

SLOVER & LOFTUS LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1224 SEVENTEENTH STREET, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036-3003

WILLIAM L. SLOVER
C. MICHAEL LOFTUS
JOHN H. LE SEUR
KELVIN J. DOWD
ROBERT D. ROSENBERG
CHRISTOPHER A. MILLS
FRANK J. PERGOLIZZI
ANDREW B. KOLESAR III
PETER A. PFOHL
DANIEL M. JAFFE
STEPHANIE P. LYONS
STEPHANIE A. ARCHULETA

OF COUNSEL
DONALD G. AVERY

TELEPHONE:
(202) 347-7170

FAX:
(202) 347-3619

WRITER'S E-MAIL:

March 17, 2011

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Cynthia Brown
Chief, Section of Administration
Office of Proceedings
Surface Transportation Board
395 E Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20423-0111


Re: STB Docket No. 42120, *Cargill, Incorporated v.*
BNSF Railway Company

Dear Ms. Brown:

Defendant BNSF Railway Company ("BNSF") filed a letter on March 16, 2011 responding to Cargill, Incorporated's ("Cargill's") Reply in Opposition to BNSF's Motion to Compel Discovery ("Reply") filed on March 14, 2011.

BNSF's letter is an improper reply to a reply. *See* 49 C.F.R. § 1104.13(c) ("[a] reply to a reply is not permitted"). To the extent that the Board decides to consider BNSF's letter, Cargill disputes BNSF's statement that "Cargill's Reply is not clear" (Letter at 1). Cargill submits that its Reply is very "clear." In its Reply, Cargill requests that the Board deny BNSF's Motion to Compel for the reasons set forth therein.

Respectfully submitted,


John H. LeSeur
An Attorney for Cargill, Incorporated

cc: BNSF counsel