INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION 03/08/96 FINANCE DOCKET # 32760 1876-1980 1+

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

#### SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

#### DISCOVERY CONFERENCE

+ + + + +

IN THE MATTER OF:

UNION PACIFIC CORPORATION, UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY, and MISSOURI PACIFIC RAILROAD : Finance Docket COMPANY

No. 32760

- CONTROL AND MERGER -

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAIL CORPORATION, SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION : COMPANY, ST. LOUIS, SOUTHWESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY, SPCSL CORP., AND THE DENVER AND RIO GRANDE WESTERN RAILROAD COMPANY.

Friday, March 8, 1996

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Hearing Room 3 Second Floor 888 First Street, N.E. Washington, D.C.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 2:00 p.m.

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE JEROME NELSON Administrative Law Judge

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

#### APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of Union Pacific Corporation, Union Pacific Railroad Company, and Missouri Pacific Railroad Company:

MICHAEL L. ROSENTHAL, Esq.
ARVID E. ROACH, II, Esq.
S. WILLIAM LIVINGSTON, Jr., Esq.
KAREN KRAMER, Esq.
EUGENE D. GULLAND, Esq.
of: Covington & Burling
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
P.O. Box 7566
Washington, DC 20044-7566
[202] 662-5388

LOUISE A. RINN, Esq.
General Attorney
Union Pacific Railroad Company
1416 Dodge Street
Omaha, Nebraska 68179
[402] 271-4227

JAMES V. DOLAN, Esq.
Vice President - Law
of: Union Pacific Railroad, Missouri Pacific
Railroad
Omaha, Nebraska
[402] 271-5357

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

On Behalf of Southern Pacific Rail Corporation, Southern Pacific Transportation Company, St. Louis Southwestern Railway Company, SPCSL Corp., and the Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company:

PAUL A. CUNNINGHAM, Esq.
GERALD P. NORTON, Esq.
of: Harkins Cunningham
1300 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 600
Washington, DC 20036-1609
[202] 973-7600

CAROL A. HARRIS, Esq.
Southern Pacific Transportation Company
One Market Plaza
San Francisco, California 94105
[415] 541-1000

CANNON Y. HARVEY, Esq.
Executive Vice President

of: Southern Pacific Rail Corporation
1860 Lincoln Street
Denver, Colorado 80295
[303] 812-5005

# On Behalf of Kansas City Southern Railroad Company:

william A. Mullins, Esq.
of: outman Sanders, Attorneys at Law
601 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 640
Washington, DC 20004
[202] 274-2953

ALAN E. LUBFI., Esq.

DAVID FOSHEE, Esq.
of: Troutman Sanders, Attorneys at Law
Nationsbank Plaza, Suite 5200
600 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30308-2216
[404] 885-3174 (ALSO SEE NEXT PAGE)

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

On Behalf of Kansas City Southern Railroad Company:

VIRGINIA METALLO, ESQ.

of: Collier, Shannon, Rill & Scott 3050 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20007 (202) 342-8400

On Behalf of Texas Mexican Railway Company and Sierra Pacific Power Company:

RICHARD A. ALLEN, Esq.

JENNIFER OAKLEY, Esq.

JOHN V. EDWARDS, Esq.

of: Zuckert, Scoutt & Rasenberger, LLP

888 17th Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20006-3959

[202] 298-8660

On Behalf of Railway Labor Executives
Association and United Transportation Union:

DONALD F. GRIFFIN, Esq.
RICHARD S. EDELMAN, Esq.
of: Highsaw, Mahoney, & Clarke, P.C.
Suite 210
1050 17th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
[202] 296-8500

On Behalf of the National Industrial Transportation League:

FREDERICK L. WOOD, Esq.

of: Donelan, Cleary, Wood and Maser, P.C.
Suite 750

1100 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-3934

[202] 371-9500

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRAN CRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

## On Behalf of Western Coal Traffic League:

C. MICHAEL LOFTUS, Esq.
CHRISTOPHER A. MILLS, Esq.
JOHN H. LESEUR, Esq.
PATRICIA E. KOLESAR, Esq.
of: Slover and Loftus
1224 17th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
[202] 347-7170

# On Behalf of International Paper Company and Utah Railway Corporation:

ANDREW T. GOODSON, Esq.
EDWARD D. GREENBERG, Esq.
CHARLES H. WHITE, Jr., Esq.
of: Galland, Kharasch, Morse & Garfinkle, P.C.
1054 31st Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20007
[202] 342-6750

# On Behalf of the Department of Justice:

JOAN S. HUGGLER, Esq.
MICHAEL D. BILLIEL, Esq.
ANGELA HUCHES, Esq.
ROBERT McGEORGE, Esq.
Trial Attorneys
Antitrust Division
of: The Department of Justice
555 Fourth Street, N.W.
Room 9409
Washington, DC 20001
[202] 307-6666

NEAL R. GROSS
COURY REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

On Behalf of the Save the Rock Island Committee, Inc.:

WILLIAM P. JACKSON, Jr., Esq.
JOHN T. SULLIVAN, Esq.
of: Jackson and Jessup, P.C.
3426 North Arlington Blvd.
Arlington, Virginia 22201
[703] 525-4050

On Behalf of the Society of the Plastics Industry, Inc. and Union Carbide:

MARTIN W. BERCOVICI, Esq.
ARTHUR S. GARRETT, III, Esq.
of: Keller and Heckman
1001 G Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20001
[202] 434-4144

## On Behalf of Geneva Steel:

JOHN W. ONGMAN, Esq.

of: Pepper, Hamilton & Scheetz
1300 Nineteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
[202] 828-1415

## On Behalf of Coastal Corporation:

ROBERT M. BRUSKIN, Esq.

ROSEMARY H. McENERY, Esq.
MARK JOSEPHS, Esq.
of: Howrey & Simon
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004-2402
[202] 783-0800

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

On Behalf of Burlington Northern Railroad Company, and Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway:

RICHARD WEICHER, Esq.
of: Burlington Northern Santa Fe
1700 East Golf Road
Schaumburg, Illinois 60173
[708] 995-6887

ERIKA Z. JONES, Esq.

ADRIAN L. STEEL, Jr., Esq.

KATHRYN A. KUSSKE, Esq.

KELLEY E. O'BRIEN, Esq.

DONALD M. FALK, Esq.

of: Mayer, Brown & Platt

2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, DC 20006

[202] 463-2000

On Behalf of the International Union of

DEBRA L. WILLEN, Esq.
of: Guerrieri, Edmond & Clayman, P.C.
1331 F Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004

Machinists and Aerospace Workers:

On Behalf of the Transportation Communications International Union:

LARRY R. PRUDEN, Esq. 3 Research Place Rockville, MD 20850 [301] 948-4910

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

# On Behalf of Illinois Central Railroad:

of: Oppenheimer, Wolff and Donnelly 1020 19th Street, N.W. Suite 400
Washington, DC 20036
[202] 293-6300

# On Behalf of Consolidated Rail Corporation:

WILLIAM J. KOLASKY, Esq.
A. STEPHEN HUT, Jr., Esq.
STEVEN P. FINIZIO, Esq.
JOSEPH E. KILLORY, JR., Esq.
of: Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering
2445 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037
[202] 663-6235

# On Behalf of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters:

MARC J. FINK, Esq.
of: Sher & Blackwell
Stite 612
2000 L street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
[202] 463-2503

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

On Behalf of Kennecott Utah Copper Corp., Kennecott Energy Company, and Dow Chemical Company:

JEFFREY O. MORENO, Esq.
JOHN K. MASER, III, Esq.
NICHOLAS J. DiMICHAEL, Esq.
of: Donelan, Cleary, Wood & Maser, P.C.
Suite 750
1100 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005
[202] 371-9500

On Behalf of Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Central Power and Light Company, City of Austin, Texas, Commonwealth Edison Company, and Lower Colorado River Authority:

C. MICHAEL LOFTUS, Esq. of: Slover & Loftus 1224 17th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 [202] 347-7170

#### On Behalf of The Western Shippers Coalition:

MICHAEL F. McBRIDE, Esq.
DANIEL ARONOWITZ, Esq.
LINDA BREGGIN, Esq.
of: LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae
Suite 1200
1875 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20009-5728
[202] 986-3030

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

## On Behalf of the State of Texas

REBECCA FISHER, Esq.
AMY KRASNER, Esq.
of: Consumer Protection Division
Antitrust Section
P.O. Box 12548
Austin, Texas 78711-2548
[512] 463-2185

#### On Behalf of Montana Rail Link:

ELLEN A. GOLDSTEIN, Esq.
CHRISTOPHER E. KACZMAREK, Esq.
of: Weiner, Brodsky, Sidman & Kider
Suite 800
1350 New York Avenue, Northwest
Washington, D.C. 20005-4797
[202] 628-2000

#### ALSO PRESENT:

HARRILEE MOLM, Paralegal of: Troutman Sanders, Attorneys at Law

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 23/-4133

#### P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(2:05 p.m.)

JUDGE NELSON: Please be seated. I always like to recite who is here so that we have a record. Mr. Livingston?

MR. LIVINGSTON: Bill Livingston for the applicant with Michael Rosenthal and Jerry Norton representing SP.

MR. HUT: Stephen Hut, Your Honor, for Consolidated Rail Corporation. With me is Joseph Killory.

MR. EDWARDS: John Edwards with Zuckert,
Scoutt and Rasenberger for the Texas Mexican Railway
Company and Sierra Pacific.

MR. McBRIDE: Michael McBride with my associate Daniel Aronowitz for Western Shippers Coalition, Your Honor. Good afternoon.

MR. DiMICHAEL: Your Honor, Nick DiMichael for the National Industrial Transportation League, Dow Chemical Company, Kennecott Energy, and Western Resources.

MR. ONGMAN: Your Honor, John Ongman for

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

21

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Gene

3

-

6

.

9

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

21

22

Geneva Steel Company.

MR. BILLIEL: Your Honor, Michael Billiel, Department of Justice.

MS. JONES: Erika Jones, the Burlington Northern Railroad Company and the Atchison, Topeka, and Santa Fe Railway Company. With me is Adrian Steel and Donald Falk.

MS. KOLESAR: Patricia Kolesar. Today I'm here representing Western Coal Traffic League, Texas Utilities Electric Company, Arizona Electric Power Company, and Wisconsin Power and Light Company, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation, and Entergy Inc.

MR. KACZMAREK: Chris Kaczmarek here on behalf of Montana Rail Link.

MS. METALLO: Virginia Metallo here on behalf of KCS. And with me is Harrilee Molm.

MR. GARRETT: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

Art Garrett on behalf of the Society of the Plastics

Industry and Union Carbide Corporation.

JUDGE NELSON: I have read all of the letters pertaining to the areas in dispute here today, which I understand to be the depositions of Lewis,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

14

17

19

18

20

22

study. Is there someone here from KCS?

MS. METALLO: Yes Your Honor. Virginia

Matthews, and Krebs, and the seeking of the McKinsey

MS. METALLO: Yes Your Honor. Virginia Metallo.

JUDGE NELSON: Metallo?

MS. METALLO: That's correct.

JUDGE NELSON: I have read the letters from Mr. Lubel, letters from Ms. Jones, and the letters from Mr. Roach. Where is Mr. Roach these days?

MR. LIVINGSTON: Mr. Roach is working on this case, Your Honor. He's actively involved.

JUDGE NELSON: Well, that's fine. he's most knowledgeable on these details, not that you're not helpful, but he's somewhat closer to a lot of those things. But we'll get along just fine anyway.

I have also read all of the papers associated with the argument that discovery is premature. And I am prepared to make certain rulings. I do not need to hear oral argument here today on each and every aspect of every one of these disputes. First, I don't need to. Some of them sufficiently

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

 clear to me that I can decide them.

Secondly, my schedule is quite tight. I am breaking at 3:00 o'clock to begin the cross-examination of a witness in the gas pipeline case who has been hanging around here for two days and who was from out of town and whom I want to finish today. So if we're not finished by 3:00, -- and we very well may not be -- we will simply recess. And I'll come back with you as soon as we get that witness off.

I should also add that I feel part of the responsibility for not getting through with that witness yet, because I had a lot of questions of the last witness. And so a lot of the time is chargeable to me, is my fault. And there's no reason why my fault should force that man to sit here for an entire weekend until we can resume the hearings of the pipeline case or make him ship back and forth unnecessarily. In fact, that case may not resume now until Wednesday. So I want to get him on and off today.

All right. My review of this matter

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

brings me out as follows. With regard to the requested depositions, I am denying the deposition of Mr. Lewis.

With regard to the request for Mr.

Matthews, I am going to grant that deposition, limit

it to the presentation Mr. Matthews made at the

meeting -- was it the board meeting?

MS. METALLO: That was one of his communications, Your Honor.

JUDGE NELSON: That's correct. I'm authorizing the deposition as to with respect to that meeting. I will leave it to you to negotiate with the other side the place to have the deposition, how long it shall be, and so on and so forth. And to that extent I'm granting it.

With respect to Mr. Krebs, I would need to hear more. I'm not persuaded one way or the other by the papers as to how to come out on the matter of Krebs. I do note Ms. Jones' letter, which alleges that there's a particular test to be employed or a special hurdle when you're dealing with the CEO of the company or a top officer, whatever it is. And there

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

are some factors there. I am going to ask you, Ms. Metallo, to see if you can't address those.

With regard to the McKinsey study, I am denying that discovery. It seems to me too long ago, too old, too far afield, gets us into a collateral dispute, and seemingly involves some burden that goes beyond the slides and actually extends the production of the documents.

So, Ms. Metallo, you have won as to Matthews with regard to the speech made at the meeting. And I may give you Krebs, but I need to know somewhat more than I've gotten on the papers. I don't have a confidence either way with regard to Mr. Krebs.

Why don't you address now why you need Mr.

Krebs with particular reference to the test set out on

Page 2 of Ms. Jones' letter? See on Page 2 the

paragraph that begins, "Moreover"? She refers to the

liberal standards of the federal rules, and she says

that "The CEO of a corporation normally may be deposed

only where the party seeking the deposition

demonstrates that the executive has unique or superior

personal knowledge of particular material

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

3

5

'

9

10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

information."

So I'm asking you to please help me on that regard. What's Mr. Krebs got that's unique or superior personal knowledge of particular material information?

MS. METALLO: To begin with, Your Honor, Mr. Krebs is the executive responsible for the BN-SF position to enter into a settlement negotiation agreement with the applicant in this transaction.

When we deposed Mr. Grinstein at Fort Worth, he stated in his deposition that he was opposed to such an agreement and wasn't --

MS. JONES: Your Honor, this is confidential. We need to close the transcript at this point, close the hearing. We have designated this disagreement as --

JUDGE NELSON: Who's here that shouldn't be here?

MS. JONES: This is not highly confidential. It is standard confidential. If everyone in the room has signed the undertaking, then it's effectual for everyone to stay.

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

This is the material I objected to Mr. Lubel's releasing in a public letter in my letter this morning. And it should not have been raised without closing the transcript.

JUDGE NELSON: Well, that seems to me a side question.

MS. JONES: It is, Your Honor. I just wanted to --

JUDGE NELSON: Is everyone in the room cleared as far as you're concerned?

MS. JONES: Yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE NELSON: All right. May I see that deposition, that page where Krebs is referred to?

Let's ask Ms. Jones. Should we make this

a separate transcript?

MS. JONES: Yes, please, Your Honor, this portion.

(Whereupon, the hearing went under protective order and any persons not covered by the protective order vacated the hearing room.)

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: We are now back on the public transcript and we're turning to the question of the alleged premature discovery on the part of the applicants.

Let me give back -- I have a copy of Grinstein's deposition, and I especially want to give it back if there's anything super sacred in there.

Is this your map? I have one. I have the entire set of applications. I just forgot to bring it down.

Here's how I come out on this matter of the prematurity. I start with the proposition that I do not read any authority in this case, being the guidelines and the Commission's orders, the U.S. Constitution, or anything else, as mandating the notion that the applicants' discovery shall not go on, no. I don't see that anywhere.

Nor do I have any problems whatsoever with the serving of the discovery requests on the February 26th or whatever it was, the last day of the period. Whenever we make a time period, it has to end somewhere. And if someone does something on the last

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

5 6

day of the period, that strikes me as at worst good lawyering and at best in full compliance with the time period. So I have no problems with that whatsoever and don't want to waste time with it.

That being said, I am convinced that what we've got here is a problem for exercise of such limited discretion as I have. And I am the prisoner of the Commission's schedule as reflected in Commission orders. So I don't have a lot of running room.

But what I want to suggest to you, the outlines and guidelines of what I'd like to see happen, and then I'm going to try to turn things over to you while I go into the next room to deal with the cross-examination of a witness and then come back here and see what we have been able to agree upon and what we haven't.

And then to the extent that you can't agree, I'll have to do it. And I assure you, as I always do, the ones I lay down are infinitely worse than the ones you come up with because you know the real case, the real problems and your own schedules.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHCDE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

All I know is what I hear from you.

It seems to me appropriate that some discovery can go on now. I was persuaded as a candidate for this -- and I'm saying this as guidelines. Ms. Jones' material had the look of it -- and it may be a deceptive look, but that it was fewer in number, somewhat more focused.

There were particularly some about specific things. There were some of the applicants' requests about a man who made a speech and what did he have behind it when he made it, that kind of thing that would lend itself to being asked and answered at this time without tremendous burdens on the intervenors.

And so if I have to go through line by line, interrogatory by interrogatory, we could be here until midnight. And I can do that, but I don't want to do it. I want to see if the applicants can't work out some few sharp, specific interrogatories, like those already asked, with the knowledge that I'm going to authorize some of them.

And the more the applicants seek, the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIPERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

(202) 2.34-4433

poorer their litigation posture with me is going to be. And the more the intervenors say, "We can't answer them. We can't answer them. We're overwhelmed," the poorer their litigation posture is going to be with me. The applicants are going to have to ask less than they want. And the intervenors are going to have to answer more than they want. Those are my guidelines. And I leave that up to you.

Finally, with respect to the guidelines,

I do not want you to be asking at this time any of the

questions that raise the alleged constitutional

questions.

Those interrogatories and document requests seem to me for present purposes not so pressing as to warrant adjudication of these constitutional issues in what I referred to the other day as the abstract. Those if they have to be adjudicated seem to me to make much more sense in light of inconsistent and responsive applications, if there are any, "comments, protests, requests for conditions, and any other opposition and argument due," to quote the Commission's language.

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

8 9

So what I want to do is break the discovery into two pieces: one that can manageably go on now that is sufficiently specific that something can happen.

And I keep in mind the applicants' comments that some of the positions are not mysteries here. We know each other pretty well. We have some feel for what the contentions are. I even do from just having sat here on the bench and heard these things. The applicants also have some idea of what's going on.

I also keep in mind that to some extent here, to a large extent, we have big firms and well-financed litigation. This is not some pro bono effort or some three characters who drifted in from Fifth Street. We have major firms of the city here who are in my view fully armed to carry on high-pressure litigation under deadlines. And I'm influenced by that here.

So I want you to try to come up with something that can happen now. And the rest should happen later. Now, here are my thoughts about later.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

Some of the discovery is premature, not in the sense that there's a commandment against doing it now, but in the sense that it would make better allocation of resources to do it later because it will be focused in the context of particular positions taken by particular parties who either are filing other applications or I think in most cases will be pressing requests for conditions.

I think I can evaluate all the disputes better in that contexts. And I think some of them may dwindle or go away or at least be reduced in number.

I have in mind tinkering with the schedule somewhat. The applicants' letter, which, by the way, again, gentlemen, I don't get this morning until I arrive here at 8:00 o'clock, I would appreciate a little more cooperation in that regard. Obviously I've read it three times. And so no harm is done. But if you could get stuff in here sooner, it would be better for me.

The applicants' letter goes on to some extent about the burdens they are confronting by the current discovery schedule, which would allow under

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

4 5

their view if the discovery were to go on beginning April 1, there's a portrayal of what would then happen. The response time runs to the 16th. And there would be a dispute. There would be a conference with me. Then they have to make a filing on April 29th. And they would, as a practical matter, eat up all of that time, have little time to deal with discovery, and maybe have the functional equivalent of no discovery at all.

I am persuaded by that. It's not an answer to me to hear that, "Well, that's their own fault. They got into it." I'm not interested in motivations. I'm dealing here with the Commission's order.

So I could give you some ideas here. And only you know how to make this work. But my thoughts were with regard to the second piece of discovery. Remember the first piece is the piece that we can agree on that should go on now.

With regard to the second piece, first of all, that they be new interrogatories, redrawn as focused on the positions taken in the March 29

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

filings, that we've got to give the applicants a few days to digest those filings and get their interrogatories ready. So I pick a date out of the air, April 3rd, for the service of the new sharpened, focused interrogatories.

We can't let go of the original response time. We'd have to sharpen it, shorten it so the applicants can get these materials and get this response quicker than they otherwise would have.

That's the intervenor's price for having pressed the argument about prematurity. Fair is fair. And if we want to put off some of this discovery, you, ladies and gentlemen on the intervenor's side, will have to move somewhat faster to accommodate the applicants. How much faster I don't know. I just put a date down on myself of a week, say April 10th.

April 12th is a Friday. I will block my schedule to be available the entire day, all day Friday, and on into the night if necessary, to resolve whatever I have to by that time.

And that which I then order produced I picked a date April 16th should be produced, which

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

there to get ready and make their final filing.

That's not much time, but I don't know

then leaves the applicants roughly a short two weeks

what else I can do given the procedural schedule adopted by the Commission, now the Board. I can't fool with that. What I can fool with are those intervening days.

So I'm suggesting to you something like that. Shorten response time on the intervenors' side, less time to prepare their April 29th filings, of course, than the applicants would like.

But I think given the assets here on both sides in terms of the firms, the abilities of these firms, the abilities of the men and women involved that we can get this job done. And I have to take that into consideration in the case I'm dealing with.

It's not some other case. It's this case.

And we've got top-flight legal talent on both sides.

I want you to find a way to do this with the requests that I now think are premature that will be better and sharper focused in light of the March 29th filing.

So those are the guidelines. That's the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

kind of thing I'd like to get out of here. I've got very few minutes before I have to get ready to hear the cross-examination of this witness. And my idea was to leave you alone to try to work something out.

Yes, sir?

MR. HUT: Just for a moment, Your Honor.

I will stifle every urge I have to reargue portions of this and just suggest perhaps the following gloss on your suggestions may make some sense to modus operandi.

Our responses are currently due on --

JUDGE NELSON: These are suggestions in terms of the dates. The outline is what I'm going to order.

MR. HUT: And that's what I'm going to speak to, just the dates.

Our responses are due on Tuesday. There's an awful lot within the parameters of your guidelines I think to review and discuss. And it may make some more sense --

JUDGE NELSON: If you can get an agreement with the other side --

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

MR. HUT: -- to do that over the weekend.

JUDGE NELSON: -- that Phase 1 shall be turned in on whatever the next day will be, that's fine.

MR. HUT: What I would like to do is try to agree on what Phase 1 will be over the weekend or early next week with Mr. Livingston and Mr. Norton to adjourn, if we could, responses on those, say, to a week from today. And by then we will hopefully identify the Phase 1 --

JUDGE NELSON: I don't rule out anything so long as it does start giving some discovery now, which I think they're entitled to. The better job they can do on their request, the more I'm going to be inclined to want to order it. The more they ask for every piece of paper dealing with railroads in America, the less they're going to get from me this time or maybe ever.

So I don't want to discourage you. I want to encourage you to have discussions here, here and now, when I leave. Mr. Hut, we're all here in the same room. And you may have this room. And I think

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

2

4

5 6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

21

we have even additional rooms around here that Ms. DiCianno can help find for you. At this time on a Friday, they're usually available. And I'm going to ask you to try to go to work on some definition of what's going to happen in the schedules and so on and so forth.

Yes, ma'am?

MS. METALLO: I just would like a clarification.

JUDGE NELSON: Ms. Metallo?

MS. METALLO: I just would like a clarification that this recommendation and ruling apply to all parties, including those that just joined on other parties' filings.

JUDGE NELSON: Everyone that supported the notion of prematurity I'm intending to cover.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Which was not by any means everyone. Many did not support it. And many have not filed objections on --

JUDGE NELSON: Then they're in a position that they can -- I can't rule for people who aren't here. I'm dealing with these matters that are before

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

4

6

8

9

10

12

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

me. I have letters from -- let me see if I have this correctly.

I have it as Conrail's request supported by KCS, the WSC, the Tex-Mex, Dow, Kennecott Utah Copper, Kennecott Energy, Western Resources, National Industrial Transportation League, WCTL, Arizona Electric Power Co-op, Wisconsin Power and Light, Wisconsin Public Service Company, Texas Utilities, and Entergy. Are there others who want to be in that --

MR. EDWARDS: Yes, Your Honor. Sierra Pacific. Sierra Pacific.

JUDGE NELSON: Yes. I did see a letter regarding this point from --

MR. EDWARDS: Richard Allen.

JUDGE NELSON: There were two letters, one from Tex-Mex and one from Sierra Pacific, both from Mr. Allen.

MR. EDWARDS: Yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE NELSON: Absolutely right. Anyone

else?

MR. KACZMAREK: Your Honor, Chris Kaczmarek, Montana Rail Link.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

We actually raised the same objections. I do not believe a letter separate from that was 2 submitted to Your Honor, though. But these are precisely the same crematory objections were raised by our client. JUDGE NELSON: Do we have some document evidencing that? MR. KACZMAREK: Well, our response to the 8 9 discovery. 10 JUDGE NELSON: Mr. Livingston, what do you 11 say? MR. LIVINGSTON: I don't have his response 12 13 in front of me, but --14 JUDGE NELSON: Mr. Norton seems to --15 MR. LIVINGSTON: I believe that that's 16 correct. MR. NORTON: Yes. I think that's correct. 17 JUDGE NELSON: You agree that his people 18 would be --19 20 MR. KACZMAREK: That was our first 21 objection in response. 22 JUDGE NELSON: We'll go off the record for

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

a moment.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

(Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off the record briefly at 3:00 p.m.)

JUDGE NELSON: Yes, sir?

MR. GARRETT: Yes. Your Honor, I represent Union Carbide and Society of the Plastics Industry. We would be in the same position whereby we filed objections and raised this in our objections, which I have copies of. That would be --

JUDGE NELSON: Let me ask the applicants if they have some evidence of this.

MR. LIVINGSTON: I'll check my notes.

JUDGE NELSON: Livingston is looking.

MR. LIVINGSTON: I don't have their document. I have notes of their document, though.

JUDGE NELSON: Well, so far we've covered everybody except --

MR. McBRIDE: You got me, Western Shippers Coalition?

JUDGE NELSON: You're in there.

MR. McBRIDE: Howrey and Simon on behalf of Coastal Corp. filed the same objection. A member

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

of WSC asked me to speak on their behalf this afternoon. Same objection.

JUDGE NELSON: They're covered. Now, are there any problems on the applicants' side?

MR. NORTON: Yes, Your Honor. I don't believe that Coastal raised this in their objections, but --

JUDGE NELSON: Who is Coastal? Who are they?

MR. McBRIDE: It's a coal-producing company in Utah.

JUDGE NELSON: Are they part of the -
MR. McBRIDE: My group, but they're also
a separate party of record. And they told me that -
JUDGE NELSON: Well, why aren't they
covered by the fact that your group is getting the
benefit of this?

MR. McBRIDE: Because I don't purport to produce discovery on behalf of each member but just on behalf of the group. They were served separately.

JUDGE NELSON: Would they be the only coal producer left hanging if we denied their request?

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

MR. McBRIDE: I doubt it. No, I don't think so. I think there are others that may be 2 separately represented. And I don't speak for them here. JUDGE NELSON: What is your name, sir, 5 representing this producer? MR. GARRETT: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I represent Union Carbide Corporation and --8 JUDGE NELSON: Oh, Union Carbide. MR. McBRIDE: I'm Michael McBride, and I 10 11 JUDGE NELSON: I know that. 12 MR. McBRIDE: -- speak up for Coastal. 13 JUDGE NELSON: Well, Mr. McBride, it seems 14 to me that you're one of the architects of this, 15

MR. McBRIDE: I did make that.

perhaps not of the prematurity argument.

JUDGE NELSON: I know you did. dovetails the constitutional question. So it seems to me your client should get whatever the benefits or burdens are of this ruling. I don't have any problem with that.

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2

4

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

Now, this gentleman represents?

MR. GARRETT: I'm Art Garrett. And I represent the Union Carbide Corporation and the Society of the Plastics Industry, which you might know as SPI or have seen as SPI.

JUDGE NELSON: We've had them here before.

MR. GARRETT: It's Marty Bercovici --

JUDGE NELSON: Yes.

MR. GARRETT: -- you've often seen here.

JUDGE NELSON: Yes indeed.

MR. GARRETT: I work with Marty.

JUDGE NELSON: And what's the problem?

That you took a position about prematurity, and nobody
can find that?

MR. NORTON: I think we do.

JUDGE NELSON: We now have it?

MR. NORTON: Yes.

JUDGE NELSON: All right, Mr. Norton. So I'm going to include these people. Mr. Garrett's people get the benefits and the burdens of this ruling.

Anything else?

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

Served?

MR. GARRETT: Yes, Your Honor, real quick.

JUDGE NELSON: You've got to be fast.

I've got to get to --

MR. GARRETT: One other objection we had was just to the fact that the actual discovery that we received was on February 27th, which was the day after. So that's another objection that we have. And I just raise that because that's something that I think you might want to take into consideration.

JUDGE NELSON: The deadline was the 26th?

MR. GARRETT: Correct.

JUDGE NELSON: What was the language?

MR. GARRETT: Hand-served by the deadline.

JUDGE NELSON: What about that? He's saying you're out of time on one of these.

MR. LIVINGSTON: I'm told everything was served. We served many requests that day. And they were all served by messenger is my information. So I would believe him to be incorrect, but I didn't personally deliver it to him on the 26th. I would have to investigate that.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 134-4-33

MR. GARRETT: We'd be happy to reserve that objection and raise it later. We have a witness and would be prepared to put on testimony on that if need be. JUDGE NELSON: What do you want to do about this? MR. LIVINGSTON: Well, Your Honor, this is something that needs to be looked into. And if I --8 JUDGE NELSON: Obviously. If the date was 9 -- let me see the language. This is in the discovery 10 guidelines. 11 12 MR. GARRETT: Which I have. JUDGE NELSON: I have, too. 13 14 MR. GARRETT: Okay. JUDGE NELSON: My discovery guidelines. 15 16 What page? 17 MR. GARRETT: I believe on Page 2. JUDGE NELSON: Paragraph? 18 MR. GARRETT: Top of the page. 19 JUDGE NELSON: Five. "No written 20 discovery request shall be served." Is "served" 21

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-443

22

defined in there?

MR. GARRETT: Hand-served.

JUDGE NELSON: The federal court service is dropping it in the mailbox sometimes.

MR. GARRETT: But it says here "All discovery requests, responses, and objections shall be served in the most expeditious manner possible by hand delivery in the Washington, D.C. area."

JUDGE NELSON: All right. How are we going to straighten this out procedurally?

MR. LIVINGSTON: I believe he was. My information is that everybody was served by --

JUDGE NELSON: You say he was. He says he wasn't. What do you want me to do. I have nothing to rule on now, just two lawyers' say-sos.

MR. GARRETT: We did not bring this up today, Your Honor. I just raise it. We will be happy to take care of it at the --

MR. LIVINGSTON: I don't think it's right for adjudication.

JUDGE NELSON: See if you can't work it out.

MR. DiMICHAEL: And, Your Honor, I just

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

-

4

5

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

17

18 19

20

21

22

want to say that the claims in our office are in the same boat here. And I think the same thing applies. We did not actually receive these, it appears, until the 27th.

JUDGE NELSON: And you're the NIT League and Dow Chemical?

MR. DiMICHAEL: That's right, exactly.

AUDGE NELSON: Well, now, in thinking about this, let's assume the toughest possible case for the applicants, which is that they do not, in fact, get served until the next day.

MR. LIVINGSTON: If the things went out the door on the 26th and everybody else got them on the 26th, I think that's a pretty compelling case that there was service that was much more direct and complete than dropping it in a mailbox.

JUDGE NELSON: I just want to make an observation that if I deny production from them on that ground, then I'm denying discovery as to some of the key parties in the case, --

MR. LIVINGSTON: The parties that are involved here --

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: -- which I might do. I'm just thinking out loud. That's not necessarily the happiest result. So we've got to get this in shape here soon so we know whether you're going to be complying or not.

I have Monday and Tuesday free to deal with you all if necessary.

MR. LIVINGSTON: I think this is premature, but I would note that there's no claim of prejudice, nor could any plausibly be made.

JUDGE NELSON: Maybe during the break -MR. LIVINGSTON: And I can't imagine
they're going to refuse to produce on this ground.

JUDGE NELSON: My suggestion may be this. We're going to take a break. And during that time, contact your offices and see if you can get in mind any clearer picture of what happened. If you used a messenger service, there are usually messenger records of what they did. Sometimes they take signatures.

We get messengers here who do everything from roller skating, throwing paper on the wall, to those who demand that Jack Nelson come down in person

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

1.3

and sign for things. And I always assume it's what service you hire and what you pay for.

So if you got those latter people, you will have signatures.

MR. GARRETT: Your Honor, we have a messenger record that shows that it was delivered to us at like 9:00 a.m. on February --

JUDGE NELSON: Then the question is what I ought to do about that in the context of these being some of the key shippers in the case.

MR. LIVINGSTON: I'm told that these materials went out in the evening, after working hours, after close of business hours, and were delivered to places around town.

I don't know what the situation at their building is. It seems to me quite possible that the messenger delivered it and they're in a building that wasn't open or, for some other reason, they weren't there to take service that --

JUDGE NELSON: What's the paragraph again?

MR. LIVINGSTON: It can't be blamed on us.

JUDGE NELSON: We'll see if we can look at

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

8 9

this again. The paragraph in the guideline that deals with service that defines?

MR. GARRETT: How it's defined?

JUDGE NELSON: Yes.

MR. LIVINGSTON: I don't think there's any question these all went out together that night. And maybe they weren't there to take service at 9:00 o'clock or whenever the messenger arrived.

MR. DiMICHAEL: Your Honor, I would just submit that counsel does not have any factual basis at this point to be arguing this point one way or the other. We received these physically in our office on the morning on the 27th.

JUDGE NELSON: I see the problem. There's an ambiguity here about receipt. The applicants can well say that they did serve these in the most expeditious manner possible, namely a messenger. They made hand delivery in the Washington, D.C. area. They can't help it if nobody got them until the next day. In that theory, they could have been there at 11:59.

MR. DiMICHAEL: The other paragraph, Your Honor.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

of service.

JUDGE NELSON: What other paragraph?

MR. LIVINGSTON: We have the certificate

JUDGE NELSON: I'm asked to reply, which says "No written shall be served after February 26." Well, I would still read this as saying as long as they use the most expeditious manner on February 26th, they have complied.

I am persuaded by this reading that there is not a violation of the literal letter of the guidelines. And I am going to overrule that objection and hold that those parties who received the material the next day are bound by whatever we work out here in the way of discovery machinery.

I do say again, though, this is not the first time. This is probably the third time that something like this has happened because it's happened twice with me. Let's try, from here on out at least, to see what we can do so that people receive these papers, these faxes, during normal business hours and not at 7:00, 8:00, or 9:00 o'clock at night. I just say that not as an order but a request that I hope we

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

can improve this in the future.

Whose copy of the order do I have? Yes, Mr. McBride.

I now must adjourn these proceedings and take a recess and report to the other hearing. I'll be back as soon as that proceeding lends itself to my coming back.

If in the meantime you are able to reach agreement on some things, Ms. DiCianno here will be around to assist you and can come in and get me. If it looks like we can make progress and I can release you, that will be fine.

So for now we're going to take a recess.

And I'm going over to the Tennessee gas pipeline.

(Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off the record at 3:11 p.m. and went back on the record at 4:26 p.m.)

JUDGE NELSON: Have we got agreement on anything?

MR. HUT: Well, let me give you the good news first, Your Honor. We, Conrail, and I believe other parties do have an agreement with BN-SF. We

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

have agreed that some of their interrogatories to Conrail will be responded to. They understand that those responses made include reiterated objections on some relevance grounds, but they will do that.

JUDGE NELSON: But that's Phase I?

MR. HUT: And they for their part -- yes -- took an interrogatory off the table and reserved it for Phase 2.

JUDGE NELSON: Tex-Mex did the same thing?

MR. HUT: Tex-Mex did the same. We had -
MS. METALLO: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. HUT: We had understood that you have asked the applicants to go formulate a list of proposals of what discovery might be appropriate for Phase 1 and what might be appropriate for Phase 2.

In anticipation that they would do that, the non-applicant parties, the non-SF parties, tried to put our heads together. And we began going through the common discovery to identify what we thought belonged in first phase and what we thought belonged in the second.

When the applicants came back, we were

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

-

informed that they thought the proper process was, notwithstanding your earlier suggestion for framework, that we, nonetheless, go ahead and respond as we are otherwise obligated to do by the discovery guidelines on Tuesday to all of it and then --

JUDGE NELSON: Are we on the record or off the record? On the record. The first thing I've learned is that the applicants understood my ruling as meaning that everyone should have to go forward and respond to every request as though it were business as usual and nothing has happened. That is incorrect. That is not what I intended. I don't want to waste any time with such a ruling.

We're now going to turn to the question of what we can handle in two phases. The first phase, Mr. Livingston, will be the question of what interrogatories you want to identify that are sharp, that are specific for which you don't think you need anything more and which you think they ought to respond to now. And I appreciate your beginning at the beginning and specifying.

I also understand that an agreement has

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

1	been reached between the intervenors and the
2	Burlington Northern-Santa Fe. Is that correct?
3	MR. HUT: It is certainly correct as to
4	Conrail, as to Tex-Mex, as to KCS.
5	MR. KACZMAREK: And Montana Rail Link.
6	JUDGE NELSON: Do you all want to recite
7	what the agreement is in terms of what interrogatories
8	÷7.
9	MR. HUT: If you would like us to, Your
10	Honor.
11	JUDGE NELSON: I don't care as long as you
12	
13	MR. HUT: I don't think we need to enter
14	
15	JUDGE NELSON: So you've got Phase
16	worked out with the BN-Santa Fe. Let's turn now to
17	the question of the applicants. Where is the first
18	interrogatory?
19	MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor, it is not
20	correct that we understood your ruling as being as you
21	described.
22	JUDGE NELSON: That doesn't matter. I'm

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHCDE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

prepared to go through beginning with the first one.

Are we looking at the applicants' first set of interrogatories and production of documents to Consolidated Rail?

I'm turning now to Page 6, the first interrogatory. It strikes me as one that need not be at Phase 1. With regard to Number 2 --

MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor, we regard that, Number 1, as very important. We asked them to tell us what they wanted to answer, what they thought should go in Phase 1. They refused --

JUDGE NELSON: Well, I'm doing it now. I told you if you can't agree, you'll have to take the one I come up with. And we're about to get it. Do you want five minutes more to see if you can agree? Are you prepared to make no agreement, Mr. Livingston?

MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor, we did ask them what they -- we were not prepared to withdraw the discovery request in the abstract. We believe these are all proper discovery requests and --

JUDGE NELSON: Are you prepared to offer any help at all with regard to these? What have we

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 231-4433

2

.

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

got here?

MR. HUT: Your Honor, 72 of them are --

JUDGE NELSON: Sixteen interrogatories.

MR. HUT: It's 56 document requests.

JUDGE NELSON: Let's start with the 16 interrogatories. Is it your position that you can't weed out any one of them as appropriate for Phase 1, as distinguished from Phase 2?

MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor, what we have proposed was that they tell us on Tuesday what it was they were going to object to and give us nothing on, what they were going to give us partially on. And then we would work through it from there the way we have had to work through it when we have responded to not 56 document requests and interrogatories, but over 1,000.

We are responding on Tuesday, the applicants, to I think 150 discovery requests on Tuesday from these same parties and other parties. And we will be filing our responses. And they will see where we are objecting, and they will see where we are responding. And then we can negotiate from there.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

We asked them for the same courtesy. What I got in response was they wouldn't even tell us which ones they were prepared to answer now with no objections.

And we would have ended up negotiating a deal in which they would have felt free as to the Phase 1 discovery requests simply to say, "We're going to object on grounds of relevance." We end up not getting anything. And then we find out on April 10 that they object to Phase 2, and we don't get anything then either.

JUDGE NELSON: All right. Are you finished now? I have reviewed Interrogatories 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 3, and 9 and find them appropriate for reformulation in the context of whatever filings the intervenors make. And they shall be propounded under a new schedule that we will adopt for Phase 2.

With regard to Interrogatory 10, that seems to me something we could handle in Phase 1.

MR. HUT: Except only to this extent, Your Honor. I don't know what this meeting was. And if it were with government officials, it raises the same

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

First Amendment --

JUDGE NELSON: Mr. Hut, I say to you the same thing I said to the other side. If you can't agree, you'll have to take mine. Here's mine. If you don't like it, take it to the Surface Transportation Board.

Interrogatory 10 will be answered in Phase

1. Interrogatory 11, which points to a particular brochure and asks who got it, I'm going to order that to be in Phase 1. It also asks for a detailed explanation of the bases for each of the cited figures, include the data used and how they were done.

I leave that to the Conrail Company to tell about what's involved in that. If they can answer that readily, they do so. If that involves some extensive creation of material or calculations, then they will answer to that effect.

Similarly, Number 12 points to a particular brochure and asks for facts that support it. I think that can be answered.

Questions with reference to --

MR. HUT: In the same fashion, is Number

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-1433

--,

11 --

11, Your Honor, that it --

JUDGE NELSON: Say it again.

MR. HUT: In the same fashion, is Number

JUDGE NELSON: That is correct. Your response can be that it's unduly burdensome or whatever. But there should be an answer, similarly as to Number 12, which points to a particular brochure.

Number 13, involving communication with state legislators seems to be near the area of the alleged First Amendment privileges. And I believe that those are better resolved later when we see the actual intervenor filings. So Number 13 will be in Phase 2.

So will Number 14.

MR. McBRIDE: If I may remind, Your Honor, simply I think 13 through 17 were on my list. And they're identical to mine but for the name. They were my --

JUDGE NELSON: I'm dealing with one thing at a time. We'll get to you. I'm dealing now with a document titled "Applicants' First Set of

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Conrail." Conrail is the one who moved for relief on the ground that they were premature. 3 And that's what I'm addressing. Interrogatory 14 is for Phase Interrogatory 15, what is that driving at, Mr. Livingston? Can you help me on that one as to whether it fits in Phase 1 or 2? Who is Mr. Hagen? 8

MR. HUT: I can speak to that, Your Honor. Mr. Hagen is the retired CEO and Chairman of Conrail. So I don't know about his availability to provide us the information responsive to that. Mr. Anschutz, as you know, I think is the CEO.

JUDGE NELSON: We've covered who Mr. Anschutz is.

Can you tell me anything about 15 that will help me decide where it belongs?

MR. LIVINGSTON: Well, I think it should be answered. It's --

JUDGE NELSON: Maybe it could. The question is now or later.

> MR. LIVINGSTON: I think it should be

**NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

4 5

1

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

15 16

17

18

19

21

22

answered now.

MR. LIVINGSTON: It has to do with possible purchase of Conrail. Conrail has been making statements in the press, public statements, about purchasing part of SP. There are lots of documents in this record. And I think it's in the filing with the Commission they have already made. They've induced many communities to submit support for that.

We're asking a question about its relevance of --

JUDGE NELSON: Is Conrail going to file a rival application?

MR. HUT: No, it's not, Your Honor. Conrail is not going to make a specific divestiture proposal for divestiture or sale to it. Conrail will oppose the merger and will urge that sale or divestiture of certain parallel lines is the appropriate remedy for any --

JUDGE NE SON: So that would be a --

MR. HUT: Nothing specific. It's applied

for --

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

1	MR. LIVINGSTON: They're going to seek
2	condition for sale. And they intend to
3	JUDGE NELSON: It will be a request fo
4	condition?
5	MR. HUT: Yes, sir.
6	JUDGE NELSON: I think we can look at tha
7	better in the context of the request for condition
8	And that will be in Phase 2.
9	Question 16. The answer to 16 is no
10	Sixteen has been answered.
11	Now, with reference to the document
12	requests
13	MR. HUT: And the document requests,
14	should say, Your Honor, are common this is the
15	first 24-25 for
16	JUDGE NELSON: Well, Number 1 wants work
17	papers underlying your submission. That seems to me
18	appropriate for Page 2.
19	MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor, they will get
20	the work papers on April 10th. This is asking for
21	them on April 1.
22	MR HIT: We're going to provide them or

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

-

April 1, Your Honor, as part of our document depository.

JUDGE NELSON: That's fine. So they will answer 1A. Now, 1B, "transcript, publications written to any witnesses presented for testimony for Conrail." Conrail. What do you mean "witnesses"?

MR. HUT: We'll put in some sworn statements, Your Honor. And I think for any transcripts that are not readily available to the applicants, we'll try to supply those. But publications, we are going to propose testimony from economic experts who have publications that will fill this room. They are as readily available to the applicants as to us.

JUDGE NELSON: What do we mean by "written testimony," prior testimony in other cases? Is that what we're seeking?

MR. LIVINGSTON: If they have a witness who has given prior verified statements or prior --

JUDGE NELSON: Or testified. I think that that's fair. I'm going to deny it as to publications. That seems to me to ask for the world.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

With respect to written testimony and transcripts, I'm going to grant it. What if I limited it to testimony involving railroads?

MR. HUT: Or to issues relevant to the proceeding.

JUDGE NELSON: Yes. We need some limitation there. Some of these people testify in FERC cases and are experts on this and that. Can we get some limiting language with regard to --

MR. LIVINGSTON: To railroad matters, on related issues, railroad merger matters or other related --

JUDGE NELSON: I'll accept railroad matters related to issues in the pending merger proceeding. And that we're going to do in Phase 1. Is that correct?

MR. HUT: Yes, conceivably if by April 1.

MR. KILLORY: That's what the request is.

MR. HUT: The request is that we furnish them to them by April 1.

JUDGE NELSON: By April 1. So you'll comply with that.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

14

13

15

1?

16 17

18

19

21

22

is the Utah Railway settlement agreement? Is that another one in this case?

MR. LIVINGSTON: That's also a settlement agreement.

JUDGE NELSON: Phase 2. Number 8 I'm going to direct it be in -- help me with this one, Mr. Hut. You're going to be pressing for a condition. And they want all documents relating to it.

MR. HUT: And they will get all of the ones we rely on relating to that condition, Your Honor.

JUDGE NELSON: How soon will that be?

MR. HUT: In our work --

JUDGE NELSON: In the work papers on April

MR. HUT: Sure.

JUDGE NELSON: So Number 8 will be answered not in the context of any condition that might be imposed, but in the context of whatever you're specifically requesting. Is that correct?

MR. HUT: Yes, that's right.

JUDGE NELSON: And that will be answered

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433