INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION 03/20/96 FINANCE DOCKET # 32760 2198-2255 2+

1.	MR. DOWD: Your Honor, the
2	JUDGE NELSON: No substantiation.
3	MR. DOWD: the key distinction between
4	
5	JUDGE NELSON: Why isn't that the way
6	things get
7	MR. DOWD: The key distinction between
8	your hypothetical and this case is that the Western
9	Coal Traffic League has not yet taken any position on
10	the merits.
11	JUDGE NELSON: Well, that was going to be
12	my
13	MR. DOWD: We do not
14	JUDGE NELSON: my next question.
15	MR. DOWD: we do not deny we do not
16	deny tat if the comments of the Western Coal Traffic
17	League include the statement of a witness, that
18	witness must be available for deposition.
19	If the comments of the Western Coal
20	Traffic League make arguments or take positions
21	regarding specific facts regarding specific members,
22	it will be incumbent upon the League to provide the

(202) 234-4433

to the Applicants. 2 JUDGE NELSON: How about if you seek 3 particular conditions? If we seek particular MR. DOWD: 5 conditions in the comments, it will be incumbent on 6 the League, I believe, to provide the support -- the basis for those conditions. 8 JUDGE NELSON: All right, all right. This 9 seems to me a reasonable, solid, lawyer-like position. 10 He recognizes the potential pitfalls of the position 11 and is wiling to confront them in the context of any 12 specific position that his client takes in the 13 14 proceeding. Why isn't that enough? MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor, we --15 JUDGE NELSON: In other words, that this 16 17 is what we had called phase two discovery last time. MR. LIVINGSTON: We went through the 18 distinctions between phase one and phase two. 19 JUDGE NELSON: Yes, but we didn't then 20 know what we now know, namely that there was a problem 21 22 about a rule of law insofar as it applied to the

basis for those assertions, and to make them available

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

Now

compelling of associations to produce data from members. I had never heard that one before. we're hearing it. MR. LIVINGSTON: It was not argued before, but we went through these discovery requests. We went through Conrail's, but the results were applied to these coalitions and associations, just as they were applied to all other parties. And the -- and it was determined that some of those discovery requests are phase one. Now the ones that are phase two where there has been a proper prematurity objection raised, those are already in phase two. Sop that's -- that's by the Board. But the ones --JUDGE NELSON: I think what Mr. Dowd is --MR. LIVINGSTON: None of these are determined to be phase one already and there is no reason for --JUDGE NELSON: What Mr. Dowd is suggesting is that the -- the problem that I see here, namely their legal position about honoring

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIE 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

their

powerlessness to compel material from members, may clash with a subset position taken in any case. It may require some balancing and some fine-tuning, some probing. But that all doesn't happen until we see what, if anything, they're saying in this case. For all we now know, the coal shippers may file nothing or may file a piece of paper that says go ahead with the merger. MR. LIVINGSTON: I don't think there's any

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

-- if they were going to file nothing, they would know that and they would tell you that right now, Your They're -- I don't think that's a likely Honor. scenario and they know whether it's a likely scenario.

JUDGE NELSON: I really think the fight now is about when, not whether.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor, I think --JUDGE NELSON: If there's a rule of law, like Mr. Dowd says, that protects -- logically you would think there would be. You can't be asked to do the impossible.

MR. DOWD: Your Honor, I have the cases

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2	MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor, I've been
3	JUDGE NELSON: You cited a case in your
4	letter. I read it.
5	MR. DOWD: I brought copies for you.
6	JUDGE NELSON: You had a quotation in
7	there.
8	MR. LIVINGSTON: They cited the Farmland
9	case, which was not a merger case. I've been involved
10	in at least two cases recently in Federal court where
11	the associations are on the other side, where the
12	court required the association to seek information
13	from its members, even though the association didn't
14	own the members, didn't control the members in that
15	sense.
16	JUDGE NELSON: I think there comes a time
17	when that may happen.
18	MR. LIVINGSTON: And that was part of the
19	general discovery.
20	MR. DOWD: Your Honor, there are
21	JUDGE NELSON: And the association can'
22	have it that it can be in there, slugging it out and
THE REAL PROPERTY.	

(202) 234-4433

taking positions and at the same time, not giving up
any discovery.
MR. LIVINGSTON: And two of these two
of these
JUDGE NELSON: Without paying a heavy
price, it seems to me.
MR. LIVINGSTON: I think it's also worth
emphasizing, Your Honor, this is not true of his
client, but it's true of two of the associations here.
Two of the associations are not permanent entities.
These were coalitions. The National
Industrial Traffic League is a large organization with
many members.
MR. DOWD: Your Honor, I'm not Your
Honor, I'm not up here for the Western Shippers
Coalition or the Coalition for Competitive
MR. LIVINGSTON: Well, two of these
coalitions
MR. DOWD: so I think we should take
that separately.
JUDGE NELSON: Let's deal with these
permanent associations right now.

(202) 234-4433

1	MR. LIVINGSTON: Okay, there are three
2	permanent associations.
3	JUDGE NELSON: Right.
4	MR. LIVINGSTON: And they've been actively
5	involved here. They're all going to be filing
6	evidence.
7	JUDGE NELSON: That's the coal people, the
8	NIT League and the Plastics.
9	MR. LIVINGSTON: Plastics.
10	JUDGE NELSON: All right.
11	MR. LIVINGSTON: Western Coal Traffic
12	League
13	JUDGE NELSON: Okay. Why shouldn't we
14	defer this question until it's presented in the
15	context of whatever specific position they take in
16	their filings?
17	MR. LIVINGSTON: Well because, first or
18	all, there's already been a determination that the
19	subject matter of the interrogatories in question are
20	either phase one or phase two. And we want we're
21	seeking the phase one ones now.
22	Second, I don't hear from him a commitmen

(202) 234-4433

to respond to this discovery after he files his 1 comments. He's saying he's simply going to give us 2 the work papers that support the testimony or the 3 basis for the testimony, and they'll make the witness available. 5 But it doesn't strike me that he's going 6 to be willing to allow his association to produce documents from the files of his members in response to 8 the discove v requests of this sort. 9 10 MR. DOWD: Your Honor, the Western Coal Traffic League has no power today, tomorrow, next month to require any information to be produced from its members. We can't do it. You can stand here and order me to do it, and I couldn't comply. JUDGE NELSON: That position may be all right now, but might get your position in trouble next month. MR. DOWD: What I think -- what I think is the case, and the reason I believe there is no --JUDGE NELSON: We can't have a system that

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

entertains a submission and a position through a party

(202) 234-4433

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

who, at the same time, says we're powerless to pull or members or to tell our members to get you anything about it.

MR. DOWD: Well, I would --

JUDGE NELSON: That one comes out a little

6

7

5

MR. DOWD: I would not --

JUDGE NELSON: -- a little too tight for -

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. DOWD: I would not disagree with the proposition that if the Western Coal Traffic League, as part of its presentation, includes assertions which are grounded upon facts specific to individual members regarding their operating systems or their whole supplier arrangements, that if the Coal League is not prepared to produce the documents or the information or if it is in the form of a verified statement, the witness for deposition that supports that, that

position has no credibility.

I'll be the first one to say that a party that makes a claim and can't support its claim has no right to expect the claim to be taken seriously.

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: There are cases where the U.S. is told by the courts, yes, you have these privileges. But there comes a point where you've got to pony up the stuff, notwithstanding the privilege, or else you can't make a case.

MR. DOWD: And to use your term, Your Honor, when the time comes, we'll pony up the stuff. If we make the claim, if we make an assertion of fact, we will present documents. We will make available documents that support those facts.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor --

MR. DOWD: But we're not going to take a position that we don't have foundation for. If we present a witness, the witness will be available.

JUDGE NELSON: And if the position is we can't ask our members, we have no power to get anything from them, then you can make what you want of that.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Well, they certainly have the power to ask, and --

JUDGE NELSON: Yes.

MR. LIVINGSTON: -- and the Commission has

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1	the power to say if the member does not respond, we'll
2	
3	JUDGE NELSON: We'll disregard the
4	position.
5	MR. LIVINGSTON: we'll disregard
6	anything
7	MR. DOWD: The Commission I would beg
8	to differ, Your Honor. The Commission does not have
9	that power. If the law cannot compel the production
10	of information from a non-party, there can't be a
11	preclusion of a party
12	JUDGE NELSON: Well, I understand your
13	position.
14	MR. DOWD: taking the position. There
15	can be
16	JUDGE NELSON: I'm not sure I agree with
17	it though.
18	MR. DOWD: Well, there can be there can
19	be a question of credibility.
20	JUDGE NELSON: I think there are cases
21	where the United States has been told and it goes
22	for the United States, it certainly goes to the

(202) 234-4433

Western Coal Shippers -- you can't bring this case and then sit back and claim the privilege. 2 So, you've got a choice, United States. 3 Pull the case or turn over the privileged stuff. I believe I've been in cases of that nature. 5 I don't think the Board is powerless to 6 draw adverse inferences or disregard a case if any party finds itself in this position of putting forward 8 a case while at the same time sitting on a privilege 9 10 that would shed light on the methods of that case. 11 But I think we've got to designate this in 12 the context of a position and what we'll then see what 13 Mr. Dowd's client is saying, what he is then willing to turn over, pursuant to his commitment this morning. 14 MR. LIVINGSTON: The problem we have on 15 this commitment is he says we'll give you the evidence 16 17 we're going to present and we'll give you everything that supports --JUDGE NELSON: So the factual assertions there will give you the backup for it. MR. LIVINGSTON: We'll lose all the support for it. What we want -- of course, we want to 22

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIB 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

18

19

20

21

get the stuff in the files that doesn't support the position, but undercuts it which is inconsistent with -- which undercuts their position and that's what's in there -- that's what the numbers ought to be required to produce. They ought to be able to cherry pick their members, find one member who's got a position that they want to be able to present and there are three other members who have inconsistent stuff and they're biased, they bury them, they don't represent anything from them. We ought to be able to get into the files of all the members --

JUDGE NELSON: Maybe you have reason to believe they have a member who would be a dissident, but we don't know that now.

MR. LIVINGSTON: It's not a question of being a dissident. They could all be supportive of whatever the relief is being sought is, but they may have materials in their files that doesn't support the allegations they're making.

We want to find out if the allegations they're making are going to be supportive --

JUDGE NELSON: It may be that when we look

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

at the actual claim, we can see better and your people 1 will have a better idea as to what shippers there 2 might be that would have something in their files that 3 would -- what members that would make sense in this department. 5 6 MR. LIVINGSTON: The timing on the associations is even more difficult than it is with the other parties and that's one of the reasons I'm so 8 9 reluctant to agree to anything --MR. DOWD: Your Honor, it's not a timing 10 11 problem here, it's the consequence of the schedule. That's the applicant's schedule. 12 13 JUDGE NELSON: We know that. That's not 14 our problem. 15 But what could I do? I could say Mr. 16 Dowd, suppose I say you're hereby ordered to ask your 17 customers to answer these questions. He mails out a 18 thing and they ask him and that's that. 19 MR. DOWD: Your Honor, the law is 20 otherwise because it would be an illusory rule to say you have an obligation to ask. The logical 21 22 expectation is you ask and they say no.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODG ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

Your Honor, this is an organization of natural competitors like the association of American Railroads. The level at which this organization gets involved in matters before the Interstate Commerce Commission is at the level of common interest. That's why they participate in things like the rail cost adjustment factor cases and things like that. It does not get involved in individual disputes between private parties. And the reason is you're dealing with companies that are loathe to turn over all this information and certainly not to one another.

JUDGE NELSON: What do you lose by faxing out this request and getting back the responses you get?

MR. DOWD: Your Honor, I have two problems with it. The first is it is contrary to law. The rule of law --

JUDGE NELSON: And they can't even be asked to --

MR. DOWD: The rule of law is in response to a motion to compel such answers that if the material is not within --

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: That's a different story. We're talking about asking you to ask the members, not 2 to compel answers. 3 MR. DOWD: But what is the basis? There's 5 interrogatory propounded been under the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules require 6 the documents or the material be in the possession --JUDGE NELSON: Write them a letter that 8 says "Dear Member: You don't have to answer this 9 question, but if you feel like it, please do. 10 question is do you have any material dealing with" --11 12 MR. DOWD: With all due respect, Your Honor. It seems ritualistic to me. 13 JUDGE NELSON: You don't know. There may 14 be members who will say I'll tell you what I've got. 15 I'd love them to know and then there will come a 16 17 boxful of documents. 18 MR. BEHR: Ma/ I? 19 JUDGE NELSON: Yes sir. 20 MR. BEHR: I'd like to turn this around a little bit. 21 22 JUDGE NELSON: What group is this? The

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

Plastics?

MR. BEHR: Yes, and just suggest to Your Honor that we were here arguing that we should be allowed to ask Your Honor to order the applicants to poll their stockholders as to their positions relating to this merger, that they would say they can't be compelled to do that. And I think we're in the same position.

We are a corporation, chartered under the law of the State of New York. As a corporation, we have constitutional standing in this matter.

JUDGE NELSON: The Society is a corporation?

MR. BEHR: The Society is a corporation.

We have members. They have stockholders. We have not sought discovery from their stockholders as to their positions --

JUDGE NELSON: Let me assume with you that as a general proposition you have no power to compel your members to do anything and -- other than pay dues, I suppose, but if they don't you can kick them out probably.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

MR. BEHR: We can't even do that.

JUDGE NELSON: You can't direct them to produce documents and that I can't either, as a legal matter. What's that got to do with the insistence of the applicants of the ritual that you ask them?

MR. BEHR: The question is, if we can't -can we be required to answer a question that requires
information from our specific members?

JUDGE NELSON: That's not the issue. The issue is can you write your members and say "Dear Member, the applicants of the Burlington Northern UPSP rail merger proceedings have asked the following. We have no power to tell you to answer this question, but if you choose to answer it, I'll see that they get the answer."

MR. BEHR: They can write that letter.

JUDGE NELSON: What's wrong with that

MR. BEHR: What's wrong with them writing that letter is my response to that? Our client has no interest in writing that letter. We don't believe the court has the authority to do that. If counsel for

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

letter?

They

the applicants wishes to write that letter to the 1 appropriate member, any corporation, Mr. DiMichael represents that thousand member Society of Plastics Industry. If counsel wants to inquire of Mr. DiMichael if his client would like to provide that information, he certainly can do that. JUDGE NELSON: Well, Dowd is in here as a party. MR. DiMICHAEL: Your Honor --JUDGE NELSON: So they're stuck. can't hide this --MR. DiMICHAEL: That's a very important

point because I think there's two things going on here. First of all, that letter in theory could be written, but there are no consequences to that letter if somebody here says yes or somebody especially says no. It's a futile act to require us to do that, but more important is the point that you just raised. The members of the association --

JUDGE NELSON: It looks a little better to do that than say we'll do nothing. We won't even write a letter.

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. DiMICHAEL: But Your Honor, the members of the Association, under the Commission's rules are not parties to the case. The Association is a separate entity --

JUDGE NELSON: I understand this position.

MR. DiMICHAEL: That is a key position because it is beyond the bounds of the authority in this case to order discovery of nonprofits --

JUDGE NELSON: So the question then is what about all this. Is there a rule of law here or do you deny that?

MR. LIVINGSTON: I don't think there's any rule of law that prevents the Commission from saying you, as a party, the Association, can be required to circulate these to the members and request information and that if they do not respond there may be consequences. One of the consequences may be that if you later tried, you as the association on behalf of your members, tried to rely on information that you obtained from the members, we will not admit that evidence.

JUDGE NELSON: Do we have a membership

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

_	list of these groups of is that in dispute still?
2	MR. DOWD: Not for us. I know we have
3	we haven't been asked to provide a list of our
4	members, but we don't have any objection.
5	JUDGE NELSON: I think you did
6	voluntarily.
7	MR. DOWD: Probably. I think it was in
8	our notice to intent to participate.
9	JUDGE NELSON: How about these 26 people
10	Is there a membership list or is that sacred?
11	MR. BEHR: I don't have one, Your Honor
12	The issue hasn't been raised with the Society.
13	JUDGE NELSON: Mr. DiMichael is giving me
14	a lengthy list of from A to Z.
15	MR. DiMICHAEL: A to Z, Your Honor, 60
16	members. In fact, a number of carrier members too.
17	Including, I might add the Southern Pacific
18	JUDGE NELSON: Suppose I were to authorize
19	the applicants to draft a letter which we would revea
20	here which they would send on their time and at their
21	expense to each and every member saying to the member
22	whatever it is the letter says.

(202) 234-4433

MR. DOWD: Your Honor, the applicants can't propound discovery against nonparties.

JUDGE NELSON: But they can use the U.S. mails.

MR. DOWD: That's true, there's no rule against that.

MR. McBRIDE: Your Honor, with a week and a half to go, if such a letter were sent to the members of the group I represent, what would happen here is comments which I'm sitting here working on and everybody else is trying to work on which are due next Friday, our phones ring all day and all night from all of our members saying what do I do with this letter?

JUDGE NELSON: Well, you see if you would you willing to write the letter you wouldn't have all that. It would be what you wanted it to say and you can keep control. In this refusal to even write a letter asking, you're taking such a hardball position that I'm going to have to contrive a solution. I'm not going to write a thousand people. That solution may be to have Mr. Livingston write the letter and whatever comes of it comes of it.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

MR. DOWD: Well, obviously, Your Honor, if it's a choice between the Association writing this 2 letter and the applicants, we would certainly do it 3 ourselves. JUDGE NELSON: I think that would be a 5 sound --6 MR. DOWD: And we would, however, insist upon our full rights in writing this letter, our right 8 9 to inform the member --JUDGE NELSON: You can have it say 10 whatever you want it to say. If you circulate a draft 11 and get Mr. Livingston's agreement on it, so be it, 12 then you cut of complaints about the content of the 13 14 letter, but otherwise, it can say whatever you want it to say. You're a lawyer. 15 MR. DOWD: I'd like to avoid future debate 16 17 about this. MR. DiMICHAEL: If we are to --18 19 JUDGE NELSON: Well, why don't we agree on 20 the draft letter? MR. DOWD: I'm not about to negotiate with 21 applicants a letter, Your Honor. That's -- that is 22

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIE 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-5701

1

_	effectively allowing the propound discovery. I'm sure
2	that Mr. Livingston and I could not agree on the
3	contents of the letter.
4	JUDGE NELSON: It's any letter you want
5	which is the way I was thinking. Write whatever you
6	want and we'll see what flows from it.
7	MR. LIVINGSTON: I would hope that the
8	letter would say, they can say what they want in the
9	letter, but I should point out that it will be the
10	applicant's position that the consequences may flow
11	from a failure to respond. They can say whatever they
12	want to say from their own position, but there are no
13	
14	MR. DOWD: We certainly are not going to
L5	suggest
16	JUDGE NELSON: Let them tell whatever they
.7	want to tell.
.8	MR. DOWD: In any way shape or form that
.9	there are any consequences
0	JUDGE NELSON: He has offered to say
1	the idea of the importance of this is that there may
2	be something that is volunteered.
SSESSESSES	

(202) 234-4433

MR. LIVINGSTON: Some people may think 1 that if the applicants are going to argue that the 2 failure to respond has consequences. They may rather 3 respond --JUDGE NELSON: They may not know that 5 unless it goes in the letter. 6 7 MR. DOWD: No, and no coercive language 8 will be used in this letter, Your Honor. 9 MR. DIMICHAEL: Respond to what? Apparently what we're going to have to do is propound 10 11 JUDGE NELSON: Want me to draft the 12 13 letter? MR. DiMICHAEL: We're going to have to 14 15 send all the discovery under this letter? Your Honor, this is just simply not proper because we have no 16 17 power to compel and why should we in a sense be required to write on an act that we have -- that the 18 19 Board has no power to enforce. 20 JUDGE NELSON: How are you hurt by writing this letter? 21 22 MR. DiMICHAEL: There's a potential for

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

massive confusion. And I will have to say a fair amount of expense because it looks as if we're not only going to have to write a letter, but it has to be sent with the discovery which has been propounded that is going to generate from my members 600 phone calls. In fact, I will guarantee 2,000 phone calls saying what have I just gotten here and what do I have to do? I would submit in the last week and a half this is just simply not proper and the proper thing to do is wait and see what we file, then they can propound what they need.

JUDGE NELSON: Let me ask you, I've heard from Mr. Dowd on the subject, what about the other two gentlemen? Waiting to revisit this in the context of whatever position the Association actually takes. I'm a little concerned about a position that's so horrible that it comes out that we can take, we can make a substantive submission to the Board, making, if you will, a particularized request for particular relief, but we cannot and will not ask our members for one piece of paper to back up that position.

MR. DiMICHAEL: Your Honor --

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 HHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: I wonder if you get to that, whether we haven't got the foundation for the Board's permissible drawing of an inference that the position (a) has no support or (b) cannot be maintained. I say to you again, gentlemen, I don't think you can have it both ways. And I'm concerned for me anyway, that you know that that's how I feel about it. So we just don't put this off for a week and come right back here again and the answer is you will get nothing, no, no, a thousand times no, not one piece of paper.

If that's all that it's going to be then we can start thrashing it out now.

Is that the deal?

MR. DiMICHAEL: It doesn't -- the answer to that depends on the types and the kind and the direction of the evidence that we in fact file.

JUDGE NELSON: Not if your opposition is you'll file nothing from members, you'll ask nothing from members.

MR. DOWD: What if no member specific representations are made in the comments? What if the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

comments deal exclusive --

JUDGE NELSON: The hypothetical --

MR. DiMICHAEL: The applicants have a burden on this to go forward and to prove that the Board should and has evidence to support a decision under a public interest entity --

JUDGE NELSON: That has nothing to do with it.

MR. DiMICHAEL: But if we, in our evidence attack the premises and the accuracy of the evidence that they have submitted without making any references to individual members or individual facts on our part, then isn't — it seems to me we can do that and undermine their case and cause and have a legally supportable reason for the Board to say you haven't proven your case without any representations at all about individual facts from individual members.

JUDGE NELSON: What relief would you be seeking from the Board?

MR. DiMICHAEL: It would depend upon what the evidence was.

MR. DOWD: Your Honor, let me give you a

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2

hypothetical.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

JUDGE NELSON: We have to deal with this.

MR. DOWD: An association such as the Western Coal Traffic League, or the Western Shippers Coalition submits comments, the gist of which is per se the reduction from 3 railroads to 2 in the West is anticompetitive and under the law cannot be. Period. Supported by Professor Jones of Princeton University. No member information is included. No claims were made regarding specific impacts. No request for relief other than deny the merger. Now that would not implicate in any way, shape or form any of the concerns that Mr. Livingston has, but it is entirely a plausible outcome.

JUDGE NELSON: That to me is one case. Another case might be as a condition of approval of the merger open the bidding for the right to supply competitive rail service between Brownsville and Houston, hauling plastics. That last one, the one I just gave, presumably it will have a little more than that in it, that one gives the applicants a better foundation for you to put up or shut up whether your

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

4 5

,

members have a need for all this, in my view.

In a position that says why is that fair for such relative bidding, but we will not tell you, cannot, will not and never will what our members have to say that might support this contention, sets you up for a potential downfall with the Surface Transportation Board which you would then be buying by rolling the dice with this litigation position.

But I come out again that that will be in the context of that hypothetical, the Plastic Shippers which is different from the case Mr. Dowd put which has some general economic attack upon the broad sweep of the merger, going from what, 2 to 1 is bad for America. It's per se illegal, evil. You don't need to know Shipper Jones' opinion about all that, I would think, to evaluate the economic clash of economic experts. I could see differences based upon positions, but I want you to know my thinking which is the sharper you get and the more detailed you get and the more specific you request conditions of relief, the worse position you're in when you then say you can't put up anything.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

We can't ask our members for anything and moveover we refuse to ask them anything. That position gets shabbier and shabbier, the more you're in there with specific submissions looking at particularized relief to me. So with all of that, I'm going to defer this entire business --

MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor, may we say one more thing?

JUDGE NELSON: Very briefly, please

MR. LIVINGSTON: There's one other aspect of this. The three permanent associations, I'm sure there are big league numbers who support the merger and I'm sure there are some that oppose. I think it's useful for the Commission to know, to inquire of these members to get and discovery would do that. We'd get into what their position was, what their own internal evaluation is. Maybe it will turn out that X percent, some large percentage of this league actually supports the merger. And it may be true of others as well and that's another reason why the discovery on the permanent associations, the letter ought to go out. They ought to write a letter -- they can say whatever

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

they want in the letter, except that it ought to request their members to respond.

3

5

6

7

MR. DOWD: Your Honor, equally briefly, I credit their arguments that to do the letter at this time would be burdensome, would swamp them with details and responses at the very time they have to be preparing pleadings and I'm not going to direct it now. I may direct it in the context of some specific

8

position that the Association takes.

9

10

11

On denying all that discovery now, without prejudice to its renewal in Phase 2. Now we have the question of the other associations and whether there should be some differences as to them. Now who are

12

they again?

represent.

14

MR. McBRIDE: Western Shippers Coalition,
I represent. There's another coalition which I do not

17

18

16

JUDGE NELSON: Why are they different?

19

MR. LIVINGSTON: Well, these are much

20

smaller groups, I believe. These are entities that aren't like the National Industrial Traffic League,

22

it's a mixture, a hodge podge of companies around the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1	Country. These are companies that have a unified
2	interest on this merger and have come together
3	strictly for purposes of the merger
4	JUDGE NELSON: Do we know their
5	membership?
6	MR. LIVINGSTON: I believe we do now.
7	MR. McBRIDE: He knew it from the first
8	day I filed the pleading and I gave him another copy
9	of the list
10	JUDGE NELSON: I recall you had said you
11	had sent it.
12	MR. McBRIDE: That's correct.
13	JUDGE NELSON: What's the other group
14	called?
15	MR. LIVINGSTON: It's called the Coalition
16	for Competitive Rail Transportation.
17	JUDGE NELSON: Are they here represented?
18	No. LIVINGSTON: I don't believe so. They
19	did file a response
20	JUDGE NELSON: So they take a chance of
21	whatever happens.
22	MR. LIVINGSTON: A response signed by a
	NEAL R. GROSS
	COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

(202) 234-4433

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	man named John Estes.
2	JUDGE NELSON: Is Mr. Estes a lawyer?
3	MR. LIVINGSTON: He calls himself
4	"Executive Director" of the Coalition for Competitive
5	Rail Transportation.
6	JUDGE NELSON: Well, the FERC rule is that
7	people who don't show up at such conferences are bound
8	by what happens at them.
9	MR. NORTON: Your Honor, I called, I
10	placed a call to Mr. Estes to discuss the discovery
11	response to see whether we could avoid this dispute
12	and he didn't return the call.
13	JUDGE NELSON: Do you know him?
14	MR. NORTON: No.
15	JUDGE NELSON: Do you have any dealings
16	with him?
17	MR. NORTON: No.
18	JUDGE NELSON: For all we know, we don't
19	know what this group is.
20	MR. LIVINGSTON: I believe it is a group
21	that has come together for this proceeding.
22	JUDGE NELSON: Is it a bona fide group as

(202) 234-4433

1	far as you know?
2	MR. LIVINGSTON: They have papers on the
3	case.
4	JUDGE NELSON: I mean I could make up a
5	group.
6	MR. LIVINGSTON: They served the papers in
7	the case.
8	JUDGE NELSON: Loyal JAG Corp Alumni
9	against the merger. I could round up a couple of guys
10	who would say they were part of it.
11	(Laughter.)
12	MR. LIVINGSTON: If you did that and filed
13	paper in this proceeding, you would be a part of it.
14	MR. McBRIDE: And we would have served you
15	with discovery.
16	(Laughter.)
17	JUDGE NELSON: How many members in your
18	group, Mr. McBride?
19	MR. McBRIDE: We have 28, but I've beer
20	restraining myself because Mr. Livingston is wrong or
21	the facts in several Lespects. Three of the members
22	of our coalition and I just added a member the other
	NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006-3701

day and I think two more yesterday, but three of the members are permanent associations, the Colorado Mining Association, the Utah Mining Association, and the Western Coal Transportation Association, so the same problem applies to me, but multiplied by three. That's point one.

JUDGE NELSON: No.

MR. LIVINGSTON: We're not seeking --

JUDGE NELSON: You're certainly not powerless to tell the permanent associations --

MR. McBRIDE: Oh we're --

JUDGE NELSON: The permanent association may say Mr. McBride, go whistle.

MR. McBRIDE: That leads to my point too.

I stayed out of this last discussion except to tell
you about the telephone call problem, but weeks ago
because I suspected that knowing Your Honor as a
person who tries to be fair here, we might get to this
kind of discussion. I asked my client to ask of his
members if they had anything about this merger if they
would send it to him or me and we could take a look at
it and see whether it was responsive or privileged and

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006-3701

(202) 234-4433

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

maybe we could cut off -- I didn't get anything except that we then went out, this is my next point and I told Your Honor this, in response to their interrogatory No. 6 which asks for a lot of detail. Coal, tonnages, routes, finance, mines, all that. We asked coal our consultant, Resource International. Mr. Vanetti who was at Mr. Sharp's de[position. We had him from 4/23 data, some other public data to develop what we believe the correct answers to that interrogatory to be because they have access to it and we then sent it out to each of the coal producers and utilities in the group and said if any of this is wrong, please let us know because otherwise we're going to provide it as evidence. We did that. We provided the information and they wrote you the letter before they even came over to look at my documents, but later that day or the next day they got my documents. They got hundreds of pages of them. I have done everything I can to answer the questions that are directly responsive to what --

JUDGE NELSON: What is you want from Mr. McBride that he hasn't given?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHCDE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

MR. LIVINGSTON: Well, is he representing that he has made an inquiry of his members and this is what they gave him?

JUDGE NELSON: Yes.

MR. McBRIDE: Yes. My client made an inquiry of his members, we got documents, we provided them to the applicants.

JUDGE NELSON: What is it you want him to do that he hasn't done? You seem troubled about this.

MR. NORTON: I believe, I may have misunderstood the representation, but it was in response to one particular interrogatory, but they made a request to the members, not to everything.

MR. McBRIDE: No, no, no, no, no. I said two things. I asked the client to ask of the members if they had anything about the merger and we could take a look at them. He sent me some papers whether he got them from them or from his own files I don't even know. He's on the road, but I produced those. Then I made a second inquiry, separate inquiry. You did misunderstand on Interrogatory No. 6 to get that right because that seemed to be the heart of what they

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

were coming at us about cold, so I answered the 1 question and gave him hundreds of pages of data. They 2 can't identify one specific document request or 3 interrogatory other than the ones to which I have 4 constitutional objectives that I have not responded 5 6 to. I dare them to do it. JUDGE NELSON: 7 Why don't these representations suffice? 8 MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor, if he's made 9 the inquiries as he says --10 MR. NORTON: It isn't clear what he's 11 saying that he just asked about documents relating to 12 the merger or whether he asked the members if they had 13 anything responsive to these discovery requests. 14 15 There's a difference. MR. McBRIDE: I haven't sent them all the 16 discovery requests. I asked them about anything about 17 18 the merger and then I asked the about the coal. JUDGE NELSON: You asked them if they had 19 20 any documents about this merger? 21 MR. McBRIDE: Yes. JUDGE NELSON: Was this done orally or in 22

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 writing? MR. McBRIDE: I asked my client to do it. 2 3 I don't even know most of these people. JUDGE NELSON: How did you do it, orally? MR. McBRIDE: Yes, over the telephone with 5 my client. 6 JUDGE NELSON: Anything else? I'm going to rule that no further discovery is needed with 8 9 regard to Mr. McBride's group or groups. 10 That leaves us this coalition that is 11 unrepresented. Does anyone know anything about this 12 13 group? MR. LUBEL: Your Honor --14 JUDGE NELSON: Mr. Lubel? 15 . 16 MR. LUBEL: We have become involved with 17 that coalition. If I think this is the group and it's 18 been set, we're not involved, KCS is not involved with 19 them any more. That's all I know about that. 20 JUDGE NELSON: Do you know who they are? MR. LUBEL: I don't know Mr. Estes. 21 JUDGE NELSON: Do you know anything about 22 **NEAL R. GROSS**

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

them? 1 MR. LUBEL: They do exist and made up of 2 shippers who are concerned about the merger. 3 I can -- at a break I can try to get in 5 touch with Mr. Estes. JUDGE NELSON: Do yo know him? 6 MR. LUBEL: I don't know him. JUDGE NELSON: They did file responses. 8 They didn't ignore them. It's in the big book there 9 10 under C, Coalition for --MR. McBRIDE: They didn't give us a copy. 11 12 MR. LIVINGSTON: And he --JUDGE NELSON: Well, there's another FERC 13 14 rule here and that is that you can be excused so far 15 as things now appear, but you're at your own risk 16 because you have to know what might happen. It's up to you. 17 18 As far as I know, we're through with your 19 issue. MR. BEHR: We're through with the --20 JUDGE NELSON: We're through for now. We 21 may well have to come back. 22

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

MR. LIVINGSTON: I think it's really quite 1 immaterial that they're not here. They have filed 2 their objections and responses and on page 4 they make 3 as a general objection no. 11 the statement that 4 they're not going to talk to their members. They call 5 6 themselves a voluntary ad hoc membership organization which I think distinguishes it from the permanent 7 8 members. JUDGE NELSON: What's their position in 9 the case so far? 10 MR. LIVINGSTON: They have filed a paper. 11 12 I don't know what it says. I don't have it with me. 13 JUDGE NELSON: Does anyone have that? MR. LIVINGSTON: In any event, they have 14 15 stated their objections --16 JUDGE NELSON: I don't like to answer orders directing some coalition to produce stuff when 17 we don't even know what its position is. 18 MR. LIVINGSTON: They ought to at least do 19 what Mr. McBride did, or something like it, there 20 ought to be an order that says this objection is 21 22 overruled, you are required to circulate these

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

discovery requests.

JUDGE NELSON: I can't overrule the objection. The objection is that they are powerless to compel things from members, that's probably a valid objection.

MR. LIVINGSTON: They can be directed.

JUDGE NELSON: What they can be asked to do is to ask their membership --

MR. LIVINGSTON: To circulate these discovery requests to their members and request responses.

JUDGE NELSON: You draft a letter in which you may recite that you're doing it at my request and I don't want -- I don't know what this letter is going to say, so I don't want to spend time haggling over it with you without the other side being there. How can we practically get this done?

MR. LIVINGSTON: I think the practical thing is we need an order to Mr. Estes saying you have four days to make inquiries of your members as to whether they have materials that are responsive to these requests.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006-3701

(202) 234-4433

MR. DOWD: Your Honor --

MR. LIVINGSTON: I really think it would be outrageous for them to glean an advantage on this discovery dispute by not showing up at the hearing.

JUDGE NELSON: I don't want to do that.

MR. DOWD: Your Honor, just for the record

JUDGE NELSON: I'm trying to see, if I knew what the position was, are they one of these people that's going to file something next?

MR. DOWD: Your Honor, I don't know. I'd just like to get on the record that since we're dealing here with an unknown party that is unrepresented and the applicants are being deputized by Your Honor to make inquiries on an unknown position that nothing that happens with regard to this organization and their production will not be prejudicial to anyone else.

JUDGE NELSON: They're not being deputized to do anything, Mr. Dowd, and it's got nothing to do with anybody else. I'm trying to make suggestions and discuss and work out here a solution. I haven't

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

ordered anything.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor, this man Estes gives his address as Alexandria, Virginia. We tried to phone him. He's filed responses. He's obviously filed another paper in the case because this is CCRT 2. He's not represented. He's pretty close to being a lawyer given the nature of the document.

JUDGE NELSON: Isn't there a John Estes that worked in the Solicitor's Office at the FERC at one time? I know that name from somewhere.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Perhaps it is the former JAG Corps.

(Laughter.)

JUDGE NELSON: Part of that other group.

MR. LIVINGSTON: I really think there's only one solution and that's to issue an order to Mr. Estes to circulate these discovery requests and --

JUDGE NELSON: Why is his group different in terms of the need to make him do something now? Why don't we also put him in the Phase 2 category and see when he does.

> LIVINGSTON: This is like Mr.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

This is the group that is put 2 together for the merger. JUDGE NELSON: It may not do anything. 3 MR. LIVINGSTON: But you have a group of 4 parties coming together and saying we're going to 5 participate in the merger proceeding, but we're going 6 to do it in our own way --8 JUDGE NELSON: One indication of a group 9 that doesn't do anything and doesn't answer responses is that it hasn't got any money and maybe won't amount 10 to doing anything anyway. 11 Maybe this is a little premature. 12 13 MR. LIVINGSTON: I don't see it that way at all. They filed --14 JUDGE NELSON: They filed something you 15 can't show me. 16 MR. LIVINGSTON: I can show it to you. 17 18 I'll put it right in front of you. 19 JUDGE NELSON: What is it? 20 MR. LIVINGSTON: The responses to these --JUDGE NELSON: When are they going to get 21 22 into this case prior to this time?

McBride's group.

1

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHOOE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

MR. LUBEL: Your Honor, I'm not an expert, but there are two things that they filed, didn't we 2 all have to file just an intent to participate? 3 Notice of intent. 4 5 JUDGE NELSON: It doesn't say any 6 position. MR. LUBEL: Right. 8 JUDGE NELSON: Like a notice of appeal. 9 MR. LUBEL: So we've only got two things 10 and the first thing --JUDGE NELSON: Doesn't tell us anything. 11 MR. LUBEL: Yes. I want to participate. 12 JUDGE NELSON: I'm going to put this group 1.3 in the second category and if Mr. Estes, whoever he 14 is, hears this or sees this he should realize that if 15 16 they've got a serious position to make they may have 17 to face up to discovery concerning that position. If they file nothing or something that is innocuous then 18 19 there's no sense wasting a lot of time. 20 So I'm going to rule that as the Coalition 21 for Competitive Rail Transportation, that also 22 discovery is premature and should await the filing of

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIE 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

any substantive position that that Coalition may take. 2 What is next? 3 (Pause.) Should we go off the record for a moment? 4 Is there an objection to going off the record? 5 6 MR. LIVINGSTON: I have one last follow-up question. JUDGE NELSON: Let me address a logistical 8 question. Do you have an objection to doing that? 9 MR. LIVINGSTON: No, I don't, but I have 10 11 one f nal follow-up. 12 JUDGE NELSON: What is your follow-up? 13 MR. LIVINGSTON: The hearing scheduled for Phase 2, I think is April 12th, that's the date that 14 sticks in my mind. 15 16 JUDGE NELSON: We can change that, if we 17 need to. MR. LIVINGSTON: The problem with that for 18 me is that from an Association point of view is that 19 20 the discovery of the two phase process, they write the letter, then they get responses, then they produce the 21 document and that leaves very little time until April 22

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBENS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2 th and that process, if it's going to go forward has 1 to go forward prior to April 12th. 2 I would think that we would need a hearing 3 on the associational discovery prior to April 12th. 4 JUDGE NoLSON: I'm certainly willing to do 5 the Association will be filing their papers 6 that. 7 when, the 29th? MR. DOWD: The 29th with everybody else. 8 MR. LIVINGSTON: Well, maybe we can do it 9 on that -- the 29th 1-- we can do it on that day in 1.0 the afternoon. MR. DOWD: Are you kidding? Unlikely. 12 The Board is open until midnight. A lot of us file at 13 11:30. 14 JUDGE NELSON: Why don't we do it -- how 15 16 about 3rd or 4th? 17 MR. LIVINGSTON: I don't think this will 18 * take long. Those letters, if they don't go out to the 19 association members, even April 4th is pushing it, for us to get the material back and use it by April 29th. 20 JUDGE NELSON: I'm available the first 21 part of the day April 1. 22

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

What letters are we talking MR. DOWD: 1 about? What are we talking about? 2 JUDGE NELSON: I think he's talking about 3 the kind of letters that say you don't have to tell me 4 anything, but if you feel like telling me something, 5 please answer the following questions. 6 7 MR. DOWD: I thought --8 JUDGE NELSON: He thinks that's important. MR. DOWD: I thought Your Honor's ruling 9 10 was you're going to wait and see what comments are 11 filed. 12 JUDGE NELSON: We are. We're now on April 1, 1996, the comments were filed the previous Friday 13 and Mr. Livingston wants to expedite a discovery 14 15 conference in preference to the group's position and 16 move it up to the first week of April. 17 MR. BEHR: But Your Honor, don't the entities have to do that after the comments are filed? 18 It seems to me we need to discuss responses to 19 20 interrogatories and doing it the next Monday doesn't allow any time for counsel for the applicants to --21 JUDGE NELSON: What's your suggestion? 22

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1	MR. BEHR: I think no earlier than the 3rd
2	or the 4th.
3	JUDGE NELSON: That's fine. That's more
4	than a week speed up of what we would have done.
5	MR. LIVINGSTON: I'm not talking about
6	we're limited to the one associational issue of
7	getting the information from their members.
8	JUDGE NELSON: Yes. That's what we're
9	talking about.
10	MR. NORTON: We would be able to address
11	that on the 1st. All we need to know is whether they
12	flied. We'll have that information, sufficient to
13	address this very specific issue.
14	JUDGE NELSON: I don't follow that.
15	MR. DOWD: Yeah, Your Honor, I don't
16	either. It would seem at a minimum that the
17	applicants would be required to come in and be
18	prepared to specify
19	JUDGE NELSON: Midnight on Friday, March
20	29th. You show up here at 9 a.m. on April 1st, what
21	is it that you're going to say?
22	MR. LIVINGSTON: We would want to we'll

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

see what they file.

JUDGE NELSON: What I don't want you to say is now give me the same discovery you gave me before. I'm not going to help you. I'm not going to focus it. I'm not going to tell you anything. I want everything I want to be focused. I don't want that

What I'll expect to hear from you, here is that position, here is what they said, here is the problem with Ogden, Utah or the Mexican traffic or whatever it be. Here's what we want to get from them.

MR. LIVINGSTON: There will be some of that, but there will also be some --

JUDGE NELSON: There's every piece of paper in the world written from the Year 1 to date, it ain't going to happen.

MR. LIVINGSTON: We're not going to ask for every piece of paper in the world.

MR. DOWD: Your Honor, shouldn't there also be, before we have a conference, at least a token effort among counsel to see if they've got a problem

22

19

20

21

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

CORRECTION

THE PREVIOUS DOCUMENT(S)
MAY HAVE BEEN FILMED
INCORRECTLY.....

see what they file.

JUDGE NELSON: What I don't want you to say is now give me the same discovery you gave me before. I'm not going to help you. I'm not going to focus it. I'm not going to tell you anything. I want everything I want to be focused. I don't want that ritual.

What I'll expect to hear from you, here is that position, here is what they said, here is the problem with Ogden, Utah or the Mexican traffic or whatever it be. Here's what we want to get from them.

MR. LIVINGSTON: There will be some of that, but there will also be some --

JUDGE NELSON: There's every piece of paper in the world written from the Year 1 to date, it ain't going to happen.

MR. LIVINGSTON: We're not going to ask for every piece of paper in the world.

MR. DOWD: Your Honor, shouldn't there also be, before we have a conference, at least a token effort among counsel to see if they've got a problem

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20008-3701

(202) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: that was the other suggestion. Mr. --

MR. BEHR: Behr.

JUDGE NELSON: Mr. Behr made that suggestion, but there ought to be enough time for the applicants to evaluate the association's position and to tell the Association what it wants and the association to see what it wants to give up, knowing that it may have to give up something, at least as far as I'm concerned. And then come in and why wouldn't the 3rd or 4th be sufficient for that?

MR. LIVINGSTON: What time on the 3rd, Your Honor?

oral argument in another case, but I may be able to,
I've been wanting to juggle that anyway because I
don't think I'm gong to be ready and what I'd like to
do is contact those folks and see what works to
minimize the inconvenience to them, so that I can do
more detailed preparation for them. That would then
free me up on the 3rd and we could start the usual
9:30 ICC starting time. It would be fine for me.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

Before I do that, I would want to contact and we have time here today, to contact -- it's a three party case, one of them is the Commission so it's an easy case to make phone calls, see if they can slip that oral argument a few days.

So I mean subject to that, I'm willing to take you on the 3rd or 4th at the latest on this Association discovery.

All right? Let me put a note on my calendar. I'm going to block out those two days and ask counsel to be available within that time frame, so then I'll pick when it is and have you in here on the 3rd or 4th.

What is the next item?

MR. LIVINGSTON: The next item involves

Dow and Kennecott and I had a discussion with Mr.

DiMichael earlier today. He was going to talk to his

people and I made a proposal to him along the lines of

the proposal we made to -- and it was agreed to by

International --

MR. DiMICHAEL: We are in the midst of talking -- I've talked to the client and I need to get

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

back with him on a counterproposal. 1 2 JUDGE NELSON: I wanted to take a little time anyway to contact people in this other case. 3 Would a recess be useful right now? Do you want to 4 make it an early lunch and come back --5 MR. LIVINGSTON: I don't know if we're all 6 7 that far from finishing. JUDGE NELSON: All right, then we'll take 8 9 a recess for whatever purposes we need to agree and confer and then I'll be available in the office. Call 10 11 me when you're ready to resume. I'll see what I can do about the 3rd and 12 13 4th. 14 (Whereupon the proceedings went off the record at 11:48 a.m. for a lunch break.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N

(12:34 p.m.)

JUDGE NELSON: Please be seated.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor, returning to the letter, we are still in discussions with Dow Kennecott, and I think we're on the verge of a deal, but Mr. DiMichael is still on the phone and we all thought it would be best if we could continue on through the letter.

JUDGE NELSON: All right.

MR. LIVINGSTON: And looking at the bottom of page 2, the item after Dow --

JUDGE NELSON: Gateway Western.

MR. LIVINGSTON: -- and that has been deferred for agreement, so we can skip that one.

The next one is Wisconsin Electric, and this is supplemented by our March 18th response.

There are three other companies -- Illinois Transit,

Springfield Plastics, and Mountain Plains Communities and Shippers Coalition -- which filed similar responses.

The position taken by all of these

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006-3701

(202) 234-4433

1	parties, and they're all represented by the same
2	lawyer and the Wisconsin Electric filing they made
3	is claims their position.
4	JUDGE NELSON: Who represents them?
5	MR. LIVINGSTON: I've got it in the large
6	book, and it's the last tab.
7	MR. NORTON: His name is McFarlane, Your
8	Honor, and I
9	JUDGE NELSON: Oh, it says "Objections to
10	Request for Discovery." I see it.
11	MR. LIVINGSTON: Right.
12	MR. NORTON: I spoke to him about whether
13	we could resolve this, and he said he planned to stand
14	on his objections, so we
15	JUDGE NELSON: All right.
16	MR. LIVINGSTON: And he's not here; he's
17	in Chicago.
18	JUDGE NELSON: Fine. So what is it you
19	want, and what is it he's saying?
20	MR. LIVINGSTON: Well, his what we wan
21	is a ruling on his objection, which is his position is
22	that as a shipper he is not required to participate in

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

discovery in abandonment cases or in merger cases. We companies that he represents. discovery -shippers. (Laughter.) shippers --

think that there is no basis for that position, either for Wisconsin Electric or for the other three

He does not cite any merger cases where discovery was prevented against shippers, and Your Honor has heard from other shippers already, such as Dow, who have I wouldn't say cooperated in

JUDGE NELSON: We've had discovery against

MR. LIVINGSTON: That's right, who have not resisted discovery on the grounds that as

JUDGE NELSON: I know of no rule that immunizes all shippers. I wish I had heard long ago what it --

MR. LIVINGSTON: Indeed, I have a -- he cites a case on page 2 of his objections, which is a staff decision. I have the Commission's decision here, which I'd be happy to give Your Honor if you

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22