INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION 03/01/96 FINANCE DOCKET # 32760 1469-1528 1+

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

## SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

+ + + + +

## DISCOVERY CONFERENCE

IN THE MATTER OF:

UNION PACIFIC CORPORATION, UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY, and MISSOURI PACIFIC RAILROAD : Finance Docket COMPANY

No. 32760

- CONTROL AND MERGER -

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAIL CORPORATION, SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION : COMPANY, ST. LOUIS, SOUTHWESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY, SPCSL CORP., : AND THE DENVER AND RIO GRANDE WESTERN RAILROAD COMPANY.

Friday, March 1, 1996

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Hearing Room 3 Second Floor 888 First Street, N.E. Washington, D.C.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 1:30 p.m.

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE JEROME NELSON Administrative Law Judge

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

#### APPEARANCES:

of:

On Behalf of Union Pacific Corporation, Union Pacific Railroad Company, and Missouri Pacific Railroad Company:

MICHAEL L. ROSENTHAL, Esq.
ARVID E. ROACH, II, Esq.
S. WILLIAM LIVINGSTON, Jr., Esq.
KAREN KRAMER, Esq.
EUGENE D. GULLAND, Esq.
Covington & Burling
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
P.O. Box 7566
Washington, DC 20044-7566
[202] 662-5388

LOUISE A. RINN, Esq.
General Attorney
Union Pacific Railroad Company
1416 Dodge Street
Omaha, Nebraska 68179
[402] 271-4227

JAMES V. DOLAN, Esq.
Vice President - Law
of: Union Pacific Railroad, Missouri Pacific
Railroad
Omaha, Nebraska
[402] 271-5357

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

On Behelf of Southern Pacific Rail Corporation, Southern Pacific Transportation Company, St. Louis Southwestern Railway Company, SPCSL Corp., and the Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company:

PAUL A. CUNNINGHAM, Esq.
GERALD P. NORTON, Esq.
of: Harkins Cunningham
1300 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 600
Washington, DC 20036-1609
[202] 973-7600

CAROL A. HARRIS, Esq.
Southern Pacific Transportation Company
One Market Plaza
San Francisco, California 94105
[415] 541-1000

CANNON Y. HARVEY, Esq.
Executive Vice President

of: Southern Pacific Rail Corporation
1860 Lincoln Street
Denver, Colorado 80295
[303] 812-5005

# On Behalf of Kansas City Southern Railroad Company:

WILLIAM A. MULLINS, Esq.
of: Troutman Sanders, Attorneys at Law
601 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 640
Washington, DC 20004
[202] 274-2953

ALAN E. LUBEL, Esq.
DAVID FOSHEE, Esq.
of: Troutman Sanders, Attorneys at Law
Nationsbank Plaza, Suite 5200
600 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30308-2216

[404] 885-3174

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

On Behalf of Texas Mexican Railway Comp.ny and Sierra Pacific Power Company:

RICHARD A. ALLEN, Esq.
JENNIFER OAKLEY, Esq.
JCHN V. EDWARDS, Esq.
of: Zuckert, Scoutt & Rasenberger, LLP
888 17th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006-3959
[202] 298-8660

On Behalf of Railway Labor Executives
Association and United Transportation Union:

DONALD F. GRIFFIN, Esq.
RICHARD S. EDELMAN, Esq.
of: Highsaw, Mahoney, & Clarke, P.C.
Suite 210
1050 17th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
[202] 296-8500

On Behalf of the National Industrial Transportation League:

FREDERICK L. WOOD, Esq.

of: Donelan, Cleary, Wood and Maser, P.C.

Suite 750

1100 New York Avenue, N.W.

Washington, DC 20005-3934

[202] 371-9500

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
"VASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

### On Behalf of Western Coal Traffic League:

C. MICHAEL LOFTUS, Esq.
CHRISTOPHER A. MILLS, Esq.
JOHN H. LESEUR, Esq.
of: Slover and Loftus
1224 17th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
[202] 347-7170

# On Behalf of International Paper Company and Utah Railway Corporation:

ANDREW T. GOODSON, Esq.
EDWARD D. GREENBERG, Esq.
CHARLES H. WHITE, Jr., Esq.
of: Galland, Kharasch, Morse & Garfinkle, P.C.
1054 31st Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20007
[202] 342-6750

#### On Behalf of the Department of Justice:

MICHAEL D BILLIEL, Esq.
ANGELA HUGHES, Esq.
ROBERT McGEORGE, Esq.
Trial Attorneys
Antitrust Division
of: The Department of Justice
555 Fourth Street, N.W.
Room 9409
Washington, DC 20001
[202] 307-6666

JOAN S. HUGGLER, Esq.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

On Behalf of the Save the Rock Island Committee, Inc.:

WILLIAM P. JACKSON, Jr., Esq.
JOHN T. SULLIVAN, Esq.
of: Jackson and Jessup, P.C.
3426 North Arlington Blvd.
Arlington, Virginia 22201
[703] 525-4050

# On Behalf of the Society of the Plastics Industry, Inc. and Union Carbide:

MARTIN W. BERCOVICI, Esq. of: Keller and Heckman 1001 G Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20001 [202] 434-4144

## On Behalf of Geneva Steel:

JOHN W. ONGMAN, Esq.
of: Pepper, Hamilton & Scheetz
1300 Nineteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
[202] 828-1415

## On Behalf of Coastal Corporation:

ROBERT M. BRUSKIN, Esq.

ROSEMARY H. McENERY, Esq.
MARK JOSEPHS, Esq.
of: Howrey & Simon
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004-2402
[202] 783-0800

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

of:

# On Behalf of Burlington Northern Railroad Company, and Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway:

RICHARD WEICHER, Esq.
of: Burlington Northern Santa Fe
1700 East Golf Road
Schaumburg, Illinois 60173
[708] 995-6887

ERIKA Z. JONES, Esq.

ADRIAN L. STEEL, Jr., Esq.

KATHRYN A. KUSSKE, Esq.

KELLEY E. O'BRIEN, Esq.

Mayer, Brown & Platt

2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, DC 20006

[202] 463-2000

# On Behalf of the International Union of Machinists and Aerospace Workers:

DEBRA L. WILLEN, Esq.
of: Guerrieri, Edmond & Clayman, P.C.
1331 F Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004

# On Behalf of the Transportation Communications International Union:

LARRY R. PRUDEN, Esq. 3 Research Place Rockville, MD 20850 [301] 948-4910

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

# On Behalf of Illinois Central Railroad:

KEVIN M. SHEYS, Esq.
of: Oppenheimer, Wolff and Donnelly
1020 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, DC 20036
[202] 293-6300

# On Behalf of Consolidated Rail Corporation:

WILLIAM J. KOLASKY, Esq.
A. STEPHEN HUT, Jr., Esq.
STEVEN P. FINIZIO, Esq.
of: Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering
2445 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037
[202] 663-6235

# On Behalf of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters:

MARC J. FINK, Esq.
of: Sher & Blackwell
Suite 612
2000 L street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
[202] 463-2503

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

On Behalf of Kennecott Utah Copper Corp., Kennecott Energy Company, and Dow Chemical Company:

JEFFREY O. MORENO, Esq.
JOHN K. MASER, III, Esq.
NICHOLAS J. DiMICHAEL, Esq.
of: Donelan, Cleary, Wood & Maser, P.C.
Suite 750
1100 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005
[202] 371-9500

On Behalf of Arizona Electric Power Cooperative.

Central Power and Light Company, City of Austin,

Texas, Commonwealth Edison Company, and Lower Colorado

River Authority:

C. MICHAEL LOFTUS, Esq. of: Slover & Loftus 1224 17th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 [202] 347-7170

#### On Behalf of The Western Shippers Coalition:

MICHAEL F. McBRIDE, Esq.
of: LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae
Suite 1200
1875 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20009-5728

#### On Behalf of the State of Texas

REBECCA FISHER, Esq.
AMY KRASNER, Esq.
of: Consumer Protection Division
Antitrust Section
P.O. Box 12548
Austin, Texas 78711-2548
[512] 463-2185

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

(202; 234-4433

ALSO PRESENT:

HARRILEE MOLM, Paralegal Troutman Sanders, Attorneys at Law of:

VIRGINIA METALLO

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

1
2
3
1 2 3 4
5
5
7
7 8
9
10
11
CONTRACTOR DE L'ANGE
12
14
15
16
17
17   18   19
19

21

22

#### P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

1:27 P.M.

JUDGE NELSON: I'll ask for appearances.

MR. ROACH: Mr. Roach and Michael

Rosenthal for the Applicants.

JUDGE NELSON: Mr. Greenberg?

MR. GREENBERG: It's Edward Greenberg for

International Paper.

MR. McBRIDE: Michael McBride and Daniel Oranowitz for the Western Shippers Coalition.

MR. MILLS: Christopher Mills for Energy Services, Inc., and its affiliates, the Western Coal Traffic League and other electric utilities.

MR. KOLASKY: William Kolasky for Conrail.

MR. LUBEL: Your Honor --

JUDGE NELSON: Your name is what, sir?

MR. KOLASKY: Beg your pardon?

JUDGE NELSON: Tell me the name again.

MR. KOLASKY: William Kolasky.

JUDGE NELSON: Kolasky.

MR. LUBEL: Your Honor, Alan Lubel for the

Kansas City Southern Railway.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20008

(202) 234-4433

1	MR. MORENO: Jeff Moreno for the Do
2	Chemical Company, Kennecott Utah Copper Corporation
3	and Kennecott Energy Company.
4	MR. ONGMAN: John Ongman for Geneva Steel
5	Company and Omaha Power Company.
6	MR. BILLIEL: Michael Billiel from
7	Justice.
8	MR. McGEORGE: Bob McGeorge, Department of
9	Justice.
10	MR. ROACH: We know that Mr. Norton and
11	Ms. Jones are on their way. I don't know why they're
12	not here yet, but they should be here soon.
13	JUDGE NELSON: Well, we can begin. The
14	agenda I have has five items: ACS, International
15	Paper, Kennecott, the Western Coal Traffic League and
16	Entergy and the Western Shippers Coalition.
17	MR. MORENO: Your Honor, Kennecott has
18	resolved their dispute with Southern Pacific.
19	JUDGE NELSON: Very good.
20	MR. MORENO: But late yesterday, Dow
21	Chemical Company served a notice concerning deposition
22	they are requesting.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

202) 234-4433

1	JUDGE NELSON: Well, are you Dow?
2	MR. MORENO: I am also with Dow, yes.
3	JUDGE NELSON: Well, I don't think you ca
4	do that late yesterday and bring it on to this caucus
5	We have a procedure.
6	MR. MORENO: We are hoping, if th
7	Applicants do not object, that we can bring this a
8	this time because the Applicants did not notify u
9	until late Thursday night that they would not mak
10	those two late Wednesday night, rather, that
13.	JUDGE NELSON: Well, let's take that a
12	the last item then.
13	MR. MORENO: That's fine, Your Honor.
14	JUDGE NELSON: And we'll see what
15	objections there are or where it goes. Do you have
16	any pre-set agenda here, or shall I just take them in
17	the order in which they're on my paper?
18	MR. LUBEL: Your Honor, I think Mr
19	Greenberg has needs
20	JUDGE NELSON: Oh, Mr. Greenberg has
21	plane to catch. That's right.
22	MR. LUBEL: Thank you, Your Honor.

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

JUDGE NELSON: So let's deal with Mr.

MR. GREENBERG: Okay, Your Honor, I think you have a -- good, okay.

JUDGE NELSON: I should explain that I have had virtually no time to prepare because I was in hearings yesterday until 4:30, when the day normally ends here. Then I went out to AU to teach a class and conduct some student conferences.

And I was on duty this morning at 9:30 in the gas pipeline case. So I riffled through the papers, but not with enough time to really get a good -- a confident feel that I know what's going on.

So, assume some ignorance here and help me

MR. GREENBERG: Fine, and I really appreciate your taking us out of order here. We have requested -- I guess our dispute involves two issues. We have requested the ability to take depositions of two individuals, employees of the Burlington Northern/Santa Fe.

JUDGE NELSON: That is Mr. Dealey and Mr.

**NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCR 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

20

21

22

Kean, is it?

MR. GREENBERG: Is it Kean?

MS. O'BRIEN: Kean.

MR. GREENBERG: I believe --

JUDGE NELSON: Kean.

MR. GREENBERG: -- it's pronounced Kean, yes. And we were notified by the BN that they will not make those individuals available.

We provided Your Honor with a letter, I understand you have not had a chance to read it, of February 28th which details the bases for these individuals, very briefly why we need these individuals.

Very briefly, International Paper has two very major facilities. And as you may recall, we're very concerned about whether the BN will provide a realistic alternative to provide competitive service for those mills.

Those mills produce a very substantial amount of outbound rail traffic and also take in a substantial amount of inbound rail traffic.

And the presence of competition is vitally

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

2	service.
3	We've been very concerned every since the
4	operating ever since we received the application
5	and the BN presentation about whether or not the BN
6	could provide realistic service.
7	So we have been very concerned and have
8	had participated in depositions of the operating
9	witnesses.
10	JUDGE NELSON: Do these points show on the
11	map?
12	MR. GREENBERG: Yes, they do, Your Honor.
13	This is the Houston/Memphis corridor.
14	JUDGE NELSON: They're in Arkansas. Is
15	that
16	MR. GREENBERG: They are. Here's Pine
17	Bluff and here's Camden.
18	JUDGE NELSON: All right.
1.9	MR. GREENBERG: And as you can see
20	JUDGE NELSON: And they're on the line of
21	the
22	MR. GREENBERG: They're on the line of
	NEAL R. GROSS
THE RESIDENCE	INEAL R. URUSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

important, both from a standpoint of rates as well as

(202) 234-4433

both. They're on the line. They're on the -- the SP 1 line and the UP line, criss-crossed at both places. 2 And at both facilities there are very 3 4 major --JUDGE NELSON: So this would be a two-to-5 6 one --MR. GREENBERG: Yes. JUDGE NELSON: -- in the terminology of 8 9 this case? 10 MR. GREENBERG: It is. 11 JUDGE NELSON: All right. 12 MR. GREENBERG: Okay. And in both places, there is -- there are substantial rail switching 13 14 facilities that International Paper and the railroads have made available for handling of IP's traffic 15 because there was such a substantial amount. 16 In fact at Pine Bluff, IP actually owns 17 18 the land on which the switching -- the switching yard is located. And the railroads have built tracks. 19 20 There's an operating contract on how these will be 21 maintained. 22 We've been very concerned about whether or

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20008

(202) 234-4433

not he BN provides a realistic alternative, either from the standpoint of service and rates.

Now as soon as the application -- as soon as the Applicants advised the public that they were going forward with this -- with this application, International Paper began asking both the Applicants, as well as BN, what was going to happen.

How would this mill be -- how would these mills be served? What kind of operation would it be? What ind of facilities would be made available for the handling of this traffic?

How many cars? What kind of car supply is there going to be? How are the crews going to be handled? And what kind of rates will there be?

And it met with no success until in December. In December, the Applicants and BN met to discuss IP specifically. And Mr. Dealey was involved in that meeting.

And the agenda of that meeting was to decide how IP was going to be serviced. There is no testimony in this record by any of these --

JUDGE NELSON: How do you know all this?

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

MR. GREENBERG: We have -- we were provided last week, very belatedly, in discovery with -- the Applicants provided us with copies of the media agenda indicating that Mr. Dealey was present.

JUDGE NELSON: He's with?

MR. GREENBERG: He is with the -- is with the BN. He was present talking with the UP. And one of the major items in the agenda was what do we do about International Paper? Can we handle it, and how will it be handled?

There is no witness in this proceeding which has testified specifically about IP. Every -- every witness that they have proffered with a verified statement has said -- that we have deposed says, "It may work, don't know. We never looked at it."

Mr. Dealey has, and we want to take his deposition. Similarly, Mr. Kean. On the date -- by the way, one more point on this. On the date of that meeting, December 20th, the Union Pacific sent a letter saying --

JUDGE NELSON: Of '95?

MR. GREENBERG: Of '95. Union Pacific

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

sent a letter to International Paper. I assume the conclusion of it indicated that there was going to be a meeting with International Paper on January 15th.

And the BN, at that time, would provide chapter and verse on how these operations would be conducted.

The meeting was held, no chapter and verse, no chorus, no nothing, no discussion about how the --

JUDGE NELSON: So, there were two meetings?

MR. GREENBERG: There was a meeting between the BN and the UP on December 20th. There was a meeting between IP and the BN on January 15th.

And at that meeting, there was no discussion at all. The BN was unprepared to talk about how the operations -- operations would reportedly be conducted.

Mr. Dealey did not attend that meeting.

Instead, Mr. Kean attended. And at that meeting, Mr.

Kean was asked, as he had been in the past, what are
the operations going to be like? And how do we know,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

1	how does IP know, that it's going to get competitive
2	rates?
3	We would like to see from you now. W
4	don't want to wait until the application is approved
5	We want to see from you now a competitive set o
6	rates. What would the BN bid on this traffic?
7	Because UP and SP in the past have bee
8	required by IP to bid for the traffic.
9	JUDGE NELSON: How does the BN get i
10	there? It looks like it's miles away.
11	MR. GREENBERG: Well, that's a very goo
12	question, Your Honor. But it's going to get traffi
13	joints over the SP line between Houston and Memphis.
14	JUDGE NELSON: There's the oh, the
15	yellow line.
16	MR. GREENBERG: Yes.
17	JUDGE NELSON: And here is Houston.
18	MR. GREENBERG: Yes, it is.
19	JUDGE NELSON: And here is Memphis.
20	MR. GREENBERG: Yes. And so the
21	supposedly will be able to operate
22	JUDGE NELSON: I see.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

MR. GREENBERG: -- over -- over this line.

But we are very concerned that that's -- for a lot of reasons, that may not be realistic. And so we wish to speak to Mr. Dealey about that.

Now Mr. Kean comes to International Paper on January 15th and --

JUDGE NELSON: Has nothing to say?

MR. GREENBERG: -- has nothing that tells about the operations, but is very concerned about offending a potential customer and says, "Okay, we'll come back and we'll give you the competitive rate quote that you want."

Well, we have received a quote. I do not want to get into, on the record today, what the quote is. But I can represent to Your Honor that it is very substantially higher than the rate quotations that have -- that have been provided on which the traffic moves today as a result of the competitive bidding that exists on the railroads who can physically serve the --

JUDGE NELSON: So as far as you know, you're looking at two railroads becoming one and a

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT PEPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 THODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WAGHINGTON, D.C. 20008

(202) 234-4433

second railroad with trackage rights and a proposed price that's way ahead of what you pay today?

MR. GREENBERG: Yes.

JUDGE NELSON: Those are the facts?

MR. GREENBERG: Precisely. And so we want to know from Mr. Kean a number of questions. But one of the questions we want to know from Mr. Kean is how on earth did you come up with a rate like this?

What are your -- I mean, is it based upon your operating costs? And if your operating costs are that high, why are they that high because the Applicants have been saying that BN is going to provide a perfect competitive alternative to us.

JUDGE NELSON: Aren't you --

MR. GREENBERG: The BN has said that as well.

JUDGE NELSON: Aren't you concerned with what the merged company's rate is going to be?

MR. GREENBERG: Oh, you bet. We don't have it, and that's a problem. If the BN is not an existing, realistic alternative competitor, then we are very concerned about what the rates are going to

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

1
.1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

be on the --

JUDGE NELSON: You're at the mercy of the merged company.

MR. GREENBERG: Yes.

JUDGE NELSON: But this testimony from Dealey and Kean isn't going to tell you anything about what the merged company will do.

MR. GREENBERG: No, it's going to tell us what the BN is going to do. This is going to tell us whether the BN is --

JUDGE NELSON: Is the Applicant holding out the BN as a competitor in this situation --

MR. GREENBERG: It is, Your Honor.

JUDGE NELSON: -- to these plants in Arkansas?

MR. GREENBERG: Absolutely, right.

JUDGE NELSON: Okay. And what do you know about the reasons why they don't want you to depose these people? The BN, what's their objection?

MR. GREENBERG: I don't actually think that we've gotten much of an answer other than, I believe, it would have been the standard. That is,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20008

(202) 234-4433

you could have asked these questions of other people. 1 2 I suspect that is the --JUDGE NELSON: Well, we'll hear from them. 3 MR. GREENBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. 5 JUDGE NELSON: We didn't get your appearance, Ms. Jones, because -- namely because you 6 came in late. But I see you there, so why don't you 7 recite for the record that you're here now. 8 MS. JONES: I'm Erika Jones with the 9 10 Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company and the Burlington Northern Railroad Company. And I apologize 11 12 for --JUDGE NELSON: Did you hear everything 13 that Mr. Greenberg had to say? 14 15 MS. JONES: I did, Your Honor. JUDGE NELSON: All right. 16 MR. GREENBERG: And one last thing, Your 17 Honor, and then I'll sit down. And it's simply that 18 there is no way -- we have asked questions of the 19 people, of the witnesses, who have been proffered. 20 They simply do not have the information. 21 We regard this information as extremely probative and 22

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

relevant to the issue before us. 1 If the BN is going to provide us with 2 competitive service, then we probably don't have a 3 position in this case and we may walk away. 5 But at the moment, we're very concerned about whether that's the case. 6 JUDGE NELSON: Mr. Roach, you're not 8 directly involved in this? MR. ROACH: Here I am. 9 JUDGE NELSON: I've got to --MR. ROACH: Here I am. JUDGE NELSON: I've got to discipline our time here today. I've got a lot of other things doing and four or five items on this agenda. Let's hold up now. Let me talk to Ms. Jones and see what their problems. These are BN employees, correct, Ms. Jones? MS. JONES: Yes, they are --JUDGE NELSON: All right. MS. JONES: -- Your Honor. JUDGE NELSON: So why don't you want their deposition taken?

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. JONES: Well, there are -- there are three different reasons. One of them, which may be the reason Mr. Roach set out, is the reason that we offered Mr. Greenberg, is the -- is a general concern that we share with the Applicants about effective non-testifying witnesses and the standard for which we should be held for their production.

We have a particular concern. We are not the primary applicants here, and my clients are in the middle of a merger. And taking business people away from the business day where they're in the middle of implementing the prior merger is quite problematic to my client.

We associate ourselves with the letter that Mr. Roach submitted that lays out some very deep concerns about burdens and producing witnesses, non-testifying witnesses, in this case.

And we affiliate ourselves with those arguments, and primarily offer those as the reason.

I also have specific reasons as to both the --

JUDGE NELSON: With regard to burdens, I

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2000S

(202) 234-4433

am certainly willing to work with you in seeing that these depositions are fixed in time and in length and in place. And we can work out all of the mechanics to the convenience of the deponents if we have got that

5 problem.

I see a distinction, perhaps, between the proffered witnesses and these so-called -- what do you call them, non-testifying witnesses?

MS. JONES: Correct, Your Honor.

JUDGE NELSON: Whatever label you put on them. In terms of --

MS. JONES: And they --

JUDGE NELSON: -- convenience of schedule, we may owe this latter group more in the way of trying to work things out for them.

But I don't know of anything in the Constitution or the Interstate Commerce Act or the Administrative Procedure Act or the regulations of the Surface Transportation Board that hold that people are immune from deposition merely because they didn't submit proposed testimony.

MS. JONES: Your Honor --

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: Do you have some authority 1 2 for that one? MS. JONES: Yes, Your Honor, there is. 3 And it's been researched and presented by Mr. Roach. 4 It is a disapproved form of discovery by --5 JUDGE NELSON: Disapproved by whom? 6 MS. JONES: -- by the Board. 7 8 JUDGE NELSON: Well, let's hear about it 9 then, Mr. Roach. MR. ROACH: Your Honor, I don't want to --JUDGE NELSON: We're talking generically now. MR. ROACH: Yes. JUDGE NELSON: Then we'll get to the specifics. MR. ROACH: Yes. And I know you have a tight schedule, and I don't want to burden that schedule. But I want to say to you that this -- and I've said this before. This issue of depositions of non-testifying witnesses could meet a bare standard of relevance is a very, very crucial issue from the standpoint of the Applicants and from the standpoint

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

of holding to the schedule in this case, which is of a fair amount of importance.

The Board has made clear it's of importance. And the Board has said in its order denying the extension of time that it repeats its injunction to the parties to keep their discovery and their pleadings to the most relevant issues.

And we have over 20 requests now for depositions of non-testifying witnesses. And our friends are not bringing them on all at the same time, because they don't want you to see them all in a big masse.

But they are there, and they will be back with more.

We have put on 21 witnesses in depositions. It has taken seven weeks. And our position, and we'll go into this in detail on each of these witnesses, is that the witnesses who were available could address the substance of all of the issues that they're now asking to depose other people about.

Not every detail, not every detail,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2000S

(202) 234-4439

-	because, for example, you will her a request for
2	depositions of some coal marketing people who
3	JUDGE NELSON: Well, they're not here now.
4	We're dealing with Mr. Greenberg.
5	MR. ROACH: Yes. I mean, the reason
6	the current reasons you're going to hear are well,
7	these people know something that the other witnesses
8	don't know. And that of course, that can always be
9	true. They'll
10	JUDGE NELSON: Well, he points to a
11	meeting where Mr. Dealey
12	MR. ROACH: Right.
13	JUDGE NELSON: was.
14	MR. ROACH: Okay, and I'll
15	JUDGE NELSON: Who better to talk about he
16	meeting than Mr. Dealey?
17	MR. ROACH: Sure, and there are thousands
18	of meetings that have taken place that they could list
19	another 200 people.
20	But let me I'll get to that. I'll get
21	to the specifics in just a moment. I'm trying to set
22	the background here.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(2 2) 234-4433

1	As to the legal standard, if I may, the
2	Commission decided a case called the Annual Volume
3	Rates on Coal Rawhide Junction to Sawhorse Bluff on
4	January 4, 1985. It's a well-known ICC precedent on
5	the issue of depositions.
6	And it denied depositions and it pointed
7	to the fact that the Commission's rule speaks in terms
8	of whether "a deposition will prevent a failure or
9	delay of justice."
.0	That is that is the phrase in the
1	Commission's rule.
2	JUDGE NELSON: Was that a case going to
3	hearing?
4	MR. ROACH: It was a case that was under
5	provisions that would meet the APA definition of an
6	on-record hearing, just as this is. But I don't agree
7	
8	JUDGE NELSON: There isn't going to be
9	hearing here.
0	MR. ROACH: No, but
1	JUDGE NELSON: Was there a trial-typ
2	hearing in that case?

NEAL R. GLOSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4453

MR. ROACH: No. No, there wasn't. It was simply -- the parties had an entitlement to one in the discretion of the Commission, just as here.

It's -- there are some magic words in the statute that speak of "hearing on the record," and that triggers certain rights under the APA including discovery rights.

It was no different from this case in that respect.

The Commission has discretion as to whether actually hold the oral hearing and testimonies

JUDGE NELSON: But there was no later hearing in the case you're relying on?

MR. ROACH: I'm virtually certain that's correct, that there was not. The Commission said that, in denying the request for depositions -- and I'm quoting from page two -- that the Petitioner had not explained why taking depositions would serve to prevent a failure or delay of justice.

And it said, "That the Petitioner has not shown that the information it seeks may not be

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRISERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 2000S

(202) 234-4-33

obtained through other means of discovery, such as interrogatories, requests for the production of documents or inspection visits, that are readily available and less disruptive than depositions, and has not shown that the material is not merely cumulative or in danger of loss."

And it went on to say "Depositions," and I'm quoting, "should only be ordered after other means of discovery are shown to be inadequate. And where more conventional discovery procedures are available that are not as disruptive as the taking of depositions, they should be used."

Now, we were asked in discovery --

JUDGE NELSON: That doesn't draw any distinction between "testifying" and "non-testifying" witnesses.

MR. ROACH: No, it doesn't. But it sets a standard for depositions. And the only exception to that standard is the Commission's order in this case, the scheduling order, that says that testifying witnesses may automatically be deposed as a right for discovery.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2000S

(202) 234-4433

And so every one of them has been deposed, every one. We've spent seven weeks. We have a tower of deposition transcripts here, including witnesses on this subject, witnesses about operations and the Applicants' intent with respect to operations.

Our witnesses King and -- testified about our operating plans. And the BN/Santa Fe's witness -- JUDGE NELSON: You don't need to recite all the witnesses.

MR. ROACH: -- Mr. Owen spoke about -
JUDGE NELSON: I'm familiar with a number

of these names.

MR. ROACH: Now, they asked us in an interrogatory, "Do you have any other plans with respect to service to Camden and Pine Bluff?" And we said "No, the operating plan is our plan."

Now, they talk about this meeting in December. First of all, this meeting was not a meeting held to implement the merger and the operating plan and the settlement agreement.

It was a lower level meeting held because of the constant importuning of International Paper,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRISERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20008

(202) 234-4433

and Mr. Greenberg was candid about saying that. It's a big, important customer.

It asked to meet with people, and it got people worked up about its concerns about service.

And some UP and BN/Santa Fe people sat down and talked about that.

But I will tell you, Your Honor -- I'll represent to you, and I will present witnesses, if necessary, on this subject that the formal process of implementing the operations which entails that we have to negotiate specific trackage rights agreements and other agreements -- that's all called for in the settlement agreement.

It says we have to, by June 1, negotiate implementing agreements -- has only just now commenced.

There are teams that have been appointed to do it. They have a schedule to try to get it done. They have meetings coming up. And this was not one of those meetings. This was a meeting of low level people.

And when I answered the interrogatory, I

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2000S

(202) 234-4430

had to correct recently because I didn't know this 1 meeting had occurred. And the people I had consulted 2 in authority at Union Pacific didn't know it occurred. 3 But this meeting is remotely related to any relevant issue in this case at best. Whatever was 5 discussed at this meeting with respect to the issues 6 and how the operations might be done is not a subject 8 JUDGE NELSON: 9 Well, with low level employees, it couldn't be so disruptive. 10 11 MR. ROACH: Well, I'm not claiming disruption of their lives as much as I'm claiming 12 disruption of the Applicants' lives. 13 And that gets me to the moratorium issue, 14 which is so crucial. We have a discovery moratorium 15 here in March. It is designed --16 17 JUDGE NELSON: That's established by the 18 Commission --19 MR. ROACH: By Your Honor in the --JUDGE NELSON: -- or in the discovery 20 21 guidelines? MR. ROACH: In the discovery guidelines.

22

**NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

Now, it's a moratorium on written discovery, so everybody doesn't accuse me of misleading you. That's what it's a moratorium on.

But its purpose was broader than just keeping us from having to write on pieces of paper for a month.

The purpose of it was so that both sides in this case could devote March to digesting this, massive deposition record we have, this massive discovery record we have.

We have had 1,200 discovery requests that

We have had 1,200 discovery requests that we have answered. We have produced 200,000 pieces of paper to these adversaries of ours.

And we need March just as much as they need March to get our rebuttal case together and prepare.

And then we're going to get a huge tower of paper at the end of March from them, and we'll have 30 days to respond to it, 14 days to respond to the Department of Justice. If we had to --

JUDGE NELSON: What's that got to do with

now?

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

MR. ROACH: Here's what it has to do with We've been asked for depositions of ask many people as we have already produced. It has taken us seven weeks to handle those. And if we have to defend depositions all through March, our friends won't all be in those rooms. I'll be in those rooms because I've got to put them all on. They will -- they will have one person in each one of those depositions, and they will destroy the month of March for these Applicants. JUDGE NELSON: You mean the Applicants have only one lawyer in there? MR. ROACH: No, but --JUDGE NELSON: What's happened? (Laughter.) MR. ROACH: No, no. But I'll tell you, when you have witnesses who have not been involved in preparing the applications, are unfamiliar with the issues, it takes twice as long to prepare them for

It takes the time of crucial lawyers on

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

deposition.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

the Applicants' team. It's not just me. There are 1 others. But it's a limited team, and it's a tiny 3 fraction of the number of lawyers on the other side of 4 the aisle. They've got --5 JUDGE NELSON: Well, I certainly --6 MR. ROACH: -- 50 lawyers. 7 JUDGE NELSON: -- am willing to entertain 8 suggestions on how to keep the burdens down and where 9 to have them and how long they can be and that sort of 10 11 thing. MR. ROACH: Well, let me just speak one 12 13 more time to the standard. JUDGE NELSON: But some blanket exclusion 14 15 here does not appeal to me. MR. ROACH: Let me just speak one last 16 17 word on the standard, and then we can go on. JUDGE NELSON: The standard so far was 18 19 that you have to show that there were no other means of discovery and that it is not cumulative. 20 MR. ROACH: And what I would like to say, 21 Your Honor, is this: the standard for deposing of 22

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

non-testifying witnesses has got to be something more 1 than that anybody can simply stand up and say, 2 "Somebody else who didn't testify knows some piece of 3 information that could be relevant, and even that 4 isn't -- that wasn't known by one of the testifying 5 6 witnesses." 7 It has to be something more than that, or you've got a prescription for an infinite number of 8 9 depositions. 10 And Mr. Lubel wrote me a letter a while ago which said, "The number of witnesses will grow 11 geometrically." He wants to treat it -- these -- our 12 friends all want to treat it as --JUDGE NELSON: I hear that every time from you. It's a memorable phrase that you --(Laughter.) Well, it was a memorably MR. ROACH: candid statement. And it's a statement --JUDGE NELSON: That's the way discovery works. MR. ROACH: In Federal court, it is --

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

JUDGE NELSON: I don't know why that's so

(202) 234-4433

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

surprising.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. ROACH: It is because this is a case on a very, very expedited schedule where you have an agency with a --

JUDGE NELSON: At the FARC we have data requests and then follow-up and then sometimes there's a need for a deposition.

MR. ROACH: Right, but you don't have a law that disfavors depositions, and you don't have a Board that has set a schedule and has said, "Don't give us -- don't give us the normal relevance argument. Restrict your discovery from day one to that which is strictly relevant."

And that is the difference. And what I'm saying to you, Your Honor, is this doesn't meet that standard of relevance because these were preliminary, tentative, non-authoritative meetings of low-level people.

Neither these Applicants nor BN/Santa Fe should have to take the time to attend these depositions, to prepare the people, to make a day for this sort of thing. Because you're going to get

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

another two dozen of these.

And we've got two more coming up today, and maybe three or four more if you allow the notice requirement to be waived.

Furthermore, they should have asked for these a long time ago. They knew about the -- the meeting. They point to the letter that they were sent after the meeting. But they didn't ask for these depositions.

And they had our interrogatory answer, and they just ignored it. They didn't follow up and demand to depose a bunch of people.

They're doing this to create a challenge to the schedule. They're trying to pile up depositions in March to tie us down and to create a basis for asking for another extension of time.

We have already litigated it once, and we're going to hear it again. That's my argument.

JUDGE NELSON: I wouldn't think they're going to go very far on a new request for time. Didn't the Board make it clear that the time wasn't going to yield?

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

MR. MILLS: Can I be heard briefly, Your 1 Honor? It will -- it will enable me to say something 2 which is appropriate now that I will not have to say 3 later on response to both Ms. Jones and Mr. Roach. 4 The Coal --JUDGE NELSON: You're here for Entergy and 6 7 the coal people? MR. MILLS: Yes, that's correct. 8 again, I will not be repetitive when it's my turn. 9

But there's two points I would like to make.

Number one, Ms. Jones says that BN should
not have to -- BN/Santa Fe should not have to produce

non-testifying witnesses because they're not a primary applicant.

the settlement agreement.

While that's true, they are in the position of supporting the Applicants with respect to

In fact, on their -- in their comments in support of the application, they say in the first two pages that while they "take no position on whether the merger should be approved, if the merger is approved, they strongly believe that the settlement agreement

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	reached by BN/Santa Fe and UP must be imposed as a
2	condition of the merger to alleviate the competitive
3	harms to shippers that would otherwise lose the only
4	rail competition available to them."
5	JUDGE NELSON: What are you reading from?
6	MR. MILLS: This is their comments on the
7	primary application, which were filed on
8	JUDGE NELSON: BN comments?
9	MR. MILLS: BN comments.
10	JUDGE NELSON: Yes, I know that position.
11	Anything else?
12	MR. MILLS: The other point I wanted to
13	make in terms of the precedent is that there is
14	precedent for this kind of deposition in the BN/Santa.
15	Fe case itself, as we indicated in our letter.
16	That was the situation where there were
17	witnesses and I'm just going to speak briefly about
18	the coal area who submitted verified statements.
19	They were deposed. They did not have

particular competitive situations.

Several utilities requested the right to

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

knowledge on particular movements, competitive --

(202) 234-4433

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

take additional depositions of individuals in the coal .
markets who did have such knowledge.

And while there were some objections to that, that in fact occurred, although it was worked out voluntarily without having to go to the Commission.

And three additional coal witness depositions were taken as a result of that.

JUDGE NELSON: What else now before we get back? We'll get back to you at the end, Mr. Greenberg. Let's hear more -- Mr. Roach is up again and Ms. Jones.

MR. ROACH: One last thought I'd like to add, and Ms. Jones may have a similar point to make, is -- it has to do with, if you will, showing effect.

It's not quite the settlement privilege argument, but it's, in a way, analogous to it.

If you allow a shipper who asks questions about, you know, what is -- what are the details, what are the exact operating details, going to be under a settlement or under a merger plan, and is able to induce retail level managers or employees of the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20008

(202) 234-4433

4 5

railroad to start talking about it, and then uses that as a predicate to depose those people, you're -- you're throwing a turn into the very process that you should allow to happen.

You should allow these Applicants to take this through. You should allow them to come to implementing agreements, as they are now trying to do as expeditiously as possible pursuant to the settlement agreement.

And you should not, instead, say that every time that somebody gets somebody to give them a rate quote -- and of course, the first rate quote -- I don't know anything about this rate quote.

But the first rate quote any railroad gives any shipper is going to be high. I know that much without knowing a single thing about this rate quote.

You then suddenly turn that into litigation, you're producing exactly the opposite to the result that is in the public interest.

JUDGE NELSON: Ms. Jones?

MS. JONES: I'd like to first respond to

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

Mr. Mills' point about the precedent from the prior merger. He is correct that a couple of -- I believe his number is right, that there were three non-testifying witnesses produced in the prior merger.

But I would point out that it was only three. We are already asked for five, and Mr. Roach was asked for somewhere close to 20 at this point.

So the comparison there is more in favor of a limitation than it is in favor of open-ended. And there were no witnesses produced by the settling parties in the BN/SF merger.

The Applicants produced three, I believe is the right number, non-testifying witnesses. The settling parties produced none.

And we are the settling party here. And the analogy there could work to protect our witnesses from having to be produced.

And on the merits that of the two that Mr. Greenberg has requested, Mr. Dealey, who is a Vice President for Transportation, so I have to disassociate myself --

JUDGE NELSON: Let's hold up with the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20008

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

merits of the --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. JONES: Yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE NELSON: Let's first deal with the generic attack. Mr. Roach, I can't keep giving you chances here. This is the last crack now on this question.

(Laughter.)

JUDGE NELSON: On this question, the non -- so-called non-testifying witnesses and due burdens and so forth.

MR. ROACH: I appreciate the opportunity and I'll simply say this. We have said to the parties that we will produce non-testifying witnesses on any significant issue that is not addressed by testifying witnesses. And we have done that.

They asked about costing, which is kind of an issue that underlies a lot of the application, and we produced a Mr. Counters because we didn't have a testifying witness on costing.

Another party here has asked us about the pro forma financial statements. We have said we will produce witnesses if you want. We think we're going

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

to work it out informally, but we're ready to do that.

What we're not ready to do is to go down the course of progressively, geometrically expanding federal relevance concepts of depositions.

And we're doing that in good faith, and we're happy to discuss the matter on an individual basis.

MR. GREENBERG: Your Honor, if I could just respond very briefly to a couple of points that - - that --

he's saying, he and Ms. Jones, that -- that there's a special ICC hostility to depositions that you have to overcome, that you haven't overcome in the case of the request for Dealey and Kean, that there are tactics at work here that are designed to lay the groundwork for further extensions and/or bury the other side in paper when they have to be writing, that there is an evil motive here, namely a use of the power of the shipper to muscle something out of the Applicants before the Applicants are ready to give it to you, and that there are overall burdens on the lawyers involved in what

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4435

appears to be an ever-expanding reach of deponents.

What do you say about all those things?

MR. GREENBERG: Well, you know, if Mr. Roach is willing to stipulate that if it turns out at the end of the merger -- if the merger is consummated, approved and consummated, and it turns out that BN can't provide service, that there will be a condition eliminating or rescinding the merger, then perhaps we can -- perhaps we can take the position seriously.

But right now, he's --

JUDGE NELSON: This is not the place to negotiate those conditions.

MR. GREENBERG: Of course, but he -JUDGE NELSON: Nor do I have the power to

do it.

MR. GREENBERG: And it is way too late for Mr. Roach to suggest that International Paper is going to sit and wait until the Applicants have decided what they're going to do. They're not presenting it to the Commission, and tell us after the merger is consummated that we'll take what they give us?

Thank you, but no thanks. That is not

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

consistent with the APA or the Constitution. We're not going to accept that. That's ridiculous.

Now, Mr. Roach was present in this room, Your Honor, when an agreement was negotiated on how discovery was going to be conducted.

The order that you -- that was issued pursuant to that said, "Depositions of other persons or parties on a specified subject matter may be taken with reasonable, written notice."

There was no argument back on that date that we weren't going to have any depositions of other non-responsive parties.

We sat in this room and argued that very point on that day.

JUDGE NELSON: How about his threshold standards that he says you haven't met?

MR. GREENBERG: Well, is the issue of whether IP will be able to get service not relevant? Whether or not the BN is going to be able to actually service these facilities is not relevant?

The witnesses they sponsored knew nothing about this meeting, and they don't know whether or not

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

this operation is feasible.

The BN operating witness, when I asked them in deposition, I said -- and he said, "How are you going to provide service to Camden?" "We won't know. Maybe it will be -- we haven't thought about it." That's not good enough for us.

The agenda that I introduced, Mr. Roach says we're a day late on this issue. We just got this document last week.

JUDGE NELSON: What's the agenda?

MR. GREENBERG: This is one of the documents -- one of the documents they produced under discovery. The agenda that --

JUDGE NELSON: That shows the meeting?

MR. GREENBERG: Yes, the meeting. All the points that I asked about, all the points we want to ask Mr. Dealey about, are on here.

They want to know how are you going to deal with the -- what -- where are the terminal locations BN is going to operate from.

JUDGE NELSON: Were there any people at the meeting that are testifying witnesses?

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20008

(202) 234-4433

5

3

6

8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

MR. GREENBERG: No. Mr. -- Mr. Owen was not there. Mr. Owen did testify, but he wasn't there, didn't know what took place.

JUDGE NELSON: Now, Ms. Jones --

MR. GREENBERG: If I could just make one more point?

JUDGE NELSON: Go right ahead?

MR. GREENBERG: And that is about the -about moratorium of discovery. Mr. Roach has served International Paper with an enormous volume of depositions -- of document requests and discovery requests that we're supposed to be busy with for the month of March.

And the final point I want to make is there was no agreement with International -- between International Paper and anybody else in this room about when we were going to ask for anybody to be deposed.

We asked for these individuals when they were identified in discovery that Mr. Roach belatedly produced last week.

And I resent the implication that we're

**NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

doing this to harass him. That is not the case. 1 JUDGE NELSON: Now, I can't keep hearing 2 from people. I'm going to hear from Ms. Jones. Mr. 3 Roach has had many chances. Ms. Jones? MS. JONES: I would first like to address 5 the discovery question. Mr. Greenberg has had the 6 BN/Santa Fe side of the document productions that he 7 requested since, I believe, late January, and which 8 9 was a timely response to his requests. And you've had all the information from us 10 for that period of time. 11 12 As to the sustain of knowledge of the witnesses, he was present or his colleague was present 13 during the deposition of Mr. Eichs a couple of weeks 14 ago in which Mr. Eichs testified that the operating 15 plans simply haven't been developed yet. 16 17 Now, we can understand why that might be frustrating to International Paper. There is nothing 18 that Mr. Dealey will be able to add to that situation 19 in a deposition. 21 JUDGE NELSON: We'll get to that. 22 dealing with these generic plans.

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

20

(202) 234-4433

I'm

MS. JONES: I will await your --

JUDGE NELSON: And I'm going to rule as to those claims that they are not persuasive to me. I do not choose to make a dichotomy between testifying witnesses and non-testifying witnesses.

The first may be deposed, and the second may not.

Nor am I concerned with these tactics and motives and allegations back and forth that each side is trying to pull its own maneuvers and fool with the schedule and bury the other in paper.

Everyone may have their own tactical considerations and reasons, and it's not my job to unravel all of that stuff.

With regard to someone in the situation of International Paper or other shippers, my experience in mergers, and mine is the only kind I know, and this is railroad and electric, is that a merger always brings to the table everyone who wants to get every conceivable piece of the pie they can out of it.

And any sophisticated company knows that when they submit a merger application to a regulatory

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20008

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

board.

The door is opened to the Mr. Greenberg's of the world doing whatever they can do to get whatever deals they can for their clients, or other railroads or other shippers. Everyone wants in on the action.

That doesn't strike me as unusual at all. That's the way the game is played.

So, I see nothing wrong in letter these depositions go forward. I want to now get into the details more with regard to Mr. Dealey or Mr. Kean. I'm not clear why we need both.

I'm not clear as to what we may propose in terms of length, place, other limitations that would be more acceptable.

Dealey is the man who was at the meeting that you say discussed what they were going to do with International Paper.

MR. GREENBERG: Yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE NELSON: Kean was simply a man who came in with a rate that you think was too high?

MR. GREENBERG: No, Your Honor. I believe

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

21

that Mr. Kean was also there. I'm not positive. It's 1 not plain to me whether he was --2 JUDGE NELSON: Was he also at the meeting? 3 MS. JONES: I don't know. JUDGE NELSON: Do you know? 5 6 MR. GREENBERG: I'm not sure. But Mr. Kean is -- is the BN Account Representative that has 7 been assigned to International Paper. And the parties 8 have been trying -- International Paper has been 9 trying for a long time to deal with this. 10 11 Let me also add --JUDGE NELSON: So he gave you a rate, and 12 your expert will say that rate is too high and not 13 competitive, period. What more do you want to 14 15 establish? MR. GREENBERG: Your Honor, we want to 16 know why is it that high? We want to know is it 17 18 because --19 JUDGE NELSON: For whatever reason, he woke up at 3:00 a.m. one morning and came up with a 20 21 high rate.

NEAL R. GFOSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

MR. GREENBERG: Well if that's the reason,

(202) 234-4433

22

-	then then that's the reason. But I suspect the
2	reason is because there are other reasons why th
3	rate is that high, and that is because there isn'
4	enough other traffic on this line to permit them to
5	operate efficiently for traffic at Pine Bluff.
6	JUDGE NELSON: How does that help you any
7	MR. GREENBERG: If that's the case, the
8	something is basically wrong with the representation
9	that the Applicants have been making about how BN wil
10	be a perfect competitor on this line.
11	JUDGE NELSON: If it's high
12	MR. GREENBERG: It's very significant t
13	us.
14	JUDGE NELSON: If the rate is high and th
15	goods won't move under it, then I don't see what the
16	motivation has to do with it.
17	He could do it because he doesn't like
18	your face. He could do it as part of a scheme. He
19	could do it because it has to be high because there
20	are extraordinary costs associated with this.
21	MR. GREENBERG: The Board is being told by
22	the Applicants and by BN that BN is going to be a very

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

2	
3	,
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

strong competitor in every market, at every two-to-one point.

JUDGE NELSON: I understand that.

MR. GREENBERG: And if, in my --

JUDGE NELSON: And you come in with evidence that shows that he gives you some high-ball price under which not one car will ever move.

MR. GREENBERG: Right.

JUDGE NELSON: Why isn't that enough?

MR. GREENBERG: I'm somewhat concerned that may not be enough. I would like to be able to find out why it is, when we asked for a competitive rate, that we get a rate that is at a level of magnitude -- many levels of magnitude higher than --

JUDGE NELSON: If you had to choose, which would you rather have, Dealey or Kean? You see, my leaning was to give you Dealey because he was in a meeting about which you don't know anything.

Kean, you know at least what happened. He came in with a piece of paper with a number on it. But you know the workings, see?

MR. GREENBERG: Well, what I wanted --

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20008