

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD
QUARTERLY REPORT OF RATE COMPLAINT CASES

Q1 2026

There are no pending rate reasonableness cases before the Board.

Rail Rate Reasonableness Cases Before the Surface Transportation Board

(1996 to Present) - Last Reviewed 3/31/2026

| Docket No. | Case Name | Commodity | Guidelines Used | Date of Decision | Decision |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| 41191 | West Texas v. BNSF | Coal | SAC | 5/3/1996 | Rates Unreasonable |
| 37809 | McCarty Farms v. BN | Grain | SAC | 8/20/1997 | Rates Reasonable |
| 41185 | APS v. ATSF | Coal | SAC | 4/17/1998 | Rates Unreasonable |
| 41989 | Pepco v. CSX | Coal | SAC | 6/18/1998 | Settlement |
| 42012 | Sierra Pacific v. UP | Coal | SAC | 7/17/1998 | Settlement |
| 41670 | Shell Chemical v. NS | Chemical | Simplified | 3/12/1999 | Settlement |
| 41295 | PPL v. Conrail | Coal | SAC | 5/13/1999 | Settlement |
| 42034 | PSI Energy v. Soo | Coal | SAC | 5/13/1999 | Settlement |
| 42022 | FMC v. UP | Minerals | SAC | 5/12/2000 | Rates Unreasonable |
| 42038 | MN Power v. DMIR | Coal | Stipulated R/VC | 1/5/2001 | Settlement |
| 42051 | WPL v. UP | Coal | SAC | 5/14/2002 | Rates Unreasonable |
| 42054 | PPL v. BNSF | Coal | SAC | 8/20/2002 | Rates Reasonable |
| 42059 | Northern States v. UP | Coal | Stipulated R/VC | 8/7/2003 | Settlement |
| 42077 | APS v. BNSF | Coal | SAC | 12/31/2003 | Withdrawn |
| 42056 | TMPA v. BNSF | Coal | SAC | 9/27/2004 | Rates Unreasonable |
| 42069 | Duke v. NS | Coal | SAC | 10/20/2004 | Rates Reasonable |
| 42070 | Duke v. CSXT | Coal | SAC | 10/20/2004 | Rates Reasonable |
| 42072 | Carolina Power v. NS | Coal | SAC | 10/20/2004 | Rates Reasonable |
| 42057 | Xcel v. BNSF | Coal | SAC | 12/14/2004 | Rates Unreasonable |
| 42058 | AEP v. BNSF | Coal | SAC | 3/15/2005 | Rates Reasonable |
| 42093 | BP Amoco v. NS | Chemical | Simplified | 6/28/2005 | Settlement |
| 42071 | Otter Tail v. BNSF | Coal | SAC | 1/27/2006 | Rates Reasonable |
| 42091 | APS v. BNSF | Coal | SAC | 2/10/2006 | Settlement |
| 42097 | Albemarle v. LNW | Chemical | SAC | 11/14/2006 | Settlement |
| 42098 | Williams Olefins v. GTC | Chemical | Simplified | 2/15/2007 | Settlement |
| 42095 | KCPL v. UP | Coal | Stipulated R/VC | 5/19/2008 | Rates Unreasonable |
| 42088 | Western Fuels v. BNSF | Coal | SAC | 2/18/2009 | Rates Unreasonable |
| 42112 | E.I. Dupont v. CSX | Chemical | SAC | 5/11/2009 | Settlement |
| 41191 (S1) | AEP Texas v. BNSF | Coal | SAC | 5/15/2009 | Rates Reasonable |
| 42111 | Oklahoma Gas v. UP | Coal | Stipulated R/VC | 7/24/2009 | Rates Unreasonable |
| 42099 | DuPont v. CSXT | Chemical | Three-Benchmark | 9/1/2009 | Settlement |
| 42100 | DuPont v. CSXT | Chemical | Three-Benchmark | 9/1/2009 | Settlement |
| 42101 | DuPont v. CSXT | Chemical | Three-Benchmark | 9/1/2009 | Settlement |
| 42114 | U.S. Magnesium v. UP | Chemical | Three-Benchmark | 1/28/2010 | Rates Unreasonable |
| 42115 | U.S. Magnesium v. UP | Chemical | Simplified SAC | 4/2/2010 | Settlement |
| 42116 | U.S. Magnesium v. UP | Chemical | Simplified SAC | 4/2/2010 | Settlement |
| 42122 | NRG v. CSXT | Coal | SAC | 7/8/2010 | Settlement |
| 42110 | Seminole Electric v. CSXT | Coal | SAC | 9/27/2010 | Settlement |
| 42113 (S1) | AEP v. UP | Coal | SAC | 4/15/2011 | Settlement |
| 42128 | SMEPA v. NS | Coal | SAC | 8/31/2011 | Settlement |
| 41191 (S1) | AEP Texas v. BNSF | Coal | SAC-Remand | 10/26/2011 | Settlement |
| 42113 | AEP v. BNSF & UP | Coal | SAC | 11/22/2011 | Rates Unreasonable |
| 42132 | Canexus v. BNSF | Chemical | Three-Benchmark | 7/20/2012 | Settlement |
| 42127 | IPA v. UP | Coal | SAC | 11/2/2012 | Withdrawn |
| 42123 | M&G Polymers v. CSXT | Chemical | SAC | 1/7/2013 | Settlement |
| 42125 | DuPont v. NS | Chemical | SAC | 3/24/2014 | Rates Reasonable |
| 42130 | SunBelt v. NS | Chemical | SAC | 6/20/2014 | Rates Reasonable |
| 42136 | IPA v. UP | Coal | SAC | 10/8/2014 | Settlement |
| 42088 | Western Fuels v. BNSF | Coal | SAC | 6/15/2015 | Settlement |
| 42121 | TPI v. CSXT | Chemical | SAC | 9/14/2016 | Rates Reasonable |
| 42142 | Consumers v. CSXT | Coal | SAC & Revenue Adequacy | 2/7/2019 | Settlement |
| 42173 | OPPD v. UP | Coal | SAC & Revenue Adequacy | 11/22/2023 | Settlement |
| Pending before the STB | | | | | |
| Docket No. | Case Name | Commodity | Guidelines Used | Date of Decision | Decision |
| N/A | | | | | |

Notes to Table:

1. SAC = Stand-Alone Cost Methodology Applied for a Hypothetical Railroad.
2. Simplified = Using a Simplified, Rather than Full-SAC, Methodology for Determining the Reasonableness of Rates as Set Forth in Coal Rate Guidelines, Nationwide, 1 I.C.C.2d 520 (1985) (Guidelines).
3. Stipulated R/VC = Parties Agreed to Use Revenue to Variable Cost (R/VC) Ratios @ 180% Level, in Lieu of Using SAC.
4. Three-Benchmark Methodology = Methodology of Seeking Relief Pursuant to the Revised Simplified Procedures as Set Forth in Simplified Standards for Rail Rate Cases, STB Ex Parte No. 646 (Sub-No. 1) (STB served Sept. 5, 2007) and any additional Sub-No. decisions.
5. Revenue Adequacy = Revenue Adequacy Constraint, as Described in Guidelines .