

34219
EB

SERVICE DATE – LATE RELEASE NOVEMBER 18, 2003

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

NOTICE

STB Docket No. 42069

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION

v.

NORFOLK SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY

November 18, 2003

In the decision in this proceeding served on November 6, 2003, the following paragraph was inadvertently omitted from the discussion of maintenance-of-way, staffing and equipment in Appendix C:

NS's proposed MOW staffing levels are accepted as the best evidence of record. Duke has failed to meet its burden of establishing that a small, cross-trained MOW staff would be available and, even if available, whether such a limited MOW staff could provide the unplanned day-to-day maintenance that would be needed by a Class I railroad the size of the ACC. In addition, Duke does not attempt to reflect the higher compensation such skilled, cross-trained workers would command.

The above paragraph should be inserted on page 82 of the decision served November 6, 2003, immediately prior to the paragraph beginning with "Furthermore, on rebuttal" A corrected version of the decision will be available on the Board's website.

By the Board, Chairman Nober.

Vernon A. Williams
Secretary