.	III-F-58
	iii.	Culvert Quantities.	III-F-59
	iv.	Total Culvert Costs.	III-F-62
f.		Other.	III-F-62
	i.	Side-slopes.	III-F-62
	ii.	Ditches.	III-F-63
	iii.	Retaining Walls.	III-F-63
	iv.	Rip-rap.	III-F-65
	v.	Relocating and Protecting Utilities.	III-F-65
	vi.	Seeding/Topsoil Placement.	III-F-66
	vii.	Water for Compaction.	III-F-66
	viii.	Surfacing for Detour Roads.	III-F-66
	ix.	Environmental Compliance.	III-F-66
3.		Track Construction.	III-F-66
	a.	Geotextile Fabric.	III-F-67
	b.	Ballast.	III-F-67
		i. Ballast Quantities.	III-F-68
		ii. Ballast Pricing.	III-F-68
		(a) Material Transportation From Supplier to Railhead.	III-F-69
		(b) Ballast Material Distribution Along the CERR Right-of-Way.	III-F-73
	iii.	Subballast.	III-F-75

	(a)	Subballast Quantities.....	III-F-75
	(b)	Subballast Material Costs.....	III-F-76
	(c)	Subballast Material Placement Costs.....	III-F-76
	i.	Ties.....	III-F-76
c.		Rail.....	III-F-78
	i.	Rail Quantities.....	III-F-78
	ii.	Rail Material Pricing.....	III-F-79
	iii.	Off-Line Rail Transportation Costs.....	III-F-80
	iv.	Field Welds.....	III-F-82
	v.	Insulated Joints.....	III-F-82
d.		Switches.....	III-F-82
e.		Other.....	III-F-84
	i.	Rail Lubricators.....	III-F-84
	ii.	Plates Spikes and Anchors.....	III-F-84
	(a)	Derails.....	III-F-85
	(b)	Wheel Stops.....	III-F-85
	iii.	Crossing Diamonds.....	III-F-85
	(a)	Materials Transportation.....	III-F-87
	(b)	Track Construction Labor.....	III-F-87
4.		Tunnels.....	III-F-87
5.		Bridges.....	III-F-87
	a.	The CERR Must Pay For the Construction of the Calumet Sag Channel Bridge and Chicago Sanitary Channel Bridge.....	III-F-88
	i.	Calumet Sag Channel Bridge.....	III-F-89
	ii.	Chicago Sanitary Canal Bridge.....	III-F-90
	b.	The CERR's Bridges Must Be Designed to Allow Sufficient Space for Below-Bridge Water Flow, Automotive Traffic, and Pedestrian Traffic.....	III-F-91
	i.	Over-Water Bridge Designs With Abutment Spill Slopes or Additional Piers that Block Flow Area.....	III-F-92
	ii.	Over-Roadway Bridge Designs With Abutment Spill Slopes Or Additional Piers that Block Vehicle or Pedestrian Traffic.....	III-F-95
	c.	Other Design and Cost Corrections.....	III-F-98
	i.	Type 1 Bridges.....	III-F-98
	ii.	Type 2 Bridges.....	III-F-99
	iii.	Type 3 Bridges.....	III-F-99
	iv.	Wall Abutments.....	III-F-102
	v.	Through Plate Girders.....	III-F-103
	vi.	Truss.....	III-F-105
d.		Highway Overpasses.....	III-F-107

6.	Signals and Communications.	III-F-108
a.	Signal System Overview.....	III-F-108
i.	Insufficient Component Inventories at Certain Locations.....	III-F-109
ii.	Omitted or Misapplied Components.	III-F-109
iii.	Omitted Shipping Costs.....	III-F-112
b.	Communication System.....	III-F-112
7.	Buildings and Facilities.	III-F-119
a.	Headquarters Building.....	III-F-120
b.	Headquarters Support Building.....	III-F-123
c.	Fueling Facilities.	III-F-124
d.	Locomotive Shop & Office.....	III-F-125
e.	Car Repair Shop.....	III-F-129
f.	Crew Change Facilities and Yard Office.	III-F-130
g.	Maintenance of Way Buildings (Roadway Buildings).....	III-F-131
h.	Turntable.	III-F-132
i.	Air Compressor Building & Yard Air Systems....	III-F-132
j.	Wastewater Treatment.....	III-F-133
k.	Yard Site Costs	III-F-133
i.	Yard Lighting.....	III-F-133
ii.	Yard Paving.....	III-F-134
iii.	Yard Drainage.....	III-F-134
iv.	Fencing.....	III-F-136
8.	Public Improvements.	III-F-136
a.	Fences.....	III-F-136
b.	Signs.....	III-F-137
c.	Highway Crossings and Road Crossing Devices ..	III-F-137
9.	Mobilization.....	III-F-137
10.	Engineering	III-F-138
11.	Contingencies.....	III-F-138
12.	Construction Schedule	III-F-138
G.	DISCOUNTED CASH FLOW ANALYSIS.....	III-G-1
1.	Cost of Capital	III-G-1
a.	Equity Flotation Costs.....	III-G-1
b.	Debt Amortization	III-G-5
2.	Inflation Indices.....	III-G-11
3.	Tax Liability	III-G-12
4.	Capital Cost Recovery	III-G-13
H.	Results of SAC Analysis.....	III-H-1
1.	Results of SAC DCF Analysis	III-H-1
a.	Cost of Capital	III-H-1

b.	Road Property Investment Values	III-H-2
c.	Interest During Construction.....	III-H-2
d.	Amortization Schedule of Assets Purchased with Debt Capital.....	III-H-3
e.	Present Value of Replacement Cost.....	III-H-3
f.	Tax Depreciation Schedules	III-H-3
g.	Average Annual Inflation in Asset Prices	III-H-7
h.	Discounted Cash Flow	III-H-7
i.	Computation of Tax Liability—Taxable Income	III-H-12
j.	Operating Expenses.....	III-H-12
k.	Summary of SAC Analysis	III-H-12
2.	Maximum Rate Calculations	III-H-13
a.	If It Applied MMM, the Board Would Need to Correct Consumers’ Index.	III-H-14
3.	If The Board Were to Find That The CERR Revenues Exceed SAC Costs Over The 10-Year DCF Period, It Must Administer An Internal Cross-Subsidy Test.....	III-H-18
IV.	NO RELIEF IS AVAILABLE TO CONSUMERS UNDER THE REVENUE ADEQUACY CONSTRAINT.	IV-1
A.	THE BOARD SHOULD ABANDON A REVENUE ADEQUACY RATE CONSTRAINT BASED ON CSXT’S SYSTEM-WIDE REVENUE NEEDS.	IV-3
1.	The System-Wide Needs Of CSXT Provide “No Guidance” On The Rates Consumers Should Be Charged For The Particular Facilities And Services It Uses.	IV-4
2.	The Board’s Measurement Of Revenue Needs Is Not Based On The Current Value Of Railroad Assets.....	IV-8
a.	Regulatory Policy Should Replicate The Disciplining Forces Of Competition.	IV-11
b.	The Academic Support For The Use Of Replacement Costs Is Overwhelming.....	IV-12
c.	What About Assets Like Land That Will Not Be Replaced?.....	IV-16
d.	The Practical Concerns With Using A Replacement Cost Approach For Annual Determinations Cannot Justify Using Accounting Measures Of Value In A Rate Reasonableness Proceeding.....	IV-17
e.	Congress Recently Directed The STB To Use A Forward- Looking Valuation Basis To Determine The Adequacy Of Railroad Revenue.....	IV-22
B.	CONSUMERS CANNOT SEEK RELIEF UNDER BOTH THE SAC CONSTRAINT AND THE REVENUE ADEQUACY CONSTRAINT.....	IV-26

C.	CSXT IS NOT REVENUE ADEQUATE.	IV-29
1.	The Single Standard—A Return On Investment In Excess Of The Industry Average Cost Of Capital.	IV-32
2.	Even Under The Board’s Flawed Annual Metrics, CSXT Has Not Been Revenue Adequate For Any Year Since the Agency Began Monitoring The Adequacy of Railroad Revenues.	IV-34
3.	The “Additional Evidence” That Consumers Offers Lends No Support To Its Claim That CSXT Is Revenue-Adequate.	IV-38
a.	The Financial Data Cited by Consumers Say Nothing About CSXT’s Ability To Attract Capital In the Long Term.	IV-39
b.	Consumers Cannot Prove Its Case By Relying On Different Cost of Capital Estimates.	IV-40
i.	The Board cannot depart from its methodology for estimating the cost of equity, abandoning MSDCF and modifying CAPM.	IV-41
ii.	Even if the Board had the authority to change the cost of capital in this adjudication, there is no reason to abandon the MSDCF or make the change to CAPM advocated by Consumers.	IV-44
iii.	The Board uses an industry-wide cost of capital and the limited evidence about CSXT’s own internal figure is irrelevant.	IV-44
iv.	Consumers’ positions on its own cost of capital are irreconcilable with its claims here.	IV-47
(a)	Use of Multiple Models	IV-47
(b)	CAPM	IV-49
(c)	Market Risk Premium	IV-49
c.	Consumers Cannot Prove Its Case By Relying On “Financial Ratios.”	IV-51
d.	The “Cash Cow” Fallacy	IV-57
D.	CONSUMERS IS WRONG TO CLAIM THAT EARNING ONE PENNY ABOVE THE COST OF CAPITAL SHOULD TRIGGER REVENUE ADEQUACY LIABILITY.	IV-61
E.	THE BOARD SHOULD AVOID NIXON-ERA PRICE CONTROLS EVEN IF A CARRIER IS EARNING A PATTERN OF RETURNS SUBSTANTIALLY IN EXCESS OF THE COST OF CAPITAL.	IV-66
1.	The Koch Pipeline Decision Relied On By Consumers Is No Basis For Imposing an Across-the-Board Price Ceiling In This Case.	IV-67
2.	A Price Freeze Would Create Unlawful Presumptions of Unreasonableness.	IV-69

- a. A Price Freeze Would Violate Both the Interstate Commerce Termination Act of 1996 and the Administrative Procedure Act..... IV-69
- b. A Rate Freeze Would Create an Unlawful Presumption of Market Power..... IV-70
- c. A Rate Freeze Would Reduce CSXT's Ability and Incentive To Make Necessary Capital Investments... IV-70
- d. A Rate Freeze Would Deter Transportation Contracting. IV-71
- e. A Rate Freeze Would Create Market Distortions. IV-72
- f. A Freeze Would Result In Numerous Challenges To the Adequacy of the Level of Rail Service..... IV-73
- g. A Freeze Would Result In Internal Cross-Subsidies.. IV-73

V. WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS AND VERIFICATION

Baranowski, Michael R.	V-1
Bell, Michael	V-8
Bobby, Paul	V-13
Gary Bonneau	V-28
Brown, Richard	V-38
Bryant, Patrick J.	V-43
Chakrabarti, Kaustuv	V-58
Fisher, Benton V.....	V-62
Gehman, Matthew	V-73
Gibson, John	V-76
Hogan, Edward	V-80
Hughes, David	V-83
Maas, David K.	V-87
Magistro, David A.....	V-92
Matelis, Michael	V-101
Meyer, Richard	V-105
Murphy, Kevin	V-110
Olson, Joseph	V-132
Peterson, Mark A.....	V-138
Rex, Charles.....	V-153
Schwartz, Seth.....	V-159
Tobias, Glenn.....	V-163
Wheeler, David R.....	V-166
Whitehead, Monique.....	V-171
Zebrowski, Nathan	V-175