

	1529
1	what I wanted and before I answer that question,
2	let me tell you that in our letter to Ms. Jones on
3	this issue, we have volunteered to reduce the burden
4	and suggested that we will hold the deposition
5	wherever you want to.
6	If they're in Shaunberg, Illinois, we'll
7	go out there.
8	
9	JUDGE NELSON: We'll get to that.
	MR. GREENBERG: And we think a half-day
10	would take care of it
11	JUDGE NELSON: We'll get to that. But I
12	want to respect if there is a Commission policy
13	here of being grudging about depositions, I want to at
14	least keep it in my mind.
15	MR. GREENBERG: And we want Dealey.
16	JUDGE NELSON: Dealey? Well, I'm going to
17	order the deposition of Mr. Dealey and now
18	MS. JONES: Your Honor
19	JUDGE NELSON: can we work it out in
20	terms of time
21	MS. JONES: Your Honor, I haven't been
22	heard at all on that.
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

MS. JONES: On either one, Your Honor. JUDGE NELSON: All right, tell me about Dealey.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

MS. JONES: You kept asking me to sit down. Mr. Dealey is not going to be able to add anything that Mr. Greenberg does not already know.

He has heard testimony from Mr. Eichs that the teams are just now being organized. Forty-eight hours ago, the team had its first meeting, and the team is starting to put together the operating plans that he wants to inquire about.

Mr. Dealey doesn't know the answer right now. But he complains he doesn't have the operating information because it doesn't exist. And it won't exist in this deposition either.

We may know something more in several weeks. And if Your Honor believes that the operating information is important, we would be prepared to produce a witness from this team who may be able to answer questions in a few weeks, but not now.

The answers don't exist, and they won't exist if you order the deposition.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

1531 JUDGE NELSON: Do you want this in a few 1 weeks from some person they'll give you or do you want 2 to get at Mr. Dealey now? 3 MR. GREENBERG: We want -- we would like 4 to have Mr. Dealey now. We have a March 29 common 5 date. And it's true, they have been telling us over 6 7 and over again that they haven't thought about it. 8 It's not our application, Your Honor. We're not the ones who asked for an expedited decision 9 10 on this issue. But I submit to you that we need to have 11 12 on the record from one of their witnesses some explanation of how they think that they can operate 13 the --14 15 JUDGE NELSON: Let me see that agenda that you have. There was a document you had in discovery. 16 17 MR. GREENBERG: Yes. 18 JUDGE NELSON: Ms. Jones gave you this? 19 MR. GREENBERG: This came actually -- yes, I think so. 20 MS. JONES: We produced some material. I 21 22 don't know which ones --NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006 (202) 234-4433

	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 2000S (202) 234-4433
22	
21	
20	
19	confidential closed session.)
18	(Whereupon, the proceedings went into a
17	contents.
16	the hearing because we may need to discuss its
15	JUDGE NELSON: All right, then let's close
14	a closed we should close the hearing.
13	MS. JONES: Well, then we ought to enter
12	Am I
11	JUDGE NELSON: What if I read it out loud?
10	you're eligible to
9	MS. JONES: Yes, Your Honor. I assume
8	paper?
7	JUDGE NELSON: Ms. Jones, can I read this
6	MR. GREENBERG: It's their document.
5	so secret I can't see it?
4	JUDGE NELSON: It says "highly confidential." Should I not be looking at it? Is it
3	
2	MR. GREENBERG: I have a copy for you if you'd like.
1	ME GREENBERG, I have a
	1532

	1560
1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	2:23 p.m.
3	(Whereupon, the session was reopened.)
4	JUDGE NELSON: What is next?
5	MR. LUBEL: Your Honor, I'm afraid Ms.
6	Jones needs to be here for at least my issue. I don't
7	know if there
8	JUDGE NELSON: Is there any request for
9	which sure, how about this request of
10	reconsideration of a ruling?
11	MR. McBRIDE: Yes, Your Honor, Western
12	Shippers Coalition.
13	JUDGE NELSON: Why don't we do that one?
14	MR. McBRIDE: Thank you very much. First
15	of all, let me just set the stage. We made a request
16	for the information that we are seeking on the SP side
17	by letter to the Applicants pursuant to the discovery
18	guidelines on February 22nd and
19	JUDGE NELSON: Is this the one that I
20	ruled that was that I thought was going to be an
21	agreement and then I said it was without prejudice to
22	coming back to me if you couldn't work something out?
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHOUE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

	1561
1	MR. McBRIDE: I wasn't here, but I read
2	the transcript, and that was my understanding of what
3	you ruled.
4	JUDGE NELSON: But I don't remember
5	MR. McBRIDE: It was Mr. Loftus.
6	JUDGE NELSON: the details of what it
7	was all about.
8	MR. McBRIDE: Well, rates and revenues.
9	JUDGE NELSON: Whose rates and revenues?
10	MR. McBRIDE: Of the Applicants, SP and
11	UP. Mr. Loftus was seeking at that time with respect
12	to coal
13	JUDGE NELSON: What they would charge him
14	for coal
15	MR. McBRIDE: That's correct. And you
16	gave him the tonnage information and denied him rate
17	and revenue information.
18	JUDGE NELSON: On what ground?
19	MR. MCBRIDE: It was not entirely clear to
20	me. But as I understood it you thought that tonnages
21	would be sufficient
22	Mr. Roach had represented that the two
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

coals involved did not compete. So you didn't see, as I understood it, why price mattered.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

If I might draw this for an analogy, if you're got a diamond company buying a bread company, what difference does it make what they charge for diamonds and bread if they don't compete?

JUDGE NELSON: I remember struggling with these two coals. I never did fully get on board with Mr. Loftus on that claim.

MR. McBRIDE: That was my impression of --JUDGE NELSON: Are we revisiting it now? Is that --

MR. MCBRIDE: I am indeed because of subsequent developments.

JUDGE NELSON: All right.

MR. McBRIDE: And I just wanted to say, because they have the position procedurally that I don't even have a right to raise this question, that I raised it with them on February 22nd in a letter saying that I wanted to depose Mr. Gray of the Southern Pacific, and that there were redactions from the Southern Pacific business plans, which I have here

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

	1563
1	and have furnished Your Honor, and that I wanted the
2	unredacted versions of the deposition.
3	Mr. Cunningham dutifully responded to me
4	on Saturday the 24th saying he wouldn't do it.
5	I reiterated that on the record in the
6	deposition, at the Gray deposition on the 26th. The
7	transcript
8	JUDGE NELSON: Let's get down to the
9	issue.
10	MR. McBRIDE: Yes, fine.
11	JUDGE NELSON: I don't care about all this
12	in here.
13	MR. McBRIDE: Okay.
14	JUDGE NELSON: If I if I'm wrong, I
15	want to hear about it and correct the error
16	MR. McBRIDE: Thank you.
17	JUDGE NELSON: if it's important enough
18	to. There's also an interest in finality.
19	MR. McBRIDE: Yes.
20	JUDGE NELSON: We make a ruling, we stick
21	with it, particular in a case that's marching along on
22	tough schedules.
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

MR. McBRIDE: Fair enough, but you also --JUDGE NELSON: So the question is, am I wrong here and am I seriously wrong? Now, you tell me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

MR. McBRIDE: Let me just digress for a moment to a relevant FERC proceeding that Your Honor may recall that I participated in, the <u>Trunk-line LONG</u> I tried with my now-deceased partner, Mr. Shiflett.

And I will never forget that in that case where economics was at issue -- Your Honor may recall this case. It was a pretty big ne.

The witness for NERA, the economic consultant firm there, had authored an article. This was in the fall of 1982 just before things kind of fell apart in the gas markets.

And the title of the article was, "Setting National Gas Prices without Regard to Supply," or "Playing Hamlet without the Prince of Denmark."

That title never left me. Price and supply are inextricably intertwined, at least where the products compete.

Now as Your Honor knows better than

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

anybody in this room, natural gas is generally a fungible commodity. So you don't have these arguments, generally speaking.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

But the products don't compete. You heard that argument from Mr. Roach on January 26th. Transcript 924, I believe it is, he said, "The two coals do not compete."

Well, if Your Honor -- subsequent to that time when you ruled and you indicated that we could revisit it, we deposed Mr. Sharp, who is the outside consultant on coal, a notable pint I might add since both of these applicants have plenty of people who are in-house experts on coal, but they went outside.

JUDGE NELSON: He is an Applicant witness? MR. McBRIDE: He's an Applicant witness, a testifying witness, Mr. Sharp. He's a consultant over here in Arlington.

And he said based on doing his library work, work data, Form 423 and that sort of thing, but never having talking to anyone at SP, he concluded that SP and UP largely do not compete.

SP coal from Colorado and Utah largely do

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

not complete with coal from the Powder River Basin in Wyoming and Montana or coal from the Hannah Basin in Wyoming.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

However, when confronted at deposition -that was his prepared direct testimony, which is what I believe Mr. Roach was relying on on January 26th.

At his deposition, he acknowledged that certain coals compete. I have provided Your Honor with the citations in the letter.

Subsequently thereto, I had the opportunity to review in detail what are now the Exhibits 5 and 6 to the Gray deposition, which I provided to you, these two business plans.

 14
 I gave you but one of many examples.

 15
 JUDGE NELSON: I have no opportunity to

 16
 review that material -

MR. McBRIDE: Understood.

JUDGE NELSON: -- or any of the material. MR. McBRIDE: I would like to give you, if I may --

> JUDGE NELSON: What I did is --MR. McBRIDE: -- just one cite --

> > NEAL R. GRÜSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

		NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 2344433 WASHINGTON D.C. 20005
	22	and some other
	21	MR. McBRIDE: Utilities, steel companies,
	20	JUDGE NELSON: Who buys them, utilities?
	19	internal document.
	18	MR. McBRIDE: Correct. This is an SP
	17	that two coals compete?
	16	JUDGE NELSON: This is for the proposition
	15	many examples I can give you from these reports.
	14	page two, top of page three. I quoted just one of
	13	MR. McBRIDE: Yes sir, right bottom of
	12	JUDGE NELSON: Right in front of me.
	11	28th.
	10	MR. McBRIDE: My letter to you on February
	9	about?
	8	JUDGE NELSON: What letter are we talking
	7	MR. McBRIDE: All right.
	6	JUDGE NELSON: I think I have it.
	5	there, Your Honor, or may I approach?
	4	MR. McBRIDE: Do you have the letter
	3	ruled, and I tried to remember and figure it out.
	2	letter and then I look at the transcript of what I
	1	JUDGE NELSON: I read the beginning of the
0		1567
~		

		1568
1		JUDGE NELSON: Let's take an example.
2		MR. McBRIDE: consumers of coal.
3		JUDGE NELSON: A utility where?
4		MR. McBRIDE: Commonwealth Edison, a
5	company in	Chicago.
6		JUDGE NELSON: A company in Chicago.
7		MR. McBRIDE: Right.
8		JUDGE NELSON: You can
9		MR. MCBRIDE: EBA.
10	•	JUDGE NELSON: You can use either one
11		MR. McBRIDE: Yes sir.
12	-	JUDGE NELSON: to acquire up plants.
13		MR. McBRIDE: Correct. And an example we
14	used	
15		JUDGE NELSON: And we're talking coal-
16	generated e	lectricity.
17		MR. McBRIDE: Correct. The example we've
18	used in the	case is Toledo Creek.
19		JUDGE NELSON: And they're fungible for
20	these purpos	ses?
21		MR. McBRIDE: That's largely correct.
22		JUDGE NELSON: Or your witnesses some
	(202) 234-4433	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCHIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 2006 (202) 234-4433

•.

0	1569
1	witnesses say so.
2	MR. McBRIDE: Correct. Yes, there are
3	some limitations on that because the BTUs may differ
4	and you may have a
5	JUDGE NELSON: Environmental consequences
6	differ.
7	MR. McBRIDE: Yes. And sometimes,
8	therefore, that's why there's been some switching that
9	has gone on. I am not standing here to tell you that
10	all the competition is Powder River Basin coal.
11	Sometimes there is some blending with some
12	eastern high-sulfur coal.
13	JUDGE NELSON: You said to some degree
14	MR. McBRIDE: It depends on the Clean Air
15	Act. Correct.
16	JUDGE NELSON: the fuels from
17	MR. McBRIDE: Correct.
18	JUDGE NELSON: The Powder River is
19	Wyoming?
20	
20	MR. McBRIDE: Yes, to a very large
	JUDGE NELSON: They compete with the
22	Colorado
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHOOE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

MR. McBRIDE: That's correct. JUDGE NELSON: To some degree --MR. McBRIDE: Correct, and the Wyoming --JUDGE NELSON: -- the utility has switching capabilities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

MR. McBRIDE: Right. And UP serves Wyoming. SP does not. SP serves Utah and Colorado. And with one minor exception, UP does not really serve most of these mines that we're talking about here, not in Colorado, I should say, a little more in Utah, right?

> So SP has some sole-source mines --JUDGE NELSON: So the rail competition --MR. McBRIDE: The rail competition --JUDGE NELSON: -- is a good thing because

MR. MCBRIDE: Yes.

JUDGE NELSON: -- it helps keep the transportation price down.

MR. McBRIDE: Yes. I can give you ballpark numbers. I'm not talking about any specific movements.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

	1571
1	But these days, a ton of coal, believe it
2	or not, may cost as little as four dollars. And to
3	move it may cost well over ten dollars. So the
4	delivered cost of the coal to these power plants these
5	days, which often times it two-thirds of the cost of
6	generating the electricity, is more rail
7	transportation than anything else.
8	JUDGE NELSON: So the utility's choice
9	MR. McBRIDE: Yes sir.
10	JUDGE NELSON: switchability
11	MR. McBRIDE: Yes sir.
12	JUDGE NELSON: disciplines the two
13	railroads?
14	MR. McBRIDE: Absolutely right.
15	JUDGE NELSON: All right.
16	MR. McBRIDE: Yes sir.
17	JUDGE NELSON: Or so you are claiming?
18	MR. McBRIDE: That's right.
19	JUDGE NELSON: All right. Now, what's
20	next? What's
21	MR. McBRIDE: All right.
22	JUDGE NELSON: that got to do with
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

 \bigcirc

discovery?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. McBRIDE: And what that's got to do with discovery is replete throughout these exhibits that I gave you -- if I may turn to the one that I quoted -- could I approach?

It will be easier for you than trying to find what I sent you. For the record, Bates No. HC-65000305 --

JUDGE NELSON: This is a document called "Business Up for Bid."

MR. McBRIDE: Yes sir.

JUDGE NELSON: So this is something that you have discovered?

MR. MCBRIDE: Yes.

JUDGE NELSON: Yes.

MR. McBRIDE: Yes. This was produced in redacted form because of Your Honor's earlier ruling, all right? And the document reads, "Who is the likely competition? Wyoming Powder River Basin Coal." And this is the SP internal document.

JUDGE NELSON: This is an analysis by the SP.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

0	1573
1	MR. McBRIDE: Internally of the Coal
2	Business Unit.
3	JUDGE NELSON: Well, what is it that you
4	want that you don't have?
5	MR. McBRIDE: The rate and revenue
6	information because what is driving the competition
7	JUDGE NELSON: The rate and revenue
8	information in what?
9	MR. McBRIDE: From SP. And we're going to
10	get to . set of interrogatories to UP about the same
11	question. But for now, if I may, just talk about
12	JUDGE NELSON: Stay with SP.
13	MR. McBRIDE: SP, right. The coal
14	1996 baseline business
15	JUDGE NELSON: SP is the one that moves
16	through Colorado?
17	MR. McBRIDE: That's correct.
18	JUDGE NELSON: Right?
19	MR. McBRIDE: Right, right.
20	JUDGE NELSON: And you want to know
21	specifically what?
22	MR. McBRIDE: I want to know what rates
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

1574 they redacted from these reports to show that the 1 reason they got the business and they discussed 20 or 2 so, maybe more, examples in here of utilities they've 3 gotten the business from in recent years because of 4 5 the rates. And all the way through, they talk about 6 putting competition -- putting competitive prices to 7 8 UP or to BN or what have you. 9 I'll give you an example here of --10 JUDGE NELSON: You know what rates you're 11 paying. MR. McBRIDE: Well, I don't represent all 12 these people, but I represent some of the coal 13 producers, as does Mr. Moreno, for example, in Utah, 14 15 who provides some of this coal. 16 And they don't know because the utilities, the shipper pays the bill. And I wish I represented 17 all those utilities, but I don't. 18 19 JUDGE NELSON: Well, do you represent any 20 utilities? 21 MR. McBRIDE: I represent a few, yes. 22 JUDGE NELSON: And who are they? NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRA SCRI 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 234-4439 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-

~	/
\bigcirc	1575
1	MR. McBRIDE: I represent Tennessee Valley
2	Authority in this case, for example. But they're not
3	a member of my Western Shippers Coalition?
4	JUDGE NELSON: Who is?
5	MR. McBRIDE: Commonwealth Edison Company,
6	who Mr. Mills happens to represent in this case, and
7	I do some work for too.
8	JUDGE NELSON: Let's take Commonwealth
9	Edison.
10	MR. McBRIDE: Yes sir.
11	JUDGE NELSON: That's Chicago?
12	MR. McBRIDE: Yes.
13	JUDGE NELSON: All right. Commonwealth
14	Edison pays the railroad to bring the coal to it.
15	MR. McBRIDE: That's correct.
16	JUDGE NELSON: So it knows what it pays.
17	MR. MCBRIDE: It
18	JUDGE NELSON: And it knows what the rates
19	are.
20	MR. McBRIDE: That's right. But those
21	agreements are confidential
22	JUDGE NELSON: Aren't the rates are
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

	1576
1	they published or
2	MR. McBRIDE: No, those contracts are
3	highly confidential.
4	JUDGE NELSON: There's no more tariff
5	system?
6	MR. McBRIDE: That's correct.
7	JUDGE NELSON: All right.
8	MR. McBRIDE: That's correct. Now, you
9	can deduce, as experts do, what some of these rates
10	approximate or are. And I gave you, if you would look
11	at the last short of paper with my letter, a recent
12	trade press article deducing what these rates are in
13	something called a coal transportation report.
14	The article indicates it's entitled
15	"Colorado/Utah Market Watch - Competitive Prices, Low
16	Rail Rates, Push Coal East."
17	That's what's going on here. And if I may
18	say so, Your Honor, I don't mean to exaggerate, but
19	this is
20	JUDGE NELSON: So you're trying to find
21	out on behalf of some coal who do you represent?
22	MR. McBRIDE: I represent a series of coal
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-44

(202) 234-4433

C

	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1329 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433
22	JUDGE NELSON: Who want to know what the
21	MR. MCBRIDE: Yes.
20	JUDGE NELSON: Some utilities.
19	utilities, very few.
18	MR. McBRIDE: That's right, some
17	JUDGE NELSON: Together with utilities.
16	I could go on.
15	MR. McBRIDE: That's right. Cypress Amex.
14	owners though.
13	JUDGE NELSON: All right, these are mine
12	Resources, Coastal Coal, Colorado Mining Association.
11	MR. McBRIDE: Arco Coal Company, Andolex
10	general.
9	JUDGE NELSON: No, you just tell me in
8	just take a
7	here. Rather than read all these names, if you could
6	MR. McBRIDE: I've got a sheet of paper
5	JUDGE NELSON: Plant operators.
4	producers in Utah and Colorado.
3	MR. McBRIDE: I represent a series of coal
2	
1	producers.
)	1577
	·

ongoing prices are --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

MR. MCBRIDE: Of SP.

JUDGE NELSON: -- on the SP for shipments of coal, from the coal field to these.

MR. McBRIDE: To show that they got the business that they have gotten in recent years because they- offered lower prices.

Now let me also tell you, subsequent to me writing the letter to you on Wednesday, at this breakneck pace we're proceeding under here, I deposed the Chairman of the Board of Union Pacific Railroad, Mr. Davidson.

Mr. Davidson told me that some of these coals compete, although he had the impression that the Colorado/Utah coal didn't compete very well because it's higher priced. That's in the transcript 162 of this deposition.

But he conceded that UP coal from Hannah Basin -- that he has personally marketed in competition with SP-origin coal. That's at transcript 163.

JUDGE NELSON: But what's the point of

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

this to why you need these prices?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

MR. McBRIDE: The point is that he also testified under oath in that deposition that he believed that it was probably so that he had characterized SP's pricing as cash flow pricing, and that those rates were probably going to have to go up after this merger.

And he told that to a group of attendees of a chemical manufacturing association meeting in Washington in September of last year, the day that he signed the agreement with the Burlington Northern/Santa Fe.

> JUDGE NELSON: That's already there. MR. McBRIDE: What?

JUDGE NELSON: You have that.

MR. McBRIDE: Well, but what I need to show -- here's what I need to show. What Your Honor understood on January 26th, because Mr. Roach made an argument I presume based on Mr. Sharp's testimony, was that these coals do not compete.

We have subsequently shown through these exhibits, by their own admission --

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

	1580
1	JUDGE NELSON: Fine. Let's
2	MR. McBRIDE: that these coals do
3	compete.
4	JUDGE NELSON: assume for the sake of
5	the argument that there is evidence
6	MR. McBRIDE: Right.
7	JUDGE NELSON: which, if admitted,
8	would tend to show the coals do compete.
9	MR. McBRIDE: All right.
10	JUDGE NELSON: And there will also be
11	evidence the other way.
12	MR. McBRIDE: Now, he told you
13	JUDGE NELSON: So what?
14	MR. MCBRIDE: He told you also on January
.5	26th that the tonnage information that we were going
.6	to get was irrelevant because the coals didn't
.7	compete.
.8	Now that Your Honor has asked me to assume
9	and the record assumes that the coals do compete, and
0	I represent to you that's what all these pages show
1	I could go on for hours I need to show you then, I
2	need to show the Board, that the competition between
	energy and bould, and the competition between
	NEAL R. GROSS
	COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
11	(202) 234-4439 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-443

(202) 234-4433

them is not based on sulfur content, you know, the Clean Air Act.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4439

It's not based on ash content. It's not based on obstinance. It's based on an aggressive marketplace.

If I may use an analogy: Southern Pacific is the Southwest Airlines of this industry. It's a pesky little competitor that has stolen a lot of coal business from Union Pacific and Burlington Northern.

And the way it has done that is by underpricing them. They discovered in recent years that they could do that on the back haul of a --

JUDGE NELSON: What's all this got to do with the merger? Of what use do you want to put these?

MR. McBRIDE: When I get SP's rates, and if I get UP's rates, then I can show the Board that SP has consistently, or often at least, undercut UP's prices

And that because of Mr. Davidson's sworn testimony that I briefly described to you, and other similar testimony, that the threat to my clients after

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-1433

this merger, that the prices are going up to the kind of levels that UP wanted to charge. And my thesis is, this is the most important railroad case ever ---JUDGE NELSON: You already can prove --MR. McBRIDE: -- and that's --JUDGE NELSON: -- that they do compete. MR. McBRIDE: I proved they largely --JUDGE NELSON: Let me follow this through. MR. McBRIDE: Yes, right. JUDGE NELSON: You also can prove statements, admissions by some Applicant here, that they're going to jerk this price up after the merger. MR. McBRIDE: That's what we believe is a fair inference. JUDGE NELSON: And if you've got that, I don't see what a peak at these actual ongoing numbers gives you --MR. McBRIDE: Because they prove --JUDGE NELSON: -- that you don't have already. MR. McBRIDE: -- they prove that the NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2) 234-4433

Applicants' argument, which Mr. Roach already flagged for you on January 26th, that it's all irrelevant that the tonnage on SP has been going up faster than the tonnage on UP or whatever the numbers will show.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

Because they are sperate markets. They are not separate markets. And SP has been competing on price.

And our thesis will be that Union Pacific wants this merger in part to drive up the prices by that pesky little competitor, which will cost my clients money.

JUDGE NELSON: All of which you can show without knowledge of the existing prices.

MR. McBRIDE: No sir. No sir. JUDGE NELSON: Why?

MR. McBRIDE: Because the relative rates offered by each, and the rate that caused SP to get the business undercutting Union Pacific we will show was consistently the reason the business was obtained if we get these numbers.

That's what I represent and believe. What the Applicants will say without those numbers is that

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

	1584
1	there were a lot of other reasons why SP got that
2	coal.
3	They will say we were really competing
4	with Eastern coal and it was high sulphur. And they
5	went to the SP coal because it was low sulphur.
6	JUDGE NELSON: So the numbers go to help
7	you prove ongoing competition between the two
8	railroads for the coal.
9	MR. McBRIDE: Yes.
10	JUDGE NELSON: For the two coals.
11	MR. McBRIDE: That pre-existed that
12	merger.
13	JUDGE NELSON: Yes.
14	MR. McBRIDE: And that the threat from the
15	merger is, and it's the biggest threat to our clients
16	
17	JUDGE NELSON: Well, what
18	MR. McBRIDE: in the whole case other
19	than
20	JUDGE NELSON: what was the reason why
21	I sustained their objections to
22	MR. McBRIDE: I think you thought
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 2000S (202) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: -- producing the numbers? MR. McBRIDE: The best that I can read the transcript, and I gave the pages to you -- but I'll put in right in front of you because I don't want to put words in your mouth.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

But the best that I understood is here's what you said. After you and Mr. Loftus were going back and forth, you said, "Yes, we're saying that if you got the volume numbers, that's all you need." This is at transcript 935.

"You don't need the revenues because you can deduct," it says, I presume deduce, "everything else from the volume numbers. And all I'm saying is why don't we look at it supporting that stipulation?"

And then you said on the next page, I'm skipping over here, "Now if you come in and you're unable to get any kind of explanatory material out of them about the rates that they would charge or would have charged in these projections, then maybe we'll look at it."

So as I read this, Your Honor, you thought

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

	1586
1	JUDGE NELSON: Well, I'm not clear. But
2	I'm sure that Applicants' counsel could help
3	MR. McBRIDE: Well, could I make one more
4	please?
5	JUDGE NELSON: get a focus on it.
6	MR. McBRIDE: He's standing before I'm
7	done, but I want to make another point. I'd not sure
8	why he's standing because he's not objecting.
9	JUDGE NELSON: Before you make another
10	point, let me follow that I understand it.
11	MR. McBRIDE: Yes, yes.
12	JUDGE NELSON: This has to do with coal
13	from two geographical areas.
14	MR. McBRIDE: Correct.
15	JUDGE NELSON: Each of which is served by
16	one of the Applicants.
17	MR. MCBRIDE: Correct.
18	JUDGE NELSON: You have evidence that the
19	two coals compete with each other in the sense that
20	the utilities have the capability to switch one unto
21	the other.
22	MR. McBRIDE: And we know some about that,
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 234-4435 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

but we don't know all of that. They know a lot we don't.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234.4430

JUDGE NELSON: And you have some evidence of some admission that they plan to boost the prices after the merger.

MR. McBRIDE: It's an inference. Mr. --Mr. Davidson did not say, "We're going to raise your rates." But it was understood. That was the fear, and we say that's the inference.

JUDGE NELSON: And you need the pricing in order to show that the theoretical competition between the fuels translates into a real one between the railroads.

MR. McBRIDE: Yes, and I need it to rebut Mr. Sharp. That's the whole point. Mr. Sharp's testimony was included in the application.

Mr. Sharp says, "Based on the published FERC data," and everything else, and without ever talking to the SP, he drew the inference from that circumstantial --

JUDGE NELSON: Let's --

MR. McBRIDE: -- evidence that the fuels

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

don't compete. And I want to show --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

JUDGE NELSON: Let me interrupt for a moment --

MR. McBRIDE: Yes.

JUDGE NELSON: -- so that we can see if we're in shape to get rid of that other issue, because I know that Mr. Greenberg's schedule is tight.

MR. McBRIDE: Certainly.

JUDGE NELSON: Yes, Ms. Jones?

MS. JONES: Your Honor, I have located Mr. Dealey, and first of all, he is temporarily in the Shaunberg Office for the foreseeable future.

So if this goes forward, we would revise my request that it be held there and not in Fort Worth.

JUDGE . SON: Fine.

MS. JONES: And they know the company is split between the two locations. Mr. Dealey's son, who is a child, is entering Children's Hospital in Chicago on Monday for serious surgery.

He is unavailable until the recovery is complete. He expects it to be a week. But of course,

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

	1589
1	if there are complications, it could be longer. So he
2	would be available no sooner than the week after that.
3	And that would depend on his son's recovery.
4	JUDGE NELSON: Well, you tell him that we
5	wish the boy well. And we're not going to intrude on
6	that at all.
7	And I'll see that nothing happens along
8	those lines.
9	MS. JONES: Assuming that that
10	JUDGE NELSON: Let the kid get out of the
11	woods, and then you can worry about his deposition.
12	MS. JONES: We will
13	JUDGE NELSON: In the meantime, you always
14	have an offer to take this other witness that she
15	MS. JONES: Mr. Malessa stands
16	JUDGE NELSON: wanted to give you.
17	MS. JONES: And we believe that his
18	schedule is more flexible.
19	JUDGE NELSON: That you could work out.
20	MS. JONES: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.
21	JUDGE NELSON: And so we'll have it in the
22	what's this town, Shaunberg?
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

	1590
1	MS. JONES: Shaunberg in Chicago.
2	JUDGE NELSON: And we'll accommodate the
3	medical situation that the son finds himself in.
4	MS. JONES: And it would be his
5	recovery is, as expected, it will be toward the middle
6	of the month.
7	I should advise, Your Honor, that my
8	client has directed me to consider filing an appeal of
9	this order. So that I just wanted to get that on
10	the record also.
11	JUDGE NELSON: With my best wishes.
12	MS. JONES: Okay.
13	JUDGE NELSON: All right. If you want to
14	work out somebody else, then you can talk with Ms.
15	Jones.
16	MR. GREENBERG: We will talk with Ms.
17	Jones. Thank you very much, Your Honor.
18	MR. MCBRIDE: I have one statement
19	JUDGE NELSON: Now we're back to this coal
20	business. Are you through now, Mr. McBride?
21	MR. McBRIDE: No, not quite, Your Honor.
22	My distinct impression of this whole colloquy that
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHCDE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

-

-

went on between you and Mr. Loftus and Mr. Roach on January 26th was that the premise for the whole discussion was Mr. Sharp's premise that these coals did not compete.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

Therefore, the prices, Mr. Roach contended, were irrelevant.

JUDGE NELSON: Let me suggest that we not dwell on a ruling in January that we can't understand, that I don't remember, and in turn --

MR. MCBRIDE: I'm sorry.

JUDGE NELSON: -- the only thing I remember is that it turned on a presentation from Mr. Loftus that I continued to struggle with why he needed the material.

MR. McBRIDE: Fair enough. I --

JUDGE NELSON: And that -- perhaps confusion produced confusion and that's why the record is where it is and that's why I made that ruling. We're here now.

MR. McBRIDE: Yes sir.

JUDGE NELSON: Okay. We've heard your claim now as to why you need these numbers.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

MR. McBRIDE: I was just being respectful because you had made a ruling based on something. And I felt obliged to kind of bring it altogether.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4435

JUDGE NELSON. I may very well have been wrong or completely misunderstood.

MR. McBRIDE: I appreciate the candor, Your Honor, I really do. But, I mean, for example --JUDGE NELSON: The older you get the, easier it is.

MR. McBRIDE: You said to Mr. Roach in transcript 924: "So his view is that the two coals don't really compete," talking about Sharp's testimony. Mr. Roach: "The two coals do not compete."

And he went on. And that was the premise for the whole thing.

If the coals don't compete, then maybe prices are irrelevant. It's diamonds and bread again, my analogy there.

But if they do compete, then the price is relevant as far as --

JUDGE NELSON: I understand your position.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

And this is not the forum in which to adjudicate whether they compete. You have the right to make that submission.

MR. McBRIDE: Yes sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: All right. Is there anything else before we get to the railroad?

MR. McBRIDE: I just wanted to say that this is also true of the Hannah Basin coals, which UP sole-serves in Wyoming.

Mr. Davidson conceded in a deposition the other day to me that the Hannah Basin coals compete with SP coal origins. So we need that data as well. JUDGE NELSON: You mean the pricing you want?

MR. McBRIDE: That's right.

JUDGE NELSON: For the shipment of these coals.

MR. McBRIDE: Yes. And the last thing I would say as I raised in my letter is I thought it might be argued that it was an abuse of discovery given Your Honor's ruling on January 26th, if I served any discovery about rate information.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

So I have held off until today, but I am prepared today to serve the Applicants and the other parties in the room with interrogatories about that if Your Honor reconsiders this ruling.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234

JUDGE NELSON: Now we may have problems of secrecy and who sees what and how we keep it and all of that we'll have to work out.

MR. McBRIDE: I am an honorable person. Your Honor said when I was here on the morning of the 26th, not the afternoon, that you had never had a problem with that sort of thing at FERC, where I practice occasionally.

JUDGE NELSON: You were partners with Barry Shiflett?

MR. McBRIDE: Yes sir.

JUDGE NELSON: Yes. Well, that's high -that's innocence by association.

(Laughter.)

MR. McBRIDE: And as Your Honor often knows, we are on the pipeline side of these sorts of things and have these concerns too. The shippers have these concerns.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

1594

i

	1595
	TIDCE NEL CON
1	JUDGE NELSON: Barry was a marvelous guy
2	and a superb lawyer.
3	MR. McBRIDE: Thank you.
4	JUDGE NELSON: And he is missed.
5	MR. McBRIDE: Yes, he is.
6	JUDGE NELSON: We may have problems with
7	who can see what if I if I order it, what you can
8	get out of it.
9	MR. McBRIDE: Of course.
10	JUDGE NELSON: We've got an intense
11	competitive situation here.
12	MR. McBRIDE: Of course, it's highly
13	confidential. I don't dispute it.
14	JUDGE NELSON: The more you tell me the
15	coals compete, the more it looks like that railroads
16	compete for them, the more they'll be concerned about
17	disseminating this information.
18	MR. McBRIDE: But we're honorable people.
19	We're lawyers here. We work these things out as you
20	do at PERC. And we'll arrive at some reasonable
21	
	accommodation at that because, if I may just add
22	JUDGE NELSON: There will have to be a way
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
	(202) 234-4433 WASHING W. D.C. 20005 (202) 234-443

to do it.

MR. McBRIDE: Of course. And my clients have just as much of a concern with it as the railroads do because the utility business, as you well know, is becoming very competitive.

We're all out there fighting with one another and merging and stealing customers and everything else.

JUDGE NELSON: We have this every day.

MR. McBRIDE: Of course.

JUDGE NELSON: I had a big fight in the Texaco case about it.

MR. McBRIDE: So, we'll abide by whatever protection is necessary for the data because it's of mutual concern.

JUDGE NELSON: All right. Mr. Roach? MR. McBRIDE: And I want to just say that Mr. Mills is here whose firm made the original request. And as I understand it --

JUDGE NELSON: I should recognize Mr. Norton. These are SP materials right now we're seeking.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

1

MR. McBRIDE: Yes. But Western Coal Traffic League, as I understand it --JUDGE NELSON: And you said --MR. McBRIDE: -- endorses the motion. MR. MILLS: We do, Your Honor. JUDGE NELSON: Do I have the message now

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4435

of why they want it? Anything you want to add to that?

MR. MILLS: The only thing I would add, Your Honor, is that with respect to the pricing information, I think the Applicants have taken the position that they will continue the SP's aggressive pricing in the future.

They want to make -- coal, so why wouldn't they? The pricing information, particularly with respect to the SP Oregon coal and the Southern Wyoming Hannah Basin coal is relevant to that question because if that evidence shows -- that pricing information shows, as we believe it will, that the Hannah prices are quite a bit higher, then that, we think, is evidence that --

JUDGE NELSON: What is they would

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

0		1598
	1	stipulate to all this stuff, that there is such
	2	competition, that they do compete with each other,
	3	that the SP has local captured the traffic by low-
	4	balling them, whatever all these propositions are?
	5	MR. McBRIDE: If they want to
	6	JUDGE NELSON: Would that get rid of it?
	7	MR. McBRIDE: If they want to disavow Mr.
	8	Sharp's testimony in that these coals do compete and
	9	that price is the consideration, then I think we could
	10	proceed. But I don't think they're going to do that.
	11	JUDGE NELSON: All of this comes from
	12	Sharp?
	13	MR. McBRIDE: Yes, that's the coal
	14	witness.
	15	JUDGE NELSON: He is a consultant retained
	16	by the Applicants?
	17	MR. McBRIDE: Yes. And we have asked, by
	18	the way
	19	JUDGE NELSON: So his point, or the
	20	Applicants' point, is that there is no divination of
	21	competition here.
	22	MR. McBRIDE: Because they're separate
		NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

1599

JUDGE NELSON: Because there's no competition.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

MR. McBRIDE: Correct, correct. And I have shown you from their own documents that they do, from the mouth of Mr. Davidson, the Chairman of the Board.

And I want to make one last point because it may -- you may hear it from the Applicants . The letter from SP's counsel indicated that these documents did not include rate information.

They do in several cases. In fact, at HC-65000293, and I'll show you this, Mr. Norton, if you like. Somebody may have gotten tired, but there's a rate here that wasn't redacted.

And it uses the word "rate," and it's for Union Electric Company. I'm not going to read off the number unless Your Honor wants me to, but I'll show you the page.

So I don't want to hear an argument that there aren't any rates in this document because there were and there still are at least one. And here is the reference.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: What interrogatory are we looking at? Do I have the actual question here?

MR. McBRIDE: It was my request to them for the unredacted versions of these two plans that I brought most directly to Your Honor that you said you would rule on at the outset here.

We also have the Slover and Loftus interrogatories here that Your Honor had before you in January.

But my request is broader than that because it is as to this whole document. And I will -- I'm momentarily going to hand you those documents. This is what it's like every day in this

case to go through all of this paper.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. MILLS: Your Honor, if I may, on behalf of the Western Coal Traffic League, we did seek revenue information on both Southern Pacific and Union Pacific coal movements.

And that's what Your Honor ruled on the last time. So we have an interrogatory, a motion to compel, but it was denied.

JUDGE NELSON: Maybe I don't need to see

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 PHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

	1601
1	the language in front of me
2	MR. McBRIDE: Here it is.
3	JUDGE NELSON: if I understand what it
4	is you're seeking.
5	MR. McBRIDE: Number 18, Your Honor, if I
6	recall the record, was the one
7	JUDGE NELSON: It's the details of the
8	prices at which these coals are moving on the two
9	railroads?
10	MR. McBRIDE: That's exactly right.
11	JUDGE NELSON: Over defined periods of
12	time?
13	MR. McBRIDE: Yes. The data in these two
14	business plans, so that's '94 and '95 data as I.
15	understand it. And then my interrogatories would be
16	for approximately the same time period to UP and SP if
17	you will allow that.
18	JJDGE NELSON: All right. Let me let
19	me turn now to the Applicants. And let me suggest,
20	Mr. Roach, that this is not the place to adjudicate
21	whether the two fuels, in fact, compete.
22	That's for the Surface Transportation
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433
11	

Board. This is just discovery.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

He's got a colorable claim and wants to go further in discover.

MR. ROACH: Yes, and that's really all I wanted to say before I turn it over to Mr. Norton is that we disagree most, most strenuously with Mr. McBride's representations as to what the evidence shows or can be inferred to show with what the real facts are, et cetera.

But the whole argument that we had last time, Your Honor, with Mr. Loftus and myself and you, was not -- it did not turn on whether I was right in representing that they don't compete.

The whole idea was that he was entitled to discovery into his contention that they do compete. And what it turned on was the highly sensitive and proprietary nature of the revenue data, and the adequacy of the volume projections for him to get his chance to prove his side of that debate.

And I just wanted to say that and leave it

JUDGE NELSON: We thought that the volume

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

	1603
1	would be enough?
2	MR. ROACH: Absolutely, and there's a long
3	record on this. And you weren't, at all, unclear I
4	think once we were finished, Your Honor.
5	It's true that all the maps and who, which
6	coal and all that took some time to go through.
7	But I didn't win or lose that debate over
8	whether I could convince you the coals don't compete.
9	As you very responsibly have done on every issue like
10	this.
11	You said, "I don't want to get off the
12	merits, Mr. Roach. I want to know what you can tell
13	me about why they shouldn't have a chance to discover
14	this."
15	JUDGE NELSON: I have to peek at the
16	merits in
17	MR. ROACH: Absolutely.
18	JUDGE NELSON: order to understand the
19	relevance.
20	MR. ROACH: Right.
21	JUDGE NELSON: But once I get that
22	contention, of course I can't adjudicate which coal
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
	1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 23005 (202) 234-4433

C

1604 competes with which, if any. So -- Mr. Norton? 1 MR. NORTON: Your Honor, most of what 2 you've heard today on this point has very little to do 3 with the question that was -- that prompted the 4 5 appearance today, the redactions of revenue 6 information from the SP business plans in accordance 7 with the ruling in January. 8 Almost all of the discussion was solely --JUDGE NELSON: When you say "revenue 9 information, " --10 11 MR. NORTON: Revenue projections. 12 JUDGE NELSON: -- you're including price? MR. NORTON: No, that's precisely the 13 point. 14 15 JUDGE MELSON: Oh, not price. MR. NORTON: All of the discussion has 16 been about rates. The business plans and the 17 redactions that were made were of projections of 18 19 revenue. They were not of rates. MR. McBRIDE: If I may, Your Honor, I 20 21 object because that's what I pointed out to you at the 22 end, that the document contains a couple of references NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

WASP TN, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

at least to rates that were not redacted, disproving their point that it contains no rate evidence.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

MR. ROACH: I thought it was improper to stand up when someone else was talking.

MR. McBRIDE: If you have an objection, it's always all right.

MR. ROACH: Oh, it's always all right. Okay, I'll remember that.

JUDGE NELSON: Well, let me go back. I thought that what Mr. Norton said was that our discussion with Mr. McBride here turned on rates, seemed to involve rates.

MR. NORTON: Exactly.

JUDGE NELSON: That's what I thought he wanted.

MR. NORTON: That's right. JUDGE NELSON: All right.

MR. NORTON: He never asked for it before. In two sets of discovery requests, they did not call for this rate information. What we did in the business plan, in accordance with the ruling made on this issue on -- Western Coal had requested revenue

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

information, was we redacted the projections of revenue continued in the plan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

We did not redact projections of volume, nor did we redact rates.

There aren't that many -- I'm not sure how many references there are, but there are not very many. And this is proof that we didn't.

JUDGE NELSON: But he knows how many carloads are moving --

MR. NORTON: That's right. Well, these are projections. These were not historical carloads. JUDGE NELSON: Projected carloads.

MR. NORTON: Right.

JUDGE NELSON: What he doesn't know is. what the -- what you guessed you were going to gross by moving these goods.

MR. NORTON: That's correct. And that was precisely the distinction that was drawn on January 26th in your ruling on the WCTL discovery request. And it was again, as Mr. Roach indicates, it was the sensitivity, not the question of whether there is competition or not.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: Is there a way to deal with that sensitivity by a very limited turnover?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

MR. NORTON: That's always a possibility, Your Honor. But I think -- let me just go back to the

JUDGE NELSON: Say counsel will --

MR. NORTON: There are two -- that would be one possibility if we had to do it, obviously. There are two very distinct issues, though, and I think they've gotten mixed together.

The redaction question of the revenue information is one question, and that's what we've addressed in our letter. And that was -- that was what was governed by --

JUDGE NELSON: I haven't heard anything about that today. It's all about rates today.

MR. NORTON: That's exactly the point, Your Honor. The second thing in Mr. McBride's letter at the tail-end was his notion that he can now come in with some new interrogatories, not only to SP but to UP, inquiring into rate information, which he never asked for before.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

It has nothing to do with these redactions. It is a complete attempt to just add something that was a belated afterthought, that the deadline for further discovery ended on the 26th of February.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

They could have done it then to preserve whatever right they felt they had. But there is nothing in the information that he has identified in the deposition that, in any way, justifies disclosure of the redacted revenue information.

And there is certainly nothing that says that he should be entitled now, after the deadline, to come in with interrogatories --

JUDGE NELSON: If the governing consideration before was the sensitivity of the materials, did we discuss any ways to protect it or limit it?

MR. NORTON: Not at that time, Your Honor. Your Honor ruled and denied the request. We had agreed to produce --

JUDGE NELSON: We just assumed that if they had been turned over, it would have been under

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

the usual procedures --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

MR. NORTON: That's correct.

JUDGE NELSON: -- with the warehousing and the circulation and all that?

MR. NORTON: That's correct.

MR. ROACH: Well, it was also a delicate balancing process. You were looking at should I grant everything, should I have special protection, should I grant part? And we ended up resolving it. p

And these folks didn't appeal. WCTL didn't appeal. And now we have our friends, some more coals interests, double-teaming us here and raising that whole issue all over again because they asked a question of Mr. Davidson and he said, "I don't think the coals compete."

And now they come in here and they say. "Oh, he really can be inferred to say they do compete, so I want discovery that was denied another party weeks ago."

That's what we're dealing with here. MR. NORTON: And, Your Honor, the redacted revenue information that is contained in these reports

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, H.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

is not going to show the rates. It is not of that nature. It is projections. It is not actual, and none of his arguments about the need to show competition support --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: Well, where he wants this -- this rate material he wants to get? It's not in these documents?

MR. NORTON: It is not in these documents. He didn't ask for it. He's had two rounds already. He didn't ask for it in those two rounds.

He now wants a third one after the deadline. He has had plenty of opportunity long before this to go into this question if he thought this was so essential to his case that he had to have it.

It is much too late in the day to start out new discovery on rate information that he could have asked for before, which is highly sensitive in any event.

And it would involve the same -- in addition, to get rate information, it's not just a matter of going to a report on a shelf. That is

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

something that goes into particular shippers and particular situations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

There is a burden involved in doing that which goes beyond the question of the redactions here. It's entirely unjustified and is just too late in the day, it seems to us.

JUDGE NELSON: What do you say about the procedural aspects?

MR. McBRIDE: Well, first of all, I didn't get into that in the opening because you said you didn't want to hear it. But I'm happy to reply to it.

Of course I didn't appeal the ruling. I wasn't a party to the original request. And in any event, the way I read the record, as I think counsel somewhat conceded here, Your Honor expected there might be further developments on this.

And you denied it without prejudice and people were going to try to work things out.

As to the lateness, the alleged lateness of this, here's the problem. I was days away from asking these kinds of interrogatories when the party that was in this case before me, Western Coal Traffic

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

League, asked very similar discovery.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Э

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

And Your Honor ruled, I was aware of that, "The parties shall avoid any duplicative discovery requests." That's in the guidelines.

So I said well, I'm not going to burden these people with the same questions. And then Your Honor ruled.

Now, as counsel -- one of my members points out, I'm not --

JUDGE NELSON: Mr. Norton says that the --MR. McBRIDE: -- I'm a responsible lawyer.

JUDGE NELSON: -- rate information isn't even in these documents.

MR. McBRIDE: Well, may I get to that in just a moment? I just want to complete this procedural thought that, as Mr. Moreno points out to me too, once you had ruled we couldn't have the rate and revenue information, I thought it would be inappropriate to propound additional discovery asking for the very thing you had just ruled we couldn't have.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

1613 JUDGE NELSON: Then why are you doing it? 1 MR. McBRIDE: Well, that -- instead of 2 propounding and burdening, that's the distinction I'm 3 drawing, I did it the only responsible way that I 4 know, which is to ask you to reconsider, which is why 5 I'm before you now. All right? Now --6 7 MR. ROACH: Well --8 MR. McBRIDE: I'm not finished. 9 MR. ROACH: I'm getting ready to object, 10 but I'll sit down. 11 (Laughter.) MR. McBRIDE: Now Your Honor asked about 12 whether the documents contained rate information. 13 First of all, I said rate and revenue at the outset. 14 15 The do certainly contain revenue 16 information. I showed you they contain rate references. I can show you more to a Coors rate 17 redaction item here. 18 19 The print is so small down here at the bottom, I think they must have forgotten to redact 20 that too. But I'll find it for you if it's important. 21 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCI ... JERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: Well, either they do or they don't. If it's not in there, there's nothing to produce.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

MR. McBRIDE: Well, that's part of it. They do.

MR. NORTON: The representation was that we did not -- the redacted information was revenue projections. It was not rate information. There is no inconsistency.

JUDGE NELSON: So anything -- anything Mr. Norton gives you from the previous redactions is not going to be rate information.

MR. McBRIDE: All right. In any event, as to the revenues, this is really not a very difficult question.

Yes, it's labelled "revenues," and it appears to me that it's not just projections, but revenues that were actually earned and that they're working off of for future periods.

But all you do to get the revenues is multiply the rates times the tons, and you get dollars. That's revenue.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

But that's necessarily rate. Now we've got the tons, and we can derive the rates, or at least the approximation of the rates, from the revenues.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

So we're not talking about two wildly different things here. And I will say Mr. Roach indicated that it didn't -- your ruling didn't turn on whether the coals compete. That's the way I read the record.

And he went on to arg e at that time that yes, and we'll give them the tonnages. And guess what? They're all irrelevant because they're separate markets.

So that's the argument that we're going to be met with here. And I don't know how the Board is going to rule because I was candid enough, I hope you'll agree, to tell you that I don't represent to you that these two coals compete at every single power plant and every single destination.

JUDGE NELSON: No, I recall it was to some extent.

MR. McBRIDE: Largely. So we've got a factual dispute. Your Honor is familiar with this.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433