

FD 32762

208330

Direct Dial: 202-274-2953

Direct Fax: 202-654-5621

TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP

ATTORNEYS

401 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2134 WWW TFOUTMANSANDERS COM

William A. Mullins william mullins@troutmansanders com

July 9, 2003

Honorabie Vernon A. Williams Office of the Secretary Surface Transportation Board 1925 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20423-0001

Change of Counsel/Change of Address RE:

Dear Secretary Williams:

Effective Monday, July 14, 2003, William A. Mullins and David C. Reeves will join the law firm of:

> **Baker & Miller PLLC** 915 Fifteenth Street, NW Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20005-2318 TEL: (202) 637-9499 FAX: (202) 637-9394 wmullins@bakerandmiller.com dreeves@bakerandmiller.com

ENTERED Office of Proceedings

> JUL 0 9 2003 Part of

Public Record

Please update the Board's records to substitute Baker & Miller PLLC as counsei of record for all proceedings included on the enclosed list, and to reflect that Troutman Sanders LLP will no longer be counsel of record for clients represented by Messrs. Mullins and Reeves as noted on the enclosed list of proceedings in which either or both have entered an appearance. However, with respect to Finance Docket No. 33388 and 33388 (Sub No. 91), Baker and Miller should be shown as counsel of record for Gateway Western Railway Company and Troutman Sanders LLP should remain as counsel of record for New York State Electric and Gas.

Copies of any STB notices, pleadings or other correspondence related to these proceedings after July 11, 2003 should be sent to the attention of Messrs. Mullins or Reeves at Baker & Miller PLLC (at the address listed above).

All known parties of record in the proceedings listed on the enclosure have been sent a copy of this change of counsel/change of address notification.

Sincerely yours,

and

William A. Mullins

David C. Reeves

Enclosure

Change of Counsel/Change of Address Notification

for

William A. Mullins and David C. Reeves

Effective Monday, July 14, 2003

Baker & Miller PLLC 915 Fifteenth Street, NW Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20005-2318

> TEL: (202) 637-9499 FAX: (202) 637-9394

Docket No. Ex Parte No. or Finance Docket No.	List of Proceedings Before the STB
Docket No. AB-468 (Sub-No. 5X)	Paducah & Louisville Railway, Inc Abandonment Exemption - In McCracken County, KY
F.D. No. 34342	Kansas City Southern - Control - The Kansas City Southern Railway Company, Gateway Eastern Railway Company, And The Texas Mexican Railway Company
F.D. No. 34335	Keokuk Junction Railway Company - Feeder Railroad Development Application - Line Of Toledo, Peoria & Western Railway Corporation Between La Harpe And Hollis, IL
F.D. No. 34178	Dakota, Minnesota & Eastern Railroad Corporation And Cedar American Rail Holdings, Inc Control - Iowa, Chicago & Eastern Railroad Company
F.D. No. 34177	Iowa, Chicago & Eastern Railroad Company - Acquisition And Operation Exemption - Lines Of I&M Rail Link, LLC
F.D. No. 34015	Waterloo Railway Company - Acquisition Exemption - Bangor and Aroostook Railroad Company and Van Buren Bridge Company
F.D. No. 34014	Canadian National Railway Company - Trackage Rights Exemption - Bangor and Aroostook Railroad Company and Van Buren Bridge Company
F.D. No. 33740 and F.D. No. 33740 (Sub-No. 1)	The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company - Petition For Declaration Or Prescription Of Cossing, Trackage Or Joint Use Rights and For Determination Of Compensation and Other Terms
F.D. No. 33388	CSX Corporation and CSX Transportation, Inc., Norfolk Southern Corporation and Norfolk Southern Railway Company - Control and Operating Leases/Agreements - Conrail Inc. and Consolidated Rail Corporation
F.D. No. 33388 (Sub-No. 91)	CSX Corporation and CSX Transportation, Inc., Norfolk Southern Corporation and Norfolk Southern Railway Company - Control and Operating Leases/Agreements - Conrail Inc. and Consolidated Rail Corporation (General Oversight)
F.D. No. 32760	Union Pacific Corporation, Union Pacific Railroad Company and Missouri Pacific Railroad Company - Control and Merger - Southern Pacific Rail Corporation, Southern Pacific Transportation Company, St. Louis Southwestern Railway Company, SPCSL Corp. and The Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company
F.D. No. 32760 (Sub-No. 21)	Union Pacific Corporation, Union Pacific Railroad Company and Missouri Pacific Railroad Company - Control and Merger - Southern Pacific Rail Corporation, Southern Pacific Transportation Company, St. Louis Southwestern Railway Company, SPCSL Corp. and The Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company - Oversight
F.D. No. 32760 (Sob-) Jos. 26 - 32)	Union Pacific Corporation, Union Pacific Railroad Company and Missouri Pacific Railroad Company - Control and Marger - Southern Pacific Rail Corporation, Southern Pacific Transportation Company, St. Louis Southwestern Railway Company, SPCSL Corp. and The Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company



40820



BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

FINANCE DOCKET NO. 32760

UNION PACIFIC CORP. et. al.--- CONTROL & MERGER--SOUTHERN PACIFIC CORP. et. al.

NOTIFICATION OF INTENT TO PARTICIPATE

PPG INDUSTRIES, INC ONE PPG PLACE PITTSBURGH, PA 15272

ENTERED Office of the Secretary JAN 1 8 1995 art of Public Record

By: R. J. Henefeld Manager Transportation - Rail Chemicals (412)434-3532

January 12, 1996

Item	No
Page	count 9 4
	it que



PPG Industries, Inc. One PPG Piace Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15272 USA

Distribution and Transportation Department Chemicals

January 12, 1996

Mr. V. A. Williams Secretary Office of the Secretary Case Control Branch Attn: Finance Docket No. 32760 Surface Transportation Board 12th Street and Constitution Avenue Washington, DC 20044

ENTERED Office of the Secretary Part of Public Record

Dear Mr. Williams:

PPG as required under Finance Docket 32760 is filing this notice as our intention to participate and become a party of record in this proceeding. It is our intention to receive all applications and decisions that are part of Docket 32760.

Enclosed are twenty(20) additional copies of this Notification and copies have been sent to Honorable Jerome Nelson, Arvid E. Roach, II, Esq.. and to Paul A. Cunningham, Esq.. Also, enclosed is a self addressed envelope and an extra copy of this notification to be acknowledged as received by the Board and returned to PPG.

All information submitted for dissemination to all parties, should be forwarded to: Ronald J. Henefeld at PPG Industries, One PPG Place, Pittsburgh, PA. 15272.

Very truly yours,

Tralel

Ronald J. Henefeld Manager Transportation- Rail Chemicals 412-434-3532

cc: Mr. P. A. Cunningham, Esq. Mr. J. Nelson Mr. A. E. Roach, II, Esq.





BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

FINANCE DOCKET NO. 32760

_UNION PACIFIC CORP. et. al.--- CONTROL & MERGER--SOUTHERN PACIFIC *CORP. et. al.

NOTIFICATION OF INTENT TO PARTICIPATE

PPG INDUSTRIES, INC ONE PPG PLACE PITTSBURGH, PA 15272

ENTERED Office of the Secretary JAN 1 8 1995 art of ublic Record

By: R. J. Henefeld Manager Transportation - Rail Chemicals (412)434-3532

January 12, 1996



PPG Industries, Inc. One PPG Place Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15272 USA

Distribution and Transportation Department Chemicals

January 12, 1996

Mr. V. A. Williams Secretary Office of the Secretary Case Control Branch Attn: Finance Docket No. 32760 Surface Transportation Board 12th Street and Constitution Avenue Washington, DC 20044

ENTERED Office of the Secretary Part of Public Record

Dear Mr. Williams:

PPG as required under Finance Docket 32760 is filing this notice as our intention to participate and become a party of record in this proceeding. It is our intention to receive all applications and decisions that are part of Docket 32760.

Enclosed are twenty(20) additional copies of this Notification and copies have been sent to Honorable Jérome Nelson, Arvid E. Roach, II, F3q.. and to Paul A. Cunningham, Esq.. Also, enclosed is a self addressed envelope and an extra copy of this notification to be acknowledged as received by the Board and returned to PPG.

All information submitted for dissemination to all parties, should be forwarded to: Ronald J. Henefeld at PPG Industries, One PPG Place, Pittsburgh, PA. 15272.

Very truly yours,

1, and Hered CP

Ronald J. Henefeld Manager Transportation- Rail Chemicals 412-434-3532

cc: Mr. P. A. Cunningham, Esq. Mr. J. Nelson Mr. A. E. Roach, II, Esq.





STATE OF MICHIGAN

TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

BARTON W. LA BELLE RICHARD T. WHITE JBERT M. ANDREWS JACK L. GINGRASS JOHN C. KENNEDY IRVING J. RUBIN LH 0-0 (9/94)



JOHN ENGLER, GOVERNCR

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

TRANSPORTATION EUILDING, 425 WEST OTTAWA POST OFFICE BOX 30050, LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 PHONE: (517) 373-2090 FAX NO.: (517) 373-0167 TED/TTY - MICHIGAN RELAY CENTER (800) 649-3777

> PATRICK M. NOWAK, DIRECTOR January 11, 1996

Office of the Secretary Case Control Branch Attn: Finance Docket No. 32760 Surface Transportation Board 12th Street and Constitution Avenue Washington, DC 20423



60812

Dear Mr. Secretary:

This letter serves as a Notice of Intent to Participate in Finance Docket No. 32760, concerning the merger application of the Union Pacific Corporation, et al, and the Southern Pacific Transportation Co., et al.

The Union Pacific Railroad (UP) directly serves the State of Michigan, and both the UP and Southern Pacific provide service to shippers based in Michigan. As a result, the Michigan Department of Transportation has an interest in the merger proceedings and wishes to preserve the right to provide future comments regarding the merger.

Sincerely,

Lary & Karne

Larry B. Karnes Supervisor, Freight Planning Unit

cc:

Arvid E. Roach, II (Covington & Burling) Paul A. Cunningham (Harkins Cunningham)

Item No._____ Page Count

ENTERED Unice of the Sucretary JAN 1 8 1995 S Part of Public Record



CITY ATTORNEY

SALT' LAKE; GIFY CORPORATION

LAW DEPARTMENT

January 12, 1996

Vernon A. Williams, Secretary Case Control Branch; Attn: Finance Docket 32760 Surface Transportation Board United States Department of Transportation 1201 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20423

DEEDEE CORRADINI

MAYOR

60811

Re: Application of Union Pacific Corporation, et al., Finance Docket 32760

Dear Mr. Secretary:

Transmitted herewith for filing and the attention of the Commission are original and twenty copies of Notice of Intent to Participate in the subject proceeding, filed on behalf of the City of Salt Lake City, a Utah municipal corporation. A Certificate of Service confirming service by mail upon the appointed Administrative Law Judge and counsel for the Applicants is attached to the original.

Please confirm your receipt and acceptance of this filing by returning the attached copy of this letter and the Notice of Intent, endorsed with your "Filed" stamp, in the enclosed stamped self addressed envelope.

Should there be any question about this filing please call me collect at the number set forth below.



Very truly yours,

CHRISTOPHER E. BRAMHALL Assistant City Attorney Page Count $\frac{4}{4}$

CEB:cc Enclosure cc: Brian Hatch

> 451 SOUTH STATE, ROOM 503, SALT LAKE CITY, U TAH 841 - 1 TELEPHONE: 801-535-7785, FAX: 801-535-7640



BEFORE THE

UNITED STATES INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION



In the matter of the Application of Union Pacific Corporation, Union Pacific Railroad Company, Missouri Pacific Railroad Company, Southern Pacific Rail Corporation, Southern Pacific Transportation Company, St. Louis Southwestern Railway Company, SPCSL Corp., and the Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company

Finance Docket No. 32760

NOTICE OF INTENT TO PARTICIPATE

OF

SALT LAKE CITY CORPORATION



Christopher E. Bramhall Assistant City Attorney Salt Lake City Corporation 451 So. State Street, Room 505 Salt Lake City, UT 84111 Telephone (301) 535-7788 Fax (801) 535-7640 Comes now Salt Lake City Corporation, a municipal corporation of the State of Utah (the "City"), appearing by and through Christopher E. Branhall, Assistant City Attorney, and gives notice of its intent to formally participate in the subject proceeding as an interested party whose position of support or opposition has not yet been determined. The Application suggests that significant changes affecting the City will occur if the transaction for which the Applicants seek authority is consummated. The City's analysis of the Application is continuing, and its position will be determined by the results of that analysis. The City reserves the right to conduct discovery concerning matters arising from its analysis in accordance with the Rules of Practice and Orders of the Commission issued in this proceeding, and to request imposition of conditions upon any authority granted by the Commission.

The City requests that copies of all pleadings, orders, decisions and other papers filed in this proceeding be served upon it at the following address:

Christopher E. Bramhall Assistant City Attorney Salt Lake City Corporation 451 So. State Street, Room 505 Salt Lake City, UT 84111 Telephone (801) 535-7788 Telephone (801) 535-7640

Dated: January 12, 1996.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTOPHER E. BRAMHALL Assistant City Attorney

(g:\bc6753\docs\railroad.111)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I mailed a copy of the foregoing by depositing the same in the U.S. mail, postage prepaid, to the following this <u>19th</u> day of <u>Gouver</u>, 1996:

Arvie E. Roach II, Esq. Covington & Burling 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. P.O. Box 7566 Washington, D.C. 20044

Paul A. Cunningham, Esq. Harkins Cunningham 1300 Nineteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Administrative Law Judge Jerome Nelson, FERC 825 North Capitol Street, N.E. Washington D.C. 20426



60807

MAYER, BROWN & PLATT

2000 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006-1882

202-463-2000 TELEX 892603 FACSIMILE 202-861-0473

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

January 11, 1996



MEXICO CITY CORRESPONDENT

CHICAGO

ERLIN

RUSSELS

HOUSTON

LONDON LOS ANGELES NEW YORK TOKYO

> Honorable Vernon A. Williams Secretary Surface Transportation Board 12th Street & Constitution Ave., NW Room 2215 Washington, DC 20423

Re: Finance Docket No. 32760, Union Pacific Corp., et al. --Control & Merger -- Southern Pacific Rail Corp., et al.

Dear Secretary Williams:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned docket are the original and twenty (20) copies of Burlington Northern Railroad Company and The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company's Notice of Intent to Participate (BN/SF-4). Also enclosed is a 3.5-inch disk containing the text of this pleading in Wordperfect 5.1 format.

I would appreciate it if you would date-stamp the enclosed extra copy and return it to the messenger for our files.

Sincerely,	ENTERED Gifice of the Secretary
Kenery OBA	JAN 1 8 1995
Kelley O'Brien	S Part of Public Record
	om NO

Item N Page Count

ENTERED Office of the Secretary		
JAN 1 8 1996	BEFORE THE	and the
S Part of Public Record	CE TRANSPORTATION BOARD	RECEIVEL 1996
		E JAN MALLET

BN/SF-4

Finance Docket No. 32760

UNION PACIFIC CORPORATION, UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY AND MISSOURI PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

-- CONTROL AND MERGER --

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAIL CORPORATION, SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, ST. LOUIS SOUTHWESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY, SPCSL CORP. AND THE DENVER AND RIO GRANDE WESTERN RAILROAD COMPANY

NOTICE OF INTENT TO PARTICIPATE

Burlington Northern Railroad Company ("BN") and The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company ("Santa Fe") confirm that they intend to participate in this proceeding. Earlier in this proceeding, appearances were entered on behalf of Burlington Northern Railroad Company (BN-1) and The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company (SF-1). Additionally, on December 29, 1995, BN and Santa Fe jointly filed BN/Santa Fe's Comments on the Primary Application (BN/SF-1). For the purpose of future pleadings filed jointly by BN and Santa Fe in this proceeding, we will use the acronym "BN/SF".

Respectfully submitted,

omed Korz

Erika Z. Jones Adrian L. Steel, Jr. Roy T. Englert, Jr. Kathryn A. Kusske

Mayer, Brown & Platt 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 463-2000

Jeffrey R. Moreland Richard E. Weicher Janice G. Barber Michael E. Roper Sidney L. Strickland, Jr.

Burlington Northern •Railroad Company 3800 Continental Plaza 777 Main Street Ft. Worth, Texas 76102-5384 (817) 333-7954

and

The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company 1700 East Golf Road Schaumburg, Illinois 60173 (708) 995-6887

> Attorneys for Burlington Northern Railroad Company and The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company

January 11, 1996

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of Burlington Northern Railroad Company and The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company's Notice of Intent to Participate (BN/SF-4) have been served this 11th day of January, 1996, by fax and by first-class mail, postage prepaid on all persons on the Service List in Finance Docket No. 32760.

Keller D'B

Kelley O'Brien M_yer, Brown & Platt 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 6500 Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 778-0607



60804

1416 DODGE STREET ROOM 830 OMAHA. NEBRASKA 68179-0001 FAX (402) 271-5610

UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

Law Department

Item No. Page Count TA2 # 8



January 8, 1996

airborne VIA FAX (202/927-5984)

Mr. Vernon A. Williams, Secretary Surface Transportation Board Twelfth St. & Constitution Ave., NW - Room 1324 Washington, DC 20423

Re: Ex Parte No. 5020 (Sub-No. 1)

0.01 1-1514 1.200 9661 IT NAL

FD- 32760

Dear Mr. Williams:

Union Pacific Railroad Company wishes to participate in the above-captioned proceeding by receiving releases from the Commission as well as receiving statements filed by other interested parties. Union Pacific anticipates filing statements in conjunction with other railroads but reserves the right to file independent comments.

Very truly yours, ·

Louise 2. Rinnaf

Louise A. Rinn (402) 271-4227



G:LAWADMLARWILLIAMS.WPD



60801



BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

Finance Docket No. 32760

UNION PACIFIC CORPORATION, UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY AND MISSOURI PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY -- CONTROL MERGER -- SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAIL CORPORATION, SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, ST. LOUIS SCUTHWESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY, SPCSL CORP., AND THE DENVER AND RIO GRANDE WESTERN RAILROAD COMPANY

QUANTUM CHEMICAL CORPORATION'S NOTICE OF ITS INTENT TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS PROCEEDING

Pursuant to Decision No. 6, in the above referenced proceeding, Quantum Chemical Corporation hereby provides its notice to the Interstate Commerce Commission of its intent to participate in this proceeding. Please forward any notices to Quantum Chemical Corporation's attorneys at the address listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Martin W. Bercovici Douglas J. Behr Leslie E. Silverman KELLER AND HECKMAN 1001 G Street, N. W. Suite 500 West Washington, D.C. 20001 Tel: (202) 434-4100 Fax: (202) 434-4646 Attorneys for Quantum Chemical Corporation

January 11, 1996

Office of the Secretary

JAN 1 6 1996

Item No._ Page Count An T

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing Quantum Chemical Corporation's Notice of Intent to Participate, was served this 11th day of January, 1996, via first-class mail, postage prepaid, upon:

The Honorable Jerome Nelson Administrative Law Judge FERC 825 North Capital Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426

2.

Arvid E. Roach, II, Esquire Covington & Burling 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. P.O. Box 7566 Washington, D.C. 20044

Paul A. Cunningham, Esquire Harkins Cunningham 1300 Nineteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Joan S. Huggler, Esquire Michael D. Billiel, Esquire U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division Transportation, Energy and Agriculture Section 555 4th Street, N.W. Room 9104 Washington, D.C. 20001

Secretary Federico Peña Office of the Secretary S-1 U.S. Department of Transportation 400 7th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20590

George W. Mayo, Jr. Eric A. Von Salzen Hogan & Hartson L.L.P. 555 Thirteenth Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20004-1109





LAW OFFICES BELNAP, SPENCER, MCFARLAND & HERMAN 20 NORTH WACKER DRIVE . SUITE 3118 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60606-3101 TELEPHONE (312) 236-0204 FAX (312) 201-9695

THOMAS F. MCFARLAND, JR. STEPHEN C. HERMAN

By J.P.S. Overnight Mail

Washington, DC 20423

Vernon A. Williams, Secretary Surface Transportation Board

12th & Constitution Avenue, NW

NUEL D. BELNAP (1882-1972)



Finance Docket No. 32760, Union Pacific Corporation, et al. - Control Re: and Merger -- Southern Pacific Rail Corporation, et al.

Dear Mr. Williams:

Pursuant to Decision No. 6 in the above proceeding (60 F.R. 54384, Oct. 23, 1995), and Decisi in No. 9 (60 F.R. 66988, Dec. 27, 1995), this is to provide notice of intent to participate in the proceeding in behalf of:

> Wisconsin Electric Power Company 231 West Michigan P.O. Box 2046 Milwaukee, WI 53201-2046

Twenty copies of this notice of intent to participate accompany the original. Computer data are also enclosed. A copy of this notice of intent to participate is being served on representatives of the applicants.



TMcF:kl:encl:521

Arvid E. Roach, II) by U.P.S. overnight mail cc: Paul A. Cunningham) by U.P.S. overnight mail

Item No	
Page Count	



	Item No. <u>60806</u> Page Count_1
DONELAN, CLEARY, WOOD &	TAN#77
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS Suite 750 1100 New York Avenue, N.W Washington, D.C. 20005-393	AT LAW
January 11, 1996	Bull as
<u>Via Hand Delivery</u> Honorable Vernon A. Williams Secretary Surface Transportation Board 12th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20423	RECEIVE 1990 JAN 21 1990 JAN 200 DOW-1

Re: Finance Docket No. 32760, Union Pacific Corporation, Union Pacific Railroad Company and Missouri Pacific Railroad Company — Control and Merger — Southern Pacific Rail Corporation, Southern Pacific Transportation Company, St. Louis Southwestern Railway Company, SPCSL Corp. and The Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company

Dear Mr. Williams:

OFFICE: (

On August 4, 1995, the applicants in the above-referenced proceeding submitted their Notice of Intent to File Railroad Control Application.

This letter is to request that the Board place The Dow Chemical Company ("Dow") and its representatives indicated below on the list of all parties of record prepared and issued under the provisions of 49.C.F.R. §1180.4(a)(4). Dow intends to participate in this proceeding as an active party. As such, in accordance with 49 C.F.R. §1180.4(a)(2), Dow selects the acronym "DOW-x" for identifying all documents and pleadings it submits.

Nicholas J. DiMichael Jeffrey O. Moreno Donelan, Cleary, Wood & Maser, P.C. 1100 New York Avenue, N.W., Suite 750 Washington, D.C. 20005-3934 (202) 371-9500 James E. Hanson Counsel Legal Department The Dow Chemical Company 2020 Willard H. Dow Center Midland, MI 48674 (517) 636-5993

Copies of this letter are being served on all persons presently on the Board's service list, including the applicants' representatives.

Chice of the Secre say

JOM WIPDow Ch

spectfully submit de

Jeffrey O. More: 6 Attorneys for The Dow Chemical Company



Item No	60797
Page Count 3	
JAn# 74	/

Robert J. Cooney Senior General Attorney

January 10, 1996

Norfolk Southern Corporation Law Department Three Commercial Place Norfolk, Virginia 23510-2191

IORFOLK

Writer's Direct Dial Number (804) 629-2838

BY AIRBORNE EXPRESS

Mr. Vernon A. Williams, Secretary Surface Transportation Board 12th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20423

 Re: Finance Docket No. 32760, Union Pacific Corporation, Union Pacific Railroad Company and Missouri Pacific Railroad Company -- Control and Merger -- Southern Pacific Rail Corporation, Southern Pacific Transportation Company, St. Louis Southwestern Railway Company, SPCSL Corp. and the Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company

Dear Mr. Williams:

Enclosed for filing in the above-entitled proceeding are the original and ten (10) copies of Norfolk Southern Railway Company's Notice of Intent to Participate.

Very truly yours,

Cooney

RJC/sg

JAN 1 6 1996

Parte

Office of the Secretary

LA SEC

BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD Washington, D.C.

Finance Docket No. 32760



Union Pacific Corporation, Union Pacific Railroad Company and Missouri Pacific Railroad Company

-- Con and Merger --

Southern Pacific Rail Corporation, Southern Pacific Transportation Company, St. Louis Southwestern Railway Company, SPCSL Corp. and the Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company

NOTICE OF INTENT TO PARTICIPA ΓΕ

Norfolk Southern Railway Company ("NS"), by its undersigned counsel, hereby provides notice of its intent to participate in this proceeding as an active party. All service of pleadings and decisions to NS may be made to the undersigned counsel. In accordance with 49 C.F.R. § 1180.4(a)(2), NS selects the acronym "NS" for identifying all documents and pleadings it submits.

Dated: January 10, 1996

SA SED

Office of the Secretary

Parte

JAN 1 6

Respectfully submitted.

Robert/J. Cooney Senior General Attorney Norfolk Southern Corporation Three Commercial Place Norfolk, VA 23510-2191 (804) 629-2838

Counsel for Norfolk Southern Railway Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 10, 1996, a copy of the foregoing Norfolk Southern Railway Company ("NS") Notice of Intent to Participate was served by first-class, U.S. mail, postage prepaid upon all parties of record in this proceeding.

Robert J. Cooney



Item No.

Page Count

60795

STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1330 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-1795

(202) 429-3000 FACSIMILE: (202) 429-3902 TELEX: 89-2503

PHOENIX ARIZONA TWO RENAISSANCE SQUARE

TELEPHONE: (802) 257-5200 FACSIMILE: (602) 257-5299

SAMUEL M. SIPE. JR. (202) 429-6486

January 11, 1996

STEPTOE & JOHNSON INTERNATIONAL AFFILIATE IN MOSCOW, RUSSIA

TELEPHONE: (011-7-501) 258-5250 FACSIMILE: (011-7-501) 258-5251

SECRETARY

BY MESSENGER

Hon. Vernon A. Williams, Secretary Case Control Branch Surface Transportation Board 1201 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington D.C. 20423

> Re: Finance Docket Nc. 32760, Union Pacific Corporation, etal. -- Control and Merger --Southern Pacific Rail Corporation, etal.

Dear Secretary Williams:

Enclosed for filing in the above captioned matter are the original and twenty (20) copies of the City of Los Angeles and the City of Long Beach's Notice of Intent to Participate in Finance Docket No. 32760 (POLA/POLB-1).

incerely amuel

Encl.

cc. ALJ Jerome Nelson Arvid E. Roach II, Esg. Paul A. Cunningham, Esq.

Office of the Secretary JAN 1 6 1996 Part ef

POLA/POLB-1

BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

Finance Docket No. 32760

Union Pacific Corporation, Union Pacific Railroad Company, and Missouri Pacific Railroad Company -- Control and Merger --Southern Pacific Rail Corporation, Southern Pacific Transportation Company, St. Louis Southwestern Railway Company, SPCLS Corp., and The Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company

NOTICE OF INTENT TO PARTICIPATE

Pursuant to Decision No. 6, Notice of Issuance of Procedural Schedule, served by the Interstate Commerce Commission in the above captioned matter on October 19, 1995, The City of Lo Angeles, CA, by and through its Board of Harbor Commissioners ("the Port of Los Angeles" OR "POLA") and The City of Long Beach, CA, by and through its Board of Harbor Commissioners ("the Port of Long Beach" or "POLB") (collectively referred to as "the Ports") hereby notify the Surface Transportation Board that they intend to participate in Finance Docket No. 32760. The Ports respectfully request that their following representative be added as a party of record ("POR") to the official service list to be prepared by the Board in this matter:
Samuel M. Sipe, Jr., Esq. Steptoe & Johnson LLP 1330 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

The Ports have selected the acronym POLA/POLB to designate the pleadings they file in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted Mull Samuel M. Sipe, Jr

Carolyn Doozan Clayton Steptoe & Johnson LLP 1330 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 429-6486

ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND THE CITY OF LONG BEACH

James K. Hahn, City Attorney Gerald F. Swan, Assistant 425 South Palos Verdes Street San Pedro, California 90733 (310) 732-3775

ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

John R. Calhoun, City Attorney Richard L. Landes, Principal Doputy 333 E. Ocean Boulevard 11th Floor Long Beach, California 90802 (310) 570-2200

ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY OF LONG BEACH

January 11, 1996

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a true copy of the City of Los Angeles and City of Long Beach's Notice of Intent to Participate in Finance Docket No. 32760 was served on January 11, 1996, by first class mail, postage prepaid on the following:

> Administrative Law Judge Jerome Nelson Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 825 North Capitol Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426

Arvid E. Roach II, Esq. Covington & Burling 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. P.O. Box 7566 Washington, D.C. 20044

Paul A. Cunningham, Esg. Harkins Cunningham 1300 Nineteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Carolp & Clayton



60794

Eastman Chemical Company P. O. Box 1990 Kingsport, Tennessee 37662



January 5, 1996

Item No. Page Count



MR VERNON A WILLIAMS SECRETARY CASE CONTROL BRANCH ATTENTION: FINANCE DOCKET NO 32760 INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION 1201 CONSTITUTION AVENUE NW WASHINGTON DC 20423

 RE: UNION PACIFIC CORPORATION, ET AL. -- CONTROL AND MERGER - SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAIL CORPORATION, ET AL. FINANCE DOCKET NO 32760

Dear Secretary Williams:

Eastman Chemical Company intends to participate in this proceeding as a party of record. All copies of filings and pleadings may be sent to the undersigned.

If you have any questions on this matter, please contact the undersigned.

Yours very truly,

hin Conell

E. Calvin Cassell Manager, North American Delivery Operations

lah/f:\secretry\wp60data\letters\369

Enclosures

Office -1 16 1996





CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, E. Calvin Cassell, hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Notice of Intention To Participate As A Party of Record and Opposition To Petition For Exemption, was mailed this 5th day of January, 1996 to: (1) Arvid E. Roach, II Esquire, Covington & Burling, 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., P.O. Box 7566, Washington, D.C. 20044-7566; (2) Paul A. Cunningham, Esquire, Harkins Cunningham, 1300 Nineteenth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, first class, postage pre-paid mail.

.

E. Calvin Cassell



UAACOG

60793

UPPER ARKANSAS AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS P.O. Box 510 Cañon City, CO 81215-0510 (719) 275-8350

Offico c. the Secretary

JAN 1 7 1996

-Certified-Return Receipt Requested Z 205 794 054



Interstate Commerce Commission Attn: Honorable Vernon Williams 12th and Constitution NW Washington, D.C. 20423

January 3, 1996

Subjects: Docket No. AB-8 (Sub-No. 39) Docket No. AB-8 (Sub-No. 36x) Docket No. AB-3 (Sub-No. 130) NOTICE OF INTENT TO ABANDON AND DISCONTINUE SERVICE - and -ICC Finance Docket No. 32760

PROPOSED CONSOLIDATION, et al

CHAFFEE

Dear Secretary;

Pursuant to the Interstate Commerce Commission procedural schedule adopted by Decision No.6 in the above outlined four (4) Dockets, please accept this as our official "Notice of Intent to Participate" in all four (4) Subject Dockets as listed above.

Please direct all future correspondence and/or telephone or FAX with respect to the Subject Dockets to:

FREMONT

LAKE

Upper Arkansas Area Council of Governments P.O. Box 510 Attention: Judy Lohnes Telephone Number (719) 275-8350 FAX Number (719) 275-2907

CUSTER

Item No.

We are aware of the schedule dates applicable for the filing of subsequent "comments, protests, requests for conditions and any other opposition evidence and argument due" and/or Briefs due", and will meet those required deadlines.

Please advise if any questions or changes occur in these proceedings.

Thank you very much.

Respectfully submitted,

Judy Lohnes

Judy Lohnes Executive Director, UAACOG



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon Applicant's Representative, Gary Laakso, General Attorney, Southern Pacific Building, Room 846, One Market Plaza, San Francisco, California 94105, by Prepaid, First-Class, Certified Return Receipt Requested, United States Postal Service.

Dated at 330 Royal Gorge Blvd., Canon City, Colorado, this 3rd day of December 1996.

ATTEST:

July Lohnes

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon the Applicant's Representative, Robert T. Opal, General Attorney, 1416 Dodge Street, Omaha, NE 68179-0830, by Prepaid, First-Class Certified Return Receipt Requested, United State Postal Service.

Dated at 330 Royal Gorge Blvd., Canon City, Colorado, this 3rd day of January 1996.

ATTEST: Judy Lohnes



HOLLAND & KNIGHT

60 797

A Partnership Including Professional Corporations

2100	Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite	400
Wash	ington, D.C. 20037-3202

202-955-3000 FAX 202-955-5564

Item No	
Page Count_	1
JAR 69	

tlanta	T Orlan		
ort Lauderdale	a St. Pe	tersburg	g
acksonville	Tallah		D
akeland	S. Tamp	a	EC
liami	w West	Patri Be	each
	EC	5	Y
	SECRE		0
	TAR		
	T	60	

January 11, 1996

Office of the Secretary Case Control Branch, Attn: Finance Docket No. 32760 Room 2215 Interstate Commerce Commission 1201 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20423

Re: Notice of Intent to Participate of Sunkist Growers

Dear Mr. Secretary:

Pursuant to the Commission's order of December 27, 1995 in Finance Docket No. 32760, this letter constitutes a notice of intent to participate in this proceeding on behalf of **Sunkist Growers, Inc.** of Sherman Oaks, California.

As directed in the Commission's order, an original and 20 copies of this notice are being filed with the Office of the Secretary. In addition, a copy of this notice is being sent to applicants' representatives, Arvid E. Roach and Paul A. Cunningham, at their respective addresses, by first class mail.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Very truly yours,

HOLLAND & KNIGHT

Office of the Secretary

JAN 1 6 1996

- mani -s

Enclosures WAS-141785

David H. Baker

Attorney for Sunkist Growers



Item No. 60791	
Page Count	4
JAn#68	

The City of Cañon City

Box 1460 Cañon City, Colorado 81215-1460

-Certified-Return Receipt Requested P-503 551 009



Secretary Interstate Commerce Commission Washington, D.C. 20423

January 2, 1996

Subjects:

Docket No. AB-12 (Sub-No. 188) Docket No. AB-8 (Sub-No. 39) NOTICE OF INTENT TO ABANDON AND DISCONTINUE SERVICE -and-ICC Finance Docket No. 32760 PROPOSED CONSOLIDATION, et al

Dear Secretary:

Pursuant to the Interstate Commerce Commission procedural schedule adopted by Decision No. 6 in the above outlined three (3) Dockets, please accept this as our official "Notice of Intent to Participate" in all three (3) Subject Dockets as listed above.

Please direct all future correspondence and/or telephone or FAX with respect to the

Subject Dockets to:

City of Cañon City, Colorado P.O. Box 1460 Cañon City, Colorado 81215-1460 Attention: Steve Thacker, City Administrator Telephone Number (719) 269-9013 FAX Number (719) 269-9017 Office of the Secretary

We are aware of the schedule dates applicable for the filing of subsequent "comments, protests, requests for conditions and any other opposition evidence and argument due" and/or "Briefs due" and will meet those required deadlines.

Please advise if any questions or changes occur in these proceedings.

Thank you very much.

14

Respectfully submitted,

CITY OF CAÑON CITY, COLORADO Kail N. Certer

Ruth H. Carter Mayor

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon Applicant's Representative, Robert T. Opal, General Attorney, 1416 Dodge Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68179-0830, by Prepaid, First-Class, Certified Return Receipt Requested, United States Postal Service.

Dated at Cañon City, Colorado, this 3rd day of January, 1996.

Terry Kimbrel Cmc Terry Kimbrel, City Clerk



60790

DONELAN, CLEARY, WOOD & MASER, P.C.

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW Suite 750 1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3934

OFFICE: (202) 371-9500

TELECOPIER: (202) 371-0900

January 11, 1996

Via Hand Delivery Honorable Vernon A. Williams Secretary Surface Transportation Board 1201 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20423



Re: Finance Docket No. 32760, Union Pacific Corp., et al.—Control & Merger—Southern Pacific Rail Corp., et al.

Dea: Secretary 'Williams:

Please find enclosed for filing with the Board an original and twenty (20) copies of the Notice of Intent to Participate submitted on behalf of Cargill, Incorporated for filing in this proceeding. In accordance with 49 C.F.R. § 1180.4(a)(2), this party selects the acronym "CARG" and, accordingly, the enclosed document is identified as CARG-1. Finally, in accordance with Decision No. 9 in this proceeding, copies of the enclosed document are being served upon Applicants' counsel, Administrative Law Judge Jerome Nelson, and all known parties of record.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Office of the Sections

JAN 1 6 1996

Respectfully submitted,

John K. Maser III Attorney for Cargill, Incorporated

Enclosures

cc: Hon. Jerome Nelson All parties of record

1200-190



60786 COVINGTON & BURLING ENTERED P.O. BOX 7566 JAN 18 1990 WASHINGTON. D.C. 20044-7566 (202) 662-6000 LECONFELD HOUSE 7 Part of CURZON STREET Public Record LONDON WIY BAS TELEFAX: (202) 662-6291 ENGLAND TELEX: 89-593 (COVLING WSH) TELEPHONE: 44-171-495-5655 CABLE: COVLING TELEFAX: 44-171-495-3101 BRUSSELS CORRESPONDENT OFFICE 44 AVENUE DES ASTS BRUSSELS 1040 BELGIUM TELEPHONE: 32-2-512-9890 January 10, 1996 TELEFAX: 32-2-502-1598

BY FACSIMILE

Alan E. Lubel, Fgq. Troutman Sanders, LLP 601 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 640 - North Building Washington, D.C. 20004-2609



Re: Finance Docket No. 32760, Union Pacific Corp., <u>et al</u>. -- Control & Merger -- Southern <u>Pacific Rail Corp.</u>, et al.

Dear Alan:

The information redacted on the documents HC33-000005 and HC33-000017 refers to privileged attorney-client advice. In support of this claim of privilege we advise as follows:

- The information in both HC33-000005 and HC33-000017 reflects legal advice of Carl W. Von Bernuth, the general counsel of UPC, and Covington & Burling.
- HC33-000005 was part of a presentation to the UPC Board on February 23, 1995, made by White Matthews.
- 3. HC33-000017 was included in materials distributed to Board members for the February 23, 1995 meeting.
- 4. The only parties present during this Board discussion were members of the Board and staff and advisors involved in this matter.

Mr. Von Bernuth is prepared to swear to these facts, should that be necessary.

There is no basis for submitting this material to Judge Nelson for <u>in camera</u> inspection. A party requesting <u>in</u> <u>camera</u> review of documents claimed to be privileged as attorney-client communications must provide a showing that the

Item No._ Page Count

ARVID E. ROACH II DIRECT DIAL NUMBER (202) 662-5388 DIRECT TELEFAX NUMBER (202) 778-5388 COVINGTON & BURLING

Alan E. Lubel, Esq. January 10, 1996 Page 2

*

privilege does not apply to those documents. Such a party must:

"show a factual basis sufficient to support a reasonable, good faith belief that *in camera* inspection may reveal evidence that information in the materials is not privileged."

See In re Grand Jury Investigation, 974 F.2d 1068, 1075 (9th Cir. 1992).

KCS has made no showing that would indicate that the redactions in these documents are not privileged.

Sincerely,

Arvid E. Roach II

CERTIFICATE OF SEPVICE

I, Michael A. Listgarten, certify that, on this 11th day of January 1996, I caused a copy of the foregoing document to be served by first-class mail, postage prepaid, or by a more expeditious manner of delivery on all parties appearing on the restricted service list established pursuant to paragraph 9 of the Discovery Guidelines in Finance Docket No. 32760, and on

Director of Operations Antitrust Division Room 9104-TEA Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530 Premerger Notification Office Bureau of Competition Room 303 Federal Trade Commission Washington, D.C. 20580

Michael A. Listgarten





Item No. 60755	
Page Count &	

Shelby County Tennessee

Cifica of in. T.

Jim Rout, Mayor

FICE OF SECRETAR

RECEIVED

11 29 All

JAN 1 7 1996

5 3275O

Nover ber 28, 1995

Secretary Vernon A. Williams Interstate Commerce Commission 12th Street and Constitution Avenue Washington, D. C. 20423

Dear Secretary Williams:

As Mayor of Shelby County, Tennessee, I am extremely concerned about the competitive effects on Memphis and Shelby County businesses relative to the proposed acquisition of the Southern Pacific (SP) Railroad by the Union Pacific (UP). While I am somewhat familiar with the proposed agreement between UP and the Burlington Northern-Santa Fe (BNSF) which is intended to remedy those effects, I am not persuaded that this arrangement will produce effective competition for area rail traffic in Memphis, Tennessee.

I have also reviewed Conrail's proposal to acquire a significant portion of the SP's eastern lines in connection with the merger, especially the lines running from Chicago and St. Louis to Arkansas, Texas and Louisiana. I find this proposal to be more appropriate and far more effective in addressing the concerns of Memphis rail shippers. The Conrail proposal calls for ownership of the lines, whereas the UP-BNSF agreement primarily involves the granting of trackage rights. I believe an owning railroad is in a far better position than a renter to encourage economic development activities on its lines which is of primary importance to this office.

Another reason I favor Conrail's proposal is that it would provide efficient service for area shippers, especially to the Northeast and Midwest markets. Presently, the Port of Memphis averages 3000 loaded rail cars a month and Conrail's service to the Northeast would be the fastest and most direct and involve the fewest car handlings.

Finally, I believe Conrail's proposal will ensure that area rail customers have multiple rail options. I am extremely concerned about the recent merger trend that could lead to only a few giant railroads serving the nation's businesses.

November 28, 1995 Page Two

For all of these reasons, I oppose the UP-SP merger unless it is conditioned upon acceptance of Conrail's proposal.

Sincerely, Jim Rout Mayor

JR/kw

cc: David LeVan President, Conrail





Box 3529, Portland, Oregon 97208 503/231-5000

Item No



led on recycled na

January 2, 1996

Hon. Vernon A. V. illiams Secretary Surface Transportation Board Twelfth Street and Constitution Avenue, NW Washington DC 20423

Re: Finance Docket No. 32760, <u>Union Pacific Corp., et al. --</u> <u>Control and Merger -- Southern Pacific Corp., et al.</u>

Dear Mr. Williams:

The Port of Portland wishes to become a Party of Record in the above captioned proceeding. The Port of Portland is served by both the Union and Southern Pacific rail carriers and is directly affected by the proposed merger.

Please direct copies of all filings to:

Port of Fortland Mr. Craig Levie Box 3529 Portland, Oregon 97208

Sincerely Craig La vie

Manager Rail Development

20 Offica ci h. Scorala

JAN I 7 1906

cc:

Bob Hrdlicka, Director, Marine Dave Lohman, Director, Policy and Planning Claudia Howells, Oregon State Department of Transportation Dave Fischer, Director - Government Affairs UPRR



60796

LEBOEUF, LAMB, GREENE & MACRAE

L.L.P.

A LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP INC .. UDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

1875 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20009-5728 12021 986-8000

TELEX: 440274 FACSIMILE: (202) 986-8102

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL:

Ellico or no Corrang

202-986-8050

LOS ANGELES NEWARK PITTSBURGH SALT LAKE CITY SAN FRANCISCO

> LONDON BRUSSELS MOSCOW ALMATY

JAN 1 6 1996

January 11, 1996

BY HAND

NEW YORK

ALBANY

BOSTON

DENVER

HARTFORD

JAC SONVILLE

WASHINGTON

Mr. Vernon A. Williams Secretary, Room 2215 Surface Transportation Board Department of Transportation 1201 Constitution Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20423

Attn.: Case Control Branch

Re: <u>Finance Docket No. 32760, Union Pacific Corp., et al.</u>; Notice of Intent to Participate

Dear Mr. Williams:

In accordance with the Commission's various decisions in this proceeding (<u>see</u>, <u>e.g.</u>, Decision No. 9 at 3), this is a Notice of Intent to Participate in the above-referenced proceeding on behalf of Farmland Industries, Inc. ("Farmland"). Farmland intends to participate as a full participant, and should be listed as a party of record, with the undersigned as counsel of record. Because the undersigned will be included on the service list for other clients, please include the following as the person to receive service on behalf of Farmland.

> Mr. Frederic Schrodt Vice President-Transportation Farmland Industries, Inc. P.O. Box 7305 3315 N. Oak Trafficway Kansas City, Missouri 64116 Phone: 816-459-5100 Fax: 816-459-6917

 $\frac{1 \text{ tem No.}}{2 \text{ Page Count } 2}$

OFFICE OF SECRETARY

DC2 89000 00553 DC79283.1 01/11/96 2:42pm Farmland is still evaluating the position it intends to take in this proceeding.

Very truly yours, Michael & MeBrick

Michael F. McBride <u>Attorney for Farmland</u> <u>Industries, Inc.</u>

cc: Arvid E. Roach, II, Esq. Paul A. Cunningham, Esq.



60816



January 10, 1996

MOUNTAIN/PLAINS COMMUNITIES & SHIPPERS COALITION 123 NORTH MAIN HOISINGTON, KANSAS 67544 (316) 653-4311

Office of the Secretary Case Control Branch Attn: Finance Docket No. 32760 Interstate Commerce Commission 1201 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20423

> RE: Finance Docket No. 32760 -- Union Pacific Corporation., et al. -- Control and Merger Southern Pacific Corporation., et al.

Dear Mr. Secretary:

The Mountain/Plains Communities & Shippers wishes to participate, as a Party of Record, in the pending proceedings of Finance Docket No. 32760.

Enclosed for filing in the docket are the original and twenty copies, in accordance with the Commission's requirements.

In accordance with the Commission's request, we also enclose a copy of this document on a 3.5 inch diskette formatted for Word Perfect 5.1.

Sincerely yours,

caus Street of

Junior Strecker Chairman



CC: Hon. Jerome Nelson Arvid E. Roach II, Esq. Paul A. Cunningham, Esq.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 10, 1996 I caused to be served, by first class mail, postage prepaid, copies of the request for Party of Record in Finance Docket No. 32760 on attorneys for the Applicants, the Honorable Jerome Nelson, as known parties of record in this proceedings at this date.

Junio Streckor

Junior Strecker



60809

WILLIAM L. SLOVER C. MICHAEL LOFTUS DONALD G. AVERY JOHN H. LE SEUR KELVIN J. DOWD ROHERT D. ROSENDERG CHRISTOPHER A. MILLS* FRANK J. PERGOLIZZI ANDREW B. KOLESAR III PATRICIA E. DIETRICH

ADMITTED IN ILLINOIS ONLY

By Hand

SLOVER & LOFTUS ATTORNEYS AT LAW 1224 SEVENTEENTH STREET, N. W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036

Item No.	
Page Count 44	

202 347-7170

January 12, 1996



Office of the Secretary Case Control Branch Attn: Finance Docket No. 32760 Surface Transportation Board 12th & Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20423

> Re: Finance Docket No. 32760, Union Pacific Corporation, Union Pacific Railroad Company and Missouri Pacific Railroad Company --Control and Merger -- Southern Pacific Rail Corporation, Southern Pacific Transportation Company, et al.

Dear Sirs:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced proceeding are the original and twenty copies of the Notice of Intent to Participate of Commonwealth Edison Company.

Sincerely you

Chilstopher A. Mills

CAM:mfw Enclosures

cc: Arvid E. Roach II, Esq. (via facsimile)
Paul A. Cunningham, Esq. (via facsimile)
Restricted Service List (via mail)



Original ENTERED Office of the Secretary CED-1 JAN 1 8 1990 BEFORE SURFACE TRANSFOR BOARD UNION PACIFIC CORPORATION, UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY, AND MISSOURI FACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY -- CONTROL AND MERGER -- SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAIL CORPORATION, SOUTHERN Finance Docket No. 32760 PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, ST. LOUIS SOUTHWESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY, SPCSL CORP., AND THE DENVER AND RIO GRANDE WESTERN RAILROAD COMPANY

NOTICE OF INTENT TO PARTICIPATE BY COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY

Pursuant to Interstate Commerce Commission Decision No. 9 served on December 27, 1995, Commonwealth Edison Company ("ComEd"), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby gives notice of its intent to participate in the above-referenced proceeding as an active party. In accordance with 49 C.F.R. \$1180.4(a)(2), the acronym "CED" will be used for identifying all documents and pleadings filed by ComEd in this proceeding.

ComEd is an investor-owned electric utility headquartered in Chicago, IL. Its service territory covers most of northern Illinois including the Chicago metropolitan area. ComEd operates nine coal-fired power plants in Illinois that burn approximately 15 million tons annually of western coal transported by the Applicants and by Applicants' current competitors. ComEd has a direct interest in the consequences of the merger application and its potential adverse effect on the competition presently available for the transportation of coal to ComEd power plants.

ComEd requests that the following person be placed on the service list in this proceeding in addition to its undersigned counsel and that copies of all pleadings and decisions be furnished to such person:

> James A. Small Vice President Commonwealth Edison Company 1411 Opus Place, Suite 200 Downers Grove, IL 60515-5701

> > Respectfully submitted,

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY

By: C. Michael Loftus Christopher A. Mills Slover & Loftus 1224 Seventeenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Attorneys and Practitioners

Dated:

January 12, 1996

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on this 12th day of January, 1996, I caused a copy of the foregoing Notice of Intent to Participate to be served by facsimile on the individuals listed below, and by first-class United States mail, postage prepaid, on all other persons on the Restricted Service List in this proceeding.

> Arvid E. Roach II, Esq. Covington & Burling 1201 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. P.O. Box 7566 Washington, D.C. 20044

Paul A. Cunningham, Esq. Harkins Cunningham 1300 Nineteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036


E.	0	6	41
		-	

Brotherhood of Locomotive Erigineers

STANDARD BUILDING CLEVELAND, OHIO 44113-1702 TELEPHONE: 216/241-2630 FAX: 216/241-6516

Item No Page Count



RONALD P. McLAUGHLIN

December 14, 1995

Office Of The Secretary • Case Control Branch Attn: Finance Docket No. 32760 Interstate Commerce Commission 1201 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20423

Dear Sir:

Enclosed for filing are the original and twenty (20) copies of the Notice of the Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers of its Intent to Participate in Finance Docket No. 32760. The Notice has been served upon Administrative Law Judge Nelson and applicants' representatives as set forth in the attached certificate.

Very truly yours,

Toghlin

Ronald P. McLaughlin International President

cc: ALJ Jerome Nelson Arvid E. Roach, II, Esq. Paul A. Cunningham, Esq. William G. Mahoney, Esq.



AFFILIATED WITH A.F.L.-C.I.O. AND C.L.C.



BEFORE THE INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

FINANCE DOCKET NO. 32760

UNION PACIFIC CORPORATION, UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY, AND MISSOURI PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY -- CONTROL AND MERGER --SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAIL CORPORATION, SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, ST. LOUIS SOUTHWESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY, SPCSL CORP., AND THE DENVER AND RIC GRANDE WESTERN RAILROAD COMPANY

BROTHERHOOD OF LOCOMOTIVE ENGINEERS' NOTICE OF INTENT TO PARTICIPATE



RONALD P. McLAUGHLIN International President International Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers Mezzanine - Standard Building 1370 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 44113-1702 (216) 241-2630

Date: December 14, 1995

BEFORE THE INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION BLE-1

FINANCE DOCKET NO. 32760

UNION PACIFIC CORPORATION, UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY, AND MISSOURI PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY -- CONTROL AND MERGER --SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAIL CORPORATION, SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, ST. LOUIS SOUTHWESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY, SPCSL CORP., AND THE DENVER AND RIO GRANDE WESTERN RAILROAD COMPANY

NOTICE OF INTENT TO PARTICIPATE

The Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers ("BLE"), through the undersigned, its International President, respectfully submits this Notice of Intent to Participate in the above captioned proceeding in accordance with the Commission's Decision No. 6, the Notice of Procedural Schedule, served and published in the <u>Federal Register</u> on October 23, 1995, 60 FR 54384.

BLE is the duly designated and authorized collective bargaining representative for the craft of locomotive engineers on the applicant railroads.

The address of the undersigned is at the principal offices of the BLE located at the Mezzanine, Standard Building, Cleveland, Ohio 44113-1702, where service may be made upon BLE and the undersigned.

BLE intends to participate as a party to this proceeding and, unless otherwise noted, will adopt as its own and be party to those documents filed by the Railway Labor Executives' Association and its counsel, William G. Mahoney and Highsaw, Mahoney & Clarke, P.C.

Respectfully submitted,

soah RONALD P. MCLAUGHLIN

Mezzanine - Standard Building 1370 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 44113-1702 (216) 241-2630

International President International Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers

Date: December 14, 1995

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this date served copies of this Notice upon Administrative Law Judge Jerome Nelson, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426; Arvid E. Roach, II, Esq., Covington & Burling, 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, P.O. Box 7560, Washington, D.C. 20044; and Paul C. Cunningham, Esq., Harkins Cunningham, 1300 Nineteenth Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20036, on this 14th day of December 1995.

Konald P M Jaughlin



40644

Item No. Page Count

FD-32760

December 12, 1995



Honorable Vernon A. Williams, Secretary Interstate Commerce Commission 12th St. & Constitution Avenue Washington D.C. 20423

Dear Secretary Williams:

Having recently heard about the proposal to merge Union Pacific-Southern Pacific Railroads I am concerned that this might adversely effect the local economy of Northeast Ohio. I urge you to consider selling the UP-SP eastern route to Conrail as part of the proposed merger and not leasing to another western railroad. Specifically, Lake County businesses need to reach broader markets affordably. 'A healthy competition and a strong commercial rail service will ensure that by keeping costs reasonable. When transportation costs rise, small companies are forced to cut back often resulting in unemployment. Having been a social worker in the field of mental health for 25 years and the Executive Director at an agency providing mental health and alcohol/drug abuse services to children and families, I have seen first hand the financial and emotional effects of unemployment in families. It can be devastating not only to the unemployed adult but to his or her spouse and children.

The citizens in our area would be best served if UP-SP eastern routes were sold to Conrail as part of the proposed merger, not leased to another western railroad.

Thank you for consideration as you review this situation.

DEC 1 9 1995

Sincerely,

Suzanne Plumb 10 Meadowlawn Drive, #14 Mentor, Ohio 44060

R:\WP6U\PLUMB\RAILROAD.MER



Item No .: 40403 Shio Pouse of Representatibes Page Count r #13 ROCCO J. COLONNA COMMITTEES: Columbus State Representative, 18th District Finance & Appropriations Committee 43215 Transportation Subcommittee 6477 Wolf Road of Finance Brook Park, Ohio 44142 Transportation & Public Safety Committee Home (216) 676-4040 -10-32760 District Office (216) 238-3755 -Ranking Minority Member Columbus Office (614) 466-4895 conomic Development & Small Business Committee December 14, 1995 Honorable Vernon A. Williams, Secretary Interstate commerce Commission 12th Street & Constitution Avenue Washington, DC 2047.3 Dear Secretary Williams,

As ranking minority member of the Ohio House Committee on Transportation, I am very interested in developing our rail system in Ohio. I recently became aware of the plans by Union Pacific Railroad to acquire Southern Pacific Railroad. But I believe Conrail has a better alternative.

As you know, Contrail is very interested in acquiring the eastern routes of Southern Pacific. Conrail's plan would give Ohio direct rail access to the growing Gulf Coast and Mexican markets. Ohio is the second largest auto manufacturing state in the country as well as a major producer of auto parts, glass, steel, paper and cellular equipment. Conrail's proposed acquisition would help our industries export numerous products to the South and to the new Mexican markets now available because of NAFTA. My district includes a huge Ford Motor Company assembly plant and that plant depends on good rail transportation. The Conrail alternative would provide access to new markets for our area businesses.

The company has a superb reputation for service and is vital part of our economic well-being. The access to new markets that could be created through the Conrail proposal would be extremely advantageous to our economy.

Please give favorable consideration to the Conrail alternative to the UP-Si merger.

Sincerely, Cifica of the secretary ROCCE OLO State Repre TIPOT 18th House District DFC 1 9 1995 RJC/jab Partel Public Parant



60641

DISTRICT OFFICE:

P.O. Box 98503

Office: (702) 794-0811 Fax No.: (702) 735-5921

JE LOWDEN SENATOR

Clark District No. 3 MAJORITY WHIP

COMMITTEES:

Vice Chairman Commerce and Labor

Vice Chairman Government Affairs

> Member Taxation

> > December 13, 1995



State of Nevada Senate

Sixtu-Seventh Session

The Honorable Vernon A. Williams Secretary. Interstate Commerce Commission Twelfth Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W. Room 2215 Washington, D.C. 20423

Subject: Finance Docket No. 32760 Proposed Merger Between the Union Pacific and Southern Railroads

Dear Secretary Williams,

I am writing to express my support for the proposed merger of the Union Pacific and Southern Pacific Railroads.

I am the Majority Whip of the Nevada Senate and represent a large part of Clark County in Southern Nevada.

A merger between the Union Pacific and the Southern Pacific would bring the financial strength of the Union Pacific together with the routes of the Southern Pacific. That is important because the SP's Phil Anschutz has said that his railroad cannot survive long with the recent merger of the Burlington Northern and Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe railroads. Survival of the SP, as part of the Union Pacific, is crucial to the employees and customers of the Southern Pacific. Merging the two railroads will ensure the financial strength needed to invest in their future.

A merger would also provide Nevada businesses with improved rail road service, since facilities located on the UP and SP would have single-railroad service to points now located on the other railroad's system. For example, businesses with facilities on the UP in Western Nevada will have single-railed service to facilities in Northern an' Central California. Businesses in Western Nevada would for the first time, have single-railroad service as far North as Seattle and Spokane, Washington.

For all these reasons, I believe that a merger between the Union Pacific and Southern Pacific Railroads would be in the public interest, in the interest of the employees of their two Railroad, and in the interest of railroad customers, and I would like to urge your support for their merger proposal.

Sincerely,

Sue Lowden Nevada State Senator

cc:	Larry Bennett
	Joe Guild
	Wayne Horiuch

-		
	Cffic.	
	DEC 1 9 1995	
	Park of Public Record	

Las Vegas, Nevada 89193

LEGISLATIVE BUILDING: 401 S. Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89710 Office: (702) 687-8127 or 687-5742

Fax No .: (702) 687-5962

	Item	No	
	Page	Quet 33	
;		ALC" 195	



DENNIS L. ALLAT D ASSEMBLYMAN District No. 20

REPUBLICAN WHIP

COMMITTEES:

Vice Chairman Transportation

Member Ways and Means Commerce Elections ar d Procedures

8 December 1995



State of Nevada Assembly

Birty-Eighth Session

The Honorable Vernon A. Williams, Secretary Interstate Commerce Commission Room 2215 Twelfth Street and Constitution Ave, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20423

> RE: Finance Docket No. 32760, Union Pacific Corp. et al--Control ard Merger -- Southern Pacific Rail Corp et al.

Dear Secretary Williams:

As a Nevada State Assemblyman and businessman, I feel I must urge your approval of the proposed merger of the Union Pacific and Southern Pacific Railroads.

This merger is important because it will increase competition with the BN/Sante Fe Lines and thereby increase service to area businesses.

As you probably already know, Southern Pacific's Chairman, Mr. Phil Anschutz, has stated that Southern Pacific alone cannot face the challenge that the BN/Sante Fe merger presents. I, therefore, feel a Southern Pacific - Union Pacific merger is the best solution to the competition/ service problems.

I feel confident that onece you examine all of the facts, you will come to the same conclusion that many of us in Nevada have come to ie.. the merger of Union Pacific and Southern Pacific Railroads is in the publics best interest.

Singerely,

Dennis L. Allard

Item	No	
Page	Count_1	



DISTRICT OFFICE: 5409 Langston Circle Las Vegas, Nevadn. 89130 Office: (702) 642-1231 Carson Home/Office/Fax No.: (702) 246-5754

LEGISLATIVE BUILDING: 401 S. Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89710 Office: (702) 687-3582 or 687-5739 Fax No.: (702) 687-5962





Item No. 404.43 Shio Pouse of Representatibes. Page Count - 413 ROCCO I. COLONNA COMMITTEES: Lolumbus State Representative, 18th District Finance & Apropriations Committee 6477 Wolf Road Transportation Subcommittee Brook Park, Ohio 44142 of Finance Transportation & Public Home (216) 676-4040 Safety Committee -10-32760 District Office (216) 238-3755 -Ranking Minority Member Columbus Office (614) 466-4895 conomic Development & mall Business Committee December 14, 1995 Honorable Vernon A. Williams, Secretary Interstate commerce Commission 12th Street & Constitution Avenue Washington, DC 20423 Dear Secretary Williams,

As ranking minority member of the Ohio House Committee on Transportation, I am very interested in developing our rail system in Ohio. I recently became aware of the plans by Union Pacific Railroad to acquire Southern Pacific Railroad. But I believe Conrail has a better alternative.

As you know, Contrail is very interested in acquiring the eastern routes of Southern Pacific. Conrail's plan would give Ohio direct rail access to the growing Gulf Coast and Mexican markets. Ohio is the second largest auto manufacturing state in the country as well as a major producer of auto parts, glass, steel, paper and cellular equipment. Conrail's proposed acquisition would help our industries export numerous products to the South and to the new Mexican markets now available because of NAFTA. My district includes a huge Ford Motor Company assembly plant and that plant depends on good rail transportation. The Conrail alternative would provide access to new markets for our area businesses.

The company has a superb reputation for service and is vital part of our economic well-being. The access to new markets that could be created through the Conrail proposal would be extremely advantageous to our economy.

Please give favorable consideration to the Conrail alternative to the UP-SP merger.

Sincerely ROCC

State Representative 18th House District

Cfrica o	a the Secretary
DEC	1 9 1995
	Part el

RJC/jab



SUE LOWDEN

SENATOR Clark District No. 3

9IHW YTIROLAM

COMMITTEES:

Vice Chairman Commerce and Labor

Vice Chairman Government Affairs

> Member Taxation

December 13, 1995

Dear Secretary Williams,

The Honorable Vernon A. Williams Secretary. Interstate Commerce Commission Twelfth Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W. Room 2215 Washington, D.C. 20423

Subject: Finance Docket No. 32760 Pronosed Merger Between the Unic



Proposed Merger Between the Union Pacific and Southern Railroads

State of Neuada

Senate

Sixty-Seventh Session

Page Count

(LOCA)

DISTRICT OFFICE:

P.O. Box 98503

Las Vegas, Nevada 89193

Office: (702) 794-0811 Fax No.: (702) 735-5921

LEGISLATIVE BUILDING:

401 S. Carson Street

Carson City, Nevada 89/10

Office: (702) 687-8127 or 687-5742

Fax No.: (702) 687-5962

I am writing to express my support for the proposed merger of the Union Pacific and Southern Pacific Railroads.

I am the Majority Whip of the N-vada Senate and represent a large part of Clark County in Southern Nevada.

A merger between the Union Pacific and the Southern Pacific would bring the financial strength of the Union Pacific together with the routes of the Southern Pacific. That is important because the SP's Phil Anschutz has said that his railroad cannot survive long with the recent merger of the Burlington Northern and Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe railroads. Survival of the SP, as part of the Union Pacific, is crucial to the employees and customers of the Southern Pacific. Pacific. Merging the two railroads will ensure the financial strength needed to invest in their future.

A merger would also provide Nevada businesses with improved rail road service, since facilities located on the UP and SP would have single-railroad service to points now located on the other railroad's system. For example, businesses with facilities on the UP in Western Nevada will have single-railed service to facilities in Northern and Central California. Businesses in Western Nevada would for the first time, have single-railroad service as far North ras Seattle and Spokane, Washington.

For all these reasons, I believe that a merger between the Union Pacific and Southern Pacific Railroads would be in the public interest, in the interest of the employees of their two Railroads, and in the interest of railroad customers, and I would like to urge your support for their merger proposal.

Sincerely,

Sue Lowden Nevada State Senator

cc: Larry Bennett Joe Guild Wayne Horiuchi





60631

LAW OFFICES

ZUCKERT, SCOUTT & RASENBERGER, L.L.P.

888 SEVENTEENTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006-3939 TELEPHONE : (202) 298-8660 FACSIMILES: (202) 342-0683 (202) 342-1316

Item No	
Page Count	18
Dec \$ 10	3

December 18, 1995

Via Hand Delivery

Vernon A. Williams Secretary Interstate Commerce Commission Room 2215 12th Street & Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20423

Union Pacific Corp., Union Pacific RR. Co. and Missouri Re: Pacific RR Co. -- Control and Merger -- Southern Pacific Rail Corp., Southern Pacific Transp. Co., St. Louis Southwestern Rw. Co., SPCSL Corp. and The Denver and Rio Grande Western RR Co., Finance Docket No. 32760

Dear Secretary Williams:

Enclosed are twenty-one copies of TM-4, The Texas Mexican Railway Company's First Interrogatories to Applicants, and TM-5, The Texas Mexican Railway Company's First Request to Applicants for the Production of Documents. Also enclosed is a 3.5" floppy computer disc containing a copy in Wordperfect 5.1 of the two filings.

Sincerely,

Richard A. Al

cc: The Honorable Judge Nelson All Parties on the Restricted Service List



CORRESPONDENT OFFICES: LONDON, PARIS AND BRUSSELS



-- Control and Merger -- Southern Pacific Rail Corp., Southern Pacific Trans. Co., St. Louis Southwestern Rw. Co., SPCSL Corp. and The Denver and Rio Grande Western Corp.

THE TEXAS MEXICAN RAILWAY COMPANY'S FIRST INTERROGATORIES TO APPLICANTS

Pursuant to 49 C.F.R. Part 1114, Subpart B, The Texas Mexican Railway Company ("Tex Mex") directs the following interrogatories to Union Pacific Corporation, Union Pacific Railroad Company and Missouri Pacific Railroad Company and to Southern Pacific Rail Corporation, Southern Pacific Transportation Company, St. Louis Southwestern Railway Company, SPCSL Corp., and The Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company, collectively referred to as "Applicants."

INSTRUCTIONS

Each interrogatory should be answered separately and 1. fully in writing.

The answers are to be signed under oath by the person 2. making them.

TM-4

Panci Public Perord 3. Objections are to be signed by the representative or counsel making them and the reasons for each objection should be stated in full.

4. A copy of the answers should be served upon the undersigned counsel for Tex Mex within fifteen (15) days after the date of service.

5. Applicants should contact the undersigned immediately to discuss any objections or questions with a view to resolving any dispute or issues of interpretation informally and expeditiously.

6. References to railroads, shippers, and other companies (including Applicants) include: parent companies; subsidiaries; controlled, affiliated, and predecessor firms; divisions; subdivisions; components; units; instrumentalities; partnerships; joint ventures; and all present and former directors, officers, employees, agents, consultants, and other persons acting for or on behalf of any of them.

7. Unless otherwise specified, all uses of the conjunctive include the disjunctive and vice versa, and words in the singular include the plural and vice versa.

8. Unless otherwise specified, these discovery requests cover the period beginning January 1, 1993, and ending with the date of response.

9. Where a request contains subparts denominated by letters (e.g., (a), (b)), respond separately to each subpart.

10. If Applicants have information that would permit a partial answer to any interrogatory, but they would have to conduct a special study to obtain information necessary to provide a more complete response to that interrogatory, and if the burden of conducting such special study would be greater for Applicants than Tex Mex, then: (a) state that fact; (b) provide the partial answer that may be made with information available to Applicant; and (c) as provided in 49 C.F.R. § 1114.26(b), produce such business records, or compilation, abstract, or summary based thereon, as will permit Tex Mex to derive or ascertain a more complete answer.

11. If any information is withheld on the ground that it is privileged or otherwise not discoverable, (a) identify the information; and (b) state the basis for the claim that it is privileged or otherwise not discoverable.

12. Where any interrogatory refers to "Applicants" or to any "Applicant," and the response for one applicant would be different from the response for other applicants, give separate responses for each applicant.

13. In responding to any request for data regarding intermodal traffic, indicate separately data for trailers and for containers.

14. If either Applicant later learns that its response to any interrogatory is incorrect, promptly correct that response.

15. Promptly supplement responses with respect to any questions directly addressed to the identity and locations of persons having knowledge of discoverable matters.

DEFINITIONS

1. The "Agreement and Plan of Merger" means the August 3, 1995 Agreement referred to on page 2 of the Applicants' Notice of Intent to File Application (UP/SP-1 at 2).

2. "Applicants" means Union Pacific Corporation, Union Pacific Railroad Company, Missouri Pacific Railroad Company, Southern Pacific Rail Corporation, Southern Pacific Transportation Company, St. Louis Southwestern Railway Company, SPCSL Corp., and The Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company, individually and collectively.

3. "BN" means the Burlington Northern Railway Company.

4. "BNI" means Burlington Northern Inc.

5. "BNSF" means BNSF Corporation or the entity resulting from the merger of BNI and BN with SFP and Santa Fe.

6. "BNSF Agreement" refers to the agreement between UP and SP and the Burlington Northern Santa Fe Corporation relating to the proposed UP/SP merger that was announced in the Union Pacific News Release issued September 26, 1995, and was referred to by Applicants in their Reply to Comments on Proposed Schedule (UP/SP-14) submitted September 28, 1995.

7. "CNW" means Chicago and North Western Railway Company.

8. "Commission" or "ICC" means the Interstate Commerce Commission.

9. "Competition" includes both intramodal and intermodal competition and, where applicable, includes source competition.

10. "Consolidated System" means the integrated rail system under control of the Applicants after the Transaction (as defined below), or to the entity created by the merger proposed by Applicants.

11. "Describe" when used in relation to a discussion, meeting or other communication means to identify the participants, the date or time period when the communication took place, the location of the participants at the time of the communication and a detailed summary of the content of the communications.

12. "Document" means any writing or other compilation of information, whether printed, typed, handwritten, recorded, or produced or reproduced by any other process, including: intracompany communications; electronic mail; correspondence; telegrams; memoranda; contracts; instruments; studies; projections; forecasts; summaries, notes, or records of conversations or interviews; minutes, summaries, notes, or records of conferences or meetings; records or reports of negotiations; diaries; calendars; photographs; maps; tape recordings; computer tapes; computer disks; other computer storage devices; computer programs; computer printouts; models; statistical statements; graphs; charts; diagrams; plans;

drawings; brochures; pamphlets; news articles; reports; advertisements; circulars; trade letters; press releases; financial statements; accounting records; and workpapers and worksheets. Further, the term "document" includes: (a) both basic records and summaries of such records (including computer runs); (b) both original versions and copies that differ in any respect from original versions, including notes; and (c) both documents in the possession, custody, or control of Applicants and documents in the possession, custody, or control of consultants or others who have assisted Applicants in connection with this proceeding.

13. "DRGW" means The Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company.

14. "FNM" means the Ferrocarilles Nacionale Mexicana.

15. "Identify,"

(a) when used in relation to an individual, means to state the name, address, and home and business telephone number of the individual, the job title or position and the employer of the individual at the time of the activity inquired of, and the last-known position and employer of the individual;

(b) when used in relation to a corporation, partnership, or other entity, means to state the name of the entity and the address and telephone number of its principal place of business;

(c) when used in relation to a document, means to: (1)state the type of document (<u>e.g.</u>, letter, memorandum, report,

chart); (2) identify the author, each addressee, and each recipient; and (3) state the number of pages, title, and date of the document;

(d) when used in relation to an oral communication or statement, means to: (1) identify the person making the communication or statement and the person, persons, or entity to whom the communication or statement was made; (2) state the date and place of the communication or statement; (3) describe in detail the contents of the communication or statement; and (4) identify all documents that refer to, relate to or evidence the communication or statement;

(e) when used in any other context means to describe or explain.

16. "Including" means including w' thout limitation.

17. "KCS" means The Kansas City Southern Railway Company.

18. "Mexican Railroad Concession" means a right to purchase, lease, own, control, or operate over any railroad line in Mexico, including rights to operate over lines owned or leased by other entities.

19. "Mexican Railroad Gateway" means any or all of the following locations on the border between the United States and Mexico and located on the railroad lines present at that location: (a) Brownsville, Texas; (b) Laredo, Texas; (c) Eagle Pass, Texas; (d) Fresidio, Texas; (e) El Paso, Texas; (f) Nogales, Arizona; and (g) Mexicali, California.

20. "MP" means Missouri Pacific Railroad Company.

21. "Person" means an individual, company, partnership, or other entity of any kind.

22. "Provide" (except where the word is used with respect to providing service or equipment) or "describe" means to supply a complete narrative response. If the information sought in a particular interrogatory is contained in existing documents, those documents may be specifically identified and produced as an alternative to supplying a narrative response; however, the documents shall be produced within the time provided for responding to these interrogatories and shall be identified as being responsive to that parcicular interrogatory.

23. "Rates" include contract rates and tariff rates.

24. "Relating to" a subject means concerning, making a statement about, referring to, or discussing the subject.

25. "Revenue share" means any share of revenue on traffic interchanged with another railroad, including contractual revenue shares, joint rates, proportional rates, and multiple independent factor rates.

26. "Santa Fe" means The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company.

27. "SFP" means Santa Fe Pacific Corporation.

28. "Shipper" means a user of rail services, including a consignor, a consignee, or a receiver.

29. "SLSRC" means St. Louis Southwestern Railway Company.

30. "SPRC" means Southern Pacific Rail Corporation.

31. "SPCSL" means SPCSL Corp.

32. "SP" means all SPRC entities individually and collectively. <u>i.e.</u>, Southern Pacific Rail Corporation, Southern Pacific Transportation Company, St. Louis Southwestern Railway Company, SPCSL Corp. and The Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company.

33. "STCC" means Standard Transportation Commodity Code.

34. "Studies, analyses, and reports" include studies, analyses, and reports in whatever form, including letters, memoranda, tabulations, and computer printouts of data selected from a database.

35. "Tex Mex" means The Texas Mexican Railway Company.

36. "This proceeding" means Finance Docket No. 32760 and any sub-dockets that may be established.

37. "Transaction" means the actions for which approval is sought by the Applicants, as described at UP/SP-1 including: (a) the acquisition of control of SPR by UP Acquisition; (b) the merger of SPR into UPRR; and (c) the resulting common control of UP and SP by UPC or any one of such actions or any combination of such actions, and any related transactions.

38. "UPC" means Union Pacific Corporation.

39. "UPRC" means Union Pacific Railroad Company.

40. "UP" means all UPC entities individually and collectively, <u>i.e.</u>, Union Pacific Corporation, Union Pacific Railroad Company and Missouri Pacific Railroad Company.

41. "UP Acquisition" means UP Acquisition Corporation, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Union Pacific Corporation.

42. "Western Class I Railroad" means any of the following: BN, Santa Fe, CNW, Illinois Central Railroad Company, KCS, and Soo Line Railroad Company.

INTERROGATORIES

1. Identify every study, analysis, business plan and marketing plan relating to the transportation of goods by UP, SP or the Combined System originating from or destined to Mexico, including but not limited to studies concerning the relative advantages and disadvantages of different Mexican Railroad Gateways, projections of rail traffic trends and the existence of competition to such transportation.

2. Identify every document relating to the marketing and implementation by SP of interline rail transportation by SP and Tex Mex of goods destined to or originating from Mexico, including but not limited to the marketing and implementation of intermodal train service known as the "Aztec Wind."

3. Identify every document relating to the possible obtaining of, bidding for or operations over any Mexican Railroad Concession by UP, SP, the Combined System or by any of those entities in conjunction with another entity, including but not limited to traffic and revenue projections and analyses of the anticipated competition to operations over any Mexican Railroad Concession by UP, SP, the Combined System or by any of those entities in conjunction with any other entity.

4. Identify every document relating to the possible granting of any Mexican Railroad Concession, including subconcessions, by UP, SP, or the Combined System to any other entity.

5. Identify every document relating to the granting of trackage rights or haulage rights by UP, SP or the Combined System to BNSF over railroad lines in Texas, including but not limited to correspondence between BNSF on the one hand and UP or SP on the other hand, and analyses of the effect of BNSF operations over such trackage or haulage rights on the traffic, revenues or both of the Combined System.

6. Identify every agreement in effect after January 1, 1995 by which other railroads have provided trackage or haulage rights to UP or SP or both over railroad lines or railroad facilities in Texas.

7. Identify every agreement in effect after January 1, 1995 by which UP and SP have granted trackage or haulage rights or both to another railroad over railroad lines in Texas.

8. Identify every document relating to the possible sale of railroad lines in Texas to the following:

- (a) Tex Mex,
- (b) KCS, and
- (c) BNSF, and
- (d) any other railroad.

9. Identify every document relating to the possible granting of trackage or haulage rights over railroad lines in Texas by UP, SP or the Combined System to the following:

(a) Tex Mex

(b) KCS, and

(c) any other railroad.

10. Identify every document relating to the possible sale or abandonment of any railroad line identified in Appendix A, or of any portion of said line.

11. Identify every letter, memorandum, study, analysis, business plan and marketing plan not previously identified that refers to the Tex Mex.

12. Identify every joint rate tariff and every transportation contract in effect after January 1, 1993 for the through rail transportation of goods by FNM on the one hand and UP or SP, exclusively or in conjunction with other U.S. railroads, on the other hand between points in the United States and points in Mexico.

13. Identify every document relating to studies or analyses of the property values of, and the costs of maintaining and operating over, all or any part of any railroad line identified in Appendix A or of any line of which a line identified in Appendix A constitutes a part.

14. Identify every study, memorandum or analysis relating to the level of switch charges to be charged by the Combined System to BNSF pursuant to Sectior 9(h) of the BNSF Agreement.

15. Identify the "tiny handful [of the '2-to-1' shippers that] have direct service from both UP and SP according to the Verified Statement of Richard B. Peterson at page 72 of Volume 2 of the Application.

15. Identify any analysis or other basis for Mr. Peterson's statement at page 168 of Volume 2 that "BN/Santa Fe will be able to serve the '2-to-1' shippers either directly or via reciprocal trackage rights at a switch charge that will be well below SP's present charges."

16. With respect to Mr. Peterson's statement at page 298 of Volume 2 that "We estimated that BN/Santa Fe would divert to a Corpus Christi-Tex Mex-Laredo routing 25% of the traffic moving via UP direct or SP-Tex Mex between competitive points and Laredo" --

- a. identify any analysis or other basis for this estimate,
 including but not limited to the portions of Mr.
 Peterson's workpapers supporting this estimate; and
- b. state whether this estimate includes traffic originating or terminating at local industries at Laredo ("local traffic') and, if so, whether the estimated percentage diversion was the same for local traffic as for traffic moving through edo to and from FNME (")

17. Did Mr. Peterson apply the "75% rule" described at pages 257-258 of Volume 2 to --

15. Identify the "tiny handful [of the '2-to-1' shippers that] have direct service from both UP and SP according to the Verified Statement of Richard B. Peterson at page 72 of Volume 2 of the Application.

15. Identify any analysis or other basis for in Peterson's statement at page 168 of Volume 2 that "BN/Santa Fe will be able to serve the '2-to-1' shippers either directly or via reciprocal trackage rights at a switch charge that will be well below SP's present charges."

16. With respect to Mr. Peterson's statement at page 298 of Volume 2 that "We estimated that BN/Santa Fe would divert to a Corpus Christi-Tex Mex-Laredo routing 25% of the traffic moving via UP direct or SP-Tex Mex between competitive points and Laredo" --

- a. identify any analysis or other basis for this estimate,
 including but not limited to the portions of Mr.
 Peterson's workpapers supporting this estimate; and
- b. state whether this estimate includes traffic originating or terminating at local industries at Laredo ("local traffic') and, if so, whether the estimated percentage diversion was the same for local traffic as for traffic moving through Laredo to and from FNM.

17. Did Mr. Peterson apply the "75% rule" described at pages 257-258 of Volume 2 to --

 a. traific originating at points on SP and terminating at local industries at Laredo?

b. traffic interchanged with FNM at Laredo?

18. If the answer to 17a or 17b or both is no, state what percentage diversions were applied.

19. In developing the adjusted traffic base described by Mr. Peterson on pages 261-266 of Volume 2, did Mr. Peterson make any adjustment based on KCS's acquisition of an interest in Tex Mex?

20. Describe the consideration given by Mr. Peterson, in developing the adjusted traffic base described on pages 261-266 of Volume 2, to the compensation to be paid by BNSF to the Combined System for use of the trackage rights under the BNSF Agreement, and the quantitative effect of such compensation, if any, on the adjusted traffic base developed by Mr. Peterson.

21. Identify any memorandum, study or analysis relating to whether UP and SP would consummate the merger if its approval were conditioned on divesting certain lines to other entities or on granting trackage and haulage rights to entities other than BNSF, or both.

22. With respect to the statement by Mr. Peterson at page 96, footnote 42, of Volume 2 that "many shippers prefer Laredo and a substantial segment of Mexican shippers prefer to route traffic via Tex Mex --

a. state the basis for this statement;

b. identify every memorandum, study, analysis, shipper survey and letter from shippers supporting this statement.

23. Identify any analysis, study or memorandum relating to truck traffic between the United States and Mexico.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard A. Allen Andrew R. Plump John V. Edwards ZUCKERT, SCOUTT & RASENBERGER, LLP 888 Seventeenth Street, NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20006-3939 202/298-8660

Attorneys for Texas Mexican Railway

Date .:

APPENDIX A

SP Lines

From

Caldwell, TX Flatonia, TX Victoria, TX McAllen, TX

To

Flatonia, TX Victoria, TX Placedo, TX Brownsville, TX

UP Lines

<u>From</u> Algoa, TX Victoria, TX Odem, TX

To

Brownsville, TX Bloomington, TX Corpus Chr'sti, TX


60630

THE SPANISH AMERICAN COMMITTEE

4407 LORAIN AVENUE • CLEVELAND, OHIO 44113 • 216-961-2100

FD 32760

Since 1966

SOCIAL SERVICES

EMPLOYMENT SERVICES

DAY CARE CENTER 2357 Tremont Ave. 216-696-8215

A United Way Agency December 12, 1995

> Hon Vernon A.Williams Secretary Interstate Commerce Commission 12th St. & Constitution Ave. Washington, DC 20423

Dear Secretary Williams:

I am concerned that the proposed Union Pacific-Southern Pacific railrod merger is not in the public interest in Northeast Ohio. We would be far better served if the UP-SP'S eastern routes were, as part of the proposed merger, sold to Conrail, not leased to another western railroad.

My reasoning is straightforward. First, our industrial companies, particulary in the booming polymers sector, need direct service to raw materials and markets in the Gulf "Chemical Coast" region and to Mexico. Second, we believe that an owner-carrier, such as Conrail, would have greater incentive to improve markets along the route. Third, by keeping Conrail strong, we ensure a variety of service options and strong price competition among the major railroads in our region, namely CSX, Norfolk and Southern, and Conrail.

For those reasons I would oppose the proposed merger unless it includes the Conrail purchase of the eastern lines of the old Southern Pacific. Only with the Conrail acquisition will Northeast Ohio economies be maximally served.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Serrano Leo Executive Director.



Item	NO	
Page	Count_	4.121





60629

Item	No	
Page	Count_1	
		-



Nevada Legislature

SENATE



December 12, 1995

The Honorable Vernon A. Williams Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission Twelfth Street and Constitution Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20243

Re: Finance Docket No. 32760, Union Pacific Corporation, et. al.- Control and Merger-Southern Pacific Rail Corporation, et. al.

Dear Secretary Williams:

Throughout Nevada's history, the state has relied heavily on railroad transportation to foster development and improve the quality of life for its citizens. This dependence is greater than ever as Nevada emerges as the fastest growing state in the Union and its business and industry are increasingly competing in the global economy. Since my district encompasses the heart of one of the state's largest urban centers, I know how vital efficient and competitive transportation is to Nevadans.

By consolidating facilities and reducing overhead, the merger will improve routing, help keep rates down, and encourage economic development in the state. These are all positive impacts. I strongly support the proposal and urge you to approve the plan.

Respectfully,

Randolph 9. Towpsend Nevada State Senator





Part of Public Per





Our company has occasion to use the services of the Texas Mexican Railway. It is our opinion that the proposed merger between the Union Pacific and the Southern Pacific will seriously reduce, if not eliminate, the competitive alternatives for rail service available to our company.

Our company relies on competition to keep prices down and service levels up. The only two U.S. carriers connecting with the TerMex are the Union Pacific at the Laredo and the Southern Pacific at Corpus Christi. For many years these two railroads have competed for shipments to and from the TexMex, resulting in substantial cost savings and service improvements. A merger of these to railroads will eliminate that competition. Although these railroads recently agreed to give certain trackage rights to the new Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railroad, we do not belive this will be a effective competitive replacement for a independent Southern Pacific on this important route.

The TexMex has always relied on international traffic interlined with the SP for much of its traffic base. Since a UP/SP merger would eliminate most of this traffic, this lost volume will likely reduce scheduling on the TexMex and slow service.

These price increases and service reductions will seriously reduce many companies abilities to compete both domestically and internationally.

The alternative that will preserve competition is to grant trackage rights or allow TexMex to purchase trackage from Corpus Cristi to Houston, and connect with the Kansas City Southern and other railroads in Houston. We urge the the Commission to correct this loss of competition and service by conditioning the merger with a grant of trackage rights to the TexMex allowing service to Houston

Item	No	
Page	Count_	8

119

dec

PDFC"1" a 1995

One of the important functions of the Interstate Commerce Commission is to preserve competition. Here it is possible to do just that while also promoting international trade.

Sincerely,

,

Let

David J. Mihalik President



		······.	60627
RAY SHA FER - SENATOR Clark No. 2	DEC 1 9 1995		DISTRICT OFFICE: P.O. Box 43594 Las Vegas, Nevada 89116 Office: (702) 647-8683 Fax No.: (702) 648-5100
COMMITTEES: Member Commerce and Labor Government Affairs Transportation	Part of Change Comme	State of Nevada	LEGISLATIVE BUILDING: 401 S. Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89710 Office: (702) 687-3638 or 687-5742 Fax No.: (702) 687-5962
Item No Page Coun	Dec # 118	- Senate Sixty-Eighth Session	ALISING TIP

December 13, 1995

The Honorable Vernon A. Williams, Secretary Interstate Commerce Commission Room 2215 Twelfth Street & Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20423

Re: Finance Docket # 32760, Union Pacific Corp. et al Control Manager and Merger-Southern Pacific Rail Corp. et al

The purpose of this letter is to respectfully request approval of the merger between the Southern Pacific and Union Pacific Railroads. Should the merger be denied, Southern Pacific would fail and the Union Pacific would not be able to compete with the Burlington Northern and the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railroads.

The tremendous growth in Nevada has doubled the need for a strong, healthy rail system. As a member of the Commerce and Labor Committee and Transportation Committee for the past twelve years, I can attest to the great many concerns Nevada shippers have.

It is essential that Nevada shippers are able to benefit from improved service, stronger competition, and quality service. The Union Pacific and Southern Pacific must be given the opportunity to meet the challenge of the Burlington Northern and the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railroads.

Sincerely,

Ray Shaffer Senator





Dear Mr. Williams:

Our company has occasion to use the services of the Texas Mexican Railway. It is our opinion that the proposed merger between the Union Pacific and the Southern Pacific will seriously reduce, if not eliminate, the competitive alternatives for rail service available to our company.

Our company relies on competition to keep prices down and service levels up. The only two U.S. carriers connecting with the TexMex are the Union Pacific at the Laredo and the Southern Pacific at Corpus Christi. For many years these two railroads have competed for shipments to and from the TexMex, resulting in substantial cost savings and service improvements. A merger of these to railroads will eliminate that competition. Although these railroads recently agreed to give certain trackage rights to the new Builington Northern Santa Fe Railroad, we do not belive this will be a effective competitive replacement for a independent Southern Pacific on this important route.

The TexMex has always relied on international traffic interlined with the SP for much of its traffic base. Since a UP/SP merger would eliminate most of this traffic, this lost volume will likely reduce scheduling on the TexMex and slow service.

These price increases and service reductions will seriously reduce many companies abilities to compete both domestically and internationally.

The alternative that will preserve competition is to grant trackage rights or allow TexMex to purchase trackage from Corpus Cristi to Houston, and connect with the Kansas City Southern and other railroads in Houston. We urge the the Commission to correct this loss of competition and service by conditioning the merger with a grant of trackage rights to the TexMex allowing service to Houston

P.O. Box 7076 • Bensenville, Illinois 60106 • Phone: 708-616-1250 • Fax: 708-595-3910

One of the important functions of the Interstate Commerce Commission is to preserve competition. Here it is possible to do just that while also promoting international trade.

Sincerely,

ayes ames

James Hayes Terminal Manager



1	~	1	->	. 1
-	0	6	5	-



DEC 1. 9 1995

JUDY SERIALE SMITH P. O. BOX 213 CAMDEN, ARKANSAS 71701-0213

DISTRICT 37 Part of Columbia County Prot of Nevada County Part, of Ouachita County

December 8, 1995

The Honorable Vernon Williams, Secretary Interstate Commerce Commission 12th Street and Constitution Avenue Washington, D.C. 20423

Dear Secretary Williams:

I am writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed acquisition of the Southern Pacific Railroad by Union Pacific. This proposal would, in my opinion reduce rail service competion in this area. It seems obvious that what we need is increased competition.

I have reviewed the Conrail proposal and find that it has the potential for enhancement of our presently desparate economic situation in this area of the state.

This area could be greatly improved economically, with the inclusion of the Conrail proposal in the proposed merger of UP-SP. Your serious consideration of this matter would greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Judy Seriale Smith



COMMITTEES

STATE OF ARKANSAS House of J Representatives

Judiciary Aging and Legislative Affairs Joint Committee on Children and Youth



ue # 115

Item No.

Page Count



BARBARA E. BUCKLEY

District No. 8

COMMITTEES:

1

Vice Chairman Judiciary

Member Commerce Health and Furman Services

December 13, 1995

Twelfth Street and

Secretary



State of Nevada Assembly

Sixig-Eighth Session

Carson City, Nevada Corro
Office: (702) 687-3581 or 687-5739
5116/
15
S D. PA-V
(a) 12, 23, 33
In I'm F
13 Mar 10 10 10
E 1934 35 E
Carl Colle
VA S SA
(Thereit)
1.811115

60623

DISTRICT OFFICE:

5481 Supai Drive

Las Vegas, Nevada 39103

Office: (702) 222-9901

LEGISLATI'E BUILDING:

401 S. Carson Street

Carson City Nevada 89710

Item	No	
Page	Count_4	
	Dec to 114	

Cilico : in incontary

350 1 9 1005

T dollo "anon I

Wasnington, D.C. 20423 Re: Finance Docket No. 32760 Proposed Merger between the Union Pacific and Southern Pacific Railroads

Constitution Avenue, N.W.Room 2215

Dear Secretary Williams:

The Honorable Vernon A. Williams

Interstate Commerce Commission

I am the Assembly member representing District 8 in Las Vegas, Nevada.

This letter is written to you to express my support for the proposed merger of the Union Pacific and Southern Pacific Railroads.

I feel that the merger between the Union Pacific and Southern Pacific Railroads would be an enormous benefit for our state. Nevada shippers should will see improved supplies from the combined fleets of these two railroads. It would also give our state extensive single-line access to Union Pacific points in the midwest and the pacific northwest. Reduced overhead costs and improved efficiency from this important merger would benefit all shippers.

Southern Pacific's financial health has been a concern to shippers who are served by the railroad, the communities it serves and the Southern Pacific employees. The merger would provide a much stronger railroad that would be able to compete with the combined Burlington and Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe railroads.

RAIL YARD

CALIFORNIA

The following rail yards may see an increase in rail activity (increase in the number of trains per day).

- Inland-Empire
- Lathrop

• *

1

- Roseville
- Montclain
- Martinez
- Niland



The Honorable Vernon A. Williams Secretary Interstate Commerce Commission December 13, 1995 Page Two

The Southern Pacific and Union Pacific merger will tremendously improve service and will maintain competition. The financial and service problems that the Southern Pacific Railroad has experienced will greatly improve and Nevada rail users will have high quality transportation which is needed in our expanding economy. I strongly urge you to approve this merger.

Sincerely Buckley Barbara EL

BEB/bg



Item No Page. Count Are d rob	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Goberz
ENTERED Office of the Secretary DEC 1 8 1995 3 Part of Public Record	BEFORE THE INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION	UP/SP-35 UP/SP-35 UP/SP-35 Column 15 UP/SP-35 Column 15 Column 15
	Finance Docket No. 32760	Tel: Contraction of the second

UNION PACIFIC CORPORATION, UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY AND MISSOURI PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY -- CONTROL MERGER --SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAIL CORPORATION, SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, ST. LOUIS SOUTHWESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY, SPCSL CORP. AND THE DENVER AND RIO GRANDE WESTERN RAILROAD COMPANY

> SOUTHERN PACIFIC APPLICANTS' RESPONSES TO KCS' FIRST REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION

Applicants Southern Pacific Rail Corporation ("SPR"), Southern Pacific Transportation Company ("SPT"), St. Louis Southwestern Railway Company ("SSW"), SPCSL Corp. ("SPCL") and The Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company ("DRGW"), (collectively "Southern Pacific") submit the following responses to the first requests for admissions served by the Kansas City Southern Railway Company ("KCS") on November 13, 1995, as amended December 14, 1995.

Southern Pacific objects to the instructions to the Requests for Admissions to the extent that they exceed the requirements of the applicable discovery rules, and they incorporate herein General Objections 1 through 5, 7 and 11 that were made with respect to the first set of interrogatories served by KCS. In Southern Pacific's responses, it will use acronyms as they have been defined in the application. KCS' definitions of "UP" and "SP," which differ from Applicants', will not be used in Applicants' responses, but will be observed for purposes of interpreting the requests.

REQUESTS

1. That after January 1, 1993, and prior to September 1994, UP and SP engaged in discussions about a possible merger of their respective railroads.

Southern Pacific admits that, beginning in mid-1994, certain senior officers of Union Pacific Corporation ("UPC") and SPR had occasional, informal discussions regarding the possibility and desirability of an acquisition of SPR by UPC and other possible transactions, but those discussions terminated in September 1994.

2. That on September 8, 1994, UP and SP signed a confidentiality agreement between themselves concerning their merger discussions and the information exchanged in those discussions.

Southern Pacific admits that, on September 8, 1994, UPC and SPR entered into a confidentiality agreement in connection with the discussions referred to above in response to Request No. 1 and that the agreement speaks for itself; Southern Pacific otherwise denies this request.

3. That on October 13, 1994, Burlington Northern, Inc., Burlington Northern Railroad Company, Santa Fe Pacific Corporation, The Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company and BNSF Corporation filed an application under 49 U.S.C. § 11343-45 for Burlington Northern, Inc.'s acquisition of control of and merger with Santa Fe Pacific Corporation, the resulting common control of Burlington Northern Railroad Company and The Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company by the merged company, the consolidation of Burlington Northern Railroad Company and The Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company railroad operations and the merger of Burlington Northern Railroad Company and The Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company.

Admitted, subject to the qualification that the

application speaks for itself.

4. That on March 10, 1995, UP filed discovery requests in the BN/Santa Fe merger proceeding that were directed to the anticompetitive impact of the proposed merger.

. Southern Pacific admits that UP served discovery requests in the BN/Santa Fe merger proceeding on March 10, 1995, and that the requests speak for themselves; Southern Pacific otherwise denies this request.

5. That on April 10, 1995, SP filed its Description of Anticipated Responsive Applications in the BN/Santa Fe merger proceeding contending that the proposed BN/Santa Fe merger would have "significant anticompetitive effects."

Admitted, subject to the qualification that SP's "Description of Anticipated Responsive Applications" speaks for itself.

6. That prior to April 7, 1995, UP and SP had engaged in discussions concerning a possible UP/SP merger, which discussions in fact began as early as September 1994.

Southern Pacific admits that between late February 1995 and mid-April 1995, certain senior officers and directors of UPC and SPR had a number of meetings and telephone conversations to discuss, on a preliminary basis, a possible acquisition of SPR by UPC, which discussions were discontinued in mid-April 1995, and further admits that between mid-1994 and some time in September 1994 there were occasional, informal discussions regarding the possibility and desirability of an acquisition of SPR by UPC and other possible transactions, as described in response to Request No. 1; Southern Pacific otherwise denies this request.

7. That prior to April 7, 1995, UP engaged in discussions with BN and Santa Fe concerning a potential agreement whereby UP would withdraw its opposition to the BN/Santa Fe merger.

Southern Pacific lacks first hand knowledge as to the truth of this request but, on information and belief, admits it.

8. That in discussions between UP and BN and Santa Fe prior to April 7, 1995, these entities discussed the possibility of a merger between UP and SP.

Southern Pacific lacks first hand knowledge as to the truth of this request but, on information and belief, denies it.

9. That in discussions between UP and BN and Santa Fe prior to April 7, 1995, these entities discussed the possibility that if UP would withdraw its opposition to the BN/Santa Fe merger, BN/Santa Fe might reach an agreement with UP and SP and not oppose a subsequent attempt by UP and SP to obtain approval for a UP/SP merger.

Southern Pacific lacks first hand knowledge as to the truth of this request but, on information and belief, denies it.

10. That prior to April 13, 1995, SP engaged in discussions with BN and Santa Fe concerning a potential agreement whereby [SP] would withdraw its opposition to the BN/Santa Fe merger.

Admitted.

11. That in discussions between SP and BN and Santa Fe prior to April 13, 1995, these entities discussed the possibility of a merger between UP and SP.

Southern Pacific admits that in discussions on or before April 13, 1995, BN and Santa Fe expressed concern about

- 4 -

the possibility of a merger between UP and SP and insisted on a provision of the settlement agreement that addressed that possibility, but otherwise denies this request.

12. That in discussions between SP and BN and Santa Fe prior to April 13, 1995, these entities discussed the possibility that if SP would withdraw its opposition to the BN/Santa Fe merger, BN/Santa Fe might reach an agreement with UP and SP and not oppose a subsequent attempt by UP and SF to obtain approval for a UP/SP merger.

Denied.

. 13. That prior to April 7, 1995, UP engaged in discussions with BN, Santa Fe, and SP regarding UP's withdrawal of its request for trackage rights in the Denver/Ft. Worth corridor.

Southern Pacific denies as to discussions with SP and lacks first hand knowledge of the remainder of this request but, on information and belief, denies it, including denial of the allegation that UP had made a "request for trackage rights in the Denver/Ft. Worth corridor."

14. That on or by April 7, 1995, UP reached a settlement agreement with BN and Santa Fe which led UP to withdraw its opposition to the BN/Santa Fe merger.

Southern Pacific lacks first hand knowledge as to the truth of this request but, on information and belief, admits it, subject to the qualification that the agreement speaks for itself.

15. That on or by April 13, 1995, SP reached a settlement agreement with BN and Santa Fe which led SP to take "no position" on the BN/Santa Fe merger.

Subject to the qualification that the agreement speaks for itself, Southern Pacific admits that on or by April 13, 1995,

- 5 -

SP reached a settlement agreement with BN and Santa Fe in which SP agreed not to oppose the BN/Santa Fe merger and that thereafter SP did not oppose that merger, but otherwise denies the request.

16. That on July 17, 1995, UP and SP signed a confidential agreement to merge.

Denied.

17. That on July 19, 1995, oral argument was held before the ICC on the BN/Santa Fe merger; and a Commission voting conference on this proposed merger was held on July 20, 1995.

Admitted.

18. That on August 3, 1995, UF and SP publicly announced their merger.

Admitted, subject to the qualification that the

announcement concerned an agreement to merge, not a consummated

merger.

19. That on August 4, 1995, UP and SP filed their Notice of Intent to File Railroad Control Application (ICC Finance Docket No. 32760).

Admitted.

20. That on August 23, 1995, the Commission issued its Decision in the BN/Santa Fe proceeding approving of that merger (ICC Docket Decision No. 38).

Admitted.

21. That on September 25, 1995, in connection with the UP/SP proposed merger (Finance Docket No. 32760), UP and SP entered into a settlement agreement with BN and Santa Fe pursuant to which UP and SP would give or sell BNSF trackage rights over more than 4,000 miles of the UP/SP system following the UP/SP merger transaction. As part of this settlement agreement, BN and Santa Fe agreed not to oppose UP's proposed acquisition of SP. Southern Pacific admits that, on September 25, 1995, in connection with the UP/SP proposed merger (Finance Docket No. 32760), Union Pacific and Southern Pacific entered into a settlement agreement with BN and Santa Fe, that a copy of the agreement has been provided to KCS, that the agreement involves, <u>inter alia</u>, sales of lines or the grant of trackage rights to BN/Santa Fe with respect to more than 4,000 miles of the proposed UP/SP system, and that the agreement speaks for itself; Southern Pacific otherwise denies this request.

22. That UP and BN and Santa Fe had discussed the possibility of such a "comprehensive" agreement before April 13, 1995.

Southern Pacific lacks first-hand knowledge as to the truth of this request but, on information and belief, denies it.

23. That SP and BN and Santa Fe ha discussed the possibility of such a "comprehensive" agreement before April 13, 1995.

Denied.

C. Y. Harvey Louis P. Warchot Carol A. Harris SOUTHERN PACIFIC LINES Southern Pacific Building One Market Plaza San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 541-1000

Paul A. Cunningham Richard B. Herzog James M. Guinivan HARKINS CUNNINGHAM Suite 600 1300 19th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-1609 (202) 973-7600

Attorneys for Southern Pacific Rail parties

Dated: December 15, 1995

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Michael L. Rosenthal, certify that, on this 14th day of December, 1995, I caused a copy of the foregoing document to be served by hand on, Alan E. Lubel, counsel for KCS, at Troutman Sanders, Suite 640 - North Building, 601 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-2994, by first-class mail, postage prepaid, or by a more expeditious manner of delivery on all parties that have requested service of discovery responses in Finance Docket No. 32760, and on

Director of Operations Antitrust Division Room 9104-TEA Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530 Premerger Notification Office Bureal of Competition Room 303 Federal Trade Commission Washington, D.C. 20580

Michael L. Rosenthal



Item No Page Count Are # 100 December 11, 1995	600 00 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000
HON. VERNON A. WILLIAMS SECRETARY INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION TWELFTH STREET AND CONSTITUTION AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20423	ENTERED Office of the Secretary DEC 1 5 1995
RE: Finance Docket No. 32760, <u>Union Pacific Corp.</u> , et al Control and Merger Southern Pacific Rail Corp., et al.	3 Part of Public Record

Dear Mr. Williams:

IBP, inc. is the world's largest meat packing company with annual sales in excess of \$12 billion. In 1995, our projected freight expenditures will exceed \$400 Million. Of this, rail transportation will amount to \$51.2 Million or 13%. IBP also leases a fleet of 925 tank cars, and ships approximately 14,000 car loads of frozen meat, tankage, and tallow per year from thirty-one (31) plants and freezers in the United States and Canada. Of these locations fifteen (15) are UP served, and of this total, only four (4) are open to reciprocal switching or have existing competitive rail alternatives.

It is our understanding that an application under this docket was filed 11/30/95. IBP is neither a supporter or opponent, but merely a concerned shipper which will suffer substantially from a poorly investigated and planned consolidation of these two organizations.

Our request is simply that the I.C.C. and D.O.T. conduct a thorough economic impact on the U.S. shipping community.

Our concern over the appropriateness of this merger at this time is rooted in the problems addressed in Mr. Ronald J. Eurns customer letter of apology, and our experiences between 10/1/95 - 11/25/95 outlined below.

- 1) UP car turnaround increased on average 5.4 days.
- 2) Rates continue to escalate 3-10% despite initial promises of economies of scale.
- 3) Increased emergency truck shipments at a 11 st of \$18,000.
- 4) Short term increase in car supply (added 115 cars at a cost of \$65,000.)
- 5) Inconsistent or non-existent switching service at several of our plants and public warehouses located on the old CNW line.
- 6) Car bunching at origin.
- 7) Cars not weighed for weeks.
- 8) Cars held at origin 7 11 days to weigh.
- 9) Cut off of mechanical reefers (previously supplied) for non UP origins.

IBP, inc. P.O. BOX 515, DAKOTA CITY, NEBRASKA 68731 TELEPHONE: 402-494-2061



We believe the UP to be genuinely interested in restoring service and the action plans announced by Mr. Burns are evidence of this. However, we do not believe the UP after recently acquiring the CNW and the ensuing problems, is in a position to acquire the SP which is deeply mired in similar problems.

IBP is concerned that the needs of many smaller towns and cities are being overlooked as the UF struggles to handle the huge increases they have recently experienced in the grain and coal business. The focus the CNW had for its core business is being lost to the long haul business stretching to the Gulf & West Coast.

Where the UP is unable to provide prompt and consistent service to the Midwest Meat Packing communities, smaller regional rail roads like the CCP at Waterloo, IA the Iowa Interstate at Perry, IA and the CCP @ Sioux City, IA (See specific locations in Attachment I) should be given competitive access to allow shippers in these communities to keep their business running effectively and at competitive transportation costs.

We further believe that all parties will be better served by a thorough investigation based on input from shippers and railroads. The focus must remain on healthy rail carriers and competitive access for shippers.

Sincerely,

Para M.

Perry M. Bourne Assistant Vice President - Distribution IBP, inc.

Attachment

 xc: Representative Bill Barrett Representative Doug Bereuter Representative Jon Christensen Representative Greg Ganske Representative Tom Latham Representative Jim Leach Representative Jim (Ross) Lightfoot Representative Jim Nussle Senator Charles Grassley Senator Robert Kerrey Ken Rose Pat Taafe Ted Backora, UPRR



ATTACHMENT I

ORIGIN SHIPPING LOCATION:	CITY/STATE	COMPETITIVE ACCESS:
IBP, inc. (Plant)	Waterloo, IA (Note 2)	Chicago, Central & Pacific
Transcontinental Cold Storage (TCCS)	Waterloo, IA (Note 2)	Chicago, Central & Pacific
IBP, inc. (Plant	Perry, IA	Iowa Interstate
Transcontinental Cold Storage (TCCS)	Perry, IA	Burlington Northern & Santa Fe
Americold (Freezer)	Fort Dodge, IA	Chicago, Central & Pacific
Millard Cold Storage (Freezer)	Omaha, NE	Burlington Northern & Santa Fe
IBP, inc. (Plant)	Dakota City, NE	Chicago, Central & Pacific
Cloverleaf #7 (Freezer)	Sioux City, IA	Burlington Northern & Santa Fe

- Note: 1) For freezers, BNSF access is critical as short lines currently do not own mechanical reefers. In our opinion, a two-line haul would not represent a long term competitive solution based on the historical allocation of mechanical reefers, in times of short supply.
 - 2) During 1993, the CC & P pursued competitive access to this origin. Copies of the related correspondence have been enclosed for your review.

PT9547M.95

CHICAGO CENTRAL and PACIFIC RAILBOAD COMPANY

1006 E. 4th Street P. O. Box 1800 Waterice, Iowa 50704 (319) 236-9200

January 18, 1993

Ms. K.L. Sebela, Director-Pricing Services CHICAGO AND NORTH WESTERN TRANSPORTATION COMPANY One North Western Center Chicago, IL 60606

Dear Karea:

Periodically the Chicago, Central & Pacific Railroad Company (CC) evaluates its reciprocal switching arrangements in order to determine the degree of reciprocity with other carriers. As you very well know, this arrangement is prizarily based upon the ability of each carrier to reciprocate in a substantial degree with the other.

. Currently the CC is experiencing a tremendous overall imbalance in reciprocity with the Chicago and North Western Transportation Company (CNW) which is due in part to the CNW's elimination of industries. While most of our reciprocal switching charges are based upon a nominal amount rather than being predicated on the actual cost of service, the CC winds up subsidizing some of CNW's line-haul traffic.

With the closure of Weissman Iron & Metal Company at 711 Falls Avenue, Waterloo, Iowa, the CNW eliminated its last remaining open industry in the area; however, the CNW still exclusively serves Central States Warehouse, IBP, Inc. and John Deere Tractor Plant. If these industries were to be opened to the CC it would definitely assist in reestablishing the reciprocity which has deteriorated over the years.

Therefore, along with shipper support, the CC is requesting that these industries be opened to reciprocal switching at a current rate of \$139.00 per car.

Your cooperation in the matter is appreciated. If I may be of any assistance, please don't hesitate to contact me at (319) 236)244.

Very truly yours, C. Komers

Manager of Rates/TPO

Enclosures

cc: L.D. Reed G.L. Amys R.C. Gress R.K. Trout P.D. Meier (John Deere) John Tucker (IBP, Inc.)

LCK/keb/93011501.dw

January 29, 1993



Mr. L. C. Komers Manager of Rates/TPO Chicago Central and Pacific Railroad Co. 1006 East 4th Street P. O. Box 1800 Waterloo, Iowa 50704

Sales and Marketing Traffic Services One North Western Center Chicago, Illinois 60606-1551

Chicago and North Western Transportation Company

Dear Len:

Thank you for your letter of January 18, 1993 regarding reciprocal switch arrangements in Waterloo, IA.

The industries you have proposed that CNW open to switching are not located in the Waterloo switching district. IBP and John Deere are in Armour, IA. CNW has never considered Armour Iowa to be in the Waterloo switching district It is a local station on CNW.

I can empathize with your concern over the imbalance of the reciprocal switching situation in Waterloo. It is, unfortunately, a sign of the times. CNW's situation in Waterloo was further eroded by the building of the highway that caused our line to be broken and the necessity of having the LANR act as an intermediate carrier on what would otherwise be CNW direct traffic. Waterloo is not the only station where this imbalance occurs. CNW currently pays for switching at both Kansas City, MO and Omaha, NE without serving any industries. Likewise, I assume CC pays for switching in Chicago without having established reciprocity with carriers in the Chicago switching district. In checking your switch tariff, it appears that CC served industries in the Chicago switching district are closed to other carriers. It is not an unheard of phenomena.

I must decline your proposal. Armour, Iowa is a local station served exclusively by CNW and will not be considered as part of the Waterloc, IA switching district. IBP, John Deere Tractor Works and Central States Warehouse status remain unchanged.

Sincerely. L. Sebela ricing Services Director-

CHICAGO CENTRAL and PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

Marketing Division 11128 John Galt Boulevard Suite 555 · Omaha, Nebraska 68137 (402) 592-8090

December 4, 1995

Mr. Larry Hintz Traffic Analyst IBP, Inc. Dakota City, NE 68731

Dear Larry:

Thank you for your interest in using our services from Waterloo, IA. to Chicago, IL. I understand your concerns with the recent decline in service out of Waterloo, following UP's acquisition of the CNW.

As you requested 1 have enclosed copies of a letter we sent to the Chicago & NorthWestern Transportation Co. requesting that IBP's Waterloo IA, facility be opened to reciprocal switching at a charge of \$139 per car, and the CNW's reply denying our request.

The CNW based it's denial on their assertion that IBP is located not in Waterloo, but in Armour, IA, thus your plant is not in the Waterloo switching district. Armour was a local point on their line. Local points are not open to reciprocal switching.

You may wish to pursue this with the Union Pacific to have them include your facility in their definition of the Waterloo switching district.

An alternative approach is to request the UP to establish a line haul rate from Armour to Waterloo for movement beyond via Chicago Central.

A third option would be to ask the UP to work with the CC to form joint line haul rates over Waterloo.

The key consideration is that any charge established by the UP, line haul or switching, be low enough to allow IBP to enjoy the benefits of the CC's rates and services.

I am very interested in working with you and your people to find solutions to your transportation needs. Please let me know the results of your efforts.

Sincerely,

Bun E. Fren

Bruce W. Furan

Customer satisfaction through Creative service
CHICAGO CENTRAL and PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

1006 E. 4th Street P. O. Box 1800 Waterico, Iowa 50704 (319) 236-9200

January 18, 1993

Ms. K.L. Sebela, Director-Pricing Services CHICAGO AND NORTH WESTERN TRANSPORTATION COMPANY One North Western Center Chicago, IL 60606

Dear Karen:

Periodically the Chicago, Central & Pacific Railroad Company (CC) evaluates its reciprocal switching arrangements in order to determine the degree of reciprocity with other carriers. As you very well know, this arrangement is primarily based upon the ability of each carrier to reciprocate in a substantial degree with the other.

Currently the CC is experiencing a tremendous overall imbalance in reciprocity with the Chicago and North Western Transportation Company (CNW) which is due in part to the CNW's elimination of industries. While most of our reciprocal switching charges are based upon a nominal amount rather than being predicated on the actual cost of service, the CC winds up subsidizing some of CNW's line-haul traffic.

With the closure of Weissman Iron & Metal Company at 711 Falls Avenue, Waterloo, Iowa, the CNW eliminated its last remaining open industry in the area; however, the CNW still exclusively serves Central States Warehouse, IBP, Inc. and John Deere Tractor Plant. If these industries were to be opened to the CC it would definitely assist in reestablishing the reciprocity which has deteriorated over the years.

Therefore, along with shipper support, the CC is requesting that these industries be opened to reciprocal switching at a current rate of \$139.00 per car.

Your cooperation in the matter is appreciated. If I may be of any assistance, please don't hesitate to contact me at (319) 236-9244.

Very truly yours, L.C. Komers

Manager of Rates/TPO

Enclosures

cc: L.D. Reed G.L. Amys R.C. Gregg R.K. Trout P.D. Meier (John Deers) John Tucker (IBP, Inc.)

LCK/keb/93011501.dw

SERVICE is our only BUSINESS



60433

Page Count_ A/JV# 115

CITY OF GREENVILLE 404 SOUTH 3RD ST. GREENVILLE, IL 62246 618 664-1644 FAX: 618 664-1648

FD-32760

Hay II I ST PH .95

November 13, 1995

The Honorable Vernon A. Williams Secretary Interstate Commerce Commission 12th Street and Constitution Av. Washington, DC 20423

Dear Secretary Williams:

I am aware that Union Pacific is attempting a takeover of the Southern Pacific. I am also aware the Conrail is interested in purchasing the eastern portion of the Southern Pacific Railroad.

The City of Greenville is concerned that a takeover of all Southern Pacific rail lines will result in a lack of competition for the businesses in Illinois. Further, we find that if Conrail were allowed to acquire the eastern portion of the Southern Pacific, Illinois would have a single-line freight service to the Gulf Coast area. This would provide competition in the rail transportation industry. It would also have the potential to generate new businesses and jobs for Illinois.

The City of Greenville has invested heavily in rail facilities in support of economic development in this area. We would greatly appreciate your efforts to maximize the benefits of rail service in our area.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Larry Stoever City Manager

cc: David M. Levan, President Conrail



LICENSING



OPPENHLIMER WOLFF

1020 Nineteenth Street N.W. Suite 400 ashington, D.C. 20036-6105

(202, 293-6300 FAX (202) 293-6200

60415. Page Count 25 Brussels Chicago Minneapolis w York November 14, 199 aris Saint Paul

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Vernon A. Williams Secretary Interstate Commerce Commission 12th Street & Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20423

Item No

Nov# 10

Washington, D.C.

Finance Docket No. 32760 Re: Union Pacific Corporation, Union Pacific Railroad Company and Missouri Pacific Railroad Company --Control and Merger -- Southern Pacific Rail Corp., Southern Pacific Transportation Company, St. Louis Southwestern Railway Company, SPCSL Corp. and The Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company

Dear Secretary Williams:

Enclosed for filing with the Commission in the abovecaptioned proceeding are an original and twenty copies of the Illinois Central Railroad Company's Notice of Intent to File Responsive Application, dated November 14, 1995.

A copy of this pleading has been served on counsel for Applicants, the Administrative Law Judge and other parties of interest. For economy reasons, a complete service list showing all parties of interest served is being provided only to the Commission and Applicants. Thank you for your assistance on this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Kevir M. Sheys Attorney for Illinois Central Railroad Company

KMS:tjl

Enclosures

Parties on Certificate of Service cc: Parties of Interest Commissioners



IC-1

BEFORE THE INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

FINANCE DOCKET NO. 32760

UNION PACIFIC CORPORATION, UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY AND MISSOURI PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY -- CONTROL AND MERGER --SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAIL CORPORATION, SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, ST. LOUIS SOUTHWESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY, SPCSL CORP. AND THE DENVER AND RIO GRANDE WESTERN RAILROAD COMPANY

NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE RESPONSIVE APPLICATION

ENTERED Office of the Secretary
NOV 1 6 1995
Part of Public Record

Ronald A. Lane Myles L. Tobin Illinois Central Railroad Company 455 North Cityfront Plaza Drive Chicago, Illinois 60611-5504 (312) 755-7621

William C. Sippel Kevin M. Sheys Thomas J. Litwiler Oppenheimer Wolff & Donnelly Two Prudential Plaza, 45th Floor 180 North Stetson Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60601 (312) 616-1800

ATTORNEYS FOR ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY

Dated: November 14, 1995

BEFORE THE INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

FINANCE DOCKET NO. 32760

UNION PACIFIC CORPORATION, UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY AND MISSOURI PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY -- CONTROL AND MERGER --SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAIL CORPORATION, SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, ST. LOUIS SOUTHWESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY, SPCSL CORF. AND THE DENVER AND RIO GRANDE WESTERN RAILROAD COMPANY

NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE RESPONSIVE APPLICATION

Illinois Central Railroad Company ("IC") hereby notifies the Commission of its intention to file a Responsive Application in the above-referenced proceeding seeking Commission authorization under 49 U.S.C. §§ 11343-45 for the acquisition by IC or a corporate affiliate of certain rail lines owned by Southern Pacific Transportation Company ("SPT") and St. Louis Southwestern Railway Company ("SSW") and operating rights over certain other rail lines owned by Missouri Pacific Railroad Company ("MP"). Alternatively, IC may seek imposition of conditions in this merger which grant IC other access rights on these lines.

Pursuant to <u>Decision No. 6</u> in this proceeding, served on October 19, 1995, IC states as follows:

On August 4, 1995, Union Pacific Corporation and its subsidiaries (collectively, "UP") and Southern Pacific Rail Corporation and its subsidiaries (collectively, "SP") filed a "Notice of Intent to File Railroad Control Application" which announced the proposed common control of Union Pacific Railroad Company, MP and SP by Union Pacific Corporation through an "Agreement and Plan of Merger" by these companies and their affiliates. This mega-merger will significantly reduce rail competition for shippers who currently benefit from the competitive rail service provided by UP and SP in the States of Texas, Louisiana, and Arkansas, as well as shippers who access Mexico via either UP or SP.

On or about September 26, 1995, UP and SP announced an agreement with the newly-merged Burlington Northern Santa Fe Corporation ("BNSF") in which these two giant carriers systematically divided up rail service in the Western United States. Under the proposed agreement, BNSF would gain access to various UP and SP lines in the Texas, Louisiana and Arkansas corridors and in several Western rail corridors -- in most instances solely via trackage rights. This proposed arrangement between UP/SP and BNSF will not provide an adequate remedy for the substantial anti-competitive effects of this merger on shippers served via the Texas and Louisiana Gulf corridor lines.

IC and many of the shippers served via these UP and SP rail corridors believe the only way to ameliorate the anticompetitive reduction in rail service to these shippers is the purchase, by a third-party carrier, of certain parallel lines owned by these merging mega-carriers (or imposition of other alternate access rights on these lines). IC, with its highly efficient North-South route from New Orleans to Chicago, and its access to numerous gateways to the East, is in a unique and preeminent position to purchase these lines and provide a competitive,

- 2 -

independent and effective alternative to shippers who would otherwise see significant reductions in competitive rail service from this merger. Accordingly, as a part of this proceeding, IC will seek Commission authorization for purchase (or alternate access) with respect to the following rail lines at fair market value:

1. SPT's rail line and access rights between New Orleans, Louisiana and Houston, Texas including but not limited to connecting lines to Port Arthur, Galveston, Baytown, LaPorte, Strang, Mont Belvieu, Texas City and Bayport, Texas and SPT's ownership interest in (or access rights over, in the absence of an ownership interest) the Houston Belt & Terminal Railway Company, the Port Terminal Railroad Association and the Texas City Terminal Railway Company.

2. SSW's rail line between Memphis, Tennessee and Shreveport, Louisiana and SPT's connecting rail line between Shreveport and Houston.

3. SPT/SSW's rail line and access rights between Lewisville, Arkansas and Dallas/Fort Worth, Texas.

4. SPT's rail line and operating rights between Houston, Corpus Christi, Gregory and Brownsville, Texas, via Flatonia, Texas, including the connection to the Texas Mexican Railway Company, and operating rights over MP's rail line between Houston and Placedo, Texas.

5. IC reserves the right to include additional lines in its Responsive Application if it is requested to do so by shippers

- 3 -

served via such lines who will suffer reductions in competitive rail service as a result of the proposed UP/SP merger.

IC's purchase would include all sidetracks, yards, storage yards (including but not limited to the Dayton, Texas storage yard), interchanges, connecting branchlines, mechanical facilities and other appurtenant facilities on and along these lines, as well as SPT/SSW's rights and/or ownership interest in any and all trackage rights, joint facilities, terminals or her similar arrangements necessary for IC to operate over the lines and to access all connecting line-haul and terminal railroads.

Upon acquisition of these rail lines, IC would enter into agreements granting overhead trackage rights over each such line to the combined UP/SP system.

IC anticipates that it will file its Responsive Application by the date set forth in the procedural schedule established by the Commission herein (<u>i.e.</u>, 120 days after the filing of the Primary Application). Any competitive impact analyses conducted and submitted by IC will be based on 1994 traffic and operating data. Under the Commission's regulations, IC's Responsive Application will presumptively be deemed to embrace a "significant" transaction. <u>See</u> 49 C.F.R. § 1180.4(d)(4)(ii).

WHEREFORE, IC hereby gives notice of its intent to file a Responsive Application as outlined above to address and

- 4 .

ameliorate the substantial anti-competitive effects resulting from the transactions proposed or announced by the Primary Applicants.

Respectfully submitted,

William C. Sippelm By: Ronald A. Lane

Myles L. Tobin Illinois Central Railroad Company 455 North Cityfront Plaza Drive Chicago, Illinois 60611-5504 (312) 755-7621

William C. Sippel Kevin M. Sheys Thomas J. Litwiler Oppenheimer Wolff & Donnelly Two Prudential Plaza, 45th Floor 180 North Stetson Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60601 (312) 616-1800

ATTORNEYS FOR ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY

Dated: November 14, 1995

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 14th day of November, 1995, a copy of the foregoing Notice of Intent to File Responsive Application was served by hand delivery upon:

> Arvid E. Roach, II Covington & Burling 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20044

Paul A. Cunningham Harkins Cunningham 1300 Ninetsenth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

and by first class mail, postage prepaid, upon:

Hon. Jerome Nelson Administrative Law Judge Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 825 North Capitol Street, N.E. Washington, DC 20426

Carl W. Von Bernuth Union Pacific Corporation Martin Tower Eighth and Eaton Avenues Bethlehem, PA 18018

James V. Dolan Law Department Union Pacific Railroad Company 1416 Dodge Street Omaha, NE 68179

Cannon Y. Harvey Southern Pacific Transportation Company One Market Plaza San Francisco, CA 94105

and all other known parties of interest.

Thomas J. Litwiles

PARTIES OF INTEREST

Cannon Y. Harvey Southern Pacific Transportation Company One Market Pla San Francisco, A 94105

Allan L. Abbott Nebraska Dept. of Roads P.O. Box 94759 1500 Nebraska Hwy. 2 Lincoln, NE 68509-4759

Carl W. Von Bernuth Union Pacific Corporation Martin Tower Fighth and Eaton Avenues Bethlehem, PA 18018

James V. Dolan Law Department Union Pacific Railroad Company 1416 Dodge Street Omaha, NE 68179

Edward B. Hymson Consolidated Rail Corp. Two Commerce Square 2001 Market Street, 16-A Philadelphia, PA 19101-1416

Hon. Dick Ackerman California Legislature Assemblyman, 72nd Dist. State Capitol, Room 5126 Sacramento, CA 95814

Richard A. Allen Zuckert Scoutt, et al. 888 17th Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036-3939

Thomas G. Allison Preston Gates & Ellis 701 5th Street 5000 Cclumbia Center Seattle, WA 98104 Honorable Fred Aguiar Assembly CA Legislature 1. O. Box 942849 State Capitol Sacramento, CA 94249-0001

Honorable Barbara Alby Assembly CA Legislature P. O. Box 942849 State Capitol Sacramento, CA 94249-0001

Sid Allen Longview Partnership P. O. Box 47. Longview, TX 75606

Honorable Dede Alpert Assembly CA Legislature P. O. Box 942849 State Capitol Sacramento, CA 94249-0001

Senator Alfred Alquist California Senate Room 5100, State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814

Blaine Arbuthnot Board of County Commissioners Crowley County Ordway, CO 81067

Robert A. Ashton 206 Loyola Drive Millbrae, CA 94030

Honorable Joe Baca Assembly CA Legislature P. O. Box 942849 State Capitol Sacramento, CA 94249-0001 Honorable Steve Baldwin Assembly CA Legislature P. O. Box 942849 State Capitcl Sacramento, CA 94249-0001

Bill W. Balthis State Rep. - 79th Dist. 2006-H Stratton Building Springfield, IL 62706

Gary L. Barker Utah Railway Company P. O. Box 261 340 Hardscrabble Road Helper, UT 84526

Charles N. Beinkampen DuPont Sourcing Wilmington, DE 19898

Martin W. Bercovici Keller & Heckman 1001 G Street, N.W. Suite 500 West Washington, D.C. 20001

Cardon G. Berr, Kicwa Co. Commissioners P. O. Box 591 1305 Goff Eads, CO 81036

Michael D. Billiel U. S. Dept. of Justice Antitrust Division 555 4th Street, N.W., Rm. 9104 Washington. D.C. 20001

Kevin A. Birrell Coastal States Energy Co. Suite 800 175 E. 400 S. Salt Lake City, UT 84111

G. June Blooding Box 876 Eads, CO 81036-0876 Charles Bogan Newsham Hybrids, Inc. 2965 Broadmoor Valley road Colcrado Springs, CO 80906

Honorable Paula L. Boland CA State Assembly P.O. Box 942849 State Capitol Sacramento, CA 94249-0001

T.G. Boudreaux UTU, State Legislative Dir. P.O. Box 3477 Baton Rouge, LA 70821

Mr. and Mrs. Charles Bowen 50311 County Rd. Y Chivington, CO 81036

A. Stephen Hut, Jr. Wilmer Cutler & Pickering 2445 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037-1420

Ken Bronson Pacific Rim Mfg. Jasper Plant P. O. Box 2 Eugene, OR 97440

Jimmy R. Brown Brown Funeral Home Box 432 1109 Hickman Street Eads, CO 81036

Robert M. Bruskin Howrey & Simon 1299 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Karla Buck Box 365 Eads, CO 81036

Bradley & Dreama Buck 1. O. Box 654 Eads, CO 81036

- 2 -

C. L. Crawford UTU, General Chairman 3104 Edloe, Room 207 Houston, TX 77027-6022

Gino Crisanti Box 10058, Pacific Ctr. Fletcher Challenge, CD 700 West Georgia St., 9th Fl. Vancouver, B.C., V7Y 1J7 Canada

Honorable Jim Cunneen Assembly CA Legislature P. O. Box 942849 State Capitol Sacramento, CA 94249-0001

Elza Cushing, P.E. Tech. Strategies, Inc. 2525 Richmond Avenue El Paso, TX 79930-1717

Robert A. Cushing United Trans. Union Local 1918 12401 Hidden Sun Court El Paso, TX 79938

Vasiliki Damaskopoulou 1920 South Washington, #3 Denver, CO 80210

Delmar A. Davis Bethlehem Steel Corp. 1170 Eighth Avenue Bethlehem, PA 18016

Gene E. DiClaudio Mountain Coal Co. P. O. Box 591 Somerset, CO 81434

C. Michael Loftus Patricia E. Dietrich Slover & Loftus 1224 17th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 Hon. Joseph E. Dini, Jr. State of NV Assembly Speaker of the Assembly 401 S. Carson Street Carson City, NV 89710

Robert Doherty P. O. Box 211142 Denver, CO 80221

James B. Durkin State Rep. -44th District 10544 W. Cermak Road Westchester, IL 60154

Richard S. Edelman Highsaw Mahoney Clarke Suite 210 1050 Seventeenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Hon. Edwin Edwards Off. of the Governor P. O. Box 94004 State of Louisiana Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9004

Jeffrey O. Moreno Frederic L. Wood Thomas W. Wilcox T. L. Green Nicholas J. DiMichael Donelan, Cleary, Wood 1100 New York Ave., N.W., Suite 750 Washington, D.C. 20005-3934

Terence M. Hynes Krista L. Edwards Sidley & Austin 1722 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 2000

Henry W. Eikner P. O. Box 235 Eads, CO 81036-0235

Mary I. Eikner P. O. Box 235 Eads, CO 81036-0235

- 4 -

El Paso Metro. Plan. Organization Attn: Jorge Castillo 8th Floor Two Civic Center Plaza El Paso, TX 79955

Daniel R. Elliott, III United Transp. Union 14600 Detroit Avenue Cleveland, OH 44107

Adrian L. Steel, Jr. Kathryn Kusske Frika Z. Jones Roy T. Englert, Jr. Mayer, Brown & Platt Stite 6500 2000 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Robert L. Evans Oxychem P. O. Box 809050 Occidental Tower, 5005 LBJ Freeway Dallas, TX 75380

Hon. Donnie Faulkner City of Harrisburg Mayor 202 N. East Street Harrisburg, AR 72432

Hon. Beverly Fawell State of Illinois State Senator, 20th Dist. 212 W. Wesley, Suite 105 Wheaton, IL 60187

John B. Ficker Regulatory/Contract Mgr. Weyerhaeuser Paper Co. Tacoma, WA 98477

Hon. Liz Figueroa Assembly CA Legislature P. O. Box 942849 State Capitol Sacramento, CA 94249-0001 Marc J. Fink Sher & Blackwell Suite 612 2000 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Hcn. Brooks Firestone Assembly CA Legislature P. O. Box 942849 State Capitol Sacramento, CA 94249-0001

Peter G. Fitzgerald Illinois State Senate State Senator, 27th Dist. 117 West Slade Street Palatine, IL 60067

Frank A. Fogleman, Mayor City of Marion 14 Military Road Marion, AR 72364

Roger W. Fones U.S. Dept. of Justice 555 4th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20001

Capt. Aaron Forel Cosco North America, Inc. 100 Lighting Way Secaucus, NJ 07094

Pat Gabriel County of Albany Albany Cty. Commissioners Courthouse, Room 201 Laramie, WY 82070

Thomas A. Schmitz John Gallagher Fieldston Company, Inc. 1920 N. Street, N.W., Ste. 210 Washington, D.C. 20036-1613

Nathan F. Gardiner Idaho Power Co. P. O. Box 70 Boise, ID 83707

- 5 -

Michael J. Garrigan BP Chemicals Inc. 4440 Warrensville Ctr. Rd. Cleveland, OH 44128

Pamela J. Garvie Suite 500 1735 New York Avenue, N.W.. Washington, D.C. 20006-4759

Gen. Committee of Adjust. GO-895 United Trans. Union North Loop Office Park 2040 North Loop West, STE. 310 Houston, TX 77018

Governor Jim Geringer State of Wyoming Off. of the Governor State Capitol Building Cheyenne, WY 82002

Luther Gette 26 North 7th St. Madison, WI 53704

Robert K. Glynn Hoisington Chm. of Comm. 123 North Main Street Hoisington, KS 67544-2594

Robert T. Gouge AFG Industries Inc. P. O. Box 929 Kingsport, TN 37662

Hon. Brett Granlund Assembly CA Legislature P. O. Box 942849 State Capitol Sacramento, CA 94249-0001

B. C. Graves, Jr.Exxon Company U.S.A.P. O. Box 4692Houston, TX 77210-4692

Thomas A. Griebel Texas DOT 125 E. 11th St. Austin, TX 78701

Senator Robert Grieve WY, State Legislature 213 State Capitol Cheyenne, WY 82002

William G. Mahoney Donald W. Griffin Olin Chemicals P. O. Box 1355 120 Long Ridge Rd. Stamford, CT 06904

Donald F. Griffin Highsaw Mahoney Clarke Suite 210 1050 Seventeenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Mayor Rheta Griffith City of Clarendon 270 Madison Clarendon, AR 72029

Curt Grimm, Ph.D. College of Bus. & Mgmt. University of Maryland Van Munching Hall, Rom 3437 College Park, MD 20742-1815

John Guandolo Suite 1001 1815 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

D. M. Hahs Brotherhood of Locom. Erg. Suite 120 515 North Belt Houston, TX 77060

S. Douglas Hall Dow Chemical Company 2020 Dow Center Midland, MI 48674

- 6 .

Jon C. Hansen Kansas Cty Bd. of Trade 4800 Main Street, Ste. 303 Kansas City, MO 64112-2506

Hon. Trice Harvey California Legislature State Capitol Sacramento, CA 94249-0001

Honorable Dan Hauser Assembly CA Legislature P. O. Box 942849 State Capitol Sacramento, CA 94249-0001

Hon. Phi' Hawkins Assembly CA Legislature P. O. Fox 942849 State Capitol Sacramento, CA 94249-0001

Senator Raymond Haynes California State Senate State Capitol, Rm. 4082 Sacramento, CA 95814

Roger Hermann Mallinckrodt Chemical 16305 Swingley Ridge Drive Chesterfield, MO 63017-1777

Honorable Lynn Hettrick State of NV, Assembly Speaker of the Assembly 401 S. Carson Street Carson City, NV 89710

Jeffrey W. Hill Sierra Pacific Power Co. P. O. Box 10100 6100 Neil Road Reno, NV 89520

Aletha Holcomb 112 So. Goff Eads, CO 81036 Becky Howell P. O. Box 307 Eads, CO 81036

Jeffrey Howell P. O. Box 307 Eads, CO 81036

Joan S. Huggler U.S. Dept. of Justice Antitrust Division 555 4th Street, N.W., Room 9104 Washington, D.C. 20001

Bruce C. Hunter 17965 WCR 10 Brighton, CO 80601

James J. Irlandi Skill Trans. Consul. Inc. 1809 N. Broadway, Suite H Wichita, KS 67214

William P. Jackson, Jr. Jackson & Jessup, P.C. P. O. Box 1240 3426 North Washington Blvd. Arlington, VA 22210

Carl L. James Broth. of Loc. Engineer P. O. Box 7443 Denver & Rio Grande Western R.R. Pueblo West, CO 81007

Larry T. Jenkins Arco Chemical Company 3801 West Chester Pike Newton Square, PA 19073-3130

Gloria Johnsor 191 Duran Drive San Rafael, CA 94903 Graye Johnson Chesapeake Partners 1829 Reisterstown Road, Ste. 220 Baltimore, MD 21208-1337

Senator Ross Johnson California State Senate State Capitol, Room 4074 Sacramento, CA 95814

Senator Patrick Johnston Senate CA Legislature State Capitol, Room 5066 Sacramento, CA 95814

Jan Laverty Jones City of Las Vegas Mayor of Las Vegas 400 East Stewart Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89101

Fritz R. Kahn Suite 750 West 1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3934

Carol Kalley Holland & Hart 555 17th Street, Ste. 2900 Denver, CO 80202-3979

Hon. Howard Kaloogian California Legislature Assemblyman - 74th Dist. State Capitol Sacramento, CA 9'314

Ken Keeney Goodpasture, Inc. P. O. Box 912 Brownfield, TX 79316

Senator David Kelley California Legislature 37th District State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814 Hon. William J. Knight Assembly CA Legislature P. O. Box 942849 State Capitol Sacramento, CA 94249-0001

Hon. David Knowles California Legislature Assemblyman - 4th Dist. State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814

Vernon W. Koch Eagle Television Inc. P. O. Box 35 Eads, CO 81036

Hon. Druglas A. Kristensen Nebraska State Legis. P. O. Box 94604 State Capitol Lincoln, NE 68509-4604

Hon. Steven Kuykendall Assembly CA Legislature P. O. Box 924849 State Capitol Sacramento, CA 94249-0001

Paul H. Lamboley Grove Jaskiewicz Cobert 1730 M St., N.W., Ste. 400 Washington, D.C. 20036-4579

Ann Lamp Ronald Lamp Eads Chiropractic Ctr. P. O. Box 323 210 W. 12th Eads, CO 81036

Louis I. Lang 2034-J Stratton Bldg. Springfield, IL 62706

Dorothy Larrew 1400 Rittger Eads, CO 81036 Jill Larrew P. O. Box 803 Eads, CO 81036

J. Perry Lee Clarendon Indus. Dev. Com. Merchants & Planters Bank 297 Madison Clarendon, AR 72029

Senator Bill Leonard California State Senate State Captiol, Room 5087 Sacramento, CA 95814

Senator Tim Leslie Senate CA Legislature State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814

William E. Lewis Professional Law Corp. United Bank & Trust Bldg. 2714 Canal St., Suite 407 New Orleans, LA 70119

Gary Liesen P. O. Box 66 Eads, CO 81036

Philomena Liesen P. O. Box 66 Eads, CO 81036

Thomas H. Liesen P. O. Box 66 Eads, CO 81036

Kenneth C. MacDonald 9872 W. 76th Avenue Arvada, CO 80005

Hon. Robert A. Madigan General Assembly State of Illinois 121B State Capitol Springfield, IL 62706 Honorable Bob Margett Assembly CA Legislature P.O. Box 942849 State Capitol Sacramento, CA 94249-0001

Anthony M. Marquez Co, Public Util. Comm. 1525 Sherman Street, 5th Floor Denver, CO 80203

Andy McCuistion City of Palestine City Manager 504 North Queen Street Palestine, TX 75801

Rosemary H. McEnery Howrey & Simon 1299 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-2402

Robert L. McGeorge U. S. Dept. of Justice Antitrust Division 555 4th Street, N.W., Room 9104 Washington, D.C. 20001

Edward M. McLaughlin North Coast R.R. Auth. 4 West 2nd Street Eureka, CA 95501

Hon. Bruce McPherson Assembly CA Legislature P. O. Box 942849 State Capitol Sacramento, CA 94249-0001

Nick Mirich Bd. of Cty. Commissioners P. O. Box 608, Carey Ave. Laramie Cty. Government Complex Cheyenne, WY 82001

Winifred D. Mohler P. O. Box 334 Eads, CO 81036

- 9 -

Betsy B. Monseu Cyprus Amax Coal Sales P. O. Box 3299 9100 East Mineral Circle Englewood, CO 80112-3299

Hon. Eugene Moore Illincis General Assembly . State Rep. - 7th Dist. 2103-N Stratton Building Springfield, IL 62-06

W. E. Mooz Holland & Hart 1050 Walnut, Suite 500 Boulder, CO 80302

Richard E. Weicher Jeffrey R. Moreland Santa Fe Pac. Corp. et al. 1700 East Golf Road Schaumburg, IL 60173

Susan Morgan C&D Lumber Company P. O. Box 27 Riddle, OR 97469

Hon. Jim Morrissey Assembly CA Legislature Assemblyman - 69th Dist. State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814

Honorable Bill Morrow Assembly CA Legislature P. O. Box 942849 Sacramento, CA 94249-0001

Hon. Rosemary Mulligan State Representative 55th District 932 Lee St., Ste. 204 Des Plaines, IL 60016

Mayor Rita Mullins Village of Palatine 200 East Wood Street Palatine, IL 60067 William A. Mullins Troutman Sanders 601 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Ste. 640 N. Bldg. Washington, D.C. 20004

National Industrial Transportation League Suite 1900 1700 North Moore Street Arlington, VA 22209

Gov. E. Benjamin Nelson State of Nebraska P. O. Box 94848 Executive Suite Lincoln, NE 68509

Hon. Jerome Nelson, FERC 825 North Capitol St., N.E., Ste. 921 Washington, D.C. 20426

Hon. J. Philip Novak Illinois House of Repres. State Rep. - 85th Dist. 2054-L Stratton Building Springfield, IL 62706

Joseph J. Plaistow Tom O'Connoer - Vice President Snavely, King & Assoc. 1220 L Street, N.W. Suite 410 Washington, D.C. 20005

Mayor Paul Oblock City of Rock Springs 212 D Street Rock Springs, WY 82901

Dorothy E. Offill 37111 County Rd. T. Eads, CO 81036

James A. Offill P. O. Box 407 Eads, CO 81036 John Will Ongman Pepper Hamilton Scheetz 1300 Nineteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-1685

Mayor Leo A. Pando Office of the Mayor 2101 O'Neil Avenue, Suite 310 Cheyenne, WY 82001

Honorable Carole Pankau Illinois House of Reprs. State Representative 2122 Stratton Office Bldg. Springfield, IL 62706

Frank Paris Willage of River Forest 400 Park Avenue River Forest, IL 60305

Hon. Terry R. Parke House of Representatives State of Illinois 2031-J Stratton Building Springfield, IL 62706

Senator Kathleen Parker Illinois State Senate State Senator-29th Dist. Room 611C State Capitol Springfield, IL 62706

David L. Parkinson Chairman & CEO Arizona & Calif. R.R. Co. 1470 Railroad Avenue St. Helena, CA 94574

David L. Parkinson Weiner, Brodsky, et al. Suite 800 1350 New York Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-4797

Hon. Vincent A. Persico General Assembly State of Illinois 2127 Stratton Building Springfield, IL 62706 Joseph H. Pettus Suite 270 Sun Valley Energy, Inc. 800 Howe Ave. Sacramento, CA 95825

Rosalind Philis 1520 St. Alphonsus Way Alamo, CA 94507

Joy C. Phillips Vulcan Chemicals P. O. Box 530390 Birmingham, AL 35253-0390

Senator Vincent Picard Wyoming State Legislature 213 State Capitol Cheyenne, WY 82002

David A. Pins The Chemical Group Monsanto 800 N. Lindenbergh Boulevard St. Louis, MO 63167

Daniel J. Pizinger P. O. Box 476 Eads, CO 81036

Hon. Charles Poochigian Assembly CA Legislature P. O. Box 942849 State Capitol Sacramento, CA 94249-0001

Coy Pough State Rep. - 10th District 5126 W. Division Chicago, IL 60651

Hon. Curt Pringle California Legislature Assemblyman - 68th Dist. State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814

- 11 -

Larry R. Pruden Trans. Comm. Intl. Union 3 Research Place Rockville, MD 20850

Senator David Pryor Attn: Carmie Pryor 3030 Federal Bldg. Little Rock, AR 72201

Cathy Rabe Kiowa County Commission P. O. Box 591 Eads, CO 81036

G. L. Rae Slocan Forest Prod. Ltd. 240 - 10451 Shellbridge Way Richmond, B.1 C. V6X 2W8 Canada

Hon. Richard Rainey Assembly CA Legislature Assemblyman, 15th Dist. State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814

David Rasmussen P. O. Box 333 1207 Rittgers Eads, CO 81036

Delores Rector Box 621 Eads, CO 81035

Kevin P. Reilly, Sr. Dept. of Economic Dev. State of Louisiana P. O. Box 94185 Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9185

Fern Reinert 907 Main Eads, CO 81036

Kim Richards Kiowa Co. Abstract Co. P. O. Box 128 Eads, CO 81036 Tom Richards P. O. Box 181 Eads, CO 81036

Hon. Bernie Richter Assembly CA Legislature State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814

Alma Robertson 20560 Co. Rd. 50 Chivington, CO 81036

Hon. James E. Rogan Assembly CA Legislature P. O. Box 942849 State Capitol Sacramento, CA 94249-0001

Senator Don'Rogers California State Senate State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814

Gerald Roper Chamber of Commerce Chicagoland One IBM Plaza, Suite 2800 Chicago, IL 60611

Michael E. Roper Burlington Northern RR 3800 Continental Pl. 777 Main Street Ft. Worth, TX 76102

Robert J. Rossi N. Loop Off. Park Suite 215 2030 N. Loop West Houston, TX 77018-8112

Hon. Miguel Santiago State of Illinois State Rep. - 3rd Dist. Room 2070 - L Stratton Bldg. Springfield, IL 62706 Thomas E. Schick Chemical Manuf. Assoc. 2501 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037-1342

Dick Schiefelbein 7801 Woodharbor Drive Ft. Worth, TX 76179

Warren Scholl P. O. Box 884 Lomax, IL 61454-0884

Alicia M. Serfaty Hopkins & Sutter 888 - 16th St., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006-4103

Wayne C. Serkland Canadian Pacific Leg. Ser. U.S. Regional Counsel 105 South Fifth St., Suite 1000 Minneapolis, MN 55402

Sebrina Shalburg Box 1234 Sheridan Lake, CO 81071

Robert A. Siefert American Maize-Prod. Co. 141 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, IL 60604

Jorge Silberstein c/o Min. Salvador De Lara Min. of Economic Affairs Embassy of Mexico, Penn. Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Lee Siler Chamber of Commerce Harrisburg Area 202 East Street - City Hall Harrisburg, AR 72432 Don Smith Sun Studs, Inc. P. O. Box 1127 Roseburg, OR 97470-0257

Paul S. Smith Dept. of Transportation 400 7th St., S.W., Room 4102 C-30 Washington, D.C. 20590

John J. Snide, President Village of Westchester 10300 Roosevelt Road Westchester, IL 60154

Virginia L. Sniff 43696 CR BB Fads, CO 81036

Robert G. Stanley Union Carbide Corp. 39 Old Ridgeburg Road Danbury, CT 06817-0001

Renee L. Stransky Carlsmith Ball Wichman 700 14th Street, N.W., 9th Fl. Washington, D.C. 20005-2122

Bob Stribling Trus Joist MacMillan Williamette Valley Office 3950 Cross Street Eugene, OR 97402

Michael E. Strickland NYK Line 5th Floor 300 Lighting Way Secaucus, NJ 07094

John R. Stulp Bd. of Cty. Commissioners Prowers County P. O. Box 1046 Lamar, CO 81052 Brogan Sullivan Blackwell Sanders 2300 Main Street, Suite 1100 Kansas City, MO 64108

Larry Sullivan P-M-L Forest Products P. O. Box 311 Grants Pass, OR 97526

Dennis R. Svetlich Box 361 Rural Route #1 Brumley, MO 65017-9803

Mitch Swanson Superior Lumber Company R. O. Box 250 2695 Glendale Valley Road Glendale, OR 97442

Marcella M. Szel CP Rail System 910 Peel Street Windsor Station, Rm. 234 Montreal, Quebec H3C 3E4 Canada

Hon. Nao Takasugi Assembly CA Legislature State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814

The Texas Mexican Railway Co. P. O. Box 419 Laredo, TX 78042-0419

D. E. Thompson General Chairman-BLE 414 Missouri Boulevard Scott City, MO 63780

Linda Thornhill Lane Plywood, Inc. 65 N. Bertelsen Road Eugene, OR 97402 B. K. Townsend, Jr. Exxon Chemical Americas P. O. Box 3272 Houston, TX 77253-3272

Timothy J. Travis Eaton Metal Products Co. P. O. Box 16405 4800 York Street Denver, CO 80216-2237

Bruce B. Wilson Anne E. Treadway Consolidated Rail Corp. P. O. Box 41416 2001 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19101-1416

Dr. Fernando Sanchez Ugarte Comision Federal De Ytamaulipas 150 Col. Hipodromo Condesa 01640 Mexico

Union Pacific Corporation Martin Tower Eighth and Eaton Avenues Bethlehem, PA 18018

Dan Urwiller, Chairman P. O. Box 94927 NE, Pub. Serv. Comm. 300 The Atrium, 1200 N Street Lincoln, NE 68509-4927

Senator Thomas Walsh Illinois State Senate State Senator-22nd Dist. Room 611A, State Capitol Springfield, IL 62706

Kathy Ward Eads Chamber of Commerce P. O. Box 163 Eads, CO 81036 Hon. Ted Weggeland Assembly CA Legislature Assemblyman, 64th Dist. State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814

Alice Weil P. O. Box 462 Eads, CO 81036

1

Mike Weirich Farm Supply Center P. O. Box 98 Eads, CO 81036

Martin A. Weissert Baker & Daniels 111 E. Wayne Street, Ste. 800 Fort Wayne, IN 46802

Hon. Buddy West Texas, House of Represen. P. O. Box 2910 Austin, TX 78768-2910

William W. Whitehurst, Jr. 12421 Happy Hollow Road Cockeysville, MD. 21030-1711

Mayor Ronald Wietecha City of Park Ridge 505 Butler Place Park Ridge, IL 60068

Debra L. Willen Guerrieri, Edmond, et al. 1331 F Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Earl C. Williams Van Waters & Rogers Inc. P. O. Box 34325 Seattle, WA 98124-1325

Mayor Lester Williams Town of Eads P. O. Box 8 110 W. 13th Street Eads, CO 81036 Honorable Tom Woods Assembly CA Legislature P. O. Box 942849 State Capitol Sacramento, CA 94249-0001

Dean L. Worley Hilburn Calhoon Harper P. O. Box 5551 One Riverfront Place, 8th Fl. North Little Rock, AR 72119

Senator Cathie Wright CA Legislature Senate State Capitol Sacramento, (35814

Ned Wright DuPont Company Brandywine Bldg. 6240 Wilmington, DE 19898

Frank W. Young 1581 Gateway Dr. Vallejo, CA 94589

Kristine Zacher 16 Carmel Drive Novato, CA 94945

Hon. Fob James Governor of Alabama Alabama State Capitol 600 Dexter Ave. Montgomery, AL 36130

Hon. Brereton C. Jones Governor of Kentucky State Capitol 700 Capitol AV Frankfort, KY 40601

Hon. Edwin Edwards Governor of Louisiana State Capitol Baton Rouge, LA 70804 Hon. Kirk Fordice Governor State of Mississippi P.O. Box 139 Jackson, Mississippi 39211

Hon. Don Sundquist Governor State of Tennessee State Capitol Nashville, TN 37219

Hon. James Edgar Governor State of Illinois State Capitol Springfield, IL 62701

Commissioner Sullivan Alabama Public Service Commission P.O. Box 991 Montgomery, AL 36101

Hon. Ellen C. Craig Chairman Illinois Commerce Commission 527 East Capitol Avenue P.O. Box 19280 Springfield, IL 62794-9280

Hon. Wayne Pugh Director, Railroad Division Tennessee Public Service Commission 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0505

Hon. Bo Robinson, Chairman Mississippi Public Service Commission P. O. Box 1174 State Office Building Jackson, MS 39215-1174 Hon. Marshall B. Brinkley Secretary Louisiana Public Service Commission P. O. Box 91154 Baton Rouge, LA 70821-9154

Railroad Commission of Kentucky State Office Building Frankfort, KY 40601

Hon. Jerry L. Peters Multimodal Transportation Engineer Alabama Department of Transportation 1409 Coliseum Boulevard Montgomery, AL 36130-3050

Hon. Jerry D. Ross, Director Division of Mass Transportation Kentucky Transportation Cabinet State Office Building Frankfort, KY 40622

Hon. Jude W. Patin, Secretary Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development P. O. Box 94245 Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9245

Hon. Robert L. Robinson Executive Director Mississippi Department of Energy and Transportation P. O. Box 1850 Jackson, MS 39215-1850

Hon. Bruce Saltsman Commissioner Tennessee Department of Transportation Tennessee Performing Arts Building Nashville, TN 37219 Hon. Kirk Brown Illinois Department of Transportation 2300 S. Dirksen Parkway Springfield, IL 62764

Hon. Federico Pena Secretary United States Department of Transportation 400 Seventh Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20590

Docket Clerk, Office of Chief Counsel Federal Railroad Administration Room 5101 400 Seventh Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20590

Hon. Gerald Clark, Director Arkansas Public Service Commission P. O. Box 400 Little Rock, AR 72203-0400

Hon. Jim Guy Tucker Governor of Arkansas State Capitol, Room 250 Little Rock, AR 72201

Hon. Dan Flower, Director Arkansas Department of Transportation 10324 Interstate 30 P. O. Box 2261 Little Rock, AR 72203

Hon. George W. Bush Governor of Texas P. C. Box 12428 Austin, TX 78711

Hon. William G. Burnett Executive Director Texas Department of Transportation 125 E. 11th Street Austin, TX 78701 Hon. Brenda Arnett Executive Director Texas Department of Commerce P. O. Box 12728 Austin, TX 78711

Hon. Jerry L. Martin Director Transportation/Gas Utilities Division Railroad Commission of Texas P. O. Box 12967 Austin, TX 78711-2967



1,0017

1416 DODGE STREET

ROOM 830 OMAHA, NEB (ASK & 68179-0001 FAX (402) 271-5610

UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

Law Department

Item No. Page Count Nor.



November 10, 1995

Mr. Vernon A. Williams Secretary Interstate Commerce Commission 12th & Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20423



Re: Finance Docket No. 32760. Union Pacific Corp., et al. -- Control & Merger --Southern Pacific Rail Corp. et al.; Notices of Intent To Abandon and Discontinue Service

Dear Mr. Williams:

Please refer to my letter dated November 3, 1995 which transmitted four "Notices of Intent To Abandon and Discontinue Service" for abandonment applications to be filed on or about November 30, 1995 in the above-referenced merger application.

This is to advise that one of the four abandonments was erroneously included as a line for which an application would be filed. The line was shown as Docket No. AB-33 (Sub-No. 98), Madison - Edwardsville Line in Madison County, Illinois. This line will still be included in the merger application but as a Petition For Exemption rather than an Application and will be Docket No. AB-33 (Sub-No. 98X).

Sincerely.

Imna Lefie

Jeanna L. Regier **Registered ICC Practitioner** Tel. (402) 271-4835

Enclosures



G: LAWADMULR 3398X.ICC



Item No. Page Count Nov.

CITY OF FLORENCE

MUNICIPAL BUILDING 300 West Main Street Florence, Colorado 81226 (719) 784-4848 Fax (719) 784-0228

November 7, 1995

Interstate Commerce Commission Attn: Honorable Vernon Williams 12th and Constitution NW Washington, D.C. 20423

RE: Finance Docket #32760

Dear Mr. Williams:



The City Council of the City of Florence wishes to take this opportunity to express its strong opposition to the merger of the Union Pacific and Southern Pacific Railroads. The pending merger is expected to close the rail line from Cañon City to Dotsero which includes areas in Fremont, Chaffee, Lake, and Eagle counties. Additionally, the proposal calls for the abandonment of the rail line in Kiowa, Crowley, and Pueblo counties.

This rail line is a vital economic link to these counties, serving the freight and industrial shipping needs of these areas. The impact of abandoning the only rail line in these counties would be devastating to an area which relies heavily on rails for the shipment of goods. To take away this shipping option would add substantial costs to the shipping of goods. Increased trucking, which would result if the line were abandoned, directly impacts area roads and highways which are already operating at near maximum capacity, or in critical condition, endangering the lives of workers, visitors, and residents.

Long ago, towns and communities were established along this rail line to serve the railroad and its personnel. The potential loss of the line would have a significant negative economic effect on the entire area. It not only would effect the local businesses and job market, but also would further concentrate the tax burden on the residents in these counties as taxes levied on this rail line help fund government services including health services and schools.

Officials from the Public Utilities Commission have indicated that railroads are guaranteed 18% profit from the Interstate Commerce Commission. There are no businesses in Colorado that are given this same guarantee, whether commercial or agricultural. Neither has there been an industry that has benefited as much from incentives and giveaways as our nation's railroads. We feel that the continuation of this rail line is crucial to the economic vitality of the region and encourage the ICC to deny the petition for merger between the Union and Southern Pacific railroads.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Mele Strickland

Merle Strickland Mayor





Item No. Page Count Ajov.

B Kimberly-Clark

6040

Patrick G. Wynn Director Transportation Rate & Operations



November 3, 1995

Office of the Secretary Case Control Branch Interstate Commerce Commission 1201 Constitution Avenue N.W. Washington, DC 20423

Attn: Finance Docket #32760

Kimberly-Clark intends to participate in the proceedings of Finance Docket #32760, Union Pacific Railroad Corporation, et al. Control & Merger Southern Pacific Railroad Corporation et al.

All pertinent information should be addressed to:

Patrick G. Wynn Director, Transportation Rates & Operations Kimberly-Clark Corporation P.O. Box 59051 Knoxville, TN 37950-9051

Sincerely,

yn

Patrick G. Wynn

PGW/nes

CC:

Jerome Blankenship Brad Bates

File: 017.02 - UPRR



Kimberly-Clark Corporation