#### INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION 03/06/96 FINANCE DOCKET # 32760 1852-1875 3.

responses.

Then the Applicants will look at the responses. And if we have objections, we'll make a good faith effort to resolve them.

We have responded to over, I think, 1,200 requests was the number I gave. And it may seem like, Your Honor, all of those were brought to you for review. But in fact, the parties resolved most of those between themselves --

JUDGE NELSON: I realize that.

MR. LIVINGSTON: -- and only a small fraction were brought to Your Honor. And I think that will be true here.

JUDGE NELSON: That's the way it's supposed to work.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Right. And we can't -that's the process that Your Honor set up in December
for resolving the discovery disputes.

JUDGE NELSON: Can you do anything with the --

MR. LIVINGSTON: I think we ought to stick to it.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

6

9

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

giving or we're putting some documents in the depository.

They would go look and see what they're getting. And then they would think that's not enough, we want more; or oh, that's okay. That's what we were really after. And that would be the end of the matter.

We will do the same here when we see their responses, not just their objections, but their responses.

JUDGE NELSON: What do you suggest in terms of the timing?

MR. LIVINGSTON: I think on this dispute -- I'm not talking about the prematurity dispute which could be argued earlier. I would think we ought to file these file these responses on the 12th.

We ought to look at them. And if -- and probably within the next week or so, we will make our judgements and try to work with them to see if there are nay problems.

> And we'll commit to you that we --JUDGE NELSON: Well, we need to go --

### **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND WENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, L.J. 20005

MR. LIVINGSTON: -- will focus those disputes. JUDGE NELSON: We need to go faster than that because of the alleged chilling effect. MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor --JUDGE NELSON: If there is no chilling effect, then how cares? MR. LIVINGSTON: There is no --JUDGE NELSON: If there is one, and for present purposes, I can't make a decision whether there is or isn't one on this record, then we've got to move more rapidly. MR. LIVINGSTON: Well, there is -- there is no chilling effect. For one thing, the documents that were written in the past, the notes that he talked about, things that happened in the past, that's water over the dam. JUDGE NELSON: There is nothing to prevent Mr. McBride from filing affidavits that detail for particular persons how they feel about things, if you

have a pendency for these requests.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Well, so --

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

JUDGE NELSON: So that we have some explanation of all of these. MR. McBRIDE: You see, the problem is I don't want to give them to Applicants or I will have committed the very -- created the very problem here we're trying to avoid. These people don't want to be identified. MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor? MR. McBRIDE: That's the informer's privilege. JUDGE NELSON: You could give them to me. MR. McBRIDE: That's part of it. That's what --JUDGE NELSON: Yes, and give a redacted copy without the signature identifying details. And then we'll -- I could at least see what the affiants are claiming. MR. LIVINGSTON: There is a -- he is required to present evidence if he were to try to bring himself within that narrow NAACP exception. There are no -- there is no evidence

NEAL R. GROSS
SOURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

presented --

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

JUDGE NELSON: This is what don't know from the cases: what threshold he has to show and how he shows it.

MR. LIVINGSTON: well on the chilling issue, if Your Honor wants to hear more on that on -- after the prematurity is argued -
JUDGE NELSON: So your view is -
MR. LIVINGSTON: -- then that's fine.

We'll come in and argue that point.

JUDGE NELSON: Your view is to let him respond on the 12th, and then gather sometime thereafter to go through all of this?

MR. LIVINGSTON: Well, and there may be nothing to go through. We may be able to work it out. I would hope we could. Maybe we can.

JUDGE NELSON: History would teach that you'll work through some of it.

MR. LIVINGSTON: A great majority.

JUDGE NELSON: Yes.

MR. LIVINGSTON: The great majorit.

JUDGE NELSON: And there will be less left to adjudicate.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

2

4

6

7

9

10

12

13

14

16

15

17 18

19

20

21

22

MR. LIVINGSTON: Much less. And in some cases, some parties, probably nothing.

JUDGE NELSON: Can we speed up the response any, Mr. McBride? Can you get it in sooner than the 12th?

MR. McBRIDE: No. I said before that I'm going to do my level best to respond to those four interrogatories by Tuesday.

But I can tell you on number six, we had to retain a consultant to go out and try to get the information. And it's about tonnages and rail routing and everything else.

JUDGE NELSON: What interrogatory?

MR. McBRIDE: Interrogatory number six.

And I am doing my level best to get them that information, which is obviously relevant, by Tuesday.

And I'm going to try to answer the other interrogatories by Tuesday.

But, I mean, my client --

JUDGE NELSON: Well, we're not talking about those now. We're talking about interrogatories one and five and document requests 13, 14 and 15 --

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

MR. McBRIDE: Those are the ones I'm not responding to. JUDGE NELSON: -- 16 and 17. MR. McBRIDE: Those are the ones I'm not responding to. JUDGE NELSON: And 21. MR. McBRIDE: Yes. It's the others that I might be alleged to have an obligation to respond 8 9 to. 10 JUDGE NELSON: Well, you're not going to do anything more? You're not going to --11 12 MR. McBRIDE: No, I just told you that I -13 14 JUDGE NELSON: You're not going to develop 15 the record in any way? MR. McBRIDE: No, that's not so, Your 16 17 Honor. 18 JUDGE NELSON: Well, let's take 19 interrogatory one. 20 MR. McBRIDE: I'm going to --JUDGE NELSON: Are you prepared to do 21 22 anything in the world about interrogatory one other

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

II

3

4

6

7

•

10

11

12

simply --

13

14

16 17

18

19

20

22

than you've done right now?

MR. McBRIDE: I have to see my client's documents to see whether any are responsive to that before I can answer that question.

But I do have my own scraps of paper and what have you in a pile in my office which I'm not going to produce. I'm going to claim work product.

But I'll show them to Your Honor.

JUDGE NELSON: That's fine.

MR. McBRIDE: Yes. Okay.

JUDGE NELSON: Now my thinking is if you

MR. McBRIDE: But I have --

JUDGE NELSON: -- you stand on the letter and you say that's it, and I've got nothing more to say; I could help you in no further regard, I would be very strongly tempted to deny the request.

MR. McBRIDE: I understand. But I'm going to put them in a box and I'll bring them down here.

But I hate to burden you with seeing my deposition notes and my notes of telephone conversations --

MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

MR. McBRIDE: -- and all of that. MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor, we're not going to burden you with that. JUDGE NELSON: Well, if I have to do it, I put my hand in there and I pull out a couple and I'll say, Mr. McBride, this is work product. MR. McBRIDE: That's what --JUDGE NELSON: Then I'll say every person 8 9 -- what's so important that we should override work 10 product? MR. LIVINGSTON: But Your Honor, the 11 12 process is --JUDGE NELSON: Line byline, document by 13 document, the old fashioned way. 14 15 MR. LIVINGSTON: If he asserts work 16 product --JUDGE NELSON: What's wrong with that? 17 MR. LIVINGSTON: If he asserts work 18 product, the documents that are work product -- and we 19 20 agree that there's a work product privilege. There's no dispute about that. 21 We claimed work product privilege 22

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

\_

3

5

6

8

10

11

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

22

ourselves when discovery was directed to us.

JUDGE NELSON: Yes, I recall.

MR. LIVINGSTON: And in some cases -- in some particulars, that was challenged.

JUDGE NELSON: In -- yes, and sometimes it wasn't.

MR. LIVINGSTON: And most of the -- most of the time people said yes, that's right. That's privileged.

And Your Honor didn't have to look at it.

There was no dispute. And then there will be -- in cases here -- if thy assert Mr. McBride has written a memo to his client and he's asserting attorney/client and work product, Your Honor is never going to have to look at that.

JUDGE NELSON: All right, so --

MR. LIVINGSTON: There won't be any dispute.

JUDGE NELSON: The procedural suggestion then is that we simply let matters go forward until such a time that there is a response and the questions are framed and then we adjudicate.

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

20

21

22

MR. LIVINGSTON: Yes, and if there -
JUDGE NELSON: Assuming the moratorium

argument doesn't --

MR. KILLORY: Your Honor, it's key that I think Your Honor says this, and I don't think Mr. Livingston will contest it. The prematurity argument, essential to that is a burden argument that won't be resolved by phone and that kind of practice.

So moreover to the extent -- for the convenience of Your Honor, we're perfectly happy to put it off until Tuesday.

If that's the same day his responses are due, we can't respond. I mean, part of the burden argument is that to take these same people away to develop the responses that are preparing testimony for that's due March 29th just can't be done.

So that -- I don't want to mislead the Court that -- if you want to hear us on Friday so that we can resolve it sooner, we'll do that.

If it works better for Your Honor, we'll do it Tuesday. That does run it back a little. But we won't be able to follow the process that says well,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

2

4

5

3

6

7

9

10

1.

12

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

withholding anything.

I want to find out whether this report, the consultant for my client has done for him in this matter, has been divulged to other parties.

If it has, they're going to get it. If it hasn't, it's still work product and we're going to decide whether to file it in the case.

I'm not standing here refusing to respond to questions. You saw me give them a report last Friday, way ahead of time. I am going to answer his questions.

JUDGE NELSON: I understand that.

MR. McBRIDE: And I am going to be responsive. I'm a responsible person and we'll give them what we've got.

JUDGE NELSON: What do you say about the suggestion of Mr. Livingston that we await your response on the 12th and then see where we go with these issues?

MR. McBRIDE: The problem is -- I've got two problems. First of all, there's the chilling effect day by day because I've held off going to see

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

21

22

Mr. Billiel and his colleagues.

And my client's notes of meetings with governmental officials and everybody else, including his own members perhaps, because they are parties in the proceeding, are potentially subject to discovery.

So people don't even keep notes of meetings and all this --

JUDGE NELSON: Well, that is why I proposed Monday or Tuesday --

MR. McBRIDE: I understand.

JUDGE NELSON: -- to make the chilling effect a very short period of time.

MR. McBRIDE: The other problem is --

JUDGE NELSON: What could you do by that

time?

MR. McBRIDE: What could I do?

JUDGE NELSON: Suppose I have everybody in here on Tuesday --

MR. McBRIDE: Yes.

JUDGE NELSON: -- and tell you to be ready to sharpen this case, sharpen the focus and give me a record that I can rule on with respect to these

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

issues. Can you do anything by Tuesday?

MR. McBRIDE: Do you mean by way of affidavit or by giving them documents? Because if I give them documents Tuesday, you're not going to have seen them and had a chance to review them.

I can tell you what I've instructed my client to do.

JUDGE NELSON: Then what is your suggestion? If you can't do it by Tuesday, when do you want to do it?

MR. McBRIDE: My suggestion is that you hear the prematurity as soon as you can hear it.

JUDGE NELSON: So that appears to be Friday.

MR. McBRIDE: Friday or Monday. If Friday interferes with the Tennessee case, then Monday morning. I think we're --

JUDGE NELSON: They're already prepared to go Friday afternoon, so I'd be taking you on Friday afternoon.

MR. McBRIDE: Okay. All right, so I would suggest you take that on Friday afternoon.

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

JUDGE NELSON: I can do that.

MR. McBRIDE: Tes. And then we see where we are. And meanwhile --

JUDGE NELSON: Let's assume that I -- at the end of that, rule that discovery is not premature.

MR. McBRIDE: Correct.

JUDGE NELSON: Where are we then?

MR. McBRIDE: Well, then we are all trying to respond as best we can by Tuesday, which I think is the date that applies to just about every one of us that got served late.

And then the Applicants will review those responses and see if they want to come to Your Honor for a ruling.

But meanwhile, you may -- as you indicated earlier, you may be expecting something from me by way of affidavit.

And I couldn't be trying to prepare an affidavit in the meantime. I have two pleadings tomorrow in another case. I've got other things to do.

JUDGE NELSON: Maybe you don't need to do

**NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

that work --

MR. McBRIDE: Right.

JUDGE NELSON: -- until you know -- well,

two things: 1) the fate of the prematurity argument -

MR. McBRIDE: Right.

JUDGE NELSON: -- and that you can know

Friday --

MR. McBRIDE: Right, right.

JUDGE NELSON: -- and 2) to what extent the Applicants want to continue the fight.

MR. McBRIDE: Right. And let me point out to Your Honor also that I've tried to get hold of my client yesterday and this morning before this hearing started, even though it's two hours earlier out there.

And I found out from his wife, whom I woke up this morning, that he's in Wyoming and we can't reach him.

And he has one staff person at the Utah Mining Association. Now, I don't know how I'm supposed to get him to do all of this.

JUDGE NELSON: So what you want to do then

**NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

3

6

,

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

22

is go ahead and make the response that you would otherwise make on March 12th, not have to bother with me.

MR. McBRIDE: Yes.

JUDGE NELSON: Then leave it to the discoverers to invoke a conference if they want.

MR. McBRIDE: Well, but I still need to be heard once you sort out the prematurity argument on Friday. I still need to be heard since my objections are before you on this chilling effect problem --

JUDGE NELSON: I can't --

MR. McBRIDE: -- on the specific --

JUDGE NELSON: Unless the cases convert me to something --

MR. McBRIDE: Right.

JUDGE NELSON: -- I have doubt whether on this record I could rule your way.

MR. McBRIDE: I hear you. But if I could put in an affidavit, it might change your mind, right?J

JUDGE NELSON: There's no rule that says you can't strengthen the case.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

MR. McBRIDE: Well, here's the problem. Here's the problem. They asked an all-documents 2 request, and I say a request for all communications between me and other parties in a proceeding calls for an equally broad response. That's work product. Now, I think Your Honor can rule on that, 6 quite respectfully. That's the -- the request was so broad that we had to make this broad response. 8 JUDGE NELSON: Let's assume that every --9 there's a box of papers and they are all indeed work 10 product. That doesn't get us home. 11 We then have a qualified privilege in 12 which we weight such things was the need for these 13 documents, why they can't get them elsewhere --14 15

MR. McBRIDE: Right.

-- what burdens are JUDGE NELSON: involved, to what extent the documents reflect the lawyer's thoughts, comments, analyses and so forth.

MR. McBRIDE: Right.

JUDGE NELSON: We have work to do with those documents.

MR. McBRIDE: None of which has to be done

**NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

if we wait until March 29th to find out what evidence we have filed to find out what any of this has to do with anything. JUDGE NELSON: That's the prematurity. 5 That's going to be Friday. 6 MR. McBRIDE: That's why I suggested that be Friday. But in any event --8 JUDGE NELSON: I'm trying to understand what happens -- well, maybe I shouldn't worry about 9 what happens. I should just have everybody in Friday, 10 11 let the chips fall where they may --12 MR. KILLORY: It may work -- consistent with what Your Honor said about your schedule, until 13 Sunday 14 15 JUDGE NELSON: You know that I have Monday 16 and Tuesday free. MR. LIVINGSTON: So Your Honor, what time 17 would we be here on Friday? 18 JUDGE NELSON: I have it set now at two 19 o'clock. 20 MR. LIVINGSTON: That's fine. Well, maybe 21

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

22

JUDGE NELSON: I gave the pipeline the option of whether they wanted the first part of the day or the second, and they took the first.

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR LIVINGSTON: But from what -- I gather we'll be here then Friday on the prematurity as well as on Mr. Lubel's other --

JUDGE NELSON: Mr. Lubel has some other stuff.

MR. LIVINGSTON: -- some other matters that will be up. Why don't we do that and then see where we are?

But it seems to me that everybody is working towards the same deadlines.

JUDGE NELSON: Yes, I think that we have a consensus that the thing to do now is to rule on this prematurity point on Friday.

So I'm going to defer any further ruling on Mr. McBride's questions pending argument on the prematurity motion, which will be held Friday at 2:00 p.m., along with Mr. Lubel's request.

And I will see you all Friday afternoon at 2:00 p.m.

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

MR. McBRIDE: Thank you.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Whereupon, the discovery conference

concluded at 11:26 a.m.)

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRILLERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

### CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript in

the matter of:

Discovery Conference: Union Pacific Corporation, et al. -Control and Merger-

Southern Pacific Rail Corporation, et

Before:

Surface Transportation Board Finance Docket No. 32760

Date:

March 6, 1996

Place:

Washington, DC

represents the full and complete proceedings of the aforementioned matter, as reported and reduced to typewriting.

Muhe Ped