BEFORE THE
SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

228434
228435
228436
NOR 42126

DEC 13 2010
RECEIVED

ENTERED
Office of Proceedings
DEC 13 2010
Part of
Public Record

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY *D/B/A* AMEREN MISSOURI and MISSOURI CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY, Complainants, v. UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY, Defendant.	
MISSOURI CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY – ACQUISITION AND OPERATION EXEMPTION – LINES OF UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY	Finance Docket No. 33508
and	
GRC HOLDINGS CORPORATION – ACQUISITION EXEMPTION – LINES OF UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY	Finance Docket No. 33537

UNION PACIFIC'S REPLY TO MOTION FOR PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

J. MICHAEL HEMMER
LOUISE A. RINN
ELISA B. DAVIES
Union Pacific Railroad Company
1400 Douglas Street
Omaha, Nebraska 68179
(402) 544-3309

MICHAEL L. ROSENTHAL
Covington & Burling LLP
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 662-5448

Attorneys for Union Pacific Railroad Company

December 13, 2010

BEFORE THE
SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY *D/B/A* AMEREN MISSOURI and MISSOURI CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY, Complainants, v. UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY, Defendant.	NOR 42126
MISSOURI CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY – ACQUISITION AND OPERATION EXEMPTION – LINES OF UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY and GRC HOLDINGS CORPORATION – ACQUISITION EXEMPTION – LINES OF UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY	Finance Docket No. 33508 Finance Docket No. 33537

UNION PACIFIC'S REPLY TO MOTION FOR PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

Union Pacific Railroad Company ("Union Pacific") hereby replies to the Motion for Procedural Schedule filed by Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri and Missouri Central Railroad Company (collectively, "Ameren/MCRR"), on November 22, 2010, in connection with Ameren/MCRR's complaint filed in the above-captioned dockets.

Union Pacific asks the Board to deny the Motion. Under the Board's rules, the parties are to meet after Union Pacific files its answer to discuss procedural matters, including a

proposed procedural schedule. *See* 49 C.F.R. § 1111.10(a). Ameren/MCRR offer no reason to depart from the Board's rules in this case. Moreover, UP believes that by engaging in the meet-and-confer process required by the Board's rules, the parties will be able to reach agreement on an appropriate procedural schedule, thereby eliminating any need to litigate the issue.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL L. ROSENTHAL
Covington & Burling LLP
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 662-5448

J. MICHAEL HEMMER
LOUISE A. RINN
ELISA B. DAVIES
Union Pacific Railroad Company
1400 Douglas Street
Omaha, Nebraska 68179
(402) 544-3309

Attorneys for Union Pacific Railroad Company

December 13, 2010

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Michael L. Rosenthal, hereby certify that on this 13th day of December, 2010, I caused a copy of Union Pacific's Reply to Motion for Procedural Schedule to be served by U.S. first-class mail, postage prepaid, or by a more expeditious manner of service on:

Sandra L. Brown
David E. Benz
Thompson Hine LLP
1920 N Street, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036

James A. Sobule
Ameren Corporation
1901 Chouteau Avenue
St. Louis, MO 63103



Michael L. Rosenthal