

MS. FELASCO: Your Honor, it's the Department's position that to the extent that our witnesses rely on any of these communications, we will produce them in the work papers that we're filing tomorrow. That's been our position.

JUDGE NELSON: Well, what's your position about this question of whether the redress clause in the First Amendment creates a discovery privilege? MS. FFLASCO: I am not familiar with those

cases.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. McBRIDE: What I'm telling you, Your Honor, is we have been focused on the exceptional case.

JUDGE NELSON: It seems like the Department doesn't care.

MR. MCBRIDE: No.

JUDGE NELSON: That would be an entity of government that would be normally in here siding with you.

MR. McBRIDE: Two things, Your Honor. She didn't say she didn't care. The first thing she said was if they rely on it in their public testimony,

 NEAL R. GROSS

 COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

 (202) 234-4433

 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

they'll produce it in the work papers. In other words, if a communication --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: That's a different story. MR. McBRIDE: -- becomes public, then it wasn't maintained in confidence. That's what she said. She didn't say if somebody came to her and just said they're concerned about threat of reprisal and they don't make that public, that she's going to produce it in her work papers.

And in their letter of March 4th to Your Honor, they said they were asserting the informer's privilege.

JUDGE NELSON: I recall that --

MR. McBRIDE: Yes.

JUDGE NELSON: -- about people who come in and talk to them.

MR. McBRIDE: Yes. And what I'm telling you is the overwhelming body of law is from cases like the Eleventh Circuit and their own case, the Coors case, the court said such things as the presumptive privilege we must afford First Amendment claims. In all cases the presumption in that case was speech and

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

association, speech and association.

JUDGE NELSON: Let me ask Ms. Felasco again. I'm looking for some help here one way or the other, Mr. Felasco. And so far you're not giving me any. What can you tell me about this issue on behalf of the Department?

MS. FELASCO: Your Honor, I believe that we would prefer to keep confidential communications confidential because parties rely on us to come in and give us that information.

And to the extent that our experts rely on it in their testimony, we will go ahead and produce it as work product but perhaps we would prefer to keep confidential to allow parties to come in and talk to us. That's how we get our information.

JUDGE NELSON: That is consistent with your earlier position of the informer privilege, so-called informer.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor, may I be heard on that?

JUDGE NELSON: Sure.

MR. LIVINGSTON: First of all, there's no

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

showing that when Conrail made its presentation or presentations, plural, to the Department of Justice that there was an undertaking of confidentiality.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

But, beyond that, I am quite certain -- I have been in civil litigation against the government on occasion, as most of the lawyers here probably have at one time or another. And if the government serves you with a discovery request and some of the documents that are called for are documents you sent to the Governor of Utah, I think the Department of Justice would not allow you to assert a First Amendment privilege and withhold those documents from discovery.

I can't imagine that the Department of Justice in its civil litigation when it is seeking discovery would give any credence to this First Amendment claim when it is seeking discovery.

JUDGE NELSON: As a practical matter, what's the difference between finding that there's a qualified privilege or there isn't one? Don't we still have to get down to burden and need and all those things?

MR. LIVINGSTON: Well, we don't do that,

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

I don't believe, because the issue today, the issue that's raised, is the First Amendment issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

MR. KILLORY: Wait a second. Wait a second. The issue you brought to the table here is for every party. And it's not just a First Amendment issue. That's wrong. We have a serious burden objection here.

JUDGE NELSON: So that if I were to rule that there's a qualified privilege, you're not prepared to go forward today to back it up?

MR. LIVINGSTON: We'll go forward today if we have to if there are further objections to be made. An issue that was presented, I thought, by the --

JUDGE NELSON: This is your discovery. They'll be happy if you never get --

MR. LIVINGSTON: I understand. We want to get to the end of it and get --

JUDGE NELSON: Coming into another --MR. LIVINGSTON: Well, I don't want to sit here and say, "Well, here's one objectic". If that doesn't work, Judge, here's another one," and then there's no end to it. But put that to one side.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

On the First Amendment side, just looking at the First Amendment, virtually all communications by private citizens in this country are covered by the First Amendment. We have free speech in this country. There are very few limits on what can be said.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Even a memorandum within a company from one vice president to another is protected speech. The files of the parties are full of documents that have been written in the exercise of a First Amendment right. Those are not immune from discovery simply because they were protected speech or because the person who wrote them hoped that they would be held confidential or --

JUDGE NELSON: No one is contending --MR. LIVINGSTON: -- prayed that they would be confidential or even --

JUDGE NELSON: Livingston, you're beating a dead horse here or a straw man at best. No one is contending for absolute immunity.

MR. LIVINGSTON: But that --JUDGE NELSON: The question is: Is it ordinary discovery or is it a qualified privilege?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 231-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

MR. LIVINGSTON: The qualification, if there is one, is: Is this case at all like the NAACP situation or the Ku Klux Klan situation in the Coors case, where the argument actually was rejected in an attempt to avoid discovery?

Here is Conrail. Can it seriously be contended that Conrail's going to be chilled if it has to produce documents that it voluntarily gave the government officials?

It knew when it got into this case as a party that it would be subject to discovery. It knew when it handed out documents to government officials that there was a possibility that that would be called for in discovery and would have to be produced. It knew it when it did it.

Applicants have had to produce documents of that kind, including Mr. Roach's notes of presentations to the Department of Justice.

There is not any case that supports this First Amendment claim in this context. This is an absolutely extraordinary and unsupportable assertion. MR. McBRIDE: Two things, Your Honor.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

1

2

First of all, Mr. Livingston keeps trying to change the question. He talks about documents we handed government officials and then suggesting we're somehow trying to immunize them from discovery. That's exactly wrong. It's what I told you when I showed you the Kingsley Group study. We're talking about notes of the meetings with them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

And, secondly, if I may, in this Coors case, as I keep coming back to, even though I can't cite an issue for redress of grievances clauses, Your Honor, it's observed it's in the Constitution. And, as the courts say over and over again, First Amendment claims are entitled to a presumption of privilege.

And, quoting from the Supreme Court, they said, "Freedoms such as these are protected not only against heavy-handed frontal attacks, but also from being stifled by more subtle governmental influences," talking about chilling effect.

And the point is this, Your Honor. In the Ku Klux Klan case, they had done some film and it had Mr. Coors evidently referred to in it or pictured in it. And they were showing it all over the United

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

States. And then they claimed they didn't have to give a copy of the film. The court said, "That's ridiculous because you've been making it public."

How could that be any more different than these notes, which no one else but me has ever seen?

JUDGE NELSON: Well, I am going to find that the redress clause of the First Amendment creates a qualified privilege against discovery, a privilege which can yield an appropriate showing cf need, relevance burden, balancing of all the other factors.

My thinking is that there are probably plenty of occasions when people want to talk in confidence with congressmen, governors, agencies, et cetera, that's an entirely legitimate confidence, and that we ought to at least start out by respecting it. I think it can yield, and we'll see how it yields in this case.

So let's turn now to the question of the balancing of factors we need in order to see what this stuff is all about, why you want it, what's so important about it, and any questions of burden.

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

Is Conrail the only one that has burden

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 (202) 234-4433

		2708
0	1	problems here?
	2	(No response.)
	3	JUDGE NELSON: I don't hear anyone else.
	4	All right.
	5	Let's start off with let me see the
	6	material. Is there an objection if I look at Mr.
	7	McBride's papers?
	8	MR. LIVINGSTON: No, Your Honor.
	9	MR. McBRIDE: I may have a duplicate here.
	10	It may be a lighter burden for you, Your Honor.
~	11	JUDGE NELSON: I can't read this
0	12	handwriting here, which I cannot read.
	13	MR. McBRIDE: As I told you, Your Honor,
	14	the client has been concerned about this from the
	15	beginning.
	16	JUDGE NELSON: It is the client's
	17	handwriting?
	18	MR. McBRIDE: Yes, it is. I think I could
	19	read some of it, but obviously we're in camera.
	20	JUDGE NELSON: Every page is handwritten?
	21	MR. McBRIDE: Not quite, Your Honor. Near
0	22	the back you'll find the sheet I was talking about
		NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 (202) 234-4433

which was faxed to the Chief of Staff of the Governor of Utah, which is typewritten. It might be the easiest place to start.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: We'll start with that one. We're looking at this typewritten sheet of paper?

MR. McBRIDE: The one just before it. I'm sorry. There were two typewritten ones. Right there. You just had it. That was what I was referring to earlier.

JUDGE NELSON: I'm looking at the last page. You described this before as what?

MR. McBRIDE: I described this to Your Honor as some recommendations by my client to the Chief of Staff of the Governor of Utah as to negotiating strategy with the senior officers of Union Pacific Railroad about this merger.

JUDGE NELSON: As a result of this, what happened, if anything? Can you tell us without blowing any secrets?

MR. McBRIDE: As I understand it, Mr. Lewis was supposed to meet with the governor. And I believe Mr. Davidson did instead, the same Mr.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

Davidson referred to in a memorandum. I'm basing that on what I understand. I wasn't present.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(2)2) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: There was no meeting with Mr. Lewis?

MR. McBRIDE: Not that I'm aware of. I know he's met with other governors, but I believe Mr. Davidson met with Governor Leavitt. I believe Mr. Dolan, the Vice President of Law, also did. And I think there was more than one meeting, but I'm not sure of that.

JUDGE NELSON: Well, just thinking out loud about it, the first paragraph seems to me not to have anything to do with anything. It has to do with how to approach a man in a meeting that was never held.

MR. McBRIDE: I'm saying I believe that a meeting with the senior officers of Union Pacific was held. And part of the strategy was who to meet with. JUDGE NELSON: Read the first paragraph. MR. McBRIDE: I'm sorry. I don't have --JUDGE NELSON: The first paragraph deals with Mr. Lewis.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

MR. McBRIDE: Right. But it's advice about who to meet with. You see? That's what it's about. JUDGE NELSON: The second one is, the second paragraph.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

7

MR. McBRIDE: The first is background about why you ought to meet with who we ought to meet with.

JUDGE NELSON: I un stand.

MR. LUBEL: Your Honor, I might just interject here as an example that the applicants didn't produce any documents relating to any such meetings that they had with these officials.

JUDGE NELSON: With any governors? They didn't?

MR. LUBEL: If I'm wrong, they can correct me. I know they produced a lot of documents, but I don't think they did. I've got a very short memory on this.

MR. McBRIDE: But, in any event, Your Honor, I'm not suggesting to you that the first paragraph is so harmful to us.

NEAL R. GROSSCOURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.(202) 234-4433WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

MR. McBRIDE: I'm just suggesting to you it's obviously in confidential communication. I've not made any claim that we're going to be harmed by this communication other than the chilling effect on our constitutional rights.

JUDGE NELSON: The first two paragraphs seem to me the kind of thing about which if you thought about it, you'd want in confidence, but if you think more about it, you wouldn't care if it was in the New York Times, the first two paragraphs, except insofar as they make it troublesome the next time you want to write something like that because the next one may not be the kind of thing that can be in the New York Times.

> MR. McBRIDE: That's the first point. JUDGE NELSON: I know that.

MR. McBRIDE: The second point is Mr. Jordan's deposition is noticed for April 24th in this proceeding. If they get these notes and if you can't read them and they can't read them, guess how we're going to be spending my day.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

			2713
	0	1	JUDGE NELSON: I in my ignorance of this
-		2	case could have figured out what Paragraphs 1 and 2
		3	said from what I have seen in documents.
		4	MR. McBRIDE: Right, right.
		5	JUDGE NELSON: On the other hand, they are
		6	part of the train and the kind of thing that when you
		7	originally think about it, you want confidence.
		8	MR. McBRIDE: And if my client agrees with
		9	Your Honor's view of the world, then that just shows
		10	our credibility.
	~	11	JUDGE NELSON: Now, the third paragraph,
	$\bigcirc$	12	the first sentence of it
		13	MR. McBRIDE: Of which, Your Honor?
		14	JUDGE NELSON: The third.
		15	MR. McBRIDE: Yes.
		16	JUDGE NELSON: Talking out loud with you,
		17	I'm trying to think my way through this.
		18	See that word that's underlined?
		19	MR. McBRIDE: Yes.
		20	JUDGE NELSON: That part looks like
		21	litigation strategy.
	0	22	MR. McBRIDE: You bet.
			NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 (202) 234-4433

		)) »»
		2714
$\bigcirc$	1	JUDGE NELSON: And so I would want to
	2	think about why they have any right to probe that.
	3	The next sentence, a nice sentence, you
	4	want to give them that one?
	5	MR. MCBRIDE: No.
	6	(Laughter.)
	7	JUDGE NELSON: The final sentence is your
	8	position.
	9	MR. McBRIDE: I don't want to exactly
	10	corroborate that, Your Honor, but
~ 1	11	JUDGE NELSON: Based on my understanding,
0	12	clearly consistent with your position.
	13	MR. McBRIDE: You heard my representations
	14	to you this morning.
	15	JUDGE NELSON: Yes. I wish I could show
	16	him this so he could see that there's much ado about
	17	nothing here. But, as often happens in these fights,
	18	he thinks that because of your opposition, that there
	19	are some smoking guns in these papers. I'd love to
	20	show him this.
	21	There's virtually nothing here, Mr.
0	22	Livingston, in the sheet that I can read. Now, there
		NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 (202) 234-4433

is all of this handwritten stuff. I don't --

MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor, my suspicion is that what you say may well be correct about the WSC documents. And I would be willing on those documents to abide Your Honor's judgment without looking at them.

JUDGE NELSON: They're the kinds of things that if you and I wrote, we would want to write them thinking they were confidential.

MR. LIVINGSTON: I'm willing on those -what is it, a half a dozen pages?

JUDGE NELSON: I can't read the handwritten ones. I can't characterize them.

MR. LIVINGSTON: I understand. I'm willing to abide by Your Honor's judgment on the typewritten document.

And I think, really, the serious issue here -- and it's gotten a little bit lost -- is the Conrail presentation, particularly to the Department of Justice and Kansas City Southern.

MR. KILLORY: The only issue here was the First Amendment. Now we've lost the serious issue.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

	2716
_ 1	JUDGE NELSON: Well, I'm dealing with
2	right now Mr. McBride's material.
3	MR. KILLORY: Right.
4	JUDGE NELSON: Can you help me at all on
5	that one sentence that has that underlined word in it,
6	the material that follows the
7	MR. McBRIDE: Yes, I can.
8	JUDGE NELSON: Why don't we say what the
9	underlined word is? That's not going to tell
10	MR. McBRIDE: "Without," "without," yes,
11	sir.
12	JUDGE NELSON: That "without" clause I
13	don't
14	MR. McBRIDE: If Your Honor please, I
15	think this is a reference, a layman's reference, to
16	the UP/BN settlement agreement. And the effort was at
17	seeking a fix to that agreement that would not somehow
18	become a precedent in other merger proceedings because
19	you see the claim is in this case, for example, that
20	the mills per gross ton mile level
21	JUDGE NELSON: Oh, this is referring to
22	MR. McBRIDE: The settlement agreement for
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 (202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

||

trackage rights.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: -- the blessing of the BN/Santa Fe agreement to the extent that it then becomes a precedent somewhere else?

MR. McBRIDE: Exactly.

JUDGE NELSON: This is really nothing here of any significance, Mr. Livingston, I assure you, this typewritten sheet.

MR. McBRIDE: Now, that next typewritten sheet, which you put your hand on first, which has the client's notes to me on it, even which I'm sharing with you in camera, the note I think will indicate to you that what this was was the client's effort --

JUDGE NELSON: Can we reveal the word that's stamped in the upper right-hand corner of this? MR. McBRIDE: Yes, please. I was getting to that.

JUDGE NELSON: It says "Draft." MR. McBRIDE: Yes. That's exactly --JUDGE NELSON: It appears to be a letter that was never sent.

MR. McBRIDE: Exactly right.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

MR. LIVINGSTON: Well, then what they called for, Your Honor --

JUDGE NELSON: That's even lower --

MR. McBRIDE: No, no. Mr. Livingston, I appreciate your qualification on that, but it's not a draft pleading or verified statement in this proceeding. And I felt -- and you may tell me I can take it off the table. But I want to be completely honest to Your Honor and the record and opposing counsel here.

This was a communication to the governor of a draft of the letter that the client was hoping the governor would send. And that's why I did not feel, even though it's a draft, that I could withhold it from discovery. The letter was never sent.

Now, you tell me whether. If that doesn't qualified in discovery, I'll be happy to take it back. But it's a communication to a public official called for by one or more of those discovery requests.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor, if it was never sent, then -- I'm not sure we're on the same page, but it doesn't seem to me we have any interest

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

in that. 1 JUDGE NELSON: On its face it was never 2 3 sent. MR. LIVINGSTON: Right. So that leads --4 MR. McBRIDE: It was sent to the governor. 5 The governor never sent it to the Surface 6 7 Transportation Board. JUDGE NELSON: It's a draft of a letter 8 that says, in effect, "Governor, how about sending 9 this letter to" so and so? And the governor, for 10 11 whatever reason we know not, never sent the letter. MR. LIVINGSTON: They were soliciting? 12 13 They were soliciting? JUDGE NELSON: Soliciting stuff. I don't 14 know if that's going to get you anything. So they're 15 soliciting a letter that never got mailed. 16 17 MR. McBRIDE: Now, as to the handwritten documents, I should inform Your Honor -- and Mr. 18 19 Livingston knows this -- that we redacted --JUDGE NELSON: And the note here, can I 20 reveal this note? It's to you. 21 It's an 22 attorney-client note. **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 (202) 234-4433

			1
			2720
	0	1	MR. McBRIDE: Yes.
		2	JUDGE NELSON: The word that's underlined
		3	in the handwritten note
		4	MR. McBRIDE: Yes.
		5	JUDGE NELSON: corroborates it was not
		6	sent, the client tells Mr. McBride.
		7	MR. McBRIDE: Right.
		8	JUDGE NELSON: Anything else in this small
		9	package? Do you want me to struggle with the
1.2		10	handwriting?
		11	MR. McBRIDE: Well, I wanted to just tell
		12	you one thing, for example, in here. One of these
		13	pages is some notes of a meeting.
		14	JUDGE NELSON: Which one?
		15	MR. McBRIDE: I think in Your Honor's copy
		16	it's yellow highlighted by the client, by the way.
		17	JUDGE NELSON: Yes. All right.
		18	MR. McBRIDE: That page, only the portion
		19	highlighted, I provided to Mr. Livingston pursuant to
1		20	agreement between us that the provision of it would
		21	not constitute a waiver by either my client or his of
		22	any rights, claims, or privileges we may have here
			NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 (202) 234-4433

because I concluded upon review of that and the client in preparing his verified statement wanted to provide that because a dispute had arisen between my client and the Union Pacific Railroad, Mr. Rebensdorf, about something Mr. Rebensdorf said. So those words had been provided. They're quoted in my client's testimony. It's probably not important to read them to Your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

Other than that, all of those materials are confidential notes of communications with governmental officials about the parties in the proceeding.

JUDGE NELSON: Are these notes of meetings?

MR. McBRIDE: Yes.

JUDGE NELSON: That your client had with

MR. McBRIDE: Yes. Let me give you an example. The top sheet there. I think you'll see that that was a meeting that was had with governmental officials. And at the bottom it refers to the time of the meeting they were going to have with the governor.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	2722
1	JUDGE NELSON: That name is the name of
2	the governor?
3	MR. McBRIDE: No. I think somebody in the
4	governor's office.
5	JUDGE NELSON: That they were going to
6	meet?
7	MR. McBRIDE: That's right. You see it
8	says "Gov. Off."
9	JUDGE NELSON: Yes.
10	MR. McBRIDE: after the time? The
11	point is these are all strategy meetings that
12	JUDGE NELSON: This is all with reference
13	to Utah?
14	MR. McBRIDE: That's exactly
15	JUDGE NELSON: The entire package?
16	MR. McBRIDE: That's exactly right.
17	JUDGE NELSON: What position has Utah
18	taken in the proceeding?
19	MR. McBRIDE: Utah took the position that
20	it wasn't filing comments at this time, that it was
21	very concerned about the competitive impacts on its
22	shippers, and that it may be filing a brief to that
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 (202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

effect in the case. And the letter was signed by 1 counsel to the governor and the Attorney General of 2 Utah or one of his deputies. 3 JUDGE NELSON: When would that brief be 4 5 filed? MR. McBRIDE: June 3. 6 JUDGE NELSON: June 3? 7 MR. McBRIDE: Yes. Their letter was late 8 March. It was in by the March 29th deadline. And 9 that was their position. 10 JUDGE NELSON: I left in my office the 11 schedule. What happens after June 3? 12 MR. McBRIDE: Oral argument on July 3, I 13 believe. But there's one other thing that happens 14 before June 3, which we're in the middle of right now, 15 which is relevant. 16 JUDGE NELSON: I'm talking about Utah. 17 MR. McBRIDE: Yes. And Utah may --18 JUDGE NELSON: Suppose Utah --19 MR. MCBRIDE: -- respond to the Montana 20 Rail Link responsive application, just as we intend to 21 22 do, on April 29th.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: Suppose Utah makes some filing saying something and at that point we take another look at these handwritten documents and try to struggle with them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

MR. McBRIDE: Exactly. Now this is where it fits perfectly with the Department of Justice's position. Suppose the governor --

JUDGE NELSON: They may expose some things.

MR. McBRIDE: Yes. Suppose the Governor of Utah files something in response to Montana Rail Link's application and says, "Alex Jordan for the Western Shippers Coalition told me this merger was the greatest thing in the world. And on that basis, I support the merger." Well, then somebody in this case might have an interest in these notes to see if that's what he told them.

But otherwise if the governor doesn't divulge these communications or the attorney general, then they're not properly discoverable without some minimal showing that --

JUDGE NELSON: Is the author the same as

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

your client in each case?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

MR. McBRIDE: Yes, Mr. Jordan.

JUDGE NELSON: Say if you could read these that they appeared -- let's make up this case -- Utah files something taking Mr. Jordan chapter and verse and say Mr. Livingston suspects that the Governor of Utah knows personally nothing about this other than what Jordan told him and wants to attempt to come to Utah with the background and all of this is Utah presenting through state letterhead the shippers' position. They could request the notes to show that. Say all of that happens. Could we then look at these notes and assess whether --

MR. McBRIDE: I'll tell you what my position would be there. If the governor said, "I agree with the Western Shippers Coalition 100 percent, just like Alex Jordan says," which I think is your hypothetical, then it would seem to me the notes don't add anything because all they do is corroborate.

But if the governor, as I said a moment ago, came in and said, "Alex Jordan and Western Shippers Coalition told me that this is the best

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

merger in the world and it ought to be approved," now somebody might want to see these notes and see if that's what he really told the guy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So it seems to me unless the notes do something to challenge the credibility of Mr. Jordan, then they shouldn't be discoverable because they don't add anything. That's why I was saying earlier: Is the Surface Transportation Board ever going to care about what Mr. Jordan's notes of his meetings with the Governor of Utah say, as opposed to what the facts are or what the governor's position is or what our position is?

JUDGE NELSON: It's also possible the Utah filings might effectively waive this privilege by taking all of these ideas and submitting them --

MR. McBRIDE: Exactly. And Mr. Streeter

JUDGE NELSON: -- and distributing them to the shippers.

MR. McBRIDE: Yes. Excuse me. And Mr. Streeter handed up to me his response, the Railroad Commission of Texas, to discovery in this case. And

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

he points out to me the Texas Railroad Commission I think takes a position that -- I won't try to characterize his own, just as I understand it. He can characterize it himself. But they're talking about deliberative process here and how it presumptively protects their communications and deliberations and those sorts of things.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So it seems to me unless they divulge them, unless they open the door, to use a common phrase in discovery, they're not discoverable.

JUDGE NELSON: I'm going to give you back these Utah materials now with the rulings already made and Mr. Livingston's comments. And I am denying all discovery at this time into these handwritten Utah materials.

If the State of Utah files something which the applicants want to contend poses these in a new and different light in the context of some filing, certainly without prejudice to their taking a look at it at that time, without filing, on the one hand, and the notes, on the other.

Now, what was next? Conrail?

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

MR. KILLORY: Your Honor, we take the same ruling and apply it to, for example, if the Department of Justice does just what they say, if there's some aspect of their filing that relies on some presentation we made, then I think that's where the balancing of the qualified privilege says that gets produced.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

JUDGE NELSON: Well, you said that you have other problems.

MR. KILLORY: We have an enormous burden issue. And that would cure the burden because to the extent that any parties, governors, or Department of Justice comes forward and presents something --

JUDGE NELSON: Do you have your documents here?

MR. KILLORY: We do not, Your Honor. There are hundreds of people and thousands of meetings, much as the applicants had. We just --

JUDGE NELSON: Are you talking about the federal or the state?

MR. KILLORY: Both. Mostly it's on the state level, but there are literally thousands of

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	1	
	1	2729
2	1	meetings. We would have to canvass hundreds of
	2	people.
	3	JUDGE NELSON: Can you tell us what states
	4	or is that confidential?
	5	MR. KILLORY: Certainly most of the states
	6	subject to the merger, the Western part of the
	7	country.
	8	JUDGE NELSON: Are those states
	9	MR. KILLORY: Texas, Arkansas, Louisiana.
	10	JUDGE NELSON: Are those governments on
	11	record with positions in this case?
)	12	MR. KILLORY: I believe most are, but I
	13	can't say exactly.
	14	MR. HUT: There are letters in the record,
	15	Your Honor, also for Conrail from the Texas Attorney
	16	General, from the Texas Railroad Commission, from the
	17	Attorney General of Louisiana, from the Attorney
	18	General of Arkansas, the Attorney General of Missouri,
	19	the Governor of Missouri, all taking positions
	20	essentially opposed to the merger, none of which
	21	purport to rely on any information furnished by
	22	anybody else or do not call into question the same
		T
		NEAL 3. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
		1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.           (202) 234-4433         WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701         (202) 234-4433

kinds of preconditions that Your Honor would apply -the Governor of Utah, for example, made a substantial filing. These are very short, brief letters of positions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. LUBEL: Your Honor, KCS, we asserted a burdensome objection on this also.

MR. ESTES: Your Honor, if I may --JUDGE NELSON: Let me finish with Conrail. What is the burden? What's your suggestion at this time?

MR. KILLORY: That I would adopt the exact same ruling you made applied here that any party --JUDGE NELSON: That really doesn't fit because that really had to do with Utah filings, which are --

MR. KILLORY: If, for example, the Department of Justice filing in any way relies on our material, then that --

JUDGE NELSON: How about the Attorney General of -- where is it? -- Arkansas, whatever, is to file in opposition?

MR. HUT: Your Honor, same point. It's a

(202) 234-4433 NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	2731
1	one or two-page letter and it doesn't
2	JUDGE NELSON: Do we have one here as a
3	typical filing?
4	MR. KILLORY: We do not, Your Honor.
5	MR. HUT: I don't think the applicants
6	would dispute this characterization. These are real
7	short.
8	JUDGE NELSON: Do they give reasons?
9	MR. HUT: They do give reasons.
10	JUDGE NELSON: What do they say typically?
11	MR. HUT: Typically they say that this
12	will lead to a diminution in available competitive
13	rail alternatives and the BN/SF trackage rights
14	proposal is not a satisfactory substitute.
15	JUDGE NELSON: So suppose they said that
16	because you lobbied them to say it. So what, Mr.
17	Livingston?
18	MR. HUT: That's exactly the point, Your
19	Honor. There's no probative value. We did not
20	JUDGE NELSON: You've got these letters,
21	which are described as short letters that don't say
22	much except "We don't like it for the following
	NEAL R. GROSSCOURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.(202) 234-4433WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701(202) 234-4433

MR. LIVINGSTON: Well, there are plenty of 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 a suggestion on --9 10 case. MR. LIVINGSTON: Let me make a suggestion 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 **NEAL R. GROSS** 

reason."

1

21

22

JUDGE NELSON: Suppose it turns out that every one of them was the direct product bought for causation of this lobbying by the other side, --

MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor, let me make

JUDGE NELSON: -- which may well be the

on the railroads parties here, indeed of the other parties as well, Your Honor. In view of Your Honor's ruling that you're going to recognize a qualified privilege here and weigh the burden and other factors, I think it quite likely in the case of Conrail and KCS and perhaps the others as well that they make formal -- "formal" may not be the right word, but written presentations to, with economic evidence, perhaps even verified statements or affidavits or reports from economists to the Department of Transportation here in Washington and the Department of Justice here in

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 (202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

Washington.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

Those materials would address the core issues of the case, clearly are relevant. There can't possibly -- 1'm not asking for every state governor or every county that they visited or every town or municipality, just the places right here in Washington. I'm sure they have those materials in one place. They probably have them in their offices right here in Washington, so that they can be produced promptly.

I would eliminate any possible claim and those materials, it would seem to me --

JUDGE NELSON: It is a more focused request now.

Has DOT taken a position in this case? MR. LIVINGSTON: I don't believe they have taken a position.

MR. NORTON: They filed a comment which did not take a position and they said they would take a position in their brief in June.

MR. LIVINGSTON: DOJ, the deadline for their evidence, I guess it's today, but nobody has

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433
seen it yet.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

I think it's tomorrow. It's today. And even whether they will take a position on that evidence, sometimes I've been in on these cases where the Department files its evidence, but doesn't take a position until it files a brief.

JUDGE NELSON: Would it make sense to wait and see those briefs?

MR. LIVINGSTON: No, I don't think it makes any sense at all. What is important for us is if they made a presentation with evidence, arguing facts, making assertions about economic issues, other issues in the case, those are directly relevant, just as relevant as if they had had a man in their strategic planning department write a memo saying here's the impact of the merger. That would have been producible. These are just as producible.

JUDGE NELSON: What if they did that and the DOT or DOJ took no action?

MR. LIVINGSTON: It is still a document from these railroads who are intimately involved in this case, setting forth statements that are directly

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

relevant in the case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

Now if it's 100 percent letter for letter, verbatim consistent with what the railroads actually filed, then we won't have learned very much, but that's true of all the discovery in this case. It's always the chance that in discovery that some of the material and indeed probably most of the material are not going to play a role in the final decision. We have produced tens of thousands of documents, maybe it's even hundreds of thousands of --

JUDGE NELSON: Where do we get the DOT material. They're not in this case?

MR. LIVINGSTON: Conrail has, I assume, made a presentation to DOT.

JUDGE NELSON: Oh, they know what they told them.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Right. This is not a request to DOT. This is a request to Conrail. Give us the presentation we made to DOT and DOJ. In addition, we've asked --

JUDGE NELSON: That's a smaller universe and then we can get them together and we can look at

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

D

MR. KILLORY: It takes away the burden, however, Your Honor. I must say Justice has just stood up here and said that if there's any connection in any way that we can rely on this in the position we take, we'll produce it. Now Mr. Livingston says essentially we don't trust the judgment of Justice. You've got to produce everything you ever said to Justice as an evidentiary matter, even if Justice totally discarded and doesn't rely on it.

JUDGE NELSON: Justice is filing as we know today.

MR. KILLORY: That's right.

JUDGE NELSON: So if we met hypothetically Monday, we could see what's there, what's in the filing and let it be produced.

MR. LIVINGSTON: It's irrelevant if Justice files or not. These documents that these two railroads have written exist. They are documents written by --

JUDGE NELSON: What I'm thinking to do is, gentlemen, is to have you -- Mr. Killory, gather these

 NEAL R. GROSS

 COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

 (202) 234-4433

 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

materials with references, DOT and DOJ --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. KILLORY: As described by Mr. Livingston.

JUDGE NELSON: Bring them in here at a time we'll fix which by definition will be after the Justice filing at least, so we'll have the benefit of that and go at it in the context of that filing and we'll see what the materials are, how many there are, what they say --

MR. LIVINGSTON: Does that apply to all the people here? We'll make the same offer. Apparently, in Mr. McBride's case, he doesn't have them.

JUDGE NELSON: With regard to the two Cabinet departments. We'll put to one side --

MR. KILLORY: -- evidentiary statements and submissions provided to Justice and DOT.

MR. LIVINGSTON: First of all, put the states to one side. We're talking about the federal government.

JUDGE NELSON: We're talking DOT and DOJ. And that would include, as we have described the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

presentations, white papers, documents given, handed over, documents sent to DOT.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

MR. KILLORY: That's unusual. We're now expanding the universe beyond formal presentation? We're going to hear notes in a moment. Documents given, documents received? That's a very different thing from what he started with which is talking about presentation.

JUDGE NELSON: Documents received from the government, I'm not going to -- that raises a full other question.

MR. LIVINGSTON: We didn't request that. JUDGE NELSON: It's really documents you gave these departments. That shouldn't be so hard. MR. KILLORY: And we agree to that, Your honor. We'll reserve. We're not waiving a joint party privilege here, but we'll agree to that procedure, Your Honor.

JUDGE NELSON: Well, I haven't ordered you to produce anything. Bring it in for in camera inspection.

MR. KILLORY: Understood.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

MR. LIVINGSTON: And it should include, if they made an oral presentation --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: If there's a note of oral presentation or notes of that that should be included. MR. KILLORY: We heard notes now. Now we're getting notes of the meeting now?

Is it presentations or is it notes? JUDGE NELSON: What's wrong with that? MR. LIVINGSTON: It's exactly what's in the discovery requests.

MR. KILLORY: Well, what he started out with is very different than what he's working to which is now let's get back to the discovery requests. First he said formal presentations, white papers, formal statements. Now he wants --

JUDGE NELSON: If there's an argument that reflects lawyers' notes, then we'll talk about it in the context of work product, attorney-client which may be applicable. I not directing you to turn over to the other side a single piece of paper, not one word of the stuff, just to gather them so we can get an idea of what they look like and what problems are in

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

there. Roxanna will have time to field those, but there are two cabinet departments and we need, I suppose, entities such as the FRA or the subdivision's department.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. LIVINGSTON: Any entity in the DOT. JUDGE NELSON: There is still the FRA tnere. I don't know if it has anything to do with this.

MR. LIVINGSTON: As I understand it, Your Honor, from Mr. McBride, he didn't make any presentations to DOT or DOJ, so he would not have anything to contribute in this area. The other parties who raised this objection other than Conrail and KCS are Tex Mex, Dow, Kennicott, CCRT and Sierra. And it seems to me the same doctrine ought to apply. We will limit the request to DOT and DOJ and they ought to bring their materials in.

JUDGE NELSON: Any problems with coming in on a date we'll fix with your materials.

MR. EDWARDS: On behalf of Tex Mex, there will be no problem at all.

MR. KILLORY: Just give us time to get

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

JUDCE NELSON: We'll pick a date. Ms. Felasco?

MS. FELASCO: Yes, Your Honor?

JUDGE NELSON: I hope that you or your colleagues will be in at that time, of course, be familiar with your position. You'll know what your substantive position is and be able to offer some help on the government side as to what to do, if anything, with any of these documents. So tell your chief there that there's a risk that some of these communications might end up getting turned over so we need to hear from him or her as to -- through you as to what the occasions were, the policy arguments and so forth.

And since the Department is represented here, I think they know what these parties have told them, but should they -- I guess in your own files would be able to locate these so it shouldn't be a mystery to you as to what the railroads have told you.

MS. FELASCO: No.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor, we don't have a dispute with the Department of Justice here and

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

		2742
0	1	we're not asking them to produce anything.
-	2	We would like to be heard
	3	JUDGE NELSON: You may.
	4	MR. LIVINGSTON: We don't have a request.
	5	JUDGE NELSON: It may turn out that the
	6	railroad submissions related to the Department of
	7	Justice will be urged to be so confidential as to
	8	outweigh these other claims. The Department may roll
	9	in with such an argument.
	10	MR. LIVINGSTON: I guess that's possible.
	11	JUDGE NELSON: We don't know that.
0	12	MR. LIVINGSTON: We would like to have
	13	this heard as soon as Your Honor's
	14	JUDGE NELSON: Let's wait and see if we
	15	have other loot then so I can make a judgment about
	16	what to do. I know what my hearing schedule is next
	17	week.
	18	MR. LIVINGSTON: Can you put us in next
	19	week?
	20	JUDGE NELSON: I'll have to.
	21	MR. McBRIDE: I just wanted to confirm for
0	22	Mr. Livingston that his understanding of our situation
		NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 (202) 234-4433

		2743
0	1	was correct. We have no such presentations.
0	2	MR. ESTES: Your Honor, CCRT has no such
	3	presentations.
	. 4	MR. MORENO: Your Honor, Kennicott has no
	5	such presentations.
	6	MR. LUBEL: Two points, Your Honor. First
	7	scheduling. We have depositions every day next week
	8	and sometimes we have two and three depositions on one
	9	day.
	10	JUDGE NELSON: You want to get into
	11	scheduling now?
$\bigcirc$	12	We have a lot of other issues here.
	13	MR. LUBEL: We do. I just wanted to point
	14	out
	15	JUDGE NELSON: Now we're worried when we
	16	want to meet. We have a lot of work to do. In fact,
	17	let me take a break and I'll go get my calendar and we
	18	can let's take a break for now and we'll come back
	19	in about 10 minutes.
	20	Off the record.
	21	(Off the record.)
	22	MR. LIVINGSTON: Excuse me, Your Honor.
$\bigcirc$		NEAL R. GROSS
		COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS           1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.           (202) 234-4433           WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701           (202) 234-4433

		2744
)	1	JUDGE NELSON: That's all right. We're
	2	all set?
	3	MR. LIVINGSTON: Yes, I think
	4	JUDGE NELSON: I was telling the others my
	5	schedule doesn't look too good until Thursday, but we
	6	can discuss that. Do you want to deal with scheduling
	7	right now?
	8	MR. LIVINGSTON: We need a little time to
	9	gather anyway, Your Honor.
	10	MR. LIVINGSTON: We would have preferred
	11	Monday.
)	12	JUDGE NELSON: Well, let's go off the
	13	record.
	14	(Off the record.)
	15	JUDGE NELSON: Let's go back on the
	16	record. We have been discussing scheduling and agreed
	17	to reconvene for such as is left over at 9:30 a.m. on
	18	Thursday, April 18th.
	19	Yes sir, Mr. Steel?
	20	MR. STEEL: On behalf of Burlington
	21	Northern we have one dispute that potentially could
	22	need to be addressed before Thursday. I think we may
		NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 (202) 234-4433

have worked it out with Conrail, but if not, the opponents disputes are on Wednesday and would it be possible to at least reserve the possibility, it's a fairly narrow dispute, it might take less than an hour to resolve, but I did want to pass that on --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 2.4-4433

JUDGE NELSON: Is it on the agenda for today?

MR. STEEL: No sir. Since we're
scheduling next week, it's the Thursday after their
deposition, unless the dispute is not resolved - JUDGE NELSON: I don't want to give you a
blank check because I don't know how involved it is.
 MR. STEEL: We don't need a blank check,
I just want to apprise you of the possibility we may
need to ask to see the deposition on Wednesday, if we
can't resolve it.

JUDGE NELSON: You need to see me prior to Thursday is what you're saying?

MR. STEEL: We may if we can't resolve this dispute or the deposition simply needs to be kept open so we can discuss it on Thursday.

JUDGE NELSON: You can deal with me

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

between 9 and 9:30 or I'm actually here at 8 a.m. on any of those three hearing days, but the hearing is committed to the Mojave Pipeline Company at 9:30 a.m. on Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

MR. STEEL: I believe we can work it out with Conrail, but I didn't want to mislead you that there might not be some need to do it before Thursday. JUDGE NELSON: I have problems -- I intend to end with Mojave at 4:30 on Monday and again on Wednesday and I don't have any flexibility that latter part of the day.

On Tuesday, depending on how long Mojave goes, and how long you want to sit here, I can fit you in late in that day, 5 o'clock, 5:30.

MR. STEEL: I can get it done early in the morning within an hour if we did 8:30. It's narrow enough. I am very hopeful we can work it out. It depends on whether the documents exist. If they don't exist, there's no problem.

JUDGE NELSON: The best solutions are the ones you come up with, not the ones I have to create. You know the documents and what the real problems are.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

MR. HUT: We'll do our best. I would just point out that the deposition is provisional and is scheduled to go to Thursday. If we have to interrupt more than hour on that day that would be something we could easily do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: All right, let's take the next thing on the agenda here.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor, there's an item at the bottom of the page 5 in the letter which is next and we can pencil out. We don't need to resolve that today.

The next item is on page 6 which is a fairly --

JUDGE NELSON: Finances.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Right, a fairly narrow issues which is simply whether, we know the membership of the WSC and also of the CCRT and the question is simply, the question of finances. Provide information if there's someone else who is contributing funds. JUDGE NELSON: What do we have, Mr. McBride, on financing? We have NEACP, membership lists.

> NEAL N. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

MR. McBRIDE: <u>Buckley v. Valeo</u>. JUDGE NELSON: What is that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

MR. McBRIDE: The expenditure of money constitutes protected speech and we have disclosed our membership in response to their allegations in their March 5th letter that we might be a railroad front organization and I represented to Your Honor that on March 6th that wasn't true. I was not aware that prior to my --

JUDGE NELSON: With the one correction. MR. McBRIDE: With the one correction about the Utah railway.

Why doesn't that take care of it as far as any claim that they're a front for railroads?

MR. LIVINGSTON: Because they may be receiving support from others. It's still a question whether the Commission and applicants are entitled to know who is supporting these associations.

JUDGE NELSON: Oh, I see. You get some straw, say they hired my mother-in-law who would love t have some extra money and they'd say "Mrs. Katz, here's \$1 million. We put it through your bank

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

account. You go give it to these shippers. Don't tell them we're really the Boston & Maine Railroad or whoever it is, Conrail." Is there some straw like that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

MR. McBRIDE: My client is soliciting money on a daily basis, but I don't know that he's received a nickel from anybody other than a member. I don't believe he has.

MR. LIVINGSTON: If the members are the ones who are contributing, he ought to be able to say that.

MR. McBRIDE: That's what I believe the case to be.

JUDGE NELSON: That is the representation. MR. McBRIDE: That is what I believe it to be.

MR. LIVINGSTON: The interrogatory question asked and it's quoted on page 6, I asked about information about offers to provide funds to help finance opposition and Mr. McBride is saying that his group is entirely self-financed by its members and not by anybody else and he doesn't have any

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND + VE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20/05-3701

(202) 234-4433

information about offers made by other parties to support opposition. He doesn't have anything further to report and there's nothing to deal with him on that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

MR. McBRIDE: But we may have the continuing obligation on discovery I represent of course, Your Honor, that I have a continuing obligation and there are people who are considering joining the group, supporting the group who may wish to remain nameless.

JUDGE NELSON: You've already told him more than he asked?

MR. McBRIDE: Exactly.

JUDGE NELSON: Which is you're not getting money from railroads. And so far as you know, you're not getting money from anybody except your members.

MR. McBRIDE: Correct, but I don't want to have the continuing obligation that if my client got some money today from someone who doesn't want to become a member, we'd then have to disclose that to the applicants. It's none of their business and there are at least two or three companies who are

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHO DE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

considering doing that who are not railroads. That's my concern.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor, I don't want to talk about things that don't even exist yet, but if there are people who are not members who are supporting WSC or WSC has information about that, then that's what we're seeking.

JUDGE NELSON: Well, at the moment, they don't exist, but if they appear and they're not railroads which is the representation of Mr. McBride, well what do you care?

MR. LIVINGSTON: It depends on who they are. Let's say it's a shipper who is acting in its own name in this case. Take any one. There are a number of shippers who are active in their own name, not through coalitions.

JUDGE NELSON: What, International Paper. MR. LIVINGSTON: Yes sir, Dow or Kennicott. What if one of these coalitions is in part supported by Dow so when the Commission is looking at Dow's presentation, and this coalition presentation is really one and the same.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHO/JE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

The Supreme Court recently put cut a proposed rule which will require amicus parties to file papers in the Supreme Court to disclose who are their financial supporters because the Supreme Court wanted to make sure that when it gets an amicus brief by the association of such and such, that it's not really just a front for the appellant or the appellee, one of the actual parties in the case that's financing some amicus operation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

It seems to me that the Commission here is entitled to know where is the financing coming and we're entitled to ask these parties and it's not just WSC, but others whether they know of situations where there's financing of an opposition --

JUDGE NELSON: Constitutionally, you have one claim, but as a technical matter, suppose there case a time when you did get money from some nonrailroad who is also a party to the case, what problems would there be?

MR. McBRIDE: I don't think that would be a problem because they would be a party who have taken the position, they're not concerned about it. There

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

was one company that approached my client yesterday concerned about this merger, considered joining the coalition, considered spending some money and they haven't taken a public position and if I may remind Your Honor on page 2 of the Department of Justice's March 4th letter, the Department wrote "many individuals contacted by the Department in connection with this proceeding have expressed concern about the confidentiality of their communication with us and some have stated that they have feared retaliation for discussing their concerns about the proposed transactions." Those people have the same concern about being identified as a party in this proceeding because they depend on these people for essential transportation and they're very reluctant to oppose it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

JUDGE NELSON: What I see here is a dichotomy between railroads and shipper parties on the one hand and shipper nonparties on the other.

My thinking here is to direct reduction to the extent that you've got railroad money or shipper party money and protect insofar as you've got shipper

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

nonparty.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

MR. LIVINGSTON: Your Honor, could I --JUDGE NELSON: Is there some other category in the world?

MR. LIVINGSTON: Maybe I can make a suggestion as to how to at least resolve it for today and maybe for all time in the case of Mr. McBride's client. He is representing here and I think he can confirm this and I think he said he believed it was the case, he wasn't certain, if he can confirm the financing of WSC to date has been by its members and we have a list of them, that brings us up to date. And if in the future it receives contributions from somebody who is not a member, if he would just tell us that fact that he has received contributions from a nonmember and tell us the name and then we would decide whether or not --

JUDGE NELSON: Then see where we go with that.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Right. All he needs to do at the moment is simply confirm that his statement is correct that the financing to date has been by --

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	1	2755
$\bigcirc$	1	JUDGE NELSON: We have no money from any
-	2	railroads except what I date and we have no money from
	3	anybody else except a shipper. If the day comes when
	4	we get money from somebody else, we'll tell you, we'll
	5	tell you we only got money from somebody else without
	6	prejudice to our position to protect identity, amount
	7	and so forth.
	8	MR. McBRIDE: I accept the offer, but I'm
	9	not speaking for Mr. Estes
	10	JUDGE NELSON: But someone from your group
	11	
	12	MR. McBRIDE: Yes. I will make the
	13	representation that on information we have received no
	14	money from any one other than Utah Railway and the
	15	other members of Western Shippers Coalition.
	16	MR. LIVINGSTON: If he can just confirm
	17	that, if he's not certain.
	18	JUDGE NELSON: During the next break you
	19	can talk.
	20	MR. McBRIDE: We'll talk.
	21	MR. LIVINGSTON: WSC is the only party
-	22	where this is ripe. It's not a ripe issue yet, I
$\smile$		
	•	NEAL R. GROSSCOURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.(202) 234-4433WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701(202) 234-4433(202) 234-4433

275
1 don't believe for CCRT or any of the other parties.
2 JUDGE NELSON: Mr. Estes?
3 MR. ESTES: I'm not sure what you mean by
4 ripe.
5 MR. LIVINGSTON: I don't believe, told
6 that you have responded to this particular
7 interrogatory.
8 MR. ESTES: That's correct.
9 MR. LIVINGSTON: so we don't have their
10 response and we don't have a basis for complaining
11 about it yet. We'll have to wait and see.
12 JUDGE NELSON: May I ask a question off
13 the record? Any objection? Off the record.
14 (Off the record.)
15 JUDGE NELSON: Mr. Estes, do you have a
16 problem with this?
MR. ESTES: Well, as counsel said
18 JUDGE NELSON: You haven't responded yet.
19 MR. ESTES: Right. Nonetheless, yes, I
20 have a problem because Your Honor, let me just take a
21 second to tell you about CCRT. It's a Virginia
22 corporation. It's a nonprofit corporation under the
NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 (202) 234-4433

7

IRS standard.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(20 34-4433

JUDGE NELSON: What's it's name again, please?

MR. ESTES: Coalition for Competitive Railroad Transportation. It's chartered under the laws of Virginia. Its purpose is to advance the railroad shipper interests of its members.

Now Your Honor, that's the reason why we're participating in this proceeding. Requesting where the financing backing of CCRT comes from seems to me to be both unfair and unjust --

JUDGE NELSON: IS CCRT an on-going organization that has other roles and functions or was it created for this case?

MR. ESTES: It's primarily in this case now. That's correct, Your Honor. Right now that's what we're concentrating on.

However, in many respects if you step back for a second, as a nonprofit corporation we have a Board of Directors or a Steering Committee, as they call it. We have members. Those members pay dues. A for profit corporation has a Board of Directors and

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

shareholders and a corporate purpose.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

Now if we're to draw a distinction between nonprofit corporations and for profit corporations it seems to me that we're tipping the scales of justice just a little bit and we ought to be even handed in this. I would not for a minute go out and ask the applicants which of their shareholders are in favor of their position or how many shares do their shareholders have. That seems to me is totally irrelevant just as it's wrong it seems to me, to ask CCRT members how much are your dues, how often do you pay dues and how much have you paid.

Are we saying that because we have paid so much in dues there should be given more weight or less? Also, Your Honor, it tends to embarrass some of these members. Some have not paid, some have paid. I don't want to get into that position. I don't think it's relevant and I think it's not factual and I think what's relevant is the facts. I think what's relevant is the submission -- those submissions, those statements stand on their own.

JUDGE NELSON: What is the position of the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433