

38111
DO

SERVICE DATE – JUNE 28, 2007

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

DECISION

STB Docket No. AB-290 (Sub-No. 281X)

YADKIN RAILROAD COMPANY—ABANDONMENT EXEMPTION—
IN STANLY COUNTY, NC

Decided: June 27, 2007

Yadkin Railroad Company (Yadkin) filed a notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1152 Subpart F—Exempt Abandonments to abandon a 4.14-mile line of railroad between milepost N 27.50 in North Albemarle and milepost N 31.64 in Albemarle, Stanly County, NC.¹ Notice of the exemption was served and published in the Federal Register on November 14, 2006 (71 FR 66364-65). By decision and notice of interim trail use or abandonment (NITU) served on December 13, 2006, the proceeding was reopened and a 180-day period was authorized for the City of Albemarle, NC (City), to negotiate an interim trail use/rail banking agreement with Yadkin for an approximately 2-mile portion of the right-of-way involved in this proceeding, between milepost N 29.60 and milepost N 31.64.² The trail use negotiating period was scheduled to expire on June 12, 2007.

On June 12, 2007, the City filed a request for a 180-day extension of the NITU negotiating period. The City states that it continues to negotiate with Yadkin. Yadkin consents to a 180-day extension of the negotiating period.³

Where, as here, the carrier has not consummated the abandonment at the end of the previously imposed negotiating period and is willing to continue trail use negotiations, the Board

¹ The notice was jointly filed with Norfolk Southern Railway Company (NSR), as NSR sought to discontinue service over the same line in STB Docket No. AB-290 (Sub-No. 270X), Norfolk Southern Railway Company—Discontinuance of Service Exemption—in Stanly County, NC.

² The decision and notice also made the exemption subject to salvage conditions and to a public use condition. The public use condition, which is limited by statute to 180 days, expired on June 12, 2007, and may not be extended.

³ Although the City cites December 12, 2007, as the final date of its extension request, December 9, 2007 is actually the 180th day, and Yadkin agreed only to an extension of 180 days.

retains jurisdiction, and the NITU negotiating period may be extended.⁴ Under the circumstances, further extension of the negotiating period is warranted. See Birt v. STB, 90 F.3d 580, 588-90 (D.C. Cir. 1996); Grantwood Village v. Missouri Pac. R.R. Co., 95 F.3d 654, 659 (8th Cir. 1996). Accordingly, the NITU negotiating period will be extended for an additional 180 days, until December 9, 2007.

This decision will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or the conservation of energy resources.

It is ordered:

1. The City's request to extend the NITU negotiating period is granted.
2. The negotiating period under the NITU regarding the approximately 2-mile portion of the line described above, is extended through December 9, 2007.
3. This decision is effective on its service date.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams
Secretary

⁴ See Rail Abandonments—Supplemental Trails Act Procedures, 4 I.C.C.2d 152, 157-58 (1987).