5-22-98 K 29206V6D 6/10 STB FD 33388

STB Docket No. AB-290 (Sub-No. 197X), Norfolk and Wester: Railway Company-Abandonment Exemption-Toledo Pivot Bridge in Lucas County, OH.

### APPENDIX B: PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

May 16, 1997	Preliminary Environmental Report filed.
June 23, 1997	Primary application and related filings filed. Environmental Report filed.
July 23, 1997	Publication in the Federal Register, by this date, of: 1 tice of acceptance of primary application and related filings; and notice of the five related abandonment filings.
August 6, 1997	Comments on the draft scope of the Environmental Impact Statement due. <sup>39</sup>
August 7, 1997	Notice of intent to participate in proceeding due.
August 22, 1997	Description of anticipated responsive (including inconsistent) applications due; petitions for waiver or clarification due with respect to such applications.
September 5, 1997	Preliminary Draft Environmental Assessments for the construction projects referenced in Decision No. 9 due.
October 1, 1997	Responsive Environmental Report and Environmental Verified Statements of responsive (including inconsistent) applicants due.
October 21, 1997	Responsive (including inconsistent) applications due. All comments, protests, and requests for conditions, and any other opposition evidence and argument, due. 40 Comments of the U.S. Secretary of Transportation and the U.S. Attorney General due. With respect to all related abandonments: opposition submissions, requests for public use conditions, and Trails Act requests due.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> See the notice served July 3, 1997, and published in the Federal Register on July 7, 1997, at 62 FR 36332. As indicated in that notice, slip op. at 3, 62 FR at 36333, it is not necessary to be a party of record to file comments on the draft scope of the EIS and/or to participate in the environmental review process.

As indicated in the notice published in the Federal Register on July 11, 1997 (62 FR 37331), petitions for reconsideration with respect to the physical construction of the Crestline connection track, as proposed in the STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 1) embraced docket, and/or operation thereover by CSXT, are due by July 31, 1997. As indicated in the notices published in the Federal Register concurrently herewith, comments respecting the physical construction of the Willow Creek, Greenwich, Sidney Junction, Sidney, Alexandria, and Bucyrus connection tracks, as proposed in the STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-Nos. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7) embraced dockets, respectively, and/or operation thereover by applicants, are due August 22, 1997.

applications pub'ished in the Federal Register.

December 15, 1997 Response to responsive (including inconsistent) applications due.
Response to comments, protests, requested conditions, and other opposition evidence and argument due. Rebuttal in support of primary application and related filings due. With respect to all related abandonments: rebuttal due; and responses to requests for public use and Trails Act conditions due.

Notice of acceptance (if required) of responsive (including inconsistent)

January 14, 1998 Rebuttal in support of responsive (including inconsistent) applications due.

February 23, 1998 Briefs due, all parties (not to exceed 50 pages).

April 9, 1998 Oral argument (close of record).

November 20, 1997

April 14, 1998 Voting conference (at Board's discretion).

June 8, 1998 Date of service of final decision.

With respect to any exempted abandonments: offers of financial assistance may be filed no later than 10 days after the date of service of the final decision.

NOTES: Immediately upon each evidentiary filing, the filing party will place all documents relevant to the filing (other than documents that are privileged or otherwise protected from discovery) in a depository open to all parties, and will make its witnesses available for discovery depositions. Access to documents, subject to protective order, will be appropriately restricted. Parties seeking discovery depositions may proceed by agreement. Discovery on responsive (including inconsistent) applications will begin immediately upon their filing.

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

**BOARD DECISION NO. 52** 

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

# SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

STB Finance Docket No. 33388

CSX CORPORATION AND CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC., NORFOLK SOUTHERN CORPORATION AND NORFOLK SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY—CONTROL AND OPERATING LEASES/AGREEMENTS—CONRAIL, INC. AND CONSOLIDATED RAIL CORPORATION

Decision No. 52

Decided: November 3, 1997

As requested by the United States Department of Transportation (DOT) in its filing on October 21, 1997, in this proceeding (DOT-3) at pages 4-6, we have decided to require A<sub>1</sub>·plicants<sup>1</sup> to prepare Safety Integration Plans (SIPs) that address the concerns set forth in the verified statement of Edward R. English included with DOT's submission. That verified statement and Applicants' SIPs will be made a part of the environmental record and dealt with through the environmental review process. This is consistent with the Board's practice of treating safety matters in its environmental review of the proposals that come before it. We anticipate that DOT, as well as other interested parties, will analyze the Applicants' SIPs and give us the benefit of their views on the adequacy of Applicants' plans.

CSX Corporation (CSXC) and CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSXT) are referred to collectively as CSX. Norfolk Southern Corporation (NSC) and Norfolk Southern Railway Company (NSR) are referred to collectively as NS. Conrail Inc. (CRI) and Consolidated Rail Corporation (CRC) are referred to collectively as Conrail. CSX, NS, and Conrail are referred to collectively as Applicants.

Specifically, we will require Applicants to file these SIPs with the Board 30 days from the date of service of this decision. These SIPs will be incorporated as a separate section of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to facilitate participation by commenters desiring to address only the adequacy of Applicants' SIPs. To accommodate inclusion of this material in the Draft EIS, and because of the late receipt of information necessary to prepare a sufficiently complete Draft EIS (e.g., receipt of the Errata and Supplemental Environmental Report approximately 9 weeks after the filing of the Application and Environmental Report), service of the Draft EIS, which had been scheduled for November, will not occur until the latter part of December. The 45 day period for comment on the Draft EIS will commence upon the service of the Draft EIS. We anticipate that the comment period will end in early February. Given the additional time required to issue the Draft EIS with the SIPs, we must extend the time accordingly for our Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) to complete the EIS process and to ensure that the Board has adequate time to consider fully the environmental record in arriving at its decision in this proceeding. As a result, the Final EIS, which had been scheduled for service in early April 1998, will now be served in May of 1998.

These changes, in turn, will require the following modifications to our overall schedule for processing the applications as set forth in Decision Nos. 6 and 12 in this proceeding.<sup>2</sup> Oral argument will now be held on June 4, 1998, to be followed by a voting conference on June 8, 1998. Our final written decision will be served on Thursday, July 23, 1998. The remainder of the current procedural schedule, including the date for filing the parties' briefs will not be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Served on May 30, 1997, and on July 23, 1997, respectively.

affected.

We recognize that our decision today results in extending the previously established schedule by 45 days. However, we have concluded that this delay is necessary to permit us to give safety concerns full consideration as warranted by this proceeding.

This action will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or the conservation of energy resources.

# It is ordered:

- I. Applicants CSX and NS, and Conrail, to the extent it will be responsible for operation in the Shared Assets Areas, shall file Safety Integration Plans in conformity with the request of the United States Department of Transportation in DOT-3 in this proceeding, as more specifically detailed in the verified statement of Edward R. English, within 30 days of the date of service of this order.
- 2. Responses to Applicants' SIPs shall be made as comments to the Draft EIS, which will be served by the end of the year. Comments on the Draft EIS will be due 45 days from the date of service of that document.
  - 3. Oral Argument will be held on June 4, 1998.

- 4. The Board will hold a voting conference on June 8, 1998.
- 5. The final written decision will be served on July 23, 1998.

By the Board, Chairman Morgan and Vice Chairman Owen.

Vernon A. Williams
Secretary

**BOARD DECISION NO. 54** 

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

# **SERVICE DATE - NOVEMBER 20, 1997**

#### SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

#### DECISION

STB Finance Docket No. 33388

CSX CORPORATION AND CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC., NORFOLK SOUTHERN CORPORATION AND NORFOLK SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY--CONTROL AND OPERATING LEASES/AGREEMENTS--CONRAIL INC. AND CONSOLIDATED RAIL CORPORATION

STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 35)

RESPONSIVE APPLICATION--NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION

STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 36)

RESPONSIVE APPLICATION--ELGIN, JOLIET & EASTERN RAILWAY COMPANY, TRANSTAR, INC., AND I & M RAIL LINK, LLC

STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 39)

RESPONSIVE APPLICATION--LIVONIA, AVON & LAKEVILLE RAILROAD CORPORATION

STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 59)

RESPONSIVE APPLICATION-WISCONSIN CENTRAL LTD.

STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 61)

RESPONSIVE APPLICATION-BESSEMER AND LAKE ERIE RAILROAD COMPANY

STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 62)

RESPONSIVE APPLICATION--ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY

STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 63)

RESPONSIVE APPLICATION--R.J. CORMAN RAILROAD COMPANY/WESTERN OHIO LINE

STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 69)

RESPONSIVE APPLICATION-STATE OF NEW YORK, BY AND THROUGH ITS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, AND THE NEW YORK CITY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 72)

RESPONSIVE APPLICATION--THE BELVIDERE & DELAWARE RIVER RAILWAY AND THE BLACK RIVER & WESTERN RAILROAD

STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 75)

RESPONSIVE APPLICATION--NEW ENGLAND CENTRAL RAILROAD, INC.

STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 76)

RESPONSIVE APPLICATION--INDIANA SOUTHERN RAILROAD, INC.

STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 77)

RESPONSIVE APPLICATION-INDIANA & OHIO RAILWAY COMPANY

STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 78)

RESPONSIVE APPLICATION--ANN ARBOR ACQUISITION CORPORATION, D/B/A ANN ARBOR RAILROAD

STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 80)

RESPONSIVE APPLICATION--WHEELING & LAKE ERIE RAILWAY COMPANY

STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 81)

RESPONSIVE APPLICATION--CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY AND GRAND TRUNK WESTERN KAILROAD INCORPORATED

STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 83)

GRAND TRUNK WEST RN RAILROAD INCORPORATED-CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION EXEMPTION-CONNECTING TRACKS AT TRENTON, MI

#### **DECISION NO. 54**

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board.

ACTION: Decision No. 54; Notice of Acceptance of Responsive Applications and Related Filing.

SUMMARY: The Board is accepting for consideration the responsive applications filed: by New York State Electric and Gas Corporation (NYSEG) in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 35); jointly by Elgin, Joliet & Eastern Railway Company, Transtar, Inc., and I & M Rail Link, LLC, in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 36); by Livonia, Avon & Lakeville Railroad Corporation (LAL) in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 39); by Wisconsin Central Ltd. (WCL) in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 59); by Bessemer and Lake Erie Railroad Company (BLE) in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 61); by Illinois Central Railroad Company (IC) in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 62); by R.J. Corman Railroad Company/Western Ohio Line (RJCW) in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 63); jointly by (i) the State of New York, acting by and through its Department of Transportation (NYDOT), and (ii) the New York City Economic Development Corporation (NYCEDC) in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 69); jointly by the Belvidere & Delaware River Railway (BDRV) and the Black River & Western Railroad (BRW) in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 72); by New England Central Railroad, Inc. (NECR), in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 75); by Indiana Southern Railroad, Inc. (ISRR), in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 76); by Indiana & Ohio Railway Company (IORY) in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 77); by Ann Arbor Acquisition Corporation, d/b/a Ann Arbor Railroad (AA), in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 78); by Wheeling & Lake Erie Railway Company (W&LE) in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 80); and jointly by Canadian National Railway Company (CN) and Grand Trunk Western Railroad Incorporated (GTW) in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 81). The Board is also accepting for consideration the notice of exemption filed by GTW in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 83). The responsive applications filed in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-Nos. 35, 36, 39, 59, 61, 62,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Elgin, Joliet & Eastern Railway Company and Transtar, Inc. are referred to collectively as EJE. I & M Rail Link, LLC is referred to as IMRL.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The responsive application filed jointly by NYDOT and NYCEDC purports to be filed both in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 69) (this being the sub-number docket reserved by NYDOT) and in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 54) (this being the sub-number docket reserved by NYCEDC). Although there are two responsive applicants there is only one responsive application, and we will treat this single application as if it had been filed in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 69) only.

63, 69, 72, 75, 76, 77, 78, 80, and 81) are responsive to the primary application filed June 23, 1997, in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 by CSX Corporation (CSXC), CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSXT), Norfolk Southern Corporation (NSC), Norfolk Southern Railway Company (NSR), Conrail Inc. (CRR), and Consolidated Rail Corporation (CRC). The notice of exemption filed in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 83) is related to the responsive application filed in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 81).

DATES: The effective date of this decision is November 20, 1997. Comments regarding the responsive filings must be filed with the Board by December 15, 1997. Rebuttal in support of these responsive filings must be filed with the Board by January 14, 1998. Briefs (not to exceed 50 pages) must be filed with the Board by February 23, 1998.

ADDRESSES: An original and 25 copies of all comments referring to STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 35), STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 36), STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 39), STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 61), STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 62), STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 63), STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 64), STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 72), STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 75), STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 76), STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 76), STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 77), STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 78), STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 81), and/or STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 83) must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, ATTN.: STB Finance Docket No. 33388, 1925 K Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20423-0001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> CSXC and CSXT, and their wholly owned subsidiaries, are referred to collectively as CSX. NSC and NSR, and their wholly owned subsidiaries, are referred to collectively as NS. CRR and CRC, and their wholly owned subsidiaries, are referred to collectively as Conrail or CR. CSX, NS, and Conrail are referred to collectively as the primary applicants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The responsive applications filed in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-Nos. 35, 36, 39, 59, 61, 62, 63, 69, 72, 75, 76, 77, 78, 80, and 81) and the notice of exemption filed in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 83) are hereinafter referred to collectively as the "responsive filings."

In order for a document to be considered a formal filing, the Board must receive an original and 25 copies of the document, which must show that it has been properly served on all other parties of record. Documents transmitted by facsimile (FAX) will not be considered formal filings and are not encouraged because they will result in unnecessarily burdensome, duplicative processing in what has already become a voluminous record.

In addition to submitting an original and 25 paper copies of each document filed with the Board, parties are also requested to submit one electronic copy of each such document. Further details respecting such electronic submissions are provided below.

In addition, one copy of each document filed in these proceedings must be served on: the U.S. Secretary of Transportation; the U.S. Attorney General; Administrative Law Judge Jacob Leventhal, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Suite 11F, Washington, DC 20426; Dennis G. Lyons, Esq., Arnold & Porter, 555 12th Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20004-1202 (representing primary applicants CSXC and CSXT); Richard A. Allen, Esq., Zuckert, Scoutt & Rasenberger, LLP, Suite 600, 888 Seventeenth Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20096-3939 (representing primary applicants NSC and NSR); and Paul A. Cunningham, Esq., Harkins Cunningham, Suite 600, 1300 Nineteenth Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20036 (representing primary applicants CRR and CRC).

In addition, one copy of all comments filed in these proceedings must be served on the appropriate responsive applicant's representative: William A. Mullins, Esq., Troutman Sanders LLP, 1300 I Street, N.W., Suite 500 East, Washington, D.C. 20005-3314 (representing NYSEG); Thomas J. Litwiler, Esq., Oppenheimer Wolff & Donnelly, Two Prudential Plaza, 45th Floor, 180 North Stetson Avenue, Chicago, IL 60601-6710 (representing EJE, IMRL, BLE, IC. and WCL); Kevin M. Sheys, Esq., Oppenheimer Wolff & Donnelly, 1020 Nineteenth Street. N.W., Suite 400, Washington, DC 20036-6200 (representing LAL and RJCW); William L. Slover, Esq., Slover & Loftus, 1224 Seventeenth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036-3003 (representing NYDOT); Charles A. Spitulnik, Esq., Hopkins & Sutter, 888 Sixteenth Street, NW. Washington, DC 20006 (representing NYCEDC); Peter A. Greene, Esq., Thompson Hine & Flory LLP, 1920 N Street, N.W., Suite 800, Washington, DC 20036 (representing BDRV and BRW); Karl Morell, Esq., Ball Janik LLP, Suite 225, 1455 F Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20005 (representing NECR, ISRR, IORY, and AA); Charles H. White, Jr., Esq., Galland, Kharasch & Garfinkle, P.C., 1054 Thirty-First Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20007-4492 (representing W&LE); and L. John Osborn, Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal, 1301 K Street, N.W., Suite 600 East, Washington, DC 20005 (representing CN and GTW).

In addition, one copy of all documents filed in these proceedings must be served on all other persons designated parties of record on the Board's service list in STB Finance Docket No. 33388. See the service list attached to Decision No. 21 (served August 19, 1997), as modified in Decision No. 27 (served September 8, 1997), and as further modified in Decision No. 43 (served October 7, 1997).

Members of the United States Congress and Governors are not parties of record and therefore need not be served with copies of filings, unless any such Member or Governor is designated as a party of record. <u>See</u> Decision No. 12 (served July 23, 1997, and published that (continued...)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julia M. Farr, (202) 565-1613. [TDD for the hearing impaired: (202) 565-1695.]

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the primary application filed with the Board on June 23, 1997, primary applicants CSXC, CSXT, NSC, NSR, CRR, and CRC seek approval and authorization under 49 U.S.C. 11321-25 for: (1) the acquisition by CSX and NS of control of Conrail; and (2) the division of the assets of Conrail by and between CSX and NS. In various related filings also filed June 23, 1997, the primary applicants seek related relief contingent upon approval of the primary application. In Decision No. 12, the Board accepted for consideration the primary application and the various related filings, and directed that responsive applications be filed by October 21, 1997.

RESPONSIVE FILINGS: CONDITIONS REQUESTED. In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 35), NYSEG seeks: (1) on behalf of NSR,<sup>7</sup> or a third-party carrier suitable to NYSEG, trackage rights over the CRC lines between Buffalo, NY, and NYSEG's Kintigh Station; specifically, from the Niagara Branch MP 19.0 (CP-21)<sup>8</sup> to the Tuscarora Wye, for approximately 4,200 feet, to Lockport Branch MP 69.6 (CP-69) to the connection with Somerset Railroad Corporation at Lockport Branch MP 58.8 (CP-59) (a total distance of approximately 11.2 miles); or (2) on behalf of CSXT, or a third-party carrier suitable to NYSEG, trackage rights over the CRC lines between Buffalo, NY, and NYSEG's Milliken, Goudey, and Greenidge plants; specifically, from Chicago Line MP 1.7 (CP-DRAW) over the Bison Running Track to

<sup>6(...</sup>continued)
day in the Federal Register at 62 FR 39577), slip op. at 19, 62 FR at 39588.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> If exercised by NSR, modification of NSR's trackage rights over CSXT and New York Central Lines LLC (NYC), as shown on pp. 220-52 and 329-35 of Volume 8B of the primary application, would also be required to eliminate any restrictions contained therein that would prevent transportation to NYSEG's Kintigh Station, including, but not confined to, limitations against interchanging with, or operating over, property of Somerset Railroad Corporation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Mileposi is abbreviated MP. Control point is abbreviated CP.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> If exercised by a third-party carrier, these rights would include full access over: The Chicago Line between CP-2 and FW Tower (CP-437) and the Belt Line Branch owned by NYC and operated by CSX between the connection at FW Tower (CP-437), Buffalo, NY, at or near MP 0.0, and the connection with the Niagara Branch (CP-1) at or near MP 7.2, and the Niagara Branch operated by CSX between the connection with the Belt Line Branch, at or near MP 7.5, "and to" Tuscarora Wye to CP-69 at MP 69.6 of the Lockport Branch to MP 58.8 (CP-59) and connection track to MP 0.0 of the Somerset Railroad Corporation. This would cover a total distance of approximately 33.2 miles.

Southern Tier Line MP 419.8 to Binghamton MP 215.3 including Binghamton Running Track and #4 Yard Track with connections to: Vestal Industrial Track; on Vestal Industrial Track from MP 192.3 to MP 195.4; and connections to Lehigh Secondary at Southern Tier MP 255.2, Lehigh Secondary Track MP 269.5 to 271.6 and connection to Ithaca Secondary; Ithaca Secondary from MP 271.6 to the end of line at Milliken Station MP 321.0; connections to Corning Secondary at Southern Tier Line MP 290.1 and 290.8, Corning Secondary from MP 70.6 (CP-Glass) and MP 70.9 (GP - Gibson/CP-Corning) to MP 0 (CP-335), including sidings, runarounds, and passing tracks (a total distance of approximately 333.4 miles).

In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 36), EJE and IMRL seek to acquire, and thereafter to divide into two equal parts, CRC's 51% stock ownership of the Indiana Harbor Belt Railroad Company (IHB).

In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 39), LAL seeks to acquire ownership of or trackage rights on approximately 1.0 route mile of trackage constituting CRC's Genesee Junction yard in Chili, NY.

In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 59), WCL seeks to acquire from The Baltimore & Ohio Chicago Terminal Railroad Company (B&OCT, a wholly owned CSX subsidiary) a portion of B&OCT's Altenheim Subdivision, including rail line, side track, yard trackage, and associated right-of-way and appurtenances, beginning at a connection between WCL and B&OCT trackage at B&OCT MP 37.4 at Madison Street, Forest Park, IL, and extending to a point of connection with Union Pacific Railroad Company (UPRR) and Conrail's Panhandle Line in the vicinity of Rockwell Street, Chicago, IL.

In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 61), BLE seeks overhead trackage rights over: (1) CRC's Mon Line between the connection with BLE (Union Railroad Company, a BLE affiliate) at Pittsburgh (Duquesne), PA, and CRC's Shire Oaks Yard in Shire Oaks, PA (a distance of approximately 14 miles); and/or (2) CSXT's line (formerly the Pittsburgh & Lake Erie Railroad Company) between the connection with BLE (Union Railroad Company) at Bessemer (Pittsburgh), PA, and CSXT's Newell Interchange Yard near Brownsville, PA (a distance of approximately 40 miles). The overhead trackage rights sought by BLE would be restricted to the transportation of coal originating at current or future mines on the former Monongahela Railway Company lines and destined to the P&C Dock at Conneaut, OH, for movement beyond.

In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 62), IC seeks to acquire CSXT's Leewood-Aulon Line in Memphis, TN, v. hich extends between CSXT MP F-371.4 (IC MP 387.9) at Leewood and CSXT MP F-373.4 (IC MP 390.0) at Aulon, a distance of approximately 2 miles.

In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 63), RJCW seeks to acquire ownership of or trackage rights on Conrail's line of railroad between approximately MP 54.4 and approximately MP 52.1 in Lima, OH.

In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 69), NYDOT and NYCEDC seek: (1) full service trackage rights in favor of a rail carrier other than Conrail or CSX, to be designated jointly by NYDOT and NYCEDC, over the lines of Conrail between points of connection with the Delaware & Hudson Railway (D&H) at CP-160 near Schenectady, NY, and Selkirk Yard near Selkirk, NY, on the one hand, and, on the other, CP-75 near Poughkeepsie, NY, together with sufficient rights on tracks within Selkirk Yard to permit the efficient interchange of freight with D&H; (2) full service trackage rights in favor of a rail carrier other than Conrail or CSX, to be designated jointly by NYDOT and NYCEDC, over the lines of Conrail between the point of Conrail ownership at Mott Haven Junction ("MO"), NY, and the point of connection with the lines of the Long Island Railroad near Fresh Pond ("MONT"), NY, via the Harlem River Yard; and (3) to the extent necessary to permit uninterrupted rail freight transportation between CP-160 and/or Selkirk Yard, on the one hand, and, on the other, Fresh Pond, a declaration that, pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 11321(a), Metro-North Commuter Railroad Company, a subsidiary of the Metropolitan Transportation Authority of the State of New York, may grant unrestricted trackage rights over the lines between CP-75 and Mott Haven Junction to a rail carrier other than Conrail or CSX, notwithstanding any provisions of any agreements which purport to limit or prohibit such a grant.

In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 72), BDRV and BRW seek: (1) removal of the restriction on certain D&H trackage rights that prevents interchange between D&H and BDRV at Phillipsburg, NJ, and between D&H and BRW at Three Bridges, NJ; (2) a grant of overhead trackage rights to BDRV over lines to be acquired by NS from Phillipsburg, NJ, to Manville, NJ (a distance of 40 miles), or to some other operationally feasible point at which BDRV and CSXT can interchange traffic; (3) a grant of overhead trackage rights to BRW over lines to be acquired by NS from Three Bridges, NJ, to Manville, NJ (a distance of 13 miles), or to some other operationally feasible point at which BRW and CSXT can interchange traffic; and (4) a grant of overhead trackage rights to BDRV and ERW over lines to be acquired by NS between the BDRV-NS connection at Phillipsburg, NJ, and the BRW-NS connection at Three Bridges, NJ (a distance of 29 miles).

In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 75), NECR seeks "limited tracking rights":

(1) between Palmer, MA, and West Springfield, MA, a distance of 18 miles, over the CRC line to be acquired by CSXT; (2) between West Springfield, MA, on the one hand, a. 2, on the other, Albany, Selkirk, and Mechanicville, NY, a distance of 98 miles, over the CRC line to be acquired by CSXT; and (3) between Albany, NY, and the New Jersey/New York Shared Assets Area, 10 a

<sup>10</sup> The "New Jersey/New York Shared Assets Area" is apparently the area that applicants refer to as the North Jersey Shared Assets Area.

distance of 140 miles, over the CRC line located on the west side of the Hudson River that is to be acquired by CSXT.<sup>11</sup>

In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 76), ISRR seeks: (1) overhead trackage rights in Indianapolis, IN, between MP 6.0 on ISRR's Petersburg Subdivision and Indianapolis Power & Light's Perry K facility, over the CRC line to be acquired by CSXT; (2) overhead trackage rights in Indianapolis, IN, between MP 6.0 on ISRR's Petersburg Subdivision and Indianapolis Power & Light's Stout facility located on the line of the Indiana Rail Road Company (INRD), over a segment of the CRC line to be acquired by CSXT and a segment of the INRD line; (3) local trackage rights over CRC's lines in Indianapolis, IN, including the Indianapolis Belt Line, to be acquired by CSXT (ISRR seeks trackage rights over all CRC lines in Indianapolis needed to access the 2-to-1 shippers located in Indianapolis); (4) local trackage rights between Indianapolis and Shelbyville, IN, a distance of 27 miles, over the CRC line to be acquired by CSXT; (5) local trackage rights between Indianapolis and Crawfordsville, IN, a distance of 44 miles, over the CRC line to be acquired by CSXT; and (6) local trackage rights between Indianapolis and Muncie, IN, a distance of 55 miles, over the CRC line to be acquired by CSXT.

In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 77), IORY seeks: (1) overhead trackage rights over CSXT between East Norwood, OH, and Washington Court House, OH, a distance of 65 miles, with the right to connect at Midland City with IORY's Greenfield branch; (2) local trackage rights between Monroe, OH, and Middletown, OH, a distance of 5 miles, over the CRC line to be acquired by NSR (with the right to connect at Middletown with CSXT and IORY's existing trackage rights through Middletown over the CRC line between Springfield and Cincinnati); (3) local trackage rights between Sidney, OH, and Quincy, OH, a distance of 10 miles, over the CRC line to be acquired by CSXT; (4) local trackage rights between Sharronville, OH, and Columbus, OH, a distance of 125 miles, over the CRC line to be acquired by NSR; (5) local trackage rights between Quincy, OH, and Marion, OH, a distance of 52 miles, over the CRC line to be acquired by CSXT; (6) local trackage rights between Lima, OH, and Fort Wayne, IN, a distance of 59 miles, over the CRC line to be acquired by CSXT; (7) local trackage rights

NECR's use of the term "limited trackage rights" is intended to include: (a) the right to operate trains over the lines described in the text; and (b) the right to interchange with all carriers, including shortlines, at all junctions on the lines thus described.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> ISRR's use of the term "local trackage rights" is intended to include: (a) the right to operate trains over the lines described in the text; (b) the right to interchange with all carriers, including shortlines, at all junctions on the lines thus described; and (c) the right to serve all shippers, sidings, and team tracks located on the lines thus described.

over CRC's Erie track in Lima, OH; and (8) local trackage rights between Quincy, OH, and Marysville, OH, over the CRC line to be acquired by CSXT.<sup>13</sup>

In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 78), AA seeks: (1) "limited trackage rights" between Toledo, OH, and Chicago, IL, via Elkhart, IN, a distance of 230 miles, over the CRC line to be acquired by NS; and (2) a condition permitting AA to interchange traffic with CP Rail System at Ann Arbor, MI.<sup>14</sup>

In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 80), W&LE seeks: (1) haulage and trackage rights to Chicago, IL, including access to Belt Railway of Chicago and rights for interchange with all carriers, specifically including WCL;15 (2) haulage and trackage rights from Bellevue, OH, to Toledo, OH, a distance of 54 miles, for an interchange with the Ann Arbor Railroad, Canadian National, and the Indiana & Ohio Railroad (also including access to British Petroleum for movement of coke to Cressup, WV); (3) haulage and trackage rights to Erie, PA, with the right to interchange with other railroads; (4) the right "to lease to own" CRC's Randall Secondary from Cleveland, MP 2.5, to Mantua, MP 27.5; (5) the right "to lease to own" the Huron Branch (Shinrock to Huron) and Huron dock on Lake Erie; (6) haulage and trackage rights on CSX from Benwood to Brooklyn Junction and its yard facilities for commercial access to PPG and Bayer; (7) access on the Conrail Fort Wayne Line to the National Stone quarry near Bucyrus, via the Spore Industrial Track, a distance of 6.2 miles from CP Colsan, MP 200.5, on the Fort Wayne Line (access to the Fort Wayne line would be from the W&LE at CP Orr, MP 124, and from a point near Fairhope at MP 97.8); (8) trackage rights on the NS Sandusky District from Chatfield, OH, to Colsan, OH (for a junction with the Conrail Fort Wayne Line and access to the Spore Industrial Track); (9) access (apparently via trackage rights) to a stone quarry located on the Northern Ohio Railway at Maple Grove, via a junction on the NS Fostoria District at MP 269.4; (10) access (apparently via trackage rights over, among other lines, the former Conrail Akron Secondary) to the stone terminals in the Macedonia, Twinsburg, and Ravenna areas; (11) access, via haulage and trackage rights, to Wheeling Pittsburgh Steel at Allenport, PA; and (12) access, via haulage and trackage rights on the CSX New Castle Subdivision, to the Ohio Edison Power

<sup>13</sup> IORY's use of the term "local trackage rights" is intended to include: (a) the right to operate trains over the lines described in the text; (b) the right to interchange with all carriers, including shortlines, at all junctions on the lines thus described; and (c) the right to serve all shippers, sidings, and team tracks located on the lines thus described.

AA's use of the term "limited trackage rights" is intended to include: (a) the right to operate trains over the line described in the text; and (b) the right to interchange with all carriers, including shortlines, at all junctions on the line thus described.

<sup>15</sup> These rights would apparently run between Chicago, on the west, and Carey and/or Bellevue, OH, on the east.

plant at Niles, OH, and to Erie, PA, for interchange to the Buffalo & Pittsburgh. W&LE also requests that provision be made for an inclusion proceeding in the event that W&LE fails during a post-merger oversight period. 16

In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 81), CN and GTW seek trackage rights over the Conrail northbound mainline between approximately MP 16.5 and MP 18.0 at Trenton, MI, a distance of approximately 1.5 miles, for the purpose of serving Detroit Edison's Trenton Channel power plant.

In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 83), GTW has filed a notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.36 to construct and operate, at Trenton, MI, a connection between the Conrail northbound mainline and the GTW Shoreline Subdivision.

RESPONSIVE FILINGS ACCEPTED. Because the responsive applications filed by NYSEG, EJE/IMRL, LAL, WCL, BLE, IC, RJCW, NYDOT/NYCEDC, BDRV/BRW, NECR, ISRR, IORY, AA, W&LE, and CN/GTW, and also the notice of exemption filed by GTW, are in substantial compliance with the applicable regulations, we are accepting for consideration such responsive applications and such notice of exemption.<sup>17</sup>

PUBLIC INSPECTION. The responsive filings are available for inspection in the Docket File Reading Room (Room 755) at the offices of the Surface Transportation Board, 1925 K Street, N.W., in Washington, DC. The responsive filing made by any particular responsive applicant may also be obtained upon request from that applicant's representative named above.

PROCEEDINGS CONSOLIDATED. The responsive filings in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-Nos. 35, 36, 39, 59, 61, 62, 63, 69, 72, 75, 76, 77, 78, 80, 81, and 83) are consolidated for disposition with the primary application in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (and all embraced proceedings).

COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED. Interested persons may participate formally by submitting written comments regarding any or all of these responsive filings, subject to the filing and service requirements specified above. Such comments (referred to as "Response[s]" in the procedural schedule, see Decision No. 12, slip op. at 26, 62 FR at 39591) must be filed with the Board by December 15, 1997. Comments must include the following: the commenter's position

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Various additional W&LE condition requests are scattered throughout the verified statements submitted by W&LE witnesses in the WLE-4 pleading filed October 21, 1997.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> We reserve the right to require the filing of supplemental information from any responsive applicant or any other party or individual, if necessary to complete the record in this matter. See Decision No. 12, slip op. at 18 n.29, 62 FR at 39587 n.29.

in support of or in opposition to the transaction proposed in the responsive filing; any and all evidence, including verified statements, in support of or in opposition to such proposed transaction; and specific reasons why approval of such proposed transaction would or would not be in the public interest.

REQUESTS FOR AFFIRMATIVE RELIEF WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED. Because the responsive applications accepted for consideration in this decision contain proposed conditions to approval of the primary application in STB Finance Docket No. 33388, the Board will entertain no requests for affirmative relief with respect to these responsive applications. Parties may only participate in direct support of or in direct opposition to these responsive applications as filed.

PLEADINGS NOT TREATED AS RESPONSIVE APPLICATIONS. A pleading styled as a "responsive application" was filed on October 21, 1997, in a sub-number docket (Sub-No. 74) under the STB Finance Docket No. 33388 lead docket by Congressman Dennis J. Kucinich. While titled as a responsive application, this pleading does not address the criteria for such applications as required under 49 CFR part 1180. Rather, this pleading constitutes a comment on, and a request for conditions with respect to, the CSX/NS/CR primary application, and we will treat it as such and will docket this pleading in the STB Finance Docket No. 33388 lead docket...

Certain additional pleadings styled as "responsive applications" were filed in the STB Finance Docket No. 33388 lead docket on or about October 21, 1997, by: Jacobs Industries Ltd.; the State of Delaware Department of Transportation; ASHTA Chemicals Inc.; Southern Tier West Regional Planning and Development Board; and Resources Warehousing & Consolidation Services, Inc. Because these pleadings also do not satisfy the 49 CFR part 1180 requirements applicable to responsive applications, we will treat these pleadings as comments on, and/or requests for conditions with respect to, the CSX/NS/CR primary application.

ADDITIONAL PLEADINGS TREATED AS FILED IN LEAD DOCKET. Certain additional pleadings filed on or about October 21, 1997, though not labeled "responsive applications," were filed in various sub-number dockets under the STB Finance Docket No. 33388 lead docket by: Northern Virginia Transportation Commission and Potomac and Rappahannock Transportation Commission (in Sub-No. 37); New Jersey Department of Transportation and New Jersey Transit Corporation (in Sub-No. 38); the Rhode Island Department of Transportation (in Sub-No. 42); Buffalo & Pittsburgh Railroad, Inc., Allegheny & Eastern Railroad, Inc., Rochester & Southern Railroad, Inc., and Pittsburgh & Shawmut Railroad, Inc. (in Sub-Nos. 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, and 56); the Eastern Shore Railroad, Inc. (in Sub-No. 57); Louisville & Indiana Railroad Company (in Sub-No. 64); Housatonic Railroad Company, Inc. (in Sub-No. 70); the Canadian Pacific Railway Company, Delaware and Hudson Railway Company, Inc., Soo Line Railroad Company, and St. Lawrence & Hudson Railway Company Limited (in Sub-No. 85); and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts

(in Sub-No. 86). Because these pleadings contain comments on, and/or requests for conditions with respect to, the CSX/NS/CR primary application, they will be docketed in, and they will be treated as having been filed in, the STB Finance Docket No. 33388 lead docket.

copies of each document filed with the Board, parties are also requested to submit, on diskettes (3.5-inch IBM-compatible floppies) or compact discs, one electronic copy of each such document. Textual materials must be in, or be convertible by and into, WordPerfect 7.0. Spreadsheets must be in, or be convertible by and into, Lotus 1-2-3 Version 7. Each diskette or compact disc should be clearly labeled with the identification acronym and number of the corresponding paper document, see 49 CFR 1180.4(a)(2), and a copy of such diskette or compact disc should be provided to any other party upon request. The data contained on the diskettes and compact discs submitted to the Board will be subject to the protective order applicable to this proceeding, and will be for the exclusive use of Board employees reviewing substantive and/or procedural matters in this proceeding. The flexibility provided by such computer data will facilitate timely review by the Board and its staff. The submitted to submitted to the Board and its staff.

This action will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or the conservation of energy resources.

## It is ordered:

1. The responsive applications in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-Nos. 35, 36, 39, 59, 61, 62, 63, 69, 72, 75, 76, 77, 78, 80, and 81), and the notice of exemption in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 83), are accepted for consideration, and are consolidated for

Parties intending to submit spreadsheets in formats other than Lotus 1-2-3 Version 7 may wish to consult with our staff regarding such submissions. Some (though not all) spreadsheets prepared in other formats, though perhaps not convertible by and into Lotus 1-2-3 Version 7, may nevertheless be useable by our staff. For further information, contact Julia M. Farr, (202) 565-1613.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> The protective order governing this proceeding was entered in Decision No. 1 (served April 16, 1997), and has been modified, in minor respects, in Decision Nos. 4, 15, 22, and 46 (served May 2, 1997, August 1, 1997, August 21, 1997, and October 17, 1997, respectively).

The electronic submission requirements set forth in this decision supersede, for the purposes of this proceeding, the otherwise applicable electronic submission requirements set forth in our regulations. See 49 CFR 1104.3(a), as amended in Expedited Procedures for Processing Rail Rate Reasonableness, Exemption and Revocation Proceedings, STB Ex Parte No. 527, 61 FR 52710, 52711 (Oct. 8, 1996), 61 FR 58490, 58491 (Nov. 15, 1996).

disposition with the primary application in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (and all embraced proceedings).

- 2. The parties shall comply with all provisions as stated above.
- 3. This decision is effective on November 20, 1997.

Decided: November 12, 1997.

By the Board, Chairman Morgan and Vice Chairman Owen.

Vernon A. Williams Secretary **BOARD DECISION (SUB-NO. 1)** 

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

#### SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

#### DECISION

STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 1)1

# CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC.--CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION EXEMPTION--CONNECTION TRACK AT CRESTLINE, OH

Decided: November 25, 1997

By this decision, we are giving final approval, subject to certain environmental mitigation conditions, to build seven proposed construction projects. This proceeding is related to STB Finance Docket No. 33388, CSX Corporation and CSX Transportation, Inc., Norfolk Southern Corporation and Norfolk Southern Railway Company--Control and Operating Leases/Agreements--Conrail Inc. and Consolidated Rail Corporation (CSX/NS/CR). In CSX/NS/CR, Decision No. 9, served June 12, 1997, after seeking and fully considering public comments on the railroads' proposals, we granted the requests by applicants<sup>2</sup> for waivers, with

This decision also embraces the following proceedings: STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 2), CSX Transportation, Inc.—Construction and Operation Exemption—Connection Track at Willow Creek, IN; STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 3), CSX Transportation, Inc.—Construction and Operation Exemption—Connection Tracks at Greenwich, OH; STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 4), CSX Transportation, Inc.—Construction and Operation Exemption—Connection Track at Sidney Junction, OH; STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 5), Norfolk and Western Railway Company—Construction and Operation Exemption—Connecting Track with Union Pacific Railroad Company at Sidney, IL; STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 6), Norfolk and Western Railway Company—Construction and Operation Exemption—Connecting Track with Consolidated Rail Corporation at Alexandria, IN; and STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 7), Norfolk and Western Railway Company—Construction and Operation Exemption—Connecting Track with Consolidated Rail Corporation at Bucyrus, OH.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> CSX Corporation (CSXC), CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSXT) (collectively with their wholly owned subsidiaries, CSX), Norfolk Southern Corporation (NSC), Norfolk Southern Railway Company (NSR) (collectively with their wholly owned subsidiaries, NS), Conrail Inc. (CRI), and Consolidated Rail Corporation (CRC) (collectively, Conrail) seek approval and authorization under 49 U.S.C. 11321-25 for: (1) the acquisition by CSX and NS of control of (continued...)

respect to four CSX construction projects and three NS construction projects, from our otherwise applicable "everything goes together rule" governing railroad consolidations. See 49 CFR 1180.4(c)(2)(vi). We established a process which would allow CSX and NS to begin construction of the proposed connection tracks following completion of our environmental review of each of these seven constructions, and our issuance of a further decision allowing the physical constructions, but prior to our decision on the primary application. In Decision No. 9, we emphasized that we would consider the competitive impacts of these projects, and the environmental effects of the operations, along with our consideration of the primary application. We made it clear that no operations can begin on the seven connections until a decision is rendered on the primary application that would allow these operations. We also stated that if we determined during the course of our environmental review that any of the seven construction projects could potentially cause, or contribute to, significant environmental impacts, then the project would be incorporated into the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the primary application and would not be separately considered.

In the Sub-Nos. 2 through 7 dockets, we served on July 23, 1997, and published that day in the Federal Register (62 FR 39591-602), notices of the petitions for exemption to construct and operate these proposed constructions.<sup>3</sup> Our notices provided for the filing of comments on whether the proposed construction projects would meet the exemption criteria of 49 U.S.C. 10502, and on any other non-environmental concerns regarding the connections.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>(...continued)
Conrail, and (2) the division of Conrail's assets by and between CSX and NS.

With regard to the remaining construction project at issue here, STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 1), we served and published in the Federal Register (62 FR 37331) on July 11, 1997, a notice of exemption filed by CSX to construct a connection track between two Conrail lines crossing at Crestline, OH. By decision served September 18, 1997, the effective date of the notice of exemption in Sub-No. 1 was stayed by the Board's Chairman pending further agency action to allow completion of the environmental review process.

Comments regarding non-environmental concerns and the exemption criteria applicable to applicants' proposed construction projects were filed by Allied Rail Unions (ARU), the United Transportation Union--Illinois Legislative Board, and the Cities of East Chicago, Hammond, Gary, and Whiting, IN. ARU also filed a petition to stay the notice of exemption in Sub-No. 1, arguing that CSX did not qualify for the class exemption. After reviewing the comments and stay petition, in a decision served October 9, 1997, and published that day in the Federal Register (62 FR 52807), we: (1) conditionally exempted applicants' construction of the proposed connections in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-Nos. 2 through 7) from the prior approval requirements of 49 U.S.C. 10901, subject to the completion of environmental review and the issuance of a further decision; and (2) denied ARU's petition to stay the notice of exemption in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 1).

The Environmental Report filed with the Board in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 included information covering the proposed seven construction projects. In addition, as required in Decision No. 9, CSX and NS submitted preliminary draft environmental assessments (PDEAs) on September 5, 1997, for each of these construction projects. We required CSX and NS in their respective PDEAs to comply with all of the requirements for environmental reports contained in our environmental rules at 49 CFR 1105.7. We also required that the PDEAs be based on consultations with our Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) and the federal, state, and local agencies set forth in 49 CFR 1105.7(b), as well as other appropriate parties. See Decision No. 9, at 8.

In the environmental review process, SEA reviewed and verified the information contained in each PDEA, conducted further environmental analysis, as necessary, and developed appropriate environmental mitigation measures for each construction project. On October 7, 1997, SEA issued, and invited comments on, separate Environmental Assessments (EAs) for each of the proposed constructions. The EAs concluded that, subject to the recommended

mitigation for each individual project, construction of the proposed connection would not significantly affect the quality of the human environment.

SEA received comments from federal, state, and local agencies and other entities concerning some of these projects.<sup>4</sup> Certain commenters requested specific measures to mitigate potential environmental concerns. However, no commenter argued that any of the seven constructions would have potentially significant environmental impacts that could not be adequately mitigated or contended that any of these constructions should not be considered separately and in advance of the primary application.

On November 12, 1997, in each of the seven constructions, SEA issued Post
Environmental Assessments (Post EAs) containing SEA's final recommendations, including
appropriate environmental mitigation to address the environmental concerns that had been raised.
SEA's final recommendations were based on its further analysis of these projects and reflected its
review of the comments received and appropriate consultations with various agencies. In each
Post EA, SEA concluded that the EA had adequately identified and assessed potential
environmental impacts. The Post EAs also concluded that, with the imposition of the
recommended environmental mitigation, there would be no significant environmental impacts
resulting from any of these constructions. Furthermore, SEA determined that applicants'
proposed construction locations would be the environmentally preferable construction option.
Accordingly, SEA recommended that any Board decision approving the proposed constructions
be subject to the environmental mitigation measures included in its Post EAs. The Post EAs,
which have been placed in the public record, contain a detailed analysis of the individual

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In some cases, no comments were received.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> That mitigation is the same as the mitigation previously recommended in the EAs, except that SEA updated its initial recommendations, where appropriate, to reflect the comments and SEA's further analysis and consultations.

projects, the environmental comments received, and SEA's final recommendations and conclusions. In addition, each of these seven construction projects is briefly described below.

#### The CSX Connections.

Sub-No. 1. CSX proposes to construct a 1,507-foot rail line connection in Crestline, Crawford County, OH, to permit traffic movements between the CSX and Conrail systems. The new connection would be built in the northeastern quadrant of the intersecting Conrail lines in the southern portion of Crestline. The connection would link the Conrail lines north of the intersection of Lincoln Avenue and Ohio State Route 61 (also known as Thoman Street).

CSX states that the new connection would create an alternative east-west route on the CSX system for slower moving freight. This connection would enable CSX to route less time-sensitive east/west traffic on the alternative Chicago-Cleveland service route linking Crestline and Ft. Wayne, IN, that CSX would operate if the CSX/NS/Conrail transaction is approved. This would permit use of CSX's parallel B&O line for high-speed traffic over its proposed Northeastern Gateway service route. CSX anticipates that an average of 5 trains per day (unit trains and intermodal trains with an average length of 6,200 feet) would operate over the new connection.

Sub-No. 2. CSX proposes a 2,800-foot connection located at Willow Creek in the City of Portage, Porter County, IN. The new connection would be built in the southern quadrant of the intersecting CSX and Conrail rail lines, just north of the intersection of Willow Creek Road and Portage Road. The connection would link CSX's Garrett Subdivision rail line (which generally runs northwest to southeast) and Conrail's Porter Branch rail line (which generally runs northeast to southwest). The new connection would allow progressive east-west movements between the CSX and Conrail lines, enhancing rail operations and traffic movements between Garrett, IN, and

Chicago. CSX estimates that an average of 10 trains per day (primarily automotive and merchandise trains with an average length of 6,200 feet) would operate over the new connection.

Sub-No. 3. CSX's proposed connections are located in Greenwich, Huron County, OH. Greenwich is in north-central Ohio, approximately 50 miles southwest of Cleveland and 75 miles north of Columbus. The new connections would be built in the northwest and southeast quadrants of the intersecting CSX and Conrail tines, which together would form the proposed Northeastern Gateway service route, a major route for time-sensitive traffic moving between the northeastern United States and Chicago. At this location, an existing Conrail line runs southwest to northeast between Indianapolis and Cleveland and the existing CSX line runs west to east from Chicago to Akron, OH.

The proposed connection in the north west quadrant would provide a 4,600-foot, 45-mph connection, which would enable eastbound CSX trains from Chicago to utilize the Conrail line to proceed northeast toward Cleveland. The proposed connection in the southeast quadrant would provide a 1,044-foot, 30-mph per hour connection between the existing CSX and Conrail rail lines. That connection would enable northeast bound trains from Indianapolis to access the eastbound CSX line toward Akron and would allow freight transportation from Indianapolis to Greenwich along the Conrail line, and from Greenwich to Baltimore, MD, along the CSX line. CSX estimates that an average of 31.7 trains per day (primarily automotive, merchandise, intermodal, and unit trains with an average length of 6,200 feet) would operate over the new connection in the northwest quadrant, and that an average of 9.4 trains per day would use the new connection in the southeast quadrant.

Sub-No. 4. CSX proposes a 3,263-foot connection located in Sidney, Shelby County, OH. The new connection would be built in the southeastern quadrant of the intersecting CSX and Conrail lines in the southern portion of Sidney. The connection would link the CSX line

(which runs southwest to northeast between Cincinnati and Toledo) and the Conrail line (which runs from west to east between Indianapolis and Cleveland). The new connection would allow northbound trains to proceed east on the Conrail line toward Cleveland and westbound trains to proceed south on the CSX line toward Cincinnati. CSX anticipates that an average of 9.3 trains per day (intermodal, automotive, and merchandise trains with an average length of 6,200 feet) would operate over the new connection.

#### The NS Connections.

Sub-No. 5. NS proposes to construct a rail line connection in Sidney, IL, to permit traffic movements between the NS and Union Pacific (UP) systems. The proposed 3,250-foot connection is located 0.5 miles east of Sidney, Champaign County, IL. The new connection would traverse cropland to the southeast of the existing UP line. The new connection would permit more efficient movement between UP points in the Gulf Coast/Southwest and NS points in the Midwest and particularly between Pine Bluff, AR, and Fort Wayne, IN, and allow the connection of a new operating gateway as a fully-competitive service for petrochemical traffic flows between the Northeast, the Southwest, and the Gulf Coast. NS anticipates that an average of 9 trains per day would operate over the new connection.

Sub-No. 6. NS proposes to construct a 1,052-foot connection at Alexandria, Madison County, IN, to permit traffic movements between the NS and Conrail systems. The new connection would be located 250 feet northeast of the existing NS and Conrail intersection. The proposed construction site is located in the south-central part of Alexandria, southwest of the intersection of Berry and Curve Streets.

The new connection would connect NS's current main line between Marion and Anderson, IN, to Conrail's main line between Muncie and Lafayette, IN. NS states that the connection would provide a new, more efficient route between points in the appear Midwest and points in the southeastern United States, increase rail traffic capacity, improve service to shippers, and reduce train delays in Chicago and rail traffic congestion in Fort Wayne, IN. NS anticipates that an average of 7 trains per day (single commodity, or unit trains and intermodal trains with an average length of 5,000 feet) would operate over the new connection.

Sub-No. 7. NS proposes to construct a 2,550-foot rail line connection at Bucyrus, Crawford County, OH, to permit traffic movements between the NS and Conrail systems. The new connection would be built in the southeastern quadrant of the intersecting NS and Conrail lines in the eastern portion of Bucyrus. The point of divergence from the NS rail line would be just south of the existing East Warren Street grade crossing. The point of divergence from the Conrail rail line would be approximately 200 feet west of the existing Whetstone Street grade crossing.

The new connection would connect the existing north/south NS main line between Bellevue and Columbus, OH, to the existing east/west Conrail main line between Crestline, OH, and Fort Wayne, IN. NS states that the connection would provide a new, more efficient route from Columbus to eastern Ohio and western Pennsylvania by increasing rail traffic capacity and improving service to shippers. NS anticipates that an average of 8 trains per day (single commodity, or unit trains and intermodal trains with an average length of 5,000 feet) would operate over the new connection.

#### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We agree with SEA's conclusions that, based on its environmental review and the comments received, the physical construction of these seven connections will not have potentially significant environmental impacts if the mitigation measures recommended by SEA

are imposed.<sup>6</sup> Accordingly, we will adopt the mitigation measures recommended by SEA and impose the measures as conditions to applicants' proposed constructions in Sub-Nos. 1 through 7, as set forth in the Appendix to this decision. Because we have determined that these constructions, as mitigated, could neither cause nor contribute to significant environmental impacts, we find that these constructions can go forward at this point and that there is no reason to incorporate an environmental analysis of any of the constructions into the EIS currently being prepared for the primary application.<sup>7</sup>

We again emphasize that our decision to allow these constructions to begin will not have any bearing on our determination of whether the transaction contemplated in the primary application is in the public interest. See Decision No. 9, at 6-8; STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 1), served July 11, 1997; STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-Nos. 2-7), served July 23, 1997; and STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-Nos. 1-7), served October 1, 1997.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> As noted, we previously conditionally exempted six of these proposals from the prior approval requirements of 49 U.S.C. 10901, subject to completion of the environmental review and the issuance of a further decision. The effective date of the notice of exemption for the remaining construction project was stayed pending further agency action to allow completion of the environmental review process. Thus, there are only two issues before us at this time in these cases: whether we should deny any of these proposed constructions because of the potential environmental impacts, or fold one or more of these projects into the EIS for the primary application.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> We note that the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) raised concerns about considering these seven construction projects separately prior to the issuance of Decision No. 9. We believe that we fully addressed CEQ's concerns in Decision No. 9, and we incorporate that analysis by reference here. Moreover, as discussed above, no commenters to the EAs contended that any of these constructions should not be considered separately and in advance of the primary application.

Moreover, operations over these connections cannot commence unless and until we approve the primary application and authorize the operations, which SEA will analyze in the EIS.8

As we stated in Decision No. 9 at 6, any resources applicants expend in the construction of these connections may prove to be of little benefit to them if we deny the primary application or we authorize operations over one or more of the seven connections in a manner different from that which CSX and NS plan. In other words, although we are permitting the physical construction of these seven projects to go forward at this time, applicants will not be allowed to argue that, because they have expended resources to construct the connections, we should approve the primary application. Rather, applicants have willingly assumed the risk that we may deny the primary application, or approve it subject to conditions unacceptable to applicants, or approve the primary application but deny applicants' request to operate over any or all of the seven connections.

As conditioned, this action will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or conservation of energy resources.

### It is ordered:

 Under 49 U.S.C. 10502, we exempt applicants' construction of the proposed connections in STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-Nos. 2 through 7), from the prior approval requirements of 49 U.S.C. 10901, subject to the condition that applicants comply with the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> In order to fully consider the environmental impacts of the physical construction of the lines at issue here, SEA conducted a limited review of operations for these constructions in the EAs and Post EAs. For example, SEA examined whether each proposed construction would increase the potential for delays or accidents at grade crossings or affect the transportation of hazardous materials over these connections.

mitigation measures applicable to the Sub-Nos. 2 through 7 proceedings set forth in the Appendix.

- 2. The stay of the proposed connection in Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 1) is lifted subject to the condition that applicant comply with the mitigation measures applicable to the Sub-No. 1 proceeding set forth in the Appendix.
  - 3. This decision is effective 10 days after its date of service.

By the Board, Chairman Morgan and Vice Chairman Owen.

Vernon A. Williams
Secretary

### **APPENDIX**

1. In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 1), the following mitigation measures regarding CSX's construction of the proposed rail line connection at Crestline, CH, are imposed:

#### Land Use

- CSX shall restore any adjacent properties that are disturbed during construction activities to their pre-construction conditions.
- CSX shall consult with the National Geodetic Survey to locate any geodetic survey marker and, if necessary, assist in the relocation of the marker.
- Prior to any construction activity, CSX shall consult with the local Natural Resources
   Conservation Service office in order to comply with the Farmland Policy Protection Act
   to ascertain whether Form AD 1006 should be completed.

## Transportation and Safety

- CSX shall use appropriate signs and barricades to control and minimize traffic disruptions during construction.
- CSX shall restore roads disturbed during construction to conditions as required by state or local jurisdictions.
- CSX shall observe all applicable federal, state, and local regulations regarding handling
  and disposal of any waste materials, including hazardous waste, encountered or generated
  during construction of the proposed rail line connection.
- CSX shall dispose of all materials that cannot be reused in accordance with state and local solid waste management regulations.
- CSX shall consult with the appropriate federal, state and local agencies if hazardous waste and/or materials are discovered at the site.
- CSX shall transport all hazardous materials in compliance with U.S. Department of
  Transportation Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR Parts 171 to 180). CSX shall
  provide, upon request, local emergency management organizations with copies of all
  applicable Emergency Response Plans and participate in the training of local emergency
  staff (upon request) for coordinated responses to incidents. In the case of a hazardous

material incident, CSX shall follow appropriate emergency response procedures contained in its Emergency Response Plans.

### Water Resources

- CSX shall complete a detailed investigation to determine if any wetlands are located in the vicinity of the proposed rail line connection prior to initiating any construction activities at this location.
- CSX shall obtain all necessary federal, state, and local permits if construction activities
  require the alteration of wetlands, ponds, lakes, streams, or rivers, or if these activities
  would cause soil or other materials to wash into these water resources. CSX shall use
  appropriate techniques to minimize effects to water bodies and wetlands.
- CSX shall close the existing ground water monitoring well located within the project area
  if the well is affected by the project. The well shall be closed in accordance with local,
  state, and federal requirements.

### **Biological Resources**

- CSX shall preserve trees which provide habitat for the Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis), including trees with cavities and exfoliating bark, to the maximum extent possible. If such trees cannot be avoided, they shall not be cut between April 15th and September 15th. If such trees are to be removed and the time of year restriction is prohibitive, CSX shall consult with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and conduct a survey to determine if the Indiana bat is present in the proposed construction area.
- CSX shall use Best Management Practices to control erosion, runoff, and surface
  instability during construction, including seeding, fiber mats, straw mulch, plastic liners,
  slope drains, and other erosion control devices. Once the tracks are constructed, CSX
  shall establish vegetation on the embankment slopes to provide permanent cover and
  prevent potential erosion. If erosion develops, CSX shall take steps to develop other
  appropriate erosion control procedures.
- CSX shall use only EPA-approved herbicides and qualified contractors for application of right-of-way maintenance herbicides, and shall limit such application to the extent necessary for rail operations.

## Air Quality

CSX shall comply with all applicable federal, state, and local regulations regarding the
control of fugitive dust. Fugitive dust emissions created during construction shall be
minimized by using such control methods as water spraying, installation of wind barriers,
and chemical treatment.

### Noise

 CSX shall control temporary noise from construction equipment through the use of work hour controls and maintenance of muffler systems on machinery.

#### **Cultural Resources**

- If previously undiscovered archeological remains are found during construction, CSX shall cease work and immediately contact the Ohio State Historic Preservation Officer to initiate the appropriate section 106 process required by the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470f, as amended).
- 2. In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 2), the following mitigation measures regarding CSX's construction of the proposed rail line connection at Willow Creek, IN, are imposed:

### Land Use

 CSX shall restore any adjacent properties that are disturbed during construction activities to their pre-construction conditions.

# Transportation and Safety

- CSX shall use appropriate signs and barricades to control and minimize traffic disruptions during construction.
- CSX shall restore roads disturbed during construction to conditions as required by state or local jurisdictions.
- CSX shall observe all applicable federal, state, and local regulations regarding handling
  and disposal of any waste materials, including hazardous waste, encountered or generated
  during construction of the proposed rail line connection.

- CSX shall dispose of all materials that cannot be reused in accordance with state and local solid waste management regulations.
- CSX shall consult with the appropriate federal, state and local agencies if hazardous waste and/or materials are discovered at the site.
- CSX shall transport all hazardous materials in compliance with U.S. Department of
  Transportation Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR Parts 171 to 180). CSX shall
  provide, upon request, local emergency management organizations with copies of all
  applicable Emergency Response Plans and participate in the training of local emergency
  staff (upon request) for coordinated responses to incidents. In the case of a hazardous
  material incident, CSX shall follow appropriate emergency response procedures
  contained in its Emergency Response Plans.

#### Water Resources

CSX shall obtain all necessary federal, state, and local permits if construction activities
require the alteration of wetlands, ponds, lakes, streams, or rivers, or if these activities
would cause soil or other materials to wash into these water resources. CSX shall use
appropriate techniques to minimize effects to water bodies and wetlands.

## **Biological Resources**

- CSX shall use Best Management Practices to control erosion, runoff, and surface
  instability during construction, including seeding, fiber mats, straw mulch, plastic liners,
  slope drains, and other erosion control devices. Once the tracks are constructed, CSX
  shall establish vegetation on the embankment slopes to provide permanent cover and
  prevent potential erosion. If erosion develops, CSX shall take steps to develop other
  appropriate erosion control procedures.
- CSX shall use only EPA-approved herbicides and qualified contractors for application of right-of-way maintenance herbicides, and shall limit such application to the extent necessary for rail operations.
- CSX shall revegetate all bare and disturbed areas in the vicinity of the proposed construction with a mixture of grasses (except tall fescue) and legumes following completion of construction activities.

# Air Quality

CSX shall comply with all applicable federal, state, and local regulations regarding the
control of fugitive dust. Fugitive dust emissions created during construction shall be
minimized by using such control methods as water spraying, installation of wind barriers,
and chemical treatment.

### Noise

- CSX shall control temporary noise from construction equipment through the use of work hour controls and maintenance of muffler systems on machinery.
- If wheel squeal occurs during operation of the connection, CSX shall use rail lubrication to minimize noise levels.

### Cultural Resources

- If previously undiscovered archeological remains are found during construction, CSX shall cease work and immediately contact the Indiana State Historic Preservation Officer to initiate the appropriate section 106 process required by the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470f, as amended).
- 3. In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 3), the following mitigation measures regarding CSX's construction of the proposed rail line connection at Greenwich, OH, are imposed:

#### Land Use

- CSX shall restore any adjacent properties that are disturbed during construction activities to their pre-construction conditions.
- Prior to any construction activity, CSX shall consult with the local Natural Resources
  Conservation Service office in order to comply with the Farmland Policy Protection Act
  to ascertain whether Form AD 1006 should be completed.

# **Transportation and Safety**

 CSX shall use appropriate signs and barricades to control traffic disruptions during construction.

- CSX shall restore roads disturbed during construction to conditions as required by state or local jurisdictions.
- To minimize disruption to the flow of north-south traffic in the Village of Greenwich,
   CSX shall not have construction activities occurring at the Kniffen and Townsend Street at-grade crossings simultaneously.
- CSX shall observe all applicable federal, state, and local regulations regarding handling and disposal of any waste materials, including hazardous waste, encountered or generated during construction of the proposed rail line connections.
- CSX shall dispose of all materials that cannot be reused in accordance with state and local solid waste management regulations.
- CSX shall consult with the appropriate federal, state and local agencies if hazardous waste and/or materials are discovered at the sites.
- CSX shall transport all hazardous materials in compliance with U.S. Department of Transportation Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR Parts 171 to 180). CSX shall provide, upon request, local emergency management organizations with copies of all applicable Emergency Response Plans and participate in the training of local emergency staff (upon request) for coordinated responses to incidents. In the case of a hazardous material incident, CSX shall follow appropriate emergency response procedures contained in their Emergency Response Plans.

#### Water Resources

CSX shall obtain all necessary federal, state, and local permits if construction activities
require the alteration of wetlands, ponds, lakes, streams, or rivers, or if these activities
would cause soil or other materials to wash into these water resources. CSX shall use
appropriate techniques to minimize effects to water bodies and wetlands.

## **Biological Resources**

• CSX shall preserve trees which provide habitat for the Indiana bat (Myotis sodali) including trees with cavities and exfoliating bark, to the maximum extent possible. If such trees cannot be avoided, they shall not be cut between April 15th and September 15th. If such trees are to be removed and the time of year restriction is prohibitive, CSX shall consult with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and conduct a survey to determine if the Indiana bat is present in the proposed construction area.

- CSX shall use Best Management Practices to control erosion, runoff, and surface
  instability during construction, including seeding, fiber mats, straw mulch, plastic liners,
  slope drains, and other erosion control devices. Once the tracks are constructed, CSX
  shall establish vegetation on the embankment slopes to provide permanent cover and
  prevent potential erosion. If erosion develops, CSX shall take steps to develop other
  appropriate erosion control procedures.
- CSX shall use only EPA-approved herbicides and qualified contractors for application of right-of-way maintenance herbicides, and shall limit such application to the extent necessary for rail operations.

## Air Quality

CSX shall comply with all applicable federal, state, and local regulations regarding the
control of fugitive dust. Fugitive dust emissions created during construction shall be
minimized by using such control methods as water spraying, installation of wind barriers,
and chemical treatment.

### Noise

- CSX shall control temporary noise from construction equipment through the use of work hour controls and maintenance of muffler systems on machinery.
- If wheel squeal occurs during operation of the connection, CSX shall use rail inbrication to minimize noise levels.

### **Cultural Resources**

- If previously undiscovered archeological remains are found during construction, CSX shall cease work and immediately contact the Ohio State Historic Preservation Officer to initiate the appropriate section 106 process required by the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470f, as amended).
- 4. In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 4), the following mitigation measures regarding CSX's construction of the proposed rail line connection at Sidney, OH, are imposed:

### **Land Use**

- CSX shall restore any adjacent properties that are disturbed during construction activities to their pre-construction conditions.
- Prior to any construction activity, CSX shall consult with the local Natural Resources
   Conservation Service office in order to comply with the Farmland Policy Protection Act
   to ascertain whether Form AD 1006 should be completed.

## **Transportation and Safety**

- CSX shall use appropriate signs and barricades to control and minimize traffic disruptions during construction.
- CSX shall restore roads disturbed during construction to conditions as required by state or local jurisdictions.
- CSX shall observe all applicable federal, state, and local regulations regarding handling
  and disposal of any waste materials, including hazardous waste, encountered or generated
  during construction of the proposed rail line connection.
- CSX shall dispose of all materials that cannot be reused in accordance with state and local solid waste management regulations.
- CSX shall consult with the appropriate federal, state and local agencies if hazardous
  waste and/or materials are discovered at the site.
- CSX shall transport all hazardous materials in compliance with U.S. Department of
  Transportation Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR Parts 171 to 180). CSX shall
  provide, upon request, local emergency management organizations with copies of all
  applicable Emergency Response Plans and participate in the training of local emergency
  staff (upon request) for coordinated responses to incidents. In the case of a hazardous
  material incident, CSX shall follow appropriate emergency response procedures
  contained in its Emergency Response Plans.

#### Water Resources

CSX shall obtain all necessary federal, state, and local permits if construction activities
require the alteration of wetlands, ponds, lakes, streams, or rivers, or if these activities
would cause soil or other materials to wash into these water resources. CSX shall use
appropriate techniques to minimize effects to water bodies and wetlands.

## **Biological Resources**

- CSX shall preserve trees which provide habitat for the Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis), including trees with cavities and exfoliating bark, to the maximum extent possible. If such trees cannot be avoided, they shall not be cut between April 15th and September 15th. If such trees are to be removed and the time of year restriction is prohibitive, CSX shall consult with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and conduct a survey to determine if the Indiana bat is present in the proposed construction area.
- CSX shall use Best Management Practices to control erosion, runoff, and surface
  instability during construction, including seeding, fiber mats, straw mulch, plastic liners,
  slope drains, and other erosion control devices. Once the tracks are constructed, CSX
  shall establish vegetation on the embankment slopes to provide permanent cover and
  prevent potential erosion. If erosion develops, CSX shall take steps to develop other
  appropriate erosion control procedures.
- CSX shall use only EPA-approved herbicides and qualified contractors for application of right-of-way maintenance herbicides, and shall limit such application to the extent necessary for rail operations.

## Air Quality

CSX shall comply with all applicable federal, state, and local regulations regarding the
control of fugitive dust. Fugitive dust emissions created during construction shall be
minimized by using such control methods as water spraying, installation of wind barriers,
and chemical treatment.

#### Noise

 CSX shall control temporary noise from construction equipment through the use of work hour controls and maintenance of muffler systems on machinery.

#### Cultural Resources

If previously undiscovered archeological remains are found during construction, CSX
shall cease work and immediately contact the Ohio State Historic Preservation Officer to
initiate the appropriate section 106 process required by the National Historic Preservation
Act (16 U.S.C. 470f, as amended).

5. In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 5), the following mitigation measures regarding NS's construction of the proposed rail line connection at Sidney, IL, are imposed:

#### **Land Use**

- NS shall restore any adjacent properties that are disturbed during construction activities to their pre-construction conditions.
- Before undertaking any construction activities, NS shall consult with any potentially
  affected American Indian Tribes adjacent to, or having a potential interest in, the right-ofway.

## **Transportation Systems**

- NS shall use appropriate signs and barricades to control traffic disruptions during construction.
- NS shall restore roads disturbed during construction to conditions as required by state or local jurisdictions.

## Safety

- NS shall observe all applicable federal, state, and local regulations regarding handling and disposal of any waste materials, including hazardous waste, encountered or generated during construction of the proposed rail line connection.
- NS shall dispose of all materials that cannot be reused in accordance with state and local solid waste management regulations.
- NS shall consult with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies if hazardous waste and/or materials are discovered at the site.
- NS shall transport all hazardous materials in compliance with U.S. Department of Transportation Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR Parts 171 to 180). NS shall provide, upon request, local emergency management organizations with copies of all applicable Emergency Response Plans and participate in the training of local emergency staff (upon request) for coordinated responses to incidents. In the case of a hazardous material incident, NS shall follow appropriate emergency response procedures contained in its Emergency Response Plans.

### Water Resources

NS shall obtain all necessary federal, state, and local permits if construction activities
require the alteration of wetlands, ponds, lakes, streams, or rivers, or if these activities
would cause soil or other materials to wash into these water resources. NS shall use
appropriate techniques to minimize impacts to water bodies and wetlands.

### **Biological Resources**

- NS shall use Best Management Practices (BMPs) to control erosion, runoff, and surface
  instability during construction, including seeding, fiber mats, straw mulch, plastic liners,
  slope drains, and other erosion control devices. Once the track is constructed, NS shall
  establish vegetation on the embankment slope to provide permanent cover and prevent
  potential erosion. If erosion develops, NS shall take steps to develop other appropriate
  erosion control procedures.
- NS shall use only EPA-approved herbicides and qualified contractors for application of right-of-way maintenance herbicides, and shall limit such application to the extent necessary for rail operations.

## Air Quality

NS shall comply with all applicable federal, state, and local regulations regarding the
control of fugitive dust. Fugitive dust emissions created during construction shall be
minimized by using such control methods as water spraying, installation of wind barriers,
and chemical treatment.

#### Noise

 NS shall control temporary noise from construction equipment through the use of work hour controls and maintenance of muffler systems on machinery.

#### Cultural Resources

If previously undiscovered archaeological remains are found during construction, NS
shall cease work and immediately contact the Illinois State Historical Preservation Office
to initiate the appropriate section 106 process pursuant to section 106 of the National
Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470f, as amended).

6. In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 6), the following mitigation measures regarding NS's construction of the proposed rail line connection at Alexandria, IN, are imposed:

### Land Use

- NS shall restore any adjacent properties that are disturbed during construction activities to their pre-construction conditions.
- Before undertaking any construction activities, NS shall consult with any potentially
  affected American Indian Tribes adjacent to, or having a potential interest in, the right-ofway.

## **Transportation Systems**

- NS shall use appropriate signs and barricades to control traffic disruptions during construction.
- NS shall restore roads disturbed during construction to conditions as required by state or local jurisdictions.

## Safety

- NS shall observe all applicable federal, state, and local regulations regarding handling and disposal of any waste materials, including hazardous waste, encountered or generated during construction of the proposed rail line connection.
- NS shall dispose of all materials that cannot be reused in accordance with state and local solid waste management regulations.
- NS shall consult with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies if hazardous waste and/or materials are discovered at the site.
- NS shall transport all hazardous materials in compliance with U.S. Department of Transportation Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR Parts 171 to 180). NS shall provide, upon request, local emergency management organizations with copies of all applicable Emergency Response Plans and participate in the training of local emergency staff (upon request) for coordinated responses to incidents. In the case of a hazardous material incident, NS shall follow appropriate emergency response procedures contained in its Emergency Response Plans.

### Water Resources

NS shall obtain all necessary federal, state, and local permits if construction activities
require the alteration of wetlands, ponds, lakes, streams, or rivers, or if these activities
would cause soil or other materials to wash into these water resources. NS shall use
appropriate techniques to minimize impacts to water bodies and wetlands.

### **Biological Resources**

- NS shall use Best Management Practices (BMPs) to control erosion, runoff, and surface instability during construction, including seeding, fiber mats, straw mulch, plastic liners, slope drains, and other erosion control devices. Once the track is constructed, NS shall establish vegetation on the embankment slope to provide permanent cover and prevent potential erosion. If erosion develops, NS shall take steps to develop other appropriate erosion control procedures.
- NS shall use only EPA-approved herbicides and qualified contractors for application of right-of-way maintenance herbicides, and shall limit such application to the extent necessary for rail operations.

## **Air Quality**

NS shall comply with all applicable federal, state, and local regulations regarding the
control of fugitive dust. Fugitive dust emissions created during construction shall be
minimized by using such control methods as water spraying, installation of wind barriers,
and chemical treatment.

#### Noise

 NS shall control temporary noise from construction equipment through the use of work hour controls and maintenance of muffler systems on machinery.

#### **Cultural Resources**

• If previously undiscovered archaeological remains are found during construction, NS shall cease work and immediately contact the Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Division of Historic Preservation and Archaeology within two business days to initiate the appropriate section 106 process pursuant to section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470f, as amended).

7. In STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (Sub-No. 7), the following mitigation measures regarding NS's construction of the proposed rail line connection at Bucyrus, OH, are imposed:

### Land Use

- NS shall restore any adjacent properties that are disturbed during construction activities to their pre-construction conditions.
- Before undertaking any construction activities, NS shall consult with any potentially
  affected American Indian Tribes adjacent to, or having a potential interest in, the right-ofway.
- Prior to any construction activity, NS shall consult with the local Natural Resources
   Conservation Service office in order to comply with the Farmland Policy Protection Act
   to ascertain whether Form AD 1006 should be completed.

### Transportation Systems

- NS shall use appropriate signs and barricades to control traffic disruptions during construction.
- NS shall restore roads disturbed during construction to conditions as required by state or local jurisdictions.

# Safety

- NS shall observe all applicable federal, state, and local regulations regarding handling and disposal of any waste materials, including hazardous waste, encountered or generated during construction of the proposed rail line connection.
- NS shall dispose of all materials that cannot be reused in accordance with state and local solid waste management regulations.
- NS shall consuit with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies if hazardous waste and/or materials are discovered at the site.
- NS shall transport all hazardous materials in compliance with U.S. Department of
  Transportation Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR Parts 171 to 180). NS shall
  provide, upon request, local emergency management organizations with copies of all
  applicable Emergency Response Plans and participate in the training of local emergency

staff (upon request) for coordinated responses to incidents. In the case of a hazardous material incident, NS shall follow appropriate emergency response procedures contained in its Emergency Response Plans.

 NS shall upgrade existing flashing lights at East Warren Street and Rensselaer Street grade crossings to include both flashing lights and gates. NS shall also install flashing lights and gates at the new Rensselaer Street crossing.

### Water Resources

NS shall obtain all necessary federal, state, and local permits if construction activities
require the alteration of wetlands, ponds, lakes, streams, or rivers, or if these activities
would cause soil or other materials to wash into these water resources. NS shall use
appropriate techniques to minimize impacts to water bodies and wetlands.

### **Biological Resources**

- NS shall use Best Management Practices (BMPs) to control erosion, runoff, and surface instability during construction, including seeding, fiber mats, straw mulch, plastic liners, slope drains, and other erosion control devices. Once the track is constructed, NS shall establish vegetation on the embankment slope to provide permanent cover and prevent potential erosion. If erosion develops, NS shall take steps to develop other appropriate erosion control procedures.
- NS shall use only EPA-approved herbicides and qualified contractors for application of right-of-way maintenance herbicides, and shall limit such application to the extent necessary for rail operations.
- NS shall preserve trees which provide habitat for the Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis), including trees with cavities and exfoliating bark, if encountered prior to construction. If such trees cannot be avoided, they shall not be cut between April 15th and September 15th. If such trees are to be removed and the time of year restriction is prohibitive, NS shall consult with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and conduct a survey to determine if the Indiana bat is present in the proposed construction area.

# **Air Quality**

NS shall comply with all applicable federal, state, and local regulations regarding the
control of fugitive dust. Fugitive dust emissions created during construction shall be
minimized by using such control methods as water spraying, installation of wind barriers,
and chemical treatment.

#### Noise

 NS shall control temporary noise from construction equipment through the use of work hour controls and maintenance of muffler systems on machinery.

### **Cultural Resources**

- In those cases where historic resources would be adversely affected, NS shall not undertake construction activities until the section 106 review process of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470f, as amended) is completed. If previously undiscovered archaeological remains are found during construction, NS shall cease work and immediately contact the Ohio State Historical Preservation Office (SHPO) to initiate the appropriate section 106 process.
- NS shall adhere to the set of stipulations agreed to by NS and the Ohio State Historic Preservation Office designed to mitigate adverse effects to the T&OC freight depor... These stipulations are currently being incorporated in a Memorandum of Agreement.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# **BOARD DECISION NO. 71**

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

### SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

STB Finance Docket No. 33388

CSX CORPORATION AND CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC.
NORFOLK SOUTHERN CORPORATION AND
NORFOLK SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY
--CONTROL AND OPERATING LEASES/AGREEMENTS-CONRAIL INC. AND CONSOLIDATED RAIL CORPORATION

Decision No. 71

Decided: March 17, 1998

The Board's Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) currently is preparing a Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) to meet the Board's responsibilities under the National Environmental Policy Act and related environmental laws in this case. The FEIS is scheduled to be issued in late May 1998. We are aware of ongoing environmental discussions between the railroads and various communities in the Greater Cleveland area. The Board's practice is to encourage privately negotiated agreements to address environmental concerns. These agreements can often be more far-reaching and satisfactory to the parties than environmental mitigation that the Board could impose.

Within the context of the proposed Conrail acquisition, the Cleveland area is unique with respect to the proposed CSX and NS operations. The Cleveland area would be a major crossroad for the CSX and NS proposed systems for traffic moving between the Northeast and the Midwest. We are concerned that informal involvement by Board staff at this time could impede independent discussions among the private parties. Therefore, SEA and the consultants are instructed not to engage in any further informal discussions with the affected parties in the Greater Cleveland area at this time. Should the railroads and a community reach a mutually acceptable agreement by April 15, 1998, the involved parties shall immediately notify SEA. To the extent agreements are not reached, SEA will take the necessary steps to develop its own environmental mitigation for each of the communities in the Greater Cleveland area in the FEIS, which will be considered by the Board in reaching its final decision.

The Greater Cleveland area includes Cleveland, East Cleveland, Berea, Brook Park, Olmstead Falls, and the West Shore suburbs (Lakewood, Bay Village, Rocky River, and Westlake).

This action will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or conservation of energy resources.

### It is ordered:

- 1. SEA and the consultants are instructed not to engage in any further informal discussions with the affected parties in the Greater Cleveland area at this time.
  - 2. This decision is effective on the date served.

By the Board, Chairman Morgan.

Vernon A. Williams Secretary **BOARD DECISION NO. 73** 

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

### SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

STB Finance Docket No. 33388

CSX CORPORATION AND CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC.
NORFOLK SOUTHERN CORPORATION AND
NORFOLK SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY
--CONTROL AND OPERATING LEASES/AGREEMENTS-CONRAIL INC. AND CONSOLIDATED RAIL CORPORATION

Decision No. 73

Decided: March 20, 1998

Decision No. 71 issued in this matter on March 17, 1998, addressed certain ongoing environmental discussions between the railroads and various communities in the Greater Cleveland area. Noting that the Board's practice is to encourage privately negotiated agreements to address environmental concerns, the decision expressed concern that informal involvement by Board environmental staff at this time could impede independent discussions among the private parties. Therefore, the decision instructed Board staff not to engage in any further informal discussions with the affected parties in the Greater Cleveland area at this time.

In a letter dated March 19, 1998, counsel for the State of Ohio points out that the Draft Environmental Impact Statement issued in this proceeding encouraged negotiated settlements "among the Applicant[railroads], the locally affected communities, and the appropriate government agencies." Noting that Decision No. 71 did not specifically refer to negotiations and agreements among parties other than railroads and communities, the March 19 letter requests "clarification that negotiations are expected to involve all interested parties and that the state will be a party to any agreement when state interests and state funding issues are involved."

Decision No. 71 was intended to facilitate negotiations among the various interested parties. It was not intended to define who should, or should not, be involved in any specific negotiation, and it was certainly not intended to limit the participation of any appropriate party in any negotiations that may be conducted. Any party that has a legitimate interest in these matters is free and indeed encouraged to participate in negotiations.

To that extent, Decision No. 71 is clarified.

This action will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or conservation of energy resources.

# It is ordered:

- 1. Decision No. 71 is clarified to the extent noted in this decision.
- 2. This decision is effective on the date served.

By the Board, Chairman Morgan.

Vernon A. Williams Secretary **BOARD DECISION NO. 75** 

5-22-98 K 29206V6D

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

### SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

STB Finance Docket No. 33388

CSX CORPORATION AND CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC.
NORFOLK SOUTHERN CORPORATION AND
NORFOLK SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY
--CONTROL AND OPERATING LEASES/AGREEMENTS—
CONRAIL INC. AND CONSOLIDATED RAIL CORPORATION

Decision No. 75

Decided: April 15, 1998

Decision Nos. 71 and 73 issued in this matter on March 17, 1998, and March 22, 1998, addressed certain ongoing environmental discussions between the railroads and various communities and appropriate parties in the Greater Cleveland area. Noting that the Board's practice is to encourage privately negotiated agreements to address environmental concerns, the decisions expressed concern that informal involvement by Board environmental staff at this time could impede independent discussions among the private parties. Therefore, the decisions instructed Board staff not to engage in any further informal discussions with the affected parties in the Greater Cleveland area at this time, and instructed those parties, should they reach a mutually acceptable agreement by April 15, 1998, to notify the Board's Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) immediately.

The Board is aware that the parties remain in serious negotiations. To provide additional time for the parties to complete these important negotiations without Board involvement, the prohibition on further informal discussions by SEA and the consultants with the affected parties regarding any negotiated agreements will be extended to April 23, 1998. This prohibition does not extend to data collection and verification activities by SEA and the consultants.

This action will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or conservation of energy resources.

### It is ordered:

1. SEA and the consultants are instructed not to engage in any further informal discussions with the affected parties regarding any negotiated agreements in the Greater Cleveland area until April 23, 1998.

2. This decision is effective on the date served.

By the Board, Chairman Morgan.

Vernon A. Williams Secretary APPENDIX S
Index for the Draft Environmental Impact Statement
(Draft EIS)

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

#### CONTENTS

NDIX S:	Pilote Military 1999	Name and Address of the Owner, where the Person of the Owner, where the Person of the Owner, where the Owner,	distribution.	de marie de la company	<b>Circleday</b>				-								
STATE	MEN	T (DR	AFT	CIS)				 		 	 		 		 	5	-1
DRAFT																	
DRAFT	EIS V	OLUN	ME 1.					 		 	 		 		 	. 5	-7
DRAFT																	
DRAFT																	
DRAFT																	
DRAFT																	
DRAFT	EIS V	OLUN	ME SA	. 5E	3. A	ND.	5C			 			 		 	. S-	53
DRAFT																	

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

### APPENDIX S: INDEX FOR THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (Draft EIS)

#### DRAFT EIS EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A abandonment, ES-5, ES-11, ES-23-25 acquisition, ES-1-7, ES-9-26 adverse environmental, ES-11, ES-12, ES-16 adverse environmental impacts, ES-11, ES-12, ES-16 agency consultation, ES-13 air quality, ES-4, ES-11, ES-15, ES-22, ES-23, ES-26 Alabama, ES-2, ES-9, ES-10, ES-18, ES-19 Amtrak, ES-1, ES-13, ES-14, ES-20 application, ES-1, ES-3-8, ES-16, ES-19 Association of American Railroads, ES-19 average daily traffic, ES-20

B
Best Management Practices, ES-24
Board, ES-1-9, ES-12, ES-14-21, ES-23-26
bridge renovation, ES-5
bridges, ES-22

C
CFR, ES-9, ES-16
Clean Water Act, ES-24
Cleveland, Ohio, ES-12, ES-23
coastal and inland ports, ES-22
coastal zone, ES-25
Coastal Zone Management, ES-25
Code of Federal Regulations:
49 CFR 1105, ES-9

49 CFR 1105, ES-9 49 CFR 1105.7, ES-9 49 CFR Part 213.237, ES-16

Comments on Draft Environmental Impact Statement, ES-8 Connecticut, ES-9 connections, ES-5, ES-6, ES-8, ES-10 Conrail, ES-1-7, ES-9, ES-11-17, ES-19-23, ES-26 construction, ES-5, ES-6, ES-10, ES-21, ES-23-26 crossing, ES-2, ES-15, ES-18, ES-21 CSX, ES-1, ES-4-6, ES-9, ES-10, ES-16-21, ES-23, ES-24 cumulative effects, ES-4, ES-11, ES-16, ES-26

D
Day 1, ES-7
dBA, ES-23
decision, ES-1, ES-3, ES-5-9, ES-23
Delaware, ES-2, ES-9, ES-10, ES-12, ES-24
District of Columbia, ES-1, ES-3, ES-4, ES-18

emission, ES-22
endangered species, ES-24
energy, ES-4, ES-11, ES-15, ES-22
engineering, ES-23
environmental assessments, ES-6, ES-8
environmental benefits, ES-6
Environmental Impact Statement, ES-1, ES-7, ES-8
Environmental Justice, ES-2, ES-4, ES-11, ES-16, ES-25
Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), ES-11
environmental report, ES-3, ES-5, ES-7, ES-12
Errata, ES-3, ES-5
Exermont, Illinois, ES-24

failure mode and effects analysis, ES-20 Federal Railroad Administration, ES-11 Flo-ida, ES-2, ES-18 freight rail operations, ES-2, ES-16, ES-17 fuel consumption, ES-2, ES-6, ES-22 Funding, ES-21, ES-23, ES-25

G Georgia, ES-2, ES-9, ES-10, ES-17-19, ES-22

H
haulage rights, ES-17
Hazardous Materials, ES-2, ES-9, ES-15, ES-16, ES-18-20, ES-24
hazardous materials transport, ES-2, ES-19

hazardo is waste, ES-4, ES-11, ES-24 hazardous waste sites, ES-4, ES-11, ES-24 historic, ES-2, ES-16, ES-23, ES-24 Historic American Building Survey, ES-23 Historic American Engineering Record, ES-23 Hotline, ES-13

I

Illinois, ES-2, ES-9, ES-10, ES-12, ES-14, ES-17-22, ES-24, ES-25 Inconsistent and Responsive Applications, ES-3, ES-8, ES-11, ES-12 Indiana, ES-2, ES-9, ES-10, ES-12, ES-14, ES-16-22, ES-24, ES-25 interlocking, ES-24 intermodal facility, ES-10, ES-23

K

Kentucky, ES-2, ES-10, ES-18-22 key routes, ES-18, ES-19

L

Lafayette, Indiana, ES-12, ES-21, ES-25 land use, ES-4, ES-11, ES-16, ES-25 legal, ES-13 Level of Service (LOS), ES-21 locomotive, ES-10 Louisiana, ES-10, ES-19, ES-22

#### M

maintenance, ES-10
management, ES-24, ES-25
management plans, ES-25
Maryland, ES-2, ES-9, ES-10, ES-14, ES-17-22, ES-24, ES-25
Massachusetts, ES-2
merits, ES-7
Michigan, ES-2, ES-5, ES-9, ES-10, ES-17-19, ES-22-24
Mississippi, ES-19
Missouri, ES-2, ES-10, ES-18, ES-22
mitigation, ES-2, ES-3, ES-6, ES-7, ES-11-18, ES-20, ES-23-26
movable b.idges, ES-22

N

National Historic Preservation Act, ES-24 Native American, ES-16, ES-25 New Jersey, ES-2, ES-5, ES-9, ES-10, ES-18, ES-19, ES-22, ES-24 New York, 7S-3, ES-9, ES-10, ES-17-19, ES-24 nitrogen oxides, ES-22 noise, ES-2, ES-4, ES-11, ES-15, ES-23 Norfolk Southern, ES-1 North Carolina, ES-3, ES-17-19 NO<sub>x</sub>, ES-22

## Ohio, ES-3, ES-9, ES-10, ES-12, ES-14, ES-16, ES-18-25 operating plans, ES-3, ES-5 outreach, ES-13, ES-14, ES-25

passenger rail operations, ES-16, ES-17
passenger rail service, ES-20
Pennsylvania, ES-3, ES-9, ES-10, ES-12, ES-14, ES-16, ES-18-22, ES-25
planning, ES-13, ES-15, ES-16, ES-20, ES-21
preservation, ES-24
primary application, ES-4, ES-8
procedural schedule, ES-7

# rail lines, ES-4, ES-5, ES-9, ES-10, ES-17, ES-23 rail operations, ES-2, ES-3, ES-10, ES-16, ES-17 rail systems, ES-1, ES-5 rail yards, ES-5, ES-10, ES-16, ES-17, ES-19, ES-20 railroads, ES-1, ES-6, ES-7, ES-16-19, ES-23 regional, ES-2, ES-9-13, ES-15, ES-20-22, ES-26 remediation, ES-24 responsive, ES-3, ES-8, ES-11, ES-12 responsive applications, ES-3, ES-8, ES-11, ES-12 Responsive Environmental Report, ES-12 roadway systems, ES-15 route miles, ES-4, ES-10

safety integration plans, ES-8, ES-20, ES-26 separated grade crossing, ES-21 seven separate connections, ES-5, ES-6, ES-8, ES-10 shared assets areas, ES-3, ES-5, ES-16, ES-20 significant environmental impact, ES-9 site visits, ES-11-13 socioeconomics, ES-4, ES-11, ES-16, ES-25

sound, ES-23 South Carolina, ES-3, ES-18, ES-19 species, ES-24 sulfur dioxide, ES-23 Surface Transportation Board, ES-1, ES-26

T
Tennessee, ES-9, ES-10, ES-18, ES-19, ES-22
Toledo Pivot Bridge, ES-23, ES-24
trackage rights, ES-3, ES-12
traffic volume, ES-16
training, ES-17, ES-19
truck traffic, ES-5, ES-10, ES-21, ES-22

U U.S. Coast Guard, ES-22 United States Code: 16 U.S.C. 470f, ES-24

V Verified Statement, ES-12 Vermilion, Ohio, ES-24 Virginia, ES-3, ES-9, ES-17, ES-18

W, X, Y, Z Washington, D.C., ES-9, ES-14, ES-17, ES-25, ES-26 water resources, ES-24 website, ES-13, ES-14 West Virginia, ES-3, ES-9 Wetlands, ES-24 [THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

#### DRAFT EIS VOLUME 1

```
abandonment, 1-2, 1-15, 1-17, 2-11, 2-15, 2-29, 3-19, 3-21, 3-38-40, 3-43-45, 4-3, 4-44, 4-47
acquisition, 1-1-3, 1-5, 1-7, 1-8, 1-10-12, 1-14, 1-15, 1-17, 1-19, 1-20, 2-1, 2-3, 2-6, 2-8, 2-
                         11, 2-21, 2-25-31, 2-33, 2-35, 2-36, 3-1-10, 3-12-25, 3-27-31, 3-35, 3-36, 3-
                         38-44, 3-46-51, 3-53-56, 4-1-4, 4-7-9, 4-11-15, 4-17, 4-21-27, 4-29-32, 4-34-39,
                         4-41-45, 4-47-51, 4-55-57, 4-59-64, 4-66-78
adverse environmental, 1-10, 2-33, 3-36, 4-45, 4-66, 4-67
adverse environmental impacts, 1-10, 2-33, 3-36, 4-66
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 1-19, 3-38
agency coordination, 1-16
air quality, 1-13, 1-14, 2-11, 2-14, 2-15, 3-1, 3-2, 3-6, 3-17, 3-25-30, 3-48, 3-53, 3-55, 4-2, 4-
                         7, 4-8, 4-45, 4-50, 4-51, 4-55-58, 4-61, 4-64, 4-68-73, 4-77
                         attainment area, 1-14
                         carbon monoxide, 3-25, 3-26, 4-50, 4-58, 4-71, 4-72
                         nitrogen dioxide, 3-25, 3-26, 4-50
                         nitrogen oxides, 3-25, 4-56, 4-58, 4-59, 4-71, 4-72
                         nonattainment area, 3-29, 4-61
                         NOx, 3-25, 3-29, 4-51, 4-55-61, 4-64
                         sulfur dioxide, 3-25, 3-26, 4-50, 4-72
Alabama, 2-8, 2-11, 2-28, 4-5, 4-18, 4-30, 4-31, 4-66
Amtrak, 2-3, 3-8, 3-15, 4-2, 4-4, 4-7, 4-13, 4-23-32, 4-34, 4-35, 4-37, 4-38, 4-40, 4-75
application, 1-1, 1-2, 1-9-12, 1-16, 2-8, 2-11, 2-15, 2-31, 2-35, 2-39, 2-40, 3-3, 3-4, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-34, 3-
                          37, 3-45, 3-56, 4-2, 4-29, 4-42-44, 4-48, 4-49, 4-51, 4-77
areas of concern, 4-14, 4-58
Ashtabula, OH, 4-19
Association of American Railroads, 3-5, 4-16
attainment area. See air quality
average daily traffic, 1-14, 2-12-14, 3-10, 3-17, 3-20, 3-22, 3-27, 4-14, 4-50
Baltimore, MD, 2-1, 2-3, 2-6, 4-27, 4-35
Bellevue, OH, 2-26, 4-19
Best Management Practices, 3-42, 3-43, 4-77
Board, 1-1, 1-2, 1-7-20, 2-11, 2-30, 2-31, 2-33, 2-35, 2-36, 3-1, 3-3, 3-4, 3-7, 3-11, 3-14, 3-
               19, 3-25, 3-29, 3-30, 3-34, 3-36, 3-38, 3-40, 3-42, 3-43, 3-45, 3-48, 3-53, 3-56, 4-1, 4-
               3, 4-9, 4-21, 4-23, 4-29, 4-57, 4-66, 4-69, 4-70, 4-77
```

bridges, 1-19, 2-13, 3-22, 3-23, 3-53, 4-2, 4-47, 4-75

```
•
```

carbon monoxide. See air quality

carload, 1-14, 2-14, 3-21, 3-27, 4-67, 4-63

CERCLA, 3-12, 3-39

Chicago, IL, 2-1, 2-3, 2-6, 2-8, 2-11, 2-21, 2-37, 2-39, 4-32, 4-41

Clean Air Act Amendments, 3-27, 4-51, 4-59, 4-70, 4-72, 4-74

Clean Water Act, 1-18, 1-19, 3-42

coal, 2-8, 2-37, 3-23, 3-24, 4-3, 4-49, 4-73

coastal zone, 3-44, 3-45

Coastal Zone Management, 3-44, 3-45

Code of Federal Regulations:

36 CFR 800.9, 3-38

40 CFR 1506.5, 1-8

40 CFR 50, 3-26

49 CFR 1105, 1-8, 1-14, 1-16, 2-11, 3-1, 3-9, 3-16, 3-20, 3-21, 3-23, 3-25, 3-34, 3-39, 3-44

49 CFR 1105.10, 1-8

49 CFR 1105.7, 1-14, 1-16, 2-11, 3-1, 3-9, 3-16, 3-20, 3-21, 3-23, 3-25, 3-34, 3-39, 3-44

49 CFR Part 1105, 1-10

49 CFR Part 1180, 1-1

Comments and Requests for Conditions, 2-36

Comments on Draft Environmental Impact Statement, 1-9

Connecticut, 4-4, 4-5, 4-25, 4-27

connections, 1-12, 1-15, 2-1, 2-8, 2-11, 2-21, 2-22, 2-31, 2-37, 2-39, 2-40, 3-21, 3-35, 3-48, 4-67, 4-70, 4-74, 4-76

Conrail, 1-1, 1-2, 1-7, 1-8, 1-10-12, 1-14, 1-15, 1-17, 1-19, 1-20, 2-1, 2-3, 2-6, 2-8, 2-11, 2-21, 2-25-27, 2-29-31, 2-33, 2-35, 2-38, 2-39, 3-1, 3-3, 3-4, 3-8-10, 3-12-16, 3-18, 3-23-25, 3-29, 3-35, 3-39, 3-41, 3-47, 3-48, 3-53-55, 4-1-5, 4-7-9, 4-11-18, 4-22-25, 4-27, 4-29-32, 4-35-37, 4-39-45, 4-47-51, 4-61-64, 4-66-72, 4-74-78

Conrail Inc. and Consolidated Rail Corporation. See Conrail

construction, 1-2, 1-9, 1-12, 1-15, 1-17, 1-19, 1-20, 2-11, 2-21, 2-31, 2-37, 3-2, 3-20, 3-21, 3-37-46, 3-48, 3-49, 3-53, 3-56, 4-3, 4-55, 4-64, 4-69, 4-70, 4-74, 4-77, 4-78

contamination, 3-40, 4-17

Council on Environmental Quality, 1-8, 1-11, 1-18, 3-47, 4-69

criteria of significance, 3-1, 3-2, 3-6, 3-9, 3-10, 3-13, 3-16, 3-19, 3-21, 3-23, 3-24, 3-29, 3-38, 3-40, 3-42, 3-45, 4-9, 4-13

critical habitat, 3-42

crossing, 2-12, 2-13, 3-2, 3-5, 3-7, 3-10, 3-11, 3-14, 3-16-22, 3-24, 3-31, 3-34, 3-37, 4-2, 4-15, 4-21, 4-28, 4-45, 4-50, 4-70

CSX, 1-1, 1-2, 1-7, 1-15, 1-17, 2-1, 2-3, 2-6, 2-8, 2-11, 2-15, 2-21, 2-25, 2-27-31, 2-33, 2-38, 3-4-6, 3-8, 3-12, 3-13, 3-21, 3-23, 3-24, 3-35, 3-40, 3-42, 4-1, 4-3-5, 4-11-13, 4-15-25, 4-29-31, 4-35, 4-36, 4-38-45, 4-47-49, 4-51, 4-55, 4-56, 4-60-63, 4-66-68, 4-70, 4-72, 4-73, 4-75, 4-76

CSX Corporation and CSX Transportation, Inc. See CSX cultural resources, 1-19, 2-14, 3-1, 3-2, 3-38, 3-40, 4-8 cumulative effects, 1-13, 3-52-56, 4-3, 4-69-77 cumulative impacts, 3-52, 3-53, 3-56, 4-69, 4-70, 4-76

Danville, IL, 2-29
Day 1, 1-9
dBA, 3-30, 3-31, 3-34, 3-35
decibel (dB), 3-30
decision, 1-9-12, 1-15-17, 2-31, 2-35, 2-36, 3-3, 3-4, 3-36, 3-45, 3-52, 3-56, 4-23
Delaware, 2-37, 2-39, 2-40, 4-5, 4-19, 4-27, 4-28, 4-37, 4-60
derailment, 3-8, 4-16-18, 4-63
dispatching, 1-18, 4-21, 4-24, 4-25, 4-30, 4-34, 4-38, 4-45, 4-47
District of Columbia, 1-2, 3-53, 4-1, 4-4, 4-5, 4-13, 4-18, 4-19, 4-30, 4-31, 4-40, 4-47, 4-70

E emission, 3-29, 4-55, 4-56, 4-60, 4-72 endangered species, 1-19, 3-41-43 Endangered Species Act, 1-19, 3-42 energy, 1-13, 2-13, 3-1, 3-2, 3-23-25, 3-53-55, 4-2, 4-7, 4-45, 4-47-50, 4-55, 4-68-70, 4-72-74, 4-76, 4-77 Energy Consumption, 3-23, 3-24, 4-45, 4-48, 4-50, 4-68, 4-73, 4-74, 4-77 engineering, 3-17, 3-38

Environmental analysis thresholds, 3-1, 4-47, 4-70 environmental assessments, 1-9, 3-56, 4-70, 4-74 Environmental Data Resources, Inc., 3-40

Environmental Impact Statement, 1-1, 1-9, 1-10, 2-1

Environmental Justice, 1-13, 1-18, 2-15, 3-1, 3-2, 3-46-48, 3-50, 3-52, 4-8

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1-11, 1-18, 3-25

environmental report, 1-2, 1-9, 1-11, 1-16, 2-30, 2-35, 3-3, 3-5, 3-10, 3-12, 3-20, 3-24, 3-34, 3-35, 3-39, 3-41, 3-44, 4-25, 4-68

erosion, 1-19, 3-40, 3-43

Errata, 1-2, 1-11

existing conditions, 1-10, 2-30, 3-3, 3-29, 3-41, 4-66, 4-67, 4-71

#### F

failure mode and effects analysis, 4-22 Federal Agency, 1-7, 1-10, 1-18, 1-19, 3-36, 4-9, 4-22 Federal Emergency Management Agency, 1-20

Federal Railroad Administration, 1-11, 1-18, 3-4, 3-34, 4-9, 4-15, 4-22, 4-25, 4-47

Federal Transit Administration, 3-54, 4-71, 4-74

fill, 1-19, 3-42

flat car, 2-22, 4-15

Florida, 2-3, 2-6, 2-8, 4-3, 4-5, 4-18, 4-30, 4-31, 4-42-44

Fort Wayne, IN, 2-6

freight rail operations, 2-12, 3-4, 4-8, 4-9, 4-11

fuel consumption, 3-24, 4-7, 4-48-50, 4-55, 4-68, 4-73, 4-78

fueling facilities, 3-21, 3-22

funding, 3-54, 4-71, 4-72, 4-75, 4-76

G

Gary, IN, 2-37

Geographic Information System, 3-44, 3-50

Georgia, 2-26, 2-28, 4-5, 4-13, 4-18, 4-30, 4-31

H

habitat, 3-42

haulage rights, 2-39, 4-29, 4-30

Hazardous Materials, 1-13, 1-18, 2-12, 2-15, 3-1, 3-2, 3-4, 3-5, 3-12-14, 3-39, 3-40, 3-48, 4-2, 4-7, 4-8, 4-15-22, 4-63, 4-66-68

hazardous materials transport, 2-12, 3-13, 3-14, 3-48, 4-18, 4-21, 4-22, 4-67

hazardous waste, 2-15, 3-2, 3-39, 3-40, 3-48

hazardous waste sites, 2-15, 3-2, 3-39, 3-40, 3-48

health, 3-26, 3-46-49

historic, 1-19, 3-8, 3-10, 3-38

Historic American Building Survey, 3-38

Historic American Engineering Record, 3-38

Hotline, 1-17

1

ICC, 1-7, 1-10

Illinois, 1-7, 2-6, 2-21, 2-22, 2-25, 2-26, 2-28, 2-29, 2-31, 2-38, 3-39, 4-5, 4-18, 4-29-32, 4-41, 4-44, 4-61, 4-74

impacts anticipated, 1-16, 3-23

Inconsistent and Responsive Applications, 1-9, 1-13, 1-15, 1-16, 2-1, 2-35, 2-37, 3-56, 4-74 Indiana, 1-7, 2-22, 2-28, 2-29, 2-31, 2-37-39, 3-39, 4-5, 4-11, 4-18, 4-24, 4-29-31, 4-41, 4-

44, 4-47, 4-61, 4-74

interlocking, 4-25, 4-28, 4-34, 4-38, 4-41

intermodal facility, 1-12, 2-11, 2-15, 2-21, 2-22, 2-25-27, 2-30, 3-21, 3-25, 4-28, 4-49, 4-69

intermodal terminals, 3-5

Interstate Commerce Commission, 1-7, 3-3

J. K

Kentucky, 2-26, 4-5, 4 18, 4-30, 4-31 key routes, 3-13, 4-19-21

L

land use, 1-13, 2-15, 3-1, 3-2, 3-37, 3-43-46, 3-48, 3-54, 4-8, 4-66, 4-71 Ldn, 3-31, 3-34, 3-35 lead, 3-25, 3-26, 3-52, 4-50, 4-73 legal, 1-17 lift equipment, 2-22, 3-30 locomotive, 2-27, 3-12, 3-30, 3-31, 3-34-37, 4-56, 4-58, 4-59 Louisiana, 2-26, 4-5, 4-18, 4-30, 4-31, 4-62

M

main line, 3-7, 4-27, 4-28, 4-39

Maine, 2-8, 4-51, 4-59

maintenance, 1-15, 2-27, 3-11, 3-27, 3-37, 4-29, 4-30, 4-32, 4-51, 4-74, 4-75

major key route, 4-22

management, 1-18, 1-20, 3-4, 3-42-45, 4-9, 4-22, 4-63, 4-77

management plans, 3-44

Maryland, 2-6, 2-11, 2-22, 2-26, 3-8, 3-39, 4-5, 4-13, 4-18, 4-28, 4-30-32, 4-38, 4-39, 4-51, 4-60

Massachusetts, 2-3, 2-8, 4-4, 4-5, 4-27, 4-30-32, 4-59

merits, 1-7, 1-12, 2-36

methodology, 3-3, 3-5, 3-16, 3-44, 3-47, 3-54, 4-25, 4-45, 4-51, 4-55

Michigan, 2-3, 2-8, 2-11, 2-22, 2-26, 2-28, 3-39, 4-5, 4-13, 4-18, 4-24, 4-29-31, 4-61, 4-62

Mississippi, 4-3, 4-5, 4-18, 4-30, 4-31

Missouri, 2-26, 2-28, 4-5, 4-18, 4-31

mitigation, 1-1, 1-8, 1-10-12, 1-19, 1-20, 2-33, 3-1-4, 3-6, 3-7, 3-9-14, 3-16, 3-19, 3-22, 3-23, 3-25, 3-27-30, 3-34-40, 3-42-47, 3-51-53, 3-56, 4-1, 4-3, 4-11-22, 4-31, 4-34, 4-37-41, 4-45, 4-47, 4-64, 4-67, 4-70, 4-77

movable bridges, 3-22, 3-23, 4-47

N

National Ambient Air Quality Standards, 3-25, 3-26, 4-50

National Environmental Policy Act, 1-10, 3-47, 3-52, 4-69

National Historic Preservation Act, 3-38

National Register of Historic Places, 3-38

National Wetlands Inventory, 3-41

Native American, 1-13, 1-19, 3-45, 4-2, 4-8, 4-64, 4-66

New Jersey, 1-1, 1-7, 2-3, 2-6, 2-8, 2-21, 2-25-27, 2-37, 3-8, 3-39, 4-4, 4-5, 4-7, 4-18, 4-25, 4-27-29, 4-32, 4-34, 4-36-38, 4-47, 4-60, 4-62

New York, 2-1, 2-3, 2-6, 2-8, 2-11, 2-22, 2-28, 2-38-40, 3-39, 4-4, 4-5, 4-13, 4-18, 4-25, 4-27, 4-30-32, 4-34-36, 4-44, 4-60, 4-66, 4-75 Newark, DE, 4-26, 4-35 NHPA, 1-19, 3-38 nitrogen dioxide. See air quality nitrogen oxides. See air quality No-action alternative, 1-12 noise, 1-13, 1-14, 2-11, 2-14, 2-15, 3-1, 3-2, 3-6, 3-30, 3-31, 3-33-37, 3-48, 3-49, 3-51, 4-8, 4-77 nonattainment area. See air quality Norfolk Southern, 1-1, 2-3, 2-11, 4-3 North Carolina, 4-5, 4-13, 4-18, 4-30, 4-31 Northeast Corridor, 2-1, 2-3, 3-8, 3-15, 4-4, 4-23-26, 4-29, 4-34, 4-35, 4-37, 4-38, 4-42, 4-55 NO. See air quality Ohio, 2-8, 2-22, 2-26, 2-28-31, 2-38, 2-39, 3-39, 4-5, 4-11, 4-18, 4-30, 4-31, 4-44, 4-47, 4-57, 4-61, 4-74 operating plans, 1-2, 1-7, 2-15, 2-25, 2-27, 2-30, 3-3, 3-6, 3-13, 3-14, 3-16, 3-23, 3-24, 3-35, 4-9, 4-12, 4-21, 4-22, 4-25, 4-68 outreach, 1-1, 1-16-18, 1-20, 3-51 ozone, 3-25-27, 3-29, 4-50, 4-51, 4-55, 4-58-64 P particulate matter, 3-25, 3-30, 4-59, 4-71, 4-72 Parties of Recard, 1-1, 1-11, 2-35 passenger rail operations, 3-2, 3-5, 3-8, 3-15, 3-16, 4-7, 4-8, 4-12-14, 4-23 passenger rail service, 2-12, 3-2, 3-15, 3-16, 4-2, 4-23, 4-24 Pennsylvania, 2-6, 2-8, 2-11, 2-25, 2-26, 2-28, 2-29, 2-37, 3-8, 4-4, 4-5, 4-7, 4-11, 4-18, 4-27, 4-30-32, 4-37, 4-38, 4-47, 4-51, 4-60 permit, 3-28, 4-34, 4-36, 4-42, 4-68 petition, 1-16 planning, 3-14, 3-20, 3-29, 3-44, 3-45, 3-54, 3-55, 4-2, 4-64, 4-71, 4-72, 4-76 preservation, 1-19, 3-38 primary application, 1-9, 2-35, 4-77 prime farmland, 3-44, 3-45 procedural schedule, 1-8, 1-12, 1-15, 2-36 public comment, 4-70

Q queue, 3-17, 3-18, 3-22, 3-37

Purpose of and Need for the Proposed Conrail Acquisition, 1-2

```
R
```

rail line segment, 1-14, 2-15, 3-6-9, 3-13, 3-16, 3-25, 3-44-46, 4-17, 4-27, 4-31, 4-60, 4-66 rail lines, 1-2, 1-7, 1-10, 1-12, 1-15, 2-1, 2-6, 2-8, 2-15, 2-21, 2-29, 2-35, 3-2, 3-7, 3-10, 3-13, 3-15, 3-21, 3-41, 3-43, 3-46, 3-49, 4-3, 4-7, 4-23, 4-32, 4-38, 4-40, 4-42, 4-56, 4-68, 4-73, 4-76, 4-78

rail operations, 1-12, 1-15, 2-3, 2-11, 2-12, 2-33, 3-2, 3-4-6, 3-8, 3-13, 3-15, 3-16, 4-7-9, 4-11-14, 4-23, 4-32, 4-77, 4-78

rail systems, 1-3, 1-5, 2-3, 3-54, 3-55, 4-1, 4-2, 4-9, 4-68, 4-72, 4-75

rail yards, 1-2, 1-12-14, 1-17, 2-1, 2-3, 2-6, 2-11-15, 2-22, 2-27-29, 2-33, 3-1, 3-2, 3-5, 3-22, 3-24, 3-27, 3-28, 3-35, 3-39, 3-41, 3-48-50, 4-3, 4-9, 4-11, 4-21, 4-22, 4-48, 4-55-57, 4-66-68, 4-70, 4-77

railroads, 1-2, 1-8, 1-10, 1-16, 2-3, 2-6, 2-8, 2-36, 3-4, 3-5, 3-8, 3-12-16, 3-19, 3-21, 3-22, 3-31, 3-34, 3-35, 3-40, 4-3, 4-5, 4-9, 4-11, 4-13, 4-16, 4-21-24, 4-42, 4-47, 4-48, 4-50, 4-63, 4-66, 4-67, 4-70

receptor, 3-35

regional, 1-12, 1-13, 1-19, 1-20, 2-3, 2-6, 2-22, 3-2, 3-9, 3-12, 3-16, 3-20, 3-21, 3-25, 3-27-29, 3-44, 3-53-56, 4-1, 4-2, 4-7, 4-13, 4-14, 4-18-20, 4-22, 4-34, 4-41, 4-55, 4-58, 4-64, 4-68, 4-71, 4-75

remediation, 3-39, 3-40

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 1-18, 3-12, 3-39

responsive, 1-9, 1-12, 1-13, 1-15, 1-16, 2-1, 2-35, 2-37, 3-56, 4-29, 4-70, 4-74, 4-77

responsive applications, 1-9, 1-12, 1-13, 1-15, 1-16, 2-1, 2-35, 2-37, 3-56, 4-70, 4-74, 4-77

Responsive Environmental Report, 1-16, 2-35

Rhode Island, 4-5, 4-27

route miles, 1-2, 2-1, 2-3, 2-8, 2-11, 2-27, 4-30, 4-31, 4-38, 4-67

S

safety integration plans, 1-9, 1-18, 3-4, 4-7-9, 4-12, 4-22, 4-23

sensitive receptor, 3-35

separated grade crossing, 3-19

serve, 1-11, 2-1, 2-8, 2-11, 2-27, 4-1, 4-3, 4-4, 4-22, 4-42, 4-47, 4-68, 4-73

shared assets areas, 1-1, 1-2, 1-7, 2-6, 2-8, 2-11, 2-25, 2-27, 2-29, 3-4

Shellpot Bridge, 2-22

significant environmental impact, 1-10, 1-16, 2-30

site visits, 1-11, 1-16, 1-17, 3-3, 3-38, 3-40, 3-41, 3-44, 4-9

socioeconomics, 3-1, 3-2, 3-43-46, 3-48, 4-8

sound, 3-30-32, 3-34, 3-37

South Carolina, 4-5, 4-18, 4-30, 4-31

species, 1-19, 3-41-43

stormwater, 3-42

structures, 1-19

sulfur dioxide. See air quality

Superfund Program, 3-39

Surface Transportation Board. See Board switch, 1-7, 2-6

T

Tennessee, 2-8, 2-11, 2-26, 2-28, 4-5, 4-18, 4-47

threatened species, 3-42

threshold for environmental analysis, 4-15, 4-66

Tilton, IL. 4-20

Toledo, OH, 2-37, 2-39

trackage rights, 1-7, 1-12, 1-13, 1-15, 2-6, 2-8, 2-35, 2-37-40, 4-29, 4-30, 4-32, 4-34, 4-36

traffic congestion, 3-49, 4-77

traffic volume, 1-14, 3-17, 3-20, 3-22, 3-27, 4-15

trail uses, 3-45

training, 3-4, 3-5, 3-7, 3-14, 4-21

tribal governments, 1-19

Triple Crown Services, 2-3, 2-22

truck traffic, 1-2, 2-22, 3-1, 3-19, 3-20, 3-22, 3-29, 4-2, 4-7, 4-41-44, 4-68, 4-73-76

U

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1-19, 3-22, 3-42

U.S. Coast Guard, 1-19, 3-22, 4-47

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1-19, 3-41

U.S. Geological Survey, 3-41

United States Code:

16 U.S.C. 1247, 3-46

49 U.S.C. 10904, 3-46

49 U.S.C. 10905, 3-46

49 U.S.C. 10906, 1-15

49 U.S.C. 11324, 1-7, 1-10

United States Department of Transportation, 3-12

V

Verified Statement, 1-16, 2-35, 2-37-40, 4-43, 4-48

Virginia, 2-6, 2-8, 2-11, 3-8, 4-5, 4-13, 4-18, 4-30-32, 4-39, 4-40, 4-47, 4-51, 4-59, 4-60, 4-62

W

Washington, D.C., 2-1, 2-6, 2-8, 2-15, 3-8, 3-15, 4-19, 4-24, 4-27-29, 4-32, 4-35, 4-36, 4-38-40, 4-59, 4-60

water resources, 3-41-43

wayside noise, 3-31, 3-34-37

website, 1-17

West Virginia, 4-5, 4-30, 4-31, 4-39, 4-62

Wetlands, 1-18, 1-19, 3-41-43 Willow Creek, IN, 4-20

X, Y, Z Youngstown, OH, 4-19 [THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

#### **DRAFT EIS VOLUME 2**

Index not available for Volume 2.

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

#### **DRAFT EIS VOLUME 3A**

A

abandonment, DE-12, II. -3, IL-20, IL-28, IL-39, IL-42-44, IL-46-48, IL-62-65, IL-69, IL-70, IL-78-80, IN-3, IN-8, IN-28, IN-30, IN-52-54, IN-56, IN-57, IN-65-71, IN-81, IN-82, KY-22, LA-15, MD-31, MD-32, MD-46, MI-26, MI-27, MI-39

acquisition, AL-1-9, AL-11-14, CT-1-5, DE-1-14, DE-16, FL-1-8, GA-1-19, GA-21, GA-22, IL-1-3, IL-5, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10-17, IL-19-40, IL-43, IL-48, IL-65-71, IL-76, IL-78-82, IL-84, IN-1-3, IN-9-21, IN-24-52, IN-54, IN-57, IN-68-72, IN-79-86, IN-88-90, KY-1-15, KY-17-22, LA-1-15, MD-1-3, MD-5-32, MD-36-38, MD-45, MD-46, MA-1-5, MI-1-20, MI-22-26, MI-28, MI-32-34, MI-37-39, MS-1-7, MO-1-14, MO-16

air quality, AL-8-11, AL-13, CT-2, DE-7-10, DE-13, DE-16, FL-3, GA-9, GA-12-15, GA-18, GA-21, IL-19, IL-23-36, IL-71, IL-73, IL-74, IL-80, IL-84, IN-25, IN-31-39, IN-41-49, IN-72, IN-74, IN-75, IN-82, IN-83, IN-85, IN-88, IN-89, KY-12, KY-15-17, KY-19, KY-21, LA-9, LA-10, LA-12, LA-13, MD-15, MD-18-20, MD-22, MD-23, MD-25-27, MD-38, MA-2, MI-14, MI-18-20, MI-22, MI-34, MI-36, MS-2, MO-10, MO-11, MO-14, MO-15

attainment area, AL-10, DE-8, GA-13, IL-30, IL-34, IL-35, IN-33-39, IN-41-44, IN-46, IN-48, KY-16, MD-20, MD-26, MI-19

carbon monoxide, AL-10, DE-7, GA-12, IL-29-31, KY-15, LA-9, MD-19, MI-18, MO-10 nitrogen oxides, AL-10, DE-7, GA-12, IL-29, IN-31, KY-15, LA-9, MD-19, MI-18, MO-10 nonattainment area, AL-10, DE-8, DE-9, GA-13, GA-14, IL-30, IL-31, IN-33, IN-40, IN-45, IN-47, IN-85, MD-20-25, MI-19, MI-20, MO-11

NO., AL-10, DE-7-9, GA-12, GA-14-16, IL-29-32, IL-34-36, IN-31, IN-33-49, IN-85, IN-86, IN-88, KY-15-17, LA-9, LA-10, MD-19-27, MI-18-22, MO-10, MO-11

sulfur dioxide, AL-10, DE-7, GA-12, IL-29, IN-31, KY-15, LA-9, MD-19, MI-18, MO-10 Alabama, AL-1-6, AL-8-14, LA-2, LA-8, MS-6

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, AL-2-3

Summary of Analysis, AL-3-4

Safety:

Passenger Rail Operations, AL-4-5 Rail Transport of Hazardous Materials, AL-5-7

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, AL-8 Roadway Crossing Delay, AL-8-9

Air Quality, AL-9-10

Environmental Justice, AL-11-13

Cumulative Effects, AL-13

Areas of Concern, AL-14

Alexandria, IN, IN-5, IN-27, IN-40, IN-46, IN-48

Amtrak, AL-2, AL-4, AL-8, CT-1, DE-3, DE-5, DE-6, FL-2, FL-3, FL-6, GA-2, GA-5, GA-9, IL-2, IL-12, IL-17, IN-2, IN-11, IN-24, KY-2, KY-6, KY-12, LA-2, LA-4, LA-7, LA-8,

MD-1, MD-2, MD-4, MD-8, MD-13-15, MA-2-4, MI-2, MI-8, MI-9, MI-14, MS-2, MS-3, MS-6, MO-2, MO-4, MO-7

application, DE-3, DE-16, IL-7, IL-26, IL-85, IL-86, IN-83, MD-4, MI-25

areas of concern, AL-14, CT-4, DE-14, FL-7, GA-22, IL-79, IN-26, IN-27, IN-82, KY-21, LA-14, MD-45, MI-38, MS-7, MO-16

Association of American Railroads, AL-5, FL-5, GA-6, IL-15, IN-13, KY-9, LA-5, MS-4, MO-5 attainment area. See air quality

average daily traffic, AL-8, GA-9, IL-19, IN-25, IN-85, KY-12, KY-14, LA-9, MD-15, MD-18, MI-14, MI-18, MO-9, MO-10

#### R

ballast, IN-8

Baltimore, MD, DE-9, MD-4, MD-21-23, MD-26

Bellevue, OH, IN-34

Best Management Practices, IL-53, IL-55, IL-57, IL-59, IL-61, IL-64, IN-60, IN-62, IN-63, IN-65, IN-68, MD-36, MI-30, MI-32

Board, AL-2-3, DE-10, DE-17, GA-3, GA-4, GA-15, GA-17, IL-2, IL-3, IL-6, IL-9, IL-32, IL-34, IL-35, IL-37, IL-38, IL-69-71, IL-74, IL-80, IL-85, IL-86, IN-2, IN-3, IN-7, IN-8, IN-50, IN-51, IN-72, IN-75, IN-81, IN-87, IN-88, IN-90, IN-91, KY-2, KY-3, KY-18, LA-2, LA-3, LA-11, MD-2, MD-3, MD-5, MD-28, MD-29, MD-41, MI-3, MI-4, MI-20, MI-22-25, MI-36, MO-3, MO-12

branch line, IN-3, IN-65, IN-71, IN-87 bridges, IL-42, IL-43, IN-30, IN-31, IN-54

#### C

Calhoun County, M'-15

Calumet Park, IL. IL-4, IL-32, IL-33, IN-41

Calumet Yard, IL-82

carbon monoxide. See air quality

CFR, DE-10, GA-16, IL-37, IN-11, IN-49, KY-17, LA-10, MD-28, MI-23, MO-12

Chicago, IL, IL-4, IL-5, IL-13, IL-32, IL-33, IN-3, IN-7, IN-13, IN-41

Class I Railroad, CT-1-1

Clean Water Act, IL-51, IL-53, IL-56, IL-58, IL-60, IL-63, IN-60, IN-63, IN-66, MD-34, MI-30 Cleveland, Ohio, IL-3

coal, AL-1, GA-3, GA-15, GA-16, GA-20, GA-21, KY-1, KY-2, LA-1, MD-2

coastal zone, IL-65, IN-68, MD-36, MD-37, MI-33

Coastal Zone Management, IL-65, IN-68, MD-36, MI-33

Code of Federal Regulations:

49 CFR 1105, DE-10, GA-16, IL-37, IN-49, KY-17, LA-10, MD-28, MI-23, MO-12 49 CFR 1105.7, DE-10, GA-16, IL-37, IN-49, KY-17, LA-10, MD-28, MI-23, MO-12

Connecticut, CT-1-5

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, CT-2

Summary of Analysis, CT-2

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, CT-3

Cumulative Effects, CT-4

Areas of Concern, CT-4

- connections, AL-2, AL-11, DE-13, FL-2, GA-18, IL-2, IL-3, IL-9, IL-20, IL-25, IL-47, IL-71, IL-83, IL-84, IN-3, IN-7, IN-8, IN-28, IN-30, IN-56, IN-72, KY-20, LA-2, LA-12, MD-38, MI-5, MI-34, MO-14
- Conrail, AL-1-4, AL-6, AL-8, AL-9, AL-11-13, CT-1-3, DE-1-5, DE-7, DE-10, DE-12, DE-13, FL-1-3, FL-5, FL-7, GA-1, GA-2, GA-4-6, GA-9-11, GA-16, GA-18, GA-19, GA-21, IL-1-5, IL-7-11, IL-13-17, IL-19-26, IL-28, IL-37, IL-42, IL-43, IL-53, IL-57, IL-62, IL-63, IL-65-67, IL-69, IL-70, IL-74, IL-76, IL-79, IL-81-85, IN-1-5, IN-7-11, IN-13, IN-15-21, IN-24, IN-25, IN-28-31, IN-40, IN-50, IN-53, IN-54, IN-68-72, IN-83, IN-87, IN-90, KY-1-5, KY-7-10, KY-12, KY-13, KY-19-21, LA-1-5, LA-8, LA-12-14, MD-1-12, MD-15, MD-17, MD-18, MD-28, MD-30, MD-31, MD-35-38, MD-41, MA-1-4, MI-1-7, MI-9-12, MI-14, MI-16, MI-23, MI-26, MI-30-33, MI-35, MI-37, MS-1-4, MS-6, MO-1-4, MO-6, MO-8, MO-12-14, MO-16
- construction, AL-11, DE-2, DE-10, DE-12-14, GA-18, IL-3, IL-5-9, IL-18, IL-20-26, IL-36, IL-39-48, IL-50-62, IL-64-69, IL-71, IL-74, IL-75, IL-78, IL-79, IL-83-85, IN-3, IN-7, IN-8, IN-28, IN-29, IN-49, IN-52-57, IN-60-65, IN-68-72, IN-75, IN-81, IN-87, IN-88, KY-17, KY-19, KY-20, LA-12, MD-3, MD-5, MD-16-18, MD-27, MD-30-32, MD-34-38, MD-45, MI-3, MI-5, MI-16, MI-22, MI-25-27, MI-30-35, MI-37, MI-38, MO-11, MO-13, MO-14

contamination, IL-45, IL-47

- criteria of significance, AL-9, DE-5, GA-9, IL-11, IL-19, IN-25, KY-5, KY-12, MD-7, MD-15, MI-7, MI-14
- critical habitat, IL-52, IL-55, IL-57, IL-59, IL-61, IL-64, IN-62, IN-64, IN-68, MD-36, MI-32 crossing, AL-8, AL-9, CT-2, DE-3, DE-11, DE-15, GA-9, GA-10, GA-17, IL-7, IL-8, IL-14, IL-15, IL-19-23, IL-28, IL-38, IL-39, IL-47, IL-77, IL-78, IL-80, IN-7, IN-17-22, IN-25-30, IN-52, IN-54, IN-76, IN-78-80, IN-82-85, IN-87, IN-89, IN-90, KY-8, KY-9, KY-12-14, KY-18, LA-3, LA-11, MD-10, MD-11, MD-15-17, MD-29, MD-41, MD-43, MD-44, MD-46, MA-2, MI-10, MI-11, MI-14-16, MI-24, MI-39, MS-2, MO-3, MO-10, MO-12, MO-13
- CSX, AL-1-3, AL-7, AL-8, AL-13, CT-2, DE-1-3, DE-9, DE-10, DE-16, DE-17, FL-1, FL-2, FL-6, FL-7, GA-1-3, GA-8-10, GA-13, GA-15, GA-16, IL-1-7, IL-9, IL-17, IL-18, IL-21-26, IL-28, IL-29, IL-31-34, IL-36, IL-37, IL-40-47, IL-50, IL-52, IL-53, IL-55, IL-57, IL-59, IL-62, IL-64-67, IL-69, IL-70, IL-75, IL-77, IL-79-81, IL-83-86, IN-1-6, IN-8, IN-14, IN-15, IN-21, IN-24, IN-27, IN-30, IN-31, IN-34, IN-36, IN-37, IN-39-47, IN-50, IN-77, IN-78, IN-80, IN-83-85, IN-87, IN-88, IN-90, KY-1-3, KY-9, KY-11, KY-12, KY-15-17, KY-20, LA-1, LA-2, LA-6, LA-7, LA-14, MD-1-4, MD-13-15, MD-19, MD-21-28, MD-37, MD-41, MD-42, MD-44, MD-45, MA-2, MA-4, MI-1-5, MI-13, MI-14, MI-18, MI-20-23, MI-25, MS-1, MS-2, MS-5, MS-6, MO-2, MO-16

cultural resources, AL-3, AL-11, CT-2, DE-11-13, DE-15, FL-3, GA-4, GA-18, IL-39-43, IL-71, IL-74, IL-80, IN-52-54, IN-72, IN-75, KY-4, KY-19, LA-3, LA-12, LA-15, MD-30, MD-31, MD-38, MD-41, MA-2, MI-25, MI-26, MI-34, MI-36, MS-2, MO-4, MO-14

cumulative effects, AL-11, AL-13, CT-4, DE-13, DE-14, FL-7, GA-18, GA-21, GA-22, IL-71, IL-78, IL-79, IN-72, IN-81, IN-82, KY-20, KY-21, LA-13, LA-14, MD-38, MD-45, MA-4, MA-5, MI-34, MI-37, MI-38, MS-7, MO-14, MO-16

D

Danville, IL, IL-9, IL-31, IL-33, IL-36, IL-78, IN-80

dBA, DE-10, DE-11, GA-16, GA-17, IL-37-39, IN-49-52, IN-86, IN-89, KY-17-19, LA-11, LA-12, MD-28-30, MI-23-25, MO-12, MO-13

decision, IL-9, IN-8, IN-79, MD-42

Delaware, DE-1-17, IN-5, IN-17, IN-26, IN-32, IN-73, IN-76

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, DE-2

Summary of Analysis, DE-3

Safety:

Freight Rail Operations, DE-4-5

Passenger Rail Operations, DE-5-6

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, DE-6-7

Air Quality, DE-7-10

Noise, DE-10-11

Cultural Resources, DE-12

Environmental Justice, DE-12-13

Cumulative Effects, DE-14

Areas of Concern, DE-14-17

derailment, MI-27

dispatching, CT-3, IL-17, IL-18, LA-7, MD-14, MA-3

District of Columbia, MD-40, MD-42-44

E

emission, AL-9, AL-10, DE-7, DE-8, GA-12, GA-13, IL-28, IL-29, IN-31, IN-32, KY-15, LA-9, MD-18, MD-19, MI-18, MI-19, MO-10, MO-11

endangered species, IL-48, IL-52, IL-54, IL-56, IL-57, IL-59, IL-61, IL-64, IN-57, IN-61, IN-62, IN-64, IN-67, IN-68, MD-32, MD-33, MD-35, MD-36, MI-28, MI-31, MI-32

energy, AL-3, CT-2, CT-5, DE-3, DE-15, FL-2, GA-4, IL-10, IN-9, KY-4, LA-3, LA-15, MD-6, MA-2, MI-6, MS-2, MO-3

Environmental analysis thresholds, AL-8, AL-14, CT-5, DE-10, DE-14, FL-8, GA-1, GA-9, GA-16, GA-22, IL-19, IL-37, IL-80, IN-25, IN-30, IN-49, IN-50, IN-82, KY-12, KY-17, KY-22, LA-10, LA-11, MD-28, MD-46, MI-14, MI-23, MI-39, MS-7, MO-12, MO-16

environmental assessments, IN-7

Environmental Data Resources, Inc., IL-44, IN-54, MI-26

Environmental Impact Statement, MI-25

Environmental Justice, AL-11-13, CT-2, CT-5, DE-12, DE-13, DE-15, FL-3, GA-18-21, IL-70-78, IL-85, IN-71-73, IN-75-81, IN-86, KY-19-21, LA-12-14, MD-37-46, MI-33-37, MS-2, MO-13-16

environmental report, IL-68, IN-56, IN-71

erosion, IL-56, IN-60, IN-63, IN-66, IN-67, MI-30

Exermont, Illinois, IL-41

existing condition, MI-32

F

Existing Conditions, DE-17, IL-18, IL-50-64, IN-27, IN-60-68, IN-84, IN-85, MD-34-36, MI-30, MI-31

Federal Emergency Management Agency, IL-51, IL-53, IL-56, IL-58, IL-60, IL-63, IN-60, IN-63, IN-66, MD-34, MI-30

Federal Railroad Administration, AL-4, DE-4, FL-3, GA-5, GA-17, IL-10, IL-12, IL-38, IN-10, IN-11, IN-52, KY-4, KY-6, KY-14, KY-18, LA-4, I A-11, MD-7, MD-8, MD-29, MI-6, MI-8, MI-24, MS-3, MO-4, MO-10, MO-12

fill, IL-53, IN-63

Flood Insurance Rate Maps, IL-51, IL-53, IL-56, IL-58, IL-60, IL-63, IN-60, IN-63, IN-66, MD-34, MI-30

Florida, AL-8, FL-1-4, FL-6-8, GA-2, LA-3, LA-8, LA-9, LA-13

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, FL-2

Summary of Analysis, FL-2

Safety:

Passenger Rail Operations, FL-3-4

Rail Transport of Hazardous Materials, FL-4-6

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, FL-6-7

Cumulative Effects, FL-7

Areas of Concern, FL-7-8

freight rail operations, DE-4, DE-5, IL-10, IL-11, IN-9, IN-10, KY-4-7, MD-15, MI-6, MI-7 Funding, DE-17, IN-80, IN-85, IN-88, IN-91

G

Garrett, IN, IN-26

Gary, IN, IN-5, IN-78

Georgia, GA-1-14, GA-16, GA-18-22, LA-2, LA-7

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, GA-2-3

Summary of Analysis, GA-4

Safety:

Passenger Rail Operations, GA-4-6

Rail Transport of Hazardous Materials, GA-6-8

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, GA-8-9

Roadway Crossing Delay, GA-9-10

Roadway Effects From Rail Facility Modifications, GA-10-12

Air Quality, GA-12-14

Noise, GA-14-18

Environmental Justice, GA-18-21

Cumulative Effects, GA-21-22

Areas of Concern, GA-22

groundwater, IL-45

#### H

habitat, IL-51, IL-52, IL-54-57, IL-59-61, IL-64, IN-61, IN-62, IN-64, IN-67, IN-68, MD-33, MD-35, MD-36, MI-31, MI-32

Hammond, IN, IL-32, IL-33, IN-41

Harrisburg, PA, MD-4, MD-6, MD-27-29

Hazardous Materials, AL-3, AL-5-7, AL-11, CT-2, DE-3, DE-13, DE-15, FL-3-5, GA-4, GA-6-8, GA-18, IL-15-17, IL-43-47, IL-71, IL-74, IL-80, IN-13, IN-15, IN-54-56, IN-72, IN-75, IN-76, IN-78-80, KY-4, KY-9-11, KY-19, LA-3, LA-5, LA-6, LA-12, LA-15, MD-11-13, MD-31, MD-38, MD-41, MD-42, MD-46, MA-2, MI-11-13, MI-26, MI-27, MI-34, MI-36, MI-39, MS-2, MS-4, MS-5, MO-4-6, MO-14, MO-16

hazardous materials transport, AL-11, DE-13, GA-18, IL-71, IN-72, IN-75, IN-76, IN-78-80, KY-19, LA-12, MD-38, MD-41, MI-34, MI-36, MO-14

hazardous waste, AL-11, DE-13, GA-18, IL-43-46, IL-71, IN-54-56, IN-72, KY-19, LA-12, MD-31, MD-38, MI-26, MI-27, MI-34, MO-14

hazardous waste sites, AL-11, DE-13, GA-18, IL-43-46, IL-71, IN-54-56, IN-72, KY-19, LA-12, MD-31, MD-38, MI-26, MI-27, MI-34, MO-14

historic, DE-12, IL-32, 43, IL-80, IN-52-54, MD-30, MD-31, MI-25 historic property, IN-54

#### 1

Illinois, AL-1, IL-1-3, IL-5-13, IL-15-23, IL-25-30, IL-36-43, IL-47-50, IL-59, IL-61, IL-65, IL-70-74, IL-76-81, IL-83, IN-2, IN-7, IN-54, IN-79, IN-80, IN-85, IN-88, IN-89, LA-7, MI-14, MS-1, MO-2, MO-7

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, IL-2-9

Summary of Analysis, IL-10

Safety:

Freight Rail Operations, IL-10-11

Passenger Rail Operations, IL-11-13

Highway/Rail At-Grade Crossings, IL-13-15

Rail Transport of Hazardous Materials, IL-15-16

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, IL-17-19

Roadway Crossing Delay, IL-19-20

Roadway Effects From Rail Facility Modifications, IL-20-28

Air Quality, IL-28-36

Noise, IL-36-39

Cultural Resources, IL-39-43

Hazardous Material; and Waste Sites, IL-43-47

Natural Resources, I' -47-65

Land Use/Socioecono, 'cs, IL-65-70

Environmental Justice, It. 70-78

Cumulative Effects, IL-78-79

Areas of Concern, IL-79-86

Indiana, IL-2, IL-3, IL-5, IL-7, IL-9, IL-22, IL-32, IL-33, IL-42, IL-49, IL-55, IL-78, IL-83, IN-1-3, IN-5-9, IN-11-15, IN-21, IN-22, IN-24, IN-25, IN-27-33, IN-41, IN-49-54, IN-56-59, IN-61, IN-64, IN-68, IN-69, IN-71-76, IN-78-90, KY-20, KY-22, MD-33, MI-2, MI-9, MI-14, MI-28, MO-2

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, IN-2-8

Summary of Analysis, IN-9

Safety:

Freight Rail Operations, IN-9-11

Passenger Rail Operations, IN-11-13

Rail Transport of Hazardous Materials, IN-13-15

Highway/Rail At-Grade Crossings, IN-15-24

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, IN-24

Roadway Crossing Delay, IN-25-28

Roadway Effects From Rail Facility Modifications, IN-28-30

Navigation, IN-30-31

Air Quality, IN-31-49

Noise, IN-49-52

Cultural Resources, IN-52-54

Hazardous Materials and Waste Sites, IN-54-56

Natural Resources, IN-56-68

Land Use/Socioeconomics, IN-68-71

Environmental Justice, IN-71-81

Cumulative Effects, IN-81-82

Areas of Concern, IN-82-91

interlocking, IL-40, IL-42, IL-85, IN-90

intermodal facility, AL-2, AL-11, DE-12, GA-2, GA-3, GA-10, GA-11, GA-13, GA-15, GA-18, GA-21, IL-3, IL-5-7, IL-18, IL-23-27, IL-32-34, IL-38, IL-71, IL-75, IL-76, IL-78-86, IN-2, IN-34, IN-47, IN-72, KY-2, KY-3, KY-14, KY-17, KY-19-21, LA-2, LA-3, LA-8-14, MD-2, MD-3, MD-5, MD-17-19, MD-22, MD-38, MD-39, MD-45, MI-2-4, MI-16-18, MI-22, MI-34, MI-37, MO-2, MO-3, MO-8-10, MO-13, MO-15

intermodal terminals, FL-2, IL-25, IL-84, MA-2

J Jackson County, MI-10, MI-15

K

Kentucky, IN-82, KY-1-7, KY-9, KY-10, KY-12-22

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, KY-2-3

Summary of Analysis, KY-3-4

Safety:

Freight Rail Operations, KY-4-5

Passenger Rail Operations, KY-5-7

Highway/Rail At-Grade Crossings, KY-7-9

Rail Transport of Hazardous Materials, KY-9-11

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, KY-12

Roadway Crossing Delay, KY-12-13

Roadway Effects From Rail Facility Modifications, KY-14

Air Quality, KY-15-17

Noise Analysis, KY-17-19

Environmental Justice, KY-19-21

Cumulative Effects, KY-21

Areas of Concern, KY-21-22

key routes, AL-5-7, FL-5, GA-6, GA-8, IL-15, IL-16, IN-13, IN-15, KY-10, KY-11, LA-5, MD-12, MD-13, MI-11, MI-12, MS-4, MO-6

I

Lafayette, IN, IL-5, IL-8, IL-10, IL-36-38, IL-73, IL-74, IL-77, IN-6, IN-35, IN-36, IN-38, IN-44, IN-46, IN-49, IN-74, IN-75, IN-79, IN-80

Lafayette, Indiana, IL-78, IN-79, IN-88

land use, AL-4, AL-11, CT-2, DE-3, DE-13, DE-15, FL-3, GA-4, GA-18, IL-54, IL-65-71, IL-74, IL-80, IN-68-72, IN-82, KY-4, KY-20, LA-3, LA-12, LA-15, MD-36-38, MD-41, MD-46, MA-3, MI-32-34, MI-36, MI-39, MS-2, MO-4, MO-14

Ldn, DE-10, DE-11, GA-16, GA-17, IL-37-39, IN-49-52, IN-86, IN-89, KY-17-19, LA-11, LA-12, MD-28-30, MI-23-25, MO-12, MO-13

lead, AL-10, DE-7, GA-12, IL-29, IN-31, KY-15, LA-9, MD-19, MI-18, MO-1, MO-10

legal, IL-47, IL-76-77, IN-79, IN-80, MD-42, MD-44

locomotive, AL-9, DE-7, DE-10-12, GA-16, GA-17, IL-28, IL-37, IL-39, IN-31, IN-49, IN-52, KY-15, KY-17, KY-19, LA-9-11, MD-2, MD-18, MD-27, MD-29, MD-31, MI-18, MI-23, MI-24, MO-10, MO-12, MO-13

Louisiana, AL-8, GA-2, LA-1-15, MS-6

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, LA-2-3

Summary of Analysis, LA-3

Safety:

Passenger Rail Operations, LA-4-5

Rail Transport of Hazardous Materials, LA-5-7

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, LA-7-8

Roadway Effects From Rail Facility Modifications, LA-8-9

Air Quality, LA-9-10

Noise, LA-10-12

Environmental Justice, LA-12-14

Cumulative Effects, LA 14

Areas of Concern, LA-14-15

#### M

main line, CT-1, DE-4, DE-16, GA-10, IL-3, IL-6, IL-7, IL-11, IN-10, IN-53, IN-87, KY-5, MD-7, MD-15, MD-36, MA-3, MI-7, MI-30

Maine, MD-43

maintenance, IL-30, IL-34, IL-35, IL-64, IL-82, IN-33-39, IN-41-44, IN-46, IN-48, IN-67, IN-88, LA-10, MD-14, MD-20, MI-19, MI-20, MO-11

major key route, FL-6, GA-7, IL-17, IN-14, LA-6, MS-5-7

management, IL-51, IL-53, IL-55-61, IL-63-65, IN-2, IN-3, IN-57, IN-60, IN-62, IN-63, IN-65, IN-66, IN-68, MD-34, MD-36, MI-9, MI-30, MI-32, MI-33

management plans, IL-65, IN-68, MD-36, MI-33

Maryland, GA-2, IL-2, IN-28, MD-1-3, MD-5-20, MD-23, MD-24, MD-27-34, MD-36-46

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, MD-2-6

Summary of Analysis, MD-6

Safety:

Freight Rail Operations, MD-6-7

Passenger Rail Operations, MD-7-9

Highway/Rail At-Grade Crossings, MD-9-11

Rail Transport of Hazardous Materials, MD-11-13

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, MD-13-15

Roadway Crossing Delay, MD-15-16

Roadway Effects From Rail Facility Modifications, MD-16-18

Air Quality, MD-18-27

Noise, MD-27-30

Cultural Resources, MD-30-31

Hazardous Materials and Waste Sites, MD-31-32

Natural Resources, MD-32-36

Land Use/Socioeconomics, MD-36-37

Environmental Justice, MD-37-45

Cumulative Effects, MD-45

Areas of Concern, MD-45-46

Massachusetts, CT-1, CT-3, MA-1-5

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, MA-2

Summary of Analysis, MA-2

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, MA-3-4

Cumulative Effects, MA-4-5

methodology, AL-10, AL-11, DE-7, GA-12, GA-18, IL-28, IL-38, IL-70, IN-31, IN-50, IN-71, KY-15, KY-18, KY-19, LA-9, LA-12, MD-19, MD-28, MD-29, MD-37, MI-18, MI-23, MI-24, MI-34, MO-10, MO-12, MO-13

Michigan, GA-2, IL-1, IL-42, IN-2, IN-7, IN-12, IN-53, IN-72, IN-76, IN-85, MI-1-9, MI-11, MI-12, MI-14-19, MI-22, MI-23, MI-25-29, MI-32-39

Michigan

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, MI-2-5

Summary of Analysis, MI-6

Safety:

Freight Rail Operations, MI-6-7

Passenger Rail Operations, MI-7-9

Highway/Rail At-Grade Crossings, MI-9-11

Rail Transport of Hazardous Materials, MI-11-13

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, MI-14

Highway/Rail Crossing Delay, MI-14-16

Roadway Effects From Rail Facility Modifications, MI-16-18

Air Quality, MI-18-22

Noise, MI-22-25

Cultural Resources, MI-25-26

Hazardous Materials and Waste Sites, MI-26-27

Natural Resources, MI-27-32

Land Use/Socioeconomics, MI-32-33

Environmental Justice, Mi-33-37

Cumulative Effects, MI-37-38

Areas of Concern, MI-38-39

minority populations, MD-42, MD-43

Mississippi, AL-1, IL-1, IL-42, LA-2, MS-1-7, MO-1

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, MS-2

Summary of Analysis, MS-2

Safety:

Passenger Rail Operations, MS-3-4

Rail Transport of Hazardous Materials, MS-4-5

Transportation

Passenger Rail Service, MS-6

Cumulative Effects, MS-7

Areas of Concern, MS-7

Missouri, MO-1-16

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, MO-2-3

Summary of Analysis, MO-3-4

Safety:

Passenger Rail Operations, MO-4-5

Rail Transport of Hazardous Materials, MO-5-7

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, M0-7

Roadway Effects From Rail Facility Modifications, MO-8-10

Air Quality, MO-10-11

Noise, MO-11-13

Environmental Justice, MO-13-16

Cumulative Effects, MO-16

Areas of Concern, MO-16

mitigation, AL-1, AL-4-11, AL-13, AL-14, CT-1, CT-3-5, DE-1, DE-5-14, DE-16, DE-17, FL-1, FL-3-8, GA-1, GA-5, GA-7-12, GA-14, GA-17, GA-18, GA-21, GA-22, IL-1, IL-11-13, IL-15-21, IL-25, IL-28, IL-36, IL-38-41, IL-43-47, IL-50, IL-53, IL-55, IL-57, IL-59, IL-61, IL-62, IL-64, IL-65, IL-67-71, IL-73, IL-75, IL-77-80, IL-85, IL-86, IN-1, IN-11, IN-12, IN-14, IN-21, IN-22, IN-24, IN-25, IN-28-31, IN-49, IN-51-56, IN-60, IN-62, IN-65, IN-67-72, IN-74-76, IN-78, IN-79, IN-81, IN-82, IN-84-87, IN-89-91, KY-1, KY-5-7, KY-9-15, KY-17-22, LA-1, LA-4-6, LA-8-15, MD-1, MD-7, MD-8, MD-11-13, MD-15-17, MD-19, MD-27, MD-29-32, MD-34, MD-36-39, MD-42-46, MA-1, MA-4, MA-5, MI-1, MI-7, MI-8, MI-11-14, MI-16, MI-18, MI-22, MI-24-27, MI-30, MI-32-34, MI-36-39, MS-1, MS-3-5, MS-7, MO-1, MO-4-8, MO-10-16

Monroe County, MI-10, MI-15, MI-19, MI-20

movable bridges, IN-30, IN-31

Muncie, IN, IN-5, IN-34

N

National Ambient Air Quality Standards, AL-10, DE-8, GA-12, IL-29, IL-33, IN-31, KY-15, LA-10, MD-19, MI-18, MO-11

National Historic Preservation Act, DE-12

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, IL-51, IL-53, IL-56, IL-58, IN-63, IN-66, MD-34, MI-30

National Register of Historic Places, DE-12, IL-40, IL-41, IL-43, IN-53, IN-54, MD-30, MD-31 National Wetlands Inventory, IL-62, MD-34

Native American, IL-41, IL-65, IN-69

New Jersey, IN-54, MD-2

New York, AL-8, CT-1, CT-3, FL-1, GA-2, IL-42, IN-2, IN-53, IN-54, MD-2-4

Newark, DE, DE-9

nitrogen oxides. See air quality

noise, AL-3, AL-11, AL-13, CT-2, CT-5, DE-10, DE-11, DE-13, DE-15-17, FL-2, FL-3, GA-14, GA-16-18, GA-21, IL-36-39, IL-71, IL-73-80, IL-82, IL-85, IN-49-52, IN-68, IN-72, IN-74-83, IN-86, IN-88, IN-89, KY-17-19, KY-21, LA-10-13, MD-27-30, MD-38, MD-44-46, MA-2, MI-22-25, MI-34, MI-36, MI-37, MI-39, MS-2, MO-11-15

nonattainment area. See air quality

Norfolk Southern, GA-9, IL-82, IL-83, IN-53, IN-54, M1-38

North Carolina, GA-2

Northeast Corridor, CT-1-3, DE-6, DE-7, MD-1, MD-2, MD-4, MD-13, MD-14, MD-42, MA-3 NO... See air quality

0

Ohio, IL-2, IL-3, IL-5, IL-21, IL-42, IN-2, IN-20, IN-24, IN-28, IN-82, IN-87, IN-89, IN-90, KY-22, LA-2

operating plans, AL-2-2, IL-18, LA-2, LA-8, MS-6, MO-2

outreach, AL-11, AL-13, CT-4, DE-13, DE-14, FL-7, GA-18, GA-21, IL-71, IL-73, IL-75-79, IL-85, IN-72, IN-74, IN-76, IN-77, IN-79-81, IN-86, KY-20, KY-21, LA-12, LA-14, MD-38, MD-39, MD-42, MD-43, MD-45, MA-5, MI-34, MI-37, MI-38, MS-7, MO-14, MO-16

ozone, AL-10, DE-8, GA-12, IL-29, IN-31, IN-85, KY-15, LA-10, MD-19, MD-23, MD-24, MI-18, MO-11

P

particulate matter, AL-10, DE-7, GA-12, IL-29, IN-31, IN-40, IN-85, KY-15, LA-9, MD-19, MI-18, MO-10

passenger rail operations, AL-4-6, FL-3-4, GA-6, IL-11, IL-12, IN-11, KY-5, KY-6, LA-4, LA-5, MD-7, MD-9, MI-7, MS-3-5

passenger rail service, AL-8, CT-3, FL-6, GA-8, GA-9, IL-17, IL-18, IN-24, KY-4, KY-12, LA-7, LA-8, MD-13, MD-15, MA-3, MI-2, MI-14, MS-6, MO-3, MO-7

Pennsylvania, DE-2, IL-2, IL-26, IL-42, IL-75, IN-87, MD-2, MD-5, MD-30, MD-44, MI-10, MI-11

permit, AL-10, CT-3, DE-7, DE-8, FL-7, GA-13, IL-6, IL-8, IL-17, IL-29, IL-51, IL-53, IL-56, IL-58, IL-60, IL-85, IN-7, IN-27, IN-31, IN-60, IN-63, IN-66, KY-15, LA-7, MD-14, MD-19, MD-34, MA-3, MI-5, MI-9, MI-19, MI-30, MO-11

planning, CT-4, CT-5, DE-15, IL-80, IN-69, IN-70, IN-79, IN-82, IN-86, MD-18, MD-37, MD-46, MA-4, MI-38

preservation, DE-12, IL-39-41, IL-80, IN-52, MD-30

prime farmland, IL-65-68, IL-70, IN-69-71, MD-36, MD-37, MI-33

public comment, CT-4, GA-21, IL-79, IN-81, LA-14, MD-45, MA-4, MI-25, MI-37, MI-38

Q queue, AL-9, GA-10, IL-19, IL-20, IN-25-28, IN-89, KY-13, MD-16, MI-15

R

rail line segment, AL-5, AL-6, AL-10-13, CT-1, CT-3, DE-4, DE-6, DE-7, DE-12, FL-4-7, GA-2, GA-5-7, GA-18, GA-21, IL-2, IL-3, IL-10, IL-12, IL-16, IL-17, IL-22, IL-23, IL-69, IL-71, IL-74, IL-76-78, IN-8, IN-9, IN-11-14, IN-29, IN-30, IN-67, IN-71, IN-72, IN-74-80, IN-88, IN-90, KY-2, KY-4, KY-6, KY-7, KY-10, KY-15-17, KY-19-21, LA-4-6,

LA-12, LA-14, MD-6, MD-8, MD-9, MD-12, MD-27, MD-38, MD-41-44, MA-3, MI-6, MI-8, MI-12, MI-13, MI-25, MI-34, MI-35, MI-37, MS-3, MO-5-7, MO-13, MO-14

rail lines, AL-5, AL-8, FL-5-8, IL-15, IL-21, IL-23, IL-42, IL-47, IL-56, IL-58, IL-65, IL-67, IL-68, IN-2, IN-7, IN-13, IN-24, IN-29, IN-56, IN-88-90, KY-10, LA-5, LA-7, MD-11, MD-17, MD-32, MD-36, MI-11, MI-14, MI-27, MS-4, MO-5, MO-7

rail operations, AL-4, AL-5, DE-4-7, DE-16, FL-3-4, GA-6, IL-10-12, IL-81, IL-82, IN-9-11, IN-82, IN-88, IN-89, KY-4-6, KY-22, LA-4, LA-5, LA-7, MD-6, MD-7, MD-9, MD-15, MD-46, MA-3, MA-4, MI-6, MI-7, MS-3-5

rail yards, AL-1-3, AL-9, AL-11, AL-12, CT-2, DE-1, DE-2, DE-7, DE-10, DE-11, DE-13, GA-4, GA-12, GA-14, GA-16, GA-18, GA-19, GA-21, IL-3, IL-6, IL-28, IL-36, IL-37, IL-47, IL-71, IN-3, IN-6, IN-7, IN-31, IN-49-52, IN-56, IN-72, IN-73, IN-81, IN-86, IN-88, KY-15-17, KY-20, LA-2, LA-9, LA-10, LA-12, LA-13, MD-3, MD-18, MD-19, MD-27, MD-28, MD-32, MD-38, MD-45, MA-2-5, MI-18, MI-22, MI-23, MI-27, MI-34, MI-35, MO-3, MO-10-15

railroads, AL-1, AL-4-6, DE-1, DE-2, DE-4-6, DE-10, FL-1, FL-3-5, GA-1, GA-5, GA-6, GA-16, IL-1, IL-2, IL-7, IL-10, IL-12, IL-15, IL-16, IL-18, IL-24, IL-37, IL-42, IL-82, IN-1, IN-2, IN-10-13, IN-31, IN-50, KY-1, KY-4, KY-6, KY-7, KY-9, KY-10, KY-17, LA-1, LA-4, LA-5, LA-11, MD-1, MD-2, MD-7, MD-8, MD-11, MD-12, MD-15, MD-28, MI-2, MI-6, MI-8, MI-9, MI-11, MI-12, MI-23, MS-1, MS-3, MS-4, MO-1, MO-4-6, MO-12

receptor, DE-10, GA-17, IL-28, IN-50, KY-18, MD-28, MD-29, MI-23, MI-24, MO-12

regional, AL-4, AL-8, AL-10, CT-4, CT-5, DE-4, DE-5, DE-8-10, DE-15, FL-3, GA-5, GA-14, IL-11, IL-17, IL-36, IL-80, IL-84, IN-2, IN-3, IN-10, IN-11, IN-49, IN-82, IN-83, KY-5, KY-17, KY-22, LA-4, LA-10, MD-7, MD-8, MD-19, MD-23, MD-24, MD-27, MD-31, MA-3, MA-4, MI-7, MI-22, MS-3, MO-4, MO-11

remediation, IL-47

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, IL-47

responsive, IN-83

Rhode Island, MA-3

route miles, AL-1-2, IN-1, IN-2, LA-1, LA-2, MD-1, MD-2, MD-14, MA-1, MA-3, MO-1

S

sensitive receptor, DE-10

separated grade crossing, IN-26, IN-28, KY-13

serve, AL-1-2, GA-11, IL-1-3, IL-26, IL-27, IN-3, IN-54, IN-71, IN-87, LA-2, LA-8, MI-1, MI-2, MI-17, MO-2

shared assets areas, MI-2

Shellpot Bridge, DE-2, DE-12

site visits, CT-4, DE-12, DE-17, GA-21, IL-27, IL-28, IL-39, IL-40, IL-79, IL-84, IL-85, IN-52, IN-69-71, IN-81, LA-12, MD-30, MD-45, MA-4, MI-17, MI-25, MI-30, MI-37, MO-9, MO-10

socioeconomics, AL-4, CT-2-4, IL-65, IN-68, IN-69, KY-4, LA-3, MD-36, MA-3, MI-32, MS-2, MO-4

sound, DE-11, GA-17, IL-39, IN-52, KY-18, KY-19, LA-11, MD-29, MD-30, MI-24, MI-25, MO-13

species, IL-48-50, IL-52-61, IL-63, IL-64, IN-57-59, IN-61-65, IN-67, IN-68, MD-32-36, MI-28, MI-29, MI-31, MI-32

State Historic Preservation Office, DE-12, IL-39, IN-52, MD-30

stormwater, IL-51, IL-53, IL-56, IL-58, IN-63, IN-66, MD-34, MI-30

structures, IL-69

sulfur dioxide. See air quality

switch, IL-66

#### T

Tennessee, KY-2, KY-20, LA-7

threatened species, IL-61, MD-35

threshold for environmental analysis, DE-3, DE-13, GA-19, MO-14

Tilton, IL, IL-4, IL-5, IL-17, IL-31, IL-35, IL-36, IL-73, IL-74, IL-77, IL-78, IN-6, IN-10, IN-14, IN-46, IN-49-51, IN-74, IN-75, IN-79, IN-80

Toledo, OH, MI-3, MI-6, MI-13, MI-20, MI-23

trackage rights, CT-3, IL-13, IN-2, IN-3, IN-13, IN-85, IN-87, MD-2-4, MI-2

traffic congestion, CT-5, DE-15, DE-16, IN-82, KY-22, LA-15, MD-46, MI-39

training, IN-11

Transportation Department, IL-19, IL-20, IN-25, IN-28, KY-13

Triple Crown Services, IN-3, MO-2

truck traffic, AL-12, GA-11, GA-19, IL-2, IL-20, IL-25-27, IL-72, IL-75, IL-82, IL-84, IN-28, KY-14, KY-20, LA-9, MD-17, MD-18, MD-38, MI-16-18, MO-9, MO-10, MO-15

#### U

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, IN-63, IN-66

U.S. Coast Guard, IN-30, IN-31

U.S. Geological Survey, IL-50, IL-53, IL-55, IL-58, IL-60, IL-62, IN-60, IN-62, IN-65, MD-34, MI-30

United States Code

16 U.S.C. 470f, DE-12, IL-40, IL-41

#### V

Virginia, IN-87, MD-2, MD-5, MD-14

#### W

Washington, D.C., CT-1, CT-3, MD-2, MD-3, MD-9, MD-14, MD-15, MD-24-26, MD-40-43 Washtenaw County, MI-10, MI-15

water resources, DE-15, IL-47, IL-50, IL-51, IL-53, IL-55-64, IL-80, IN-56, IN-60, IN-62, IN-63, IN-65-68, IN-82, MD-32, MD-34, MD-36, MD-46, MI-27, MI-30, MI-32, MI-39

wayside noise, DE-10, DE-11, GA-16, GA-17, IL-37-39, IN-49, IN-51, IN-52, KY-17-19, LA-10-12, MD-27, MD-29, MD-30, MI-23-25, MO-12, MO-13

West Virginia, MD-2, MD-14
Wetlands, IL-47, IL-51, IL-53, IL-55-64, IN-56, IN-60, IN-62, IN-65-68, MD-32, MD-34, MD-36, MI-27, MI-30, MI-32
Willow Creek, IN, IN-5, IN-12, IN-14, IN-36, IN-40-45

X, Y, Z

#### **DRAFT EIS VOLUME 3B**

A

- abandonment, NJ-27, NJ-29, NY-27, NY-29, OH-43, OH-75, OH-79-82, OH-86-90, OH-105-111, OH-116-118, OH-128-131, PA-52, VA-32
- acquisition, NJ-1-3, NJ-5-25, NJ-27, NJ-33-35, NJ-37-39, NY-1, NY-2, NY-6-27, NY-36-39, NY-42-44, NC-1, NC-2, NC-4-9, OH-1-3, OH-8, OH-10-12, OH-14-19, OH-21-24, OH-26, OH-28-38, OH-40-45, OH-47-50, OH-52-73, OH-75, OH-78, OH-79, OH-82, OH-90, OH-111-115, OH-118, OH-119, OH-124, OH-125, OH-127-129, OH-131-137, OH-139-153, PA-1-3, PA-7-29, PA-31-46, PA-48, PA-50-53, RI-1-4, SC-1-8, TN-1-19, TN-21, TN-22, VA-1-3, VA-6-14, VA-16-32, WV-1-17, DC-1-16, DC-20-22
- air quality, NJ-4, NJ-18, NJ-19, NJ-24, NJ-35, NJ-37, NY-16, NY-18-20, NY-22-24, NY-39-41, OH-32, OH-44, OH-45, OH-48-52, OH-54-56, OH-58-70, OH-118, OH-121, OH-135, OH-136, OH-138, OH-142, OH-148, PA-20, PA-28, PA-29, PA-32, PA-34, PA-36-39, PA-41, PA-42, PA-46, PA-48, TN-2, TN-10, TN-13-16, TN-18, VA-2, VA-16, VA-18-24, VA-26, VA-29, VA-30, WV-9-12, WV-15, DC-2, DC-10-12, DC-16-18
  - attainment area, NY-19, NY-20, OH-47, OH-48, OH-54-57, OH-62-69, PA-30, TN-14, VA-19-21, VA-23, VA-25, WV-11, DC-12
  - carbon monoxide, NJ-18, NY-18, OH-44, OH-138, OH-142, PA-29, TN-13, VA-18, WV-10, DC-11
  - nitrogen oxides, NJ-18, NY-18, OH-44, PA-29, TN-13, VA-18, WV-10, DC-11 nonattainment area, NJ-19-24, NY-19, NY-21-24, NC-3, OH-47, OH-50, OH-58, OH-59, OH-61, PA-30-39, PA-41, TN-14, VA-19, VA-21, VA-24, VA-25, WV-11, DC-12
  - NO<sub>x</sub>, NJ-18-24, NY-18, NY-20-24, OH-44-52, OH-54-70, OH-148, PA-29, PA-31-42, TN-13-16, VA-18, VA-20-26, WV-10-12, DC-11-13
  - sulfur dioxide, NJ-18, NY-18, OH-44, OH-138, PA-29, TN-13, VA-18, WV-10, DC-

Alabama, TN-2, DC-2

American Public Transit Association, DC-21

- Amtrak, NJ-2-4, NJ-7, NJ-10, NJ-11, NY-2, NY-8, NY-14, NY-15, NY-42, NC-2, NC-4, NC-7, OH-2, OH-17, OH-31, OH-32, OH-142, OH-149, PA-2, PA-3, PA-6, PA-12, PA-19, PA-20, RI-1, RI-3, SC-2, SC-3, SC-7, TN-2, TN-10, VA-2, VA-8, VA-14, VA-15, WV-6, WV-8, DC-2, DC-6, DC-9
- application, NJ-4, NJ-11, OH-75, OH-128, OH-129, OH-132, OH-133, OH-135, OH-152, PA-6, PA-53-55, TN-3, VA-5
- areas of concern, NJ-39, NC-9, OH-32, OH-129, PA-51, RI-4, SC-8, TN-21, VA-31, WV-16, DC-21
- Ashtabula, OH, NY-7, NY-13, NY-20, NY-21, OH-4, OH-6, OH-7, OH-30, OH-49, OH-51, OH-53, OH-59, OH-67, PA-5, PA-10, PA-18, PA-36, PA-43, PA-44

Association of American Railroads, NJ-8, NY-12, NY-38, NC-5, OH-28, OH-147, OH-150, PA-16, SC-5, TN-7, VA-12, DC-7

attainment area. See air quality

average daily traffic, NJ-13, NY-16, OH-32, OH-137, OH-146, PA-20, PA-24, PA-53, TN-10, VA-16, WV-9

B

ballast, NJ-32, NY-35, OH-13

Bellevue, OH, OH-6-9, OH-30, OH-50, OH-55, OH-58, OH-65, OH-66

Berea, OH, OH-4, OH-5, OH-30, OH-51, OH-53, OH-58, OH-60, OH-61

Best Management Practices, NJ-31, NJ-33, NY-32, NY-34, NY-36, OH-93-95, OH-97-99, OH-101-103, OH-105, OH-108, OH-111

Board, NJ-2-4, NJ-25, NJ-39, NY-2, NY-3, NY-5, NY-20, NY-22, NY-25, NY-41, NC-2, NC-3, OH-2, OH-4, OH-8-10, OH-13, OH-48, OH-49, OH-54-56, OH-58-65, OH-68-70, OH-72, OH-75, OH-78, OH-122, OH-127, OH-130, OH-132, OH-134, OH-135, OH-139-142, OH-150, OH-152, OH-153, PA-2, PA-4, PA-8, PA-9, PA-23, PA-32, PA-34, PA-36, PA-37, PA-39, PA-42-44, PA-49, PA-53-55, RI-1-4, VA-2, VA-4, VA-20, VA-22, VA-23, VA-26, VA-27, WV-2, WV-3, WV-12, WV-13, DC-2, DC-3, DC-14, DC-18

bridges, NJ-17, OH-43, OH-44, OH-77, OH-80, PA-28, TN-12, VA-17, VA-18, DC-10

C

carbon monoxide. See air quality

CFR, NJ-25, NY-24, OH-17, OH-71, PA-11, PA-42, TN-16, VA-27

Cincinnati, OH, OH-4, OH-6

Class I Railroad, NJ-1, OH-1

Clean Water Act, NJ-31, NY-32, NY-34, OH-93, OH-95, OH-98, OH-100, OH-106, OH-109 Cleveland, Ohio, OH-11, OH-83, OH-132, DC-2

coal, OH-1, OH-79, OH-80, PA-1, PA-2, PA-31, PA-42, VA-2, VA-3, WV-1-3

coastal zone, NJ-33, NJ-34, NY-36, OH-111-117, OH-130

Coastal Zone Management, NJ-33, NJ-34, NY-36, OH-111

Code of Federal Regulations:

49 CFR 1105, NJ-25, NY-24, OH-71, PA-43, TN-16, VA-27 49 CFR 1105.7, NJ-25, NY-24, OH-71, PA-43, TN-16, VA-27

Connecticut, NY-14, NY-15, NY-42

connections, NJ-2-4, NJ-6, NJ-12, NJ-17, NJ-29, NJ-31, NJ-32, NJ-34, NJ-35, NY-3, NY-5, NY-39, NC-2, OH-3, OH-13, OH-38, OH-39, OH-43, OH-118, OH-127, OH-140, PA-3, PA-28, PA-46, PA-54, TN-3, TN-12, VA-3, VA-17, VA-29, WV-2, WV-3, WV-15, DC-3, DC-10, DC-16

Conrail, NJ-1-8, NJ-11-14, NJ-16, NJ-17, NJ-25, NJ-27, NJ-33-35, NJ-37, NJ-38, NY-1-8, NY-10-12, NY-14-18, NY-25-27, NY-31, NY-32, NY-34-39, NY-42, NC-1, NC-2, NC-4, NC-5, OH-1-8, OH-10-14, OH-16, OH-17, OH-19, OH-21-24, OH-26, OH-29, OH-31, OH-32, OH-37-40, OH-42-44, OH-52, OH-53, OH-

55, OH-71, OH-76, OH-78, OH-82, OH-83, OH-87, OH-95-98, OH-101, OH-102, OH-104, OH-111-115, OH-118, OH-132, OH-134, OH-135, OH-139-144, OH-149, PA-1-9, PA-11-17, PA-19, PA-20, PA-22-28, PA-43, PA-45, PA-46, PA-52-55, RI-1-3, SC-1-3, SC-5, TN-1-8, TN-10-12, TN-17-19, TN-21, VA-1-4, VA-6, VA-7, VA-9-13, VA-15-18, VA-27, VA-29, VA-30, WV-1-10, WV-13, WV-15, WV-16, DC-1-6, DC-8-10, DC-14-16, DC-18

construction, NJ-3, NJ-6, NJ-11-14, NJ-25, NJ-27-29, NJ-31-35, NJ-38, NY-3, NY-5, NY-6, NY-17, NY-18, NY-24, NY-26-29, NY-31-39, NY-42, OH-10-13, OH-18, OH-38-40, OH-55, OH-70, OH-75-85, OH-87-89, OH-92-105, OH-108, OH-111-115, OH-117, OH-118, OH-127, OH-138-140, OH-147, OH-151, PA-42, PA-46, PA-55, TN-16, TN-18, VA-26, VA-29, WV-12, WV-15, DC-13, DC-15, DC-16

contamination, OH-88

control point, NY-6

Council on Environmental Quality, OH-148

criteria of significance, NY-8, NY-16, OH-32, PA-11, PA-21, TN-6, TN-10, VA-7, VA-16, WV-5, WV-9, DC-5

critical habitat, NJ-33, NY-33, NY-36, OH-99, OH-102, OH-108, OH-111

- crossing, NJ-6, NJ-12, NJ-14, NJ-26, NJ-39, NY-11, NY-16, NY-17, NY-25, NC-2, OH10-12, OH-20-22, OH-24-26, OH-32-43, OH-52, OH-71, OH-83, OH-104,
  OH-105, OH-112, OH-117, OH-118, OH-125, OH-126, OH-130, OH-131,
  OH-134-137, OH-139-141, OH-145, OH-146, OH-150, OH-152-154, PA-16,
  PA-20-24, PA-45, PA-49, PA-52-55, TN-10, TN-11, TN-17, TN-21, VA10-12, VA-16, VA-17, VA-28, VA-31, VA-32, WV-9, WV-10, WV-14, DC-4, DC-14, DC-15, DC-18, DC-21
- CSX, NJ-2, NJ-3, NJ-5, NJ-6, NJ-11-16, NJ-18, NJ-20-25, NJ-27-29, NJ-31, NJ-33, NJ-34, NY-2, NY-5, NY-13-15, NY-19-21, NY-23, NC-1-3, NC-6, NC-7, OH-1-6, OH-8, OH-9, OH-11, OH-12, OH-16, OH-26, OH-31, OH-42, OH-44, OH-45, OH-48-51, OH-53-64, OH-66-71, OH-75-80, OH-82-84, OH-92-94, OH-100, OH-102, OH-113, OH-114, OH-123, OH-126, OH-139-144, OH-146-153, PA-1-7, PA-9, PA-15, PA-16, PA-18, PA-19, PA-23, PA-26, PA-29, PA-31-33, PA-36-44, PA-49, PA-53-55, RI-1-3, SC-1, SC-2, SC-6, SC-7, TN-1-4, TN-9, TN-10, TN-12, TN-13, TN-15-17, VA-1-5, VA-14, VA-15, VA-17, VA-18, VA-21, VA-22, VA-24, VA-25, VA-27, VA-30, WV-1-3, WV-8-10, WV-12, V/V-13, DC-1-3, DC-9-11, DC-13, DC-14, DC-18-21

cultural resources, NJ-27, NJ-35, NY-26, NY-27, NY-39, NY-41, NC-3, OH-75, OH-78, OH-118, OH-121, OH-131, PA-9, PA-46, PA-48, PA-52, TN-4, TN-18, VA-3, VA-29, WV-4, WV-15, DC-4, DC-16, DC-18

cumulative effects, NJ-35, NJ-38, NY-39, NY-42, NY-43, NC-8, OH-119, OH-127-129, PA-46, PA-50, PA-51, RI-4, SC-7, SC-8, TN-19, TN-21, VA-29, VA-31, WV-15-16, DC-20, DC-21

D

dBA, NJ-25, NJ-27, NY-24-26, OH-71, OH-73-75, OH-146, OH-150, PA-43-45, TN-16-18, VA-2!, VA-28, WV-13, WV-14, DC-13-15

decision, OH-13, OH-78, OH-124, OH-127, PA-23

Delaware, NJ-1, OH-5, OH-6, OH-21, OH-26, OH-45, OH-54, OH-55, OH-106, PA-4, PA-6, PA-7, PA-19, PA-20, PA-22, PA-26, PA-27, PA-30, PA-35

derailment, OH-83

dispatching, NJ-11, NY-14, PA-19, PA-20, RI-3, VA-14

District of Columbia, VA-15, DC-19-21

E

emission, NJ-18, NY-18, NY-19, NY-23, OH-44, OH-45, PA-28, PA-29, PA-34, TN-13, VA-18, VA-19, VA-21, WV-10, WV-11, DC-10-12

endangered species, NJ-29, NJ-30, NJ-32, NJ-33, NY-29, NY-33, NY-35, NY-36, OH-90, OH-94, OH-96, OH-97, OH-99, OH-101, OH-102, OH-104, OH-105, OH-107, OH-110, OH-111

energy, NJ-6, NJ-39, NY-6, NC-3, OH-14, PA-9, TN-4, VA-3-4, DC-21

engineering, OH-78, OH-81

Environmental analysis thresholds, NJ-17, NJ-25, NJ-39, NY-16, NY-24, NY-25, NY-44, NC-9, OH-32, OH-44, OH-71, OH-131, OH-146, PA-20, PA-28, PA-42, PA-43, PA-52, RI-4, SC-8, TN-10, TN-12, TN-16, TN-17, TN-22, VA-16, VA-17, VA-27, VA-32, WV-9, WV-13, WV-16, DC-10, DC-13, DC-14, DC-22

environmental assessments, OH-3

environmental benefits, DC-2

Environmental Justice, NJ-35-37, NY-39-41, NC-3, OH-118-127, OH-130, OH-131, OH-138, OH-142, OH-148, OH-151, PA-45-47, PA-49, PA-50, PA-52, RI-2, SC-3, TN-18-21, VA-29, VA-30, WV-4, WV-15, WV-16, DC-15-21

environmental report, OH-116

Erie County, OH, OH-11, OH-78, OH-85, OH-102, OH-112

Erie, Pennsylvania, NY-5, NY-6, OH-76, PA-2, PA-52

erosion, NJ-31, NY-32, NY-34, OH-93, OH-95, OH-98, OH-100, OH-103, OH-106, OH-109, OH-110

existing condition, NY-34, OH-97, OH-98, VA-31

Existing Conditions, NJ-31-33, NY-31-33, NY-35, NY-36, OH-92-102, OH-104-111, OH-133, OH-141

F

Federal Emergency Management Agency, NJ-31, NY-32, NY-34, OH-93, OH-95, OH-98, OH-100, OH-103, OH-106, OH-108

Federal Raiiroad Administration, NJ-7, NJ-14, NJ-26, NY-7, NC-4, OH-16, OH-17, OH-39, OH-40, OH-71, OH-134, OH-141, PA-10, PA-12, PA-24, PA-45, SC-3, TN-5, TN-17, VA-6, VA-15, WV-4, WV-6, WV-14, DC-4, DC-6

Federal Transit Administration, OH-147

fill, NJ-28, NY-32, NY-34, OH-93, OH-95, OH-98, OH-100, OH-103, OH-106, OH-109 Flood Insurance Rate Maps, NJ-31, NY-32, NY-34, OH-93, OH-95, OH-98, OH-100, OH-103, OH-106, OH-108

Florida, NC-2, NC-4, NC-7, DC-2

Fostoria, Ohio, OH-2

freight rail operations, NJ-6, NJ-8, NY-7, NY-8, OH-14-16, PA-9-11, TN-4, VA-6, VA-7, WV-4, WV-5, DC-4, DC-5, DC-7

Funding, NJ-11, NY-15, OH-32, OH-138, OH-140, PA-20, PA-23, PA-55, TN-10, VA-15

G

Geographic Information System, PA-6 Georgia, TN-2, DC-2

H

habitat, NJ-32, NJ-33, NY-29, NY-33, NY-35, NY-36, OH-93, OH-94, OH-96-99, OH-101, OH-102, OH-104, OH-105, OH-107, OH-108, OH-110, OH-111

Hamilton County, OH-34

Harrisburg, PA, PA-5, PA-8, PA-9, PA-34, PA-35, PA-38, PA-50, VA-5, VA-6, VA-22, VA-26-28, WV-3, WV-5, WV-12-14

Hazardous Materials, NJ-8-10, NJ-27, NJ-28, NJ-35, NJ-39, NY-12, NY-14, NY-27, NY-28, NY-38, NY-39, NY-41, NC-5, NC-6, OH-28, OH-29, OH-31, OH-82-85, OH-87, OH-88, OH-118, OH-121-125, OH-130-132, OH-134, OH-136, OH-141, OH-147, OH-150, PA-9, PA-16-18, PA-46, PA-48, PA-52, RI-2-7, TN-4, TN-7-9, TN-19, VA-3, VA-12, VA-13, VA-29, VA-32, WV-4, WV-15, DC-4, DC-7, DC-8, DC-16, DC-18, DC-19

hazardous materials transport, NJ-35, NY-39, OH-118, OH-123, OH-125, OH-134, OH-141, OH-147, OH-150, PA-46, TN-19, VA-29, WV-15, DC-16

hazardous waste, NJ-27, NJ-28, NJ-35, NY-27, NY-28, NY-38, NY-39, NC-3, OH-82-88, OH-118, PA-46, TN-19, VA-29, WV-15, DC-16

hazardous waste sites, NJ-27, NJ-28, NJ-35, NY-27, NY-28, NY-39, NC-3, OH-82-88, OH-118, PA-46, TN-19, VA-29, WV-15, DC-16

historic, NJ-27, NY-26, NY-27, OH-75-82, OH-131, PA-54

Historic American Building Survey, OH-78, OH-81

Historic American Engineering Record, OH-78, OH-81

historic property, OH-79, OH-80, OH-82

1

Illinois, TN-1-2

Indiana, NJ-30, NY-30, OH-76, OH-91, OH-105, OH-131, TN-2, TN-10, DC-2

information technology, PA-3

interlocking, NJ-3, NY-15, OH-77, OH-144, PA-3

intermodal facility, NJ-5, NJ-6, NJ-13-17, NJ-20, NJ-22, NJ-24, NJ-34, NJ-35, NY-2, NY-21, NY-22, NY-39, NC-2, OH-3, OH-8, OH-9, OH-11, OH-13, OH-41, OH-

42, OH-50, OH-51, OH-53, OH-55, OH-62, OH-76, OH-82, OH-87, OH-92-94, OH-113, OH-118, OH-119, OH-127, PA-3, PA-7, PA-8, PA-23-28, PA-31, PA-33, PA-35, PA-40, PA-44, PA-46, PA-51, SC-2, TN-3, TN-11-13, TN-15, TN-17, TN-18, TN-20, TN-21, VA-29, WV-15, DC-16 intermodal terminals. NJ-2-2

# J. K

Kentucky, OH-131

key routes, NJ-8-10, NY-12, NY-13, NC-5, NC-6, OH-28, OH-29, OH-31, OH-147, PA-17, PA-18, SC-5-9, VA-13, DC-8

L

land use, NJ-33-35, NJ-39, NY-35-39, NY-41, NC-3, OH-93, OH-98, OH-101, OH-111-118, OH-121, OH-130, PA-9, PA-46, PA-48, PA-52, RI-2-4, TN-19, VA-3, VA-29, WV-4, WV-15, DC-4, DC-16, DC-18

Ldn, NJ-25, NJ-27, NY-24-26, OH-71, OH-73-75, OH-146, OH-150, PA-43-45, TN-16-18, VA-27, VA-28, WV-13, WV-14, DC-13-15

lead, NJ-18, NJ-34, NY-18, OH-12, OH-44, OH-83, OH-88, PA-29, TN-13, VA-18, WV-10, DC-11

legal, OH-127

locomotive, NJ-18, NJ-25, NJ-26, NY-18, NY-24, NY-26, OH-44, OH-70, OH-74, OH-76, OH-77, OH-142, PA-3, PA-28, PA-42, PA-45, TN-13, TN-16, VA-18, VA-27, VA-28, WV-10, WV-13, WV-14, DC-10, DC-11, DC-13, DC-15

Louisiana, NC-2, NC-7, OH-87, DC-2 Low-Income Populations, OH-151

#### M

main line, NJ-2, NY-2, NY-7, OH-11, OH-16, OH-133, OH-135, OH-136, OH-139, OH-142-144, PA-3, PA-11, PA-52-55, TN-5, VA-7, WV-2, WV-5, DC-2, DC-5

maintenance, NJ-19, NY-19, OH-47, OH-48, OH-50, OH-54-59, OH-61-69, OH-77, OH-107, OH-109, OH-113, OH-138, OH-142, OH-150, PA-19, PA-30, TN-14, VA-15, VA-19-23, VA-25, WV-11, DC-12

major key route, OH-30, PA-18, SC-6, TN-9, VA-14, DC-9

management, NJ-31, NJ-33, NJ-34, NY-32, NY-34, NY-36, OH-93-95, OH-97-103, OH-105, OH-106, OH-108, OH-111, OH-112, OH-114, SC-8

management plans, NJ-33, NY-36, OH-111

Maryland, PA-3, PA-49, VA-15, WV-2, WV-3, WV-9, DC-1-3, DC-6, DC-7, DC-9, DC-18, DC-20

Massachusetts, RI-1, RI-3

methodology, NJ-18, NJ-26, NJ-35, NY-18, NY-39, OH-44, OH-72, OH-73, OH-75, OH-118, PA-29, PA-43-45, TN-13, TN-17-18, VA-27, VA-29, WV-10, WV-13, WV-15, DC-11, DC-14, DC-15

Michigan, OH-76, OH-78, OH-79

minority populations, PA-49

Mississippi, VA-2

mitigation, NJ-1, NJ-7-9, NJ-12, NJ-13, NJ-18, NJ-19, NJ-24, NJ-26-29, NJ-31, NJ-33-35, NJ-37-39, NY-1, NY-8, NY-9, NY-11-13, NY-15-18, NY-20, NY-24-29, NY-31, NY-34, NY-36-41, NY-43, NY-44, NC-1, NC-4, NC-6, NC-8, NC-9, OH-1, OH-16-19, OH-26, OH-29, OH-31, OH-32, OH-37, OH-38, OH-40-42, OH-44, OH-62, OH-64, OH-66, OH-67, OH-69-71, OH-74, OH-75, OH-77-85, OH-87-89, OH-92, OH-94, OH-97, OH-99, OH-102, OH-105, OH-108, OH-110-121, OH-123, OH-124, OH-127, OH-129, OH-131, OH-133, OH-137-141, OH-146, OH-149, OH-150, OH-153, OH-154, PA-1, PA-11-13, PA-15-18, PA-20-23, PA-29, PA-42, PA-45, PA-46, PA-48, PA-50-52, PA-

54, PA-55, RI-1, RI-3, RI-4, SC-1, SC-3-8, TN-1, TN-6-11, TN-13, TN-15, TN-17-19, TN-21, TN-22, VA-1, VA-7, VA-8, VA-12-18, VA-20, VA-26, VA-28-32, WV-1, WV-5-10, WV-12-16, DC-1, DC-5, DC-6, DC-8-12, DC-

14-17, DC-19-21

movable bridges, NJ-17, OH-43, OH-44, PA-28, TN-12, VA-17, VA-18, DC-10

N

National Ambient Air Quality Standards, NJ-18, NY-18, OH-45, PA-29, TN-13, VA-18, WV-10, DC-11

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, NJ-31, NY-32, NY-34, OH-95, OH-98, OH-100, OH-103

National Register of Historic Places, NY-26, OH-77, OH-80

National Wetlands Inventory, NJ-31

Native American, NJ-34, NY-36, NY-38, OH-111

New Jersey, NJ-1-19, NJ-25, NJ-27-30, NJ-32-39, NY-2, NY-14, NY-15, OH-11, PA-3, PA-19, PA-20

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, NJ-2-6

Summary of Analysis, NJ-6

Safety

Passenger Rail Operations, NJ-7-8

Rail Transport of Hazardous Materials, NJ-8-10

Transportation

Passenger Rail Service, NJ-10-12

Roadway Effects From Rail Facility Modifications, NJ-12-16

Navigation, NJ-17

Air Quality, NJ-18-24

Noise, NJ-25-27

Cultural Resources, NJ-27

Hazardous Materials and Waste Sites, NJ-27-29

Natural Resources, NJ-29-33

Land Use/Socioeconomics, NJ-33-34

Environmental Justice, NJ-35-37

Cumulative Effects, NJ-38

Areas of Concern, NJ-39

New York, NJ-1, NJ-2, NJ-5, NJ-6, NJ-11, NJ-12, NJ-14, NY-1-8, NY-10-20, NY-24-31, NY-33, NY-35-43, NC-2, NC-7, OH-3, OH-11, OH-76-80, OH-113, OH-132, OH-134, OH-135, OH-144, PA-2, PA-52, DC-2, DC-3

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, NY-2-6

Summary of Analysis, NY-6

Safety:

Freight Rail Operations, NY-7-8
Passenger Rail Operations, NY-8-10
Highway/Rail At-Grade Crossings, NY-10-11
Rail Transport of Hazardous Materials, NY-12-14

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, NY-14-16 Highway/Rail At-Grade Roadway Crossing Delay, NY-16-17 Roadway Effects From Rail Facility Modifications, NY-17-18

Air Quality, NY-18-24

Noise, NY-24-26

Cultural Resources, NY-26-27

Hazardous Materials and Waste Sites, NY-27-29

Natural Resources, NY-29-36

Land Use/Socioeconomics, NY-36-38

Environmental Justice, NY-39-41

Cumulative Effects, NY-42-43

Areas of Concern, NY-43-44

nitrogen oxides. See air quality

noise, NJ-25-27, NJ-35, NJ-37, NJ-39, NY-24-26, NY-39-41, NC-2, NC-3, OH-70-75, OH-110, OH-118, OH-121-126, OH-130-132, OH-135, OH-137, OH-138, OH-140, OH-141, OH-146-150, PA-42-46, PA-48, PA-50, PA-55, SC-2, TN-16-18, VA-26-30, VA-32, WV-12-15, DC-13-18, DC-20

nonattainment area. See air quality

Norfolk Southern, OH-80, OH-95, OH-103, OH-140

North Carolina, NC-1-9, DC-2

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, NC-2

Summary of Analysis, NC-2-3

Safety:

Passenger Rail Operations, NC-3-4
Rail Transport of Hazardous Materials, NC-5-7

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, NC-7-8

Cumulative Effects, NC-8

Areas of Concern, NC-9

Northeast Corridor, NJ-2-4, NJ-10, NJ-11, NY-2, NY-14, NY-15, PA-2, PA-3, PA-6, PA-19, PA-20, RI-1, VA-5, DC-2, DC-3, DC-6, DC-9
NO<sub>x</sub>. See air quality

0

Oak Harbor, CII, OH-6, OH-7, OH-30, OH-55, OH-58, OH-61, OH-65, OH-69
Ohio, OH-1-4, OH-8-14, OH-17, OH-19, OH-26, OH-28, OH-29, OH-31, OH-32, OH-34, OH-37, OH-38, OH-41-45, OH-47, OH-70, OH-71, OH-73-80, OH-82, OH-83, OH-86, OH-87, OH-89-92, OH-96, OH-104, OH-105, OH-111, OH-112, OH-114, OH-116-122, OH-124-127, OH-129-132, OH-134, OH-137, OH-138, OH-140, OH-143, OH-145, OH-148-150, OH-152, OH-154, PA-52, WV-2, WV-3, WV-10, DC-2

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, OH-2-14 Summary of Analysis, OH-14

Safety:

Freight Rail Operations, OH-14-17
Passenger Rail Operations, OH-17-19
Highway/Rail At-Grade Crossings, OH-19-28
Rail Transport of Hazardous Materials, OH-28-31

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, OH-31-32 Roadway Crossing Delay, OH-32-38 Roadway Effects From Rail Facility Modifications, OH-38-43 Navigation, OH-43-44

Air Quality, OH-44-70

Noise, OH-70-75

Cultural Resources, OH-75-82

Hazardous Materials and Waste Sites, OH-82-89

Natural Resources, OH-89-111

Land Use/Socioeconomics, OH-111-118

Environmental Justice, OH-118-127

Cumulative Effects, OH-127-129

Areas of Concern, OH-129-153

operating plans, NJ-2, NJ-12, NY-2, NY-15, OH-129, PA-2, PA-20, RI-1, RI-3, VA-2, VA-15, WV-2, WV-9, DC-2, DC-10

Ottawa County, OH-10, OH-23, OH-36, OH-78, OH-99, OH-115

outreach, NJ-35, NY-39-42, NC-8, OH-118, OH-119, OH-121, OH-124, OH-125, OH-127, OH-128, OH-138, OH-141, OH-149, OH-151, OH-152, PA-46, PA-48, PA-50, PA-51, RI-4, SC-7, TN-19, TN-21, VA-29, VA-31, WV-15-17, DC-19, DC-20

ozone, NJ-18, NJ-19, NY-18, NY-20, NY-24, OH-45, OH-49, OH-57, OH-62, OH-64-66, OH-138, OH-142, OH-148, PA-29, TN-13, VA-18, VA-20, VA-25, WV-10, DC-11

particulate matter, NJ-18, NY-18, OH-44, OH-50, OH-138, OH-142, PA-29, TN-13, TN-14, VA-18, WV-10, DC-11

passenger rail operations, NJ-7-9, NC-3, NC-5, OH-17, PA-11, PA-13, RI-2, VA-7, VA-9, WV-5, WV-7, DC-5

passenger rail service, NJ-2, NJ-10, NY-14, NC-2, NC-7, NC-8, OH-31, OH-149, PA-18-20, RI-2, RI-3, SC-7, TN-9, TN-10, VA-14, VA-15, WV-8-10

Pennsylvania, NJ-1-2, NY-5, NY-6, OH-76, OH-132, OH-143, PA-1-4, PA-8-25, PA-27-29, PA-31, PA-42-52, TN-2-3

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, PA-2-9

Summary of Analysis, PA-9

Safety:

Freight Rail Operations, PA-9-11 Passenger Rail Operations, PA-11-13

Highway/Rail At-Grade Crossings, PA-13-16

Rail Transport of Hazardous Materials, PA-16-19

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, PA-19-21 Roadway Crossing Delay, PA-21-24

Roadway Effects From Rail Facility Modifications, PA-24-28

Navigation, PA-29

Air Quality, PA-29-43

Noise, PA-43-46

Environmental Justice, PA-46-51

Cumulative Effects, PA-51-52

Areas of Concern, PA-52-56

permit, NJ-2, NJ-11, NJ-18, NJ-31, NY-5, NY-14, NY-19, NY-32, NY-34, OH-35, OH-45, OH-88, OH-93, OH-95, OH-98, OH-106, OH-109, OH-110, OH-139, OH-140, PA-19, PA-29, PA-55, RI-3, TN-13, VA-3, VA-14, VA-18, WV-9, WV-11, DC-9, DC-11

planning, NJ-39, NY-37, NY-38, NC-8, OH-31, OH-42, OH-112, OH-114, OH-115, OH-128-131, PA-7, PA-8, PA-19, PA-25-28, PA-50-52, TN-10, TN-21, VA-32

preservation, NY-26, OH-75, OH-77, OH-131

primary application, OH-128

prime farmland, NJ-33, NJ-34, NY-36-38, OH-111-117

public comment, NJ-38, NY-42, OH-75, OH-128, OH-141, PA-50, VA-31, DC-20, DC-21

Q queue, NY-16, OH-33-37, PA-21, PA-22, TN-11, VA-16, VA-17, WV-10

R

rail line segment, NJ-7-9, NJ-11, NJ-12, NJ-17, NJ-35, NJ-37, NJ-38, NY-7, NY-9, NY-10, NY-12, NY-15, NY-36, NY-38-42, NC-2, NC-4-6, OH-14, OH-18, OH-29,

OH-43, OH-44, OH-107, OH-109, OH-116, OH-118, OH-122-128, OH-131, OH-135, OH-137-140, OH-143, OH-145-149, OH-152, OH-153, PA-10-13, PA-17, PA-28, PA-46, PA-48-50, PA-53, PA-54, SC-4-5, TN-8, TN-12, TN-18, TN-20, VA-6, VA-8, VA-13, VA-15, VA-17, VA-27, VA-29-31, WV-4, WV-6, WV-9, WV-15, DC-4, DC-6-10, DC-15, DC-16, DC-18-20

rail lines, NJ-8, NJ-12, NJ-29, NY-2, NY-6, NY-12, NY-17, NY-18, NY-26, NY-27, NY-29, NY-38, NC-5, NC-7, OH-3, OH-28, OH-76, OH-79-81, OH-89, OH-98, OH-104, OH-112-114, OH-128, OH-132, OH-133, OH-140, OH-141, OH-146, OH-149, PA-17, SC-2, SC-5, TN-7, VA-2, VA-13, DC-2, DC-7

rail operations, NJ-6-8, NJ-11, NY-7-9, NY-15, NC-3, NC-5, OH-14-17, OH-131, OH-133, OH-136, OH-141, OH-144, OH-152, PA-9-11, PA-13, PA-20, PA-53, PA-55, SC-3, VA-6, VA-7, VA-9, WV-4, WV-5, WV-7, DC-4, DC-5, DC-7, DC-21

rail yards, NJ-3, NJ-18, NJ-25, NJ-27, NJ-29, NJ-35, NJ-36, NY-3, NY-5, NY-18, NY-24, NY-26, NY-29, NY-39, NY-40, OH-9, OH-10, OH-44, OH-45, OH-70, OH-71, OH-73-75, OH-89, OH-118, OH-119, OH-127, PA-3, PA-4, PA-9, PA-28, PA-29, PA-42, PA-43, PA-45, PA-46, PA-50, RI-1-4, TN-13, TN-16, TN-18, TN-19, VA-3, VA-18, VA-26, VA-27, VA-29, VA-30, WV-3, WV-10, WV-12, WV-13, WV-15, WV-16, DC-1, DC-3, DC-11, DC-13, DC-15, DC-16

railroads, NJ-1, NJ-7, NJ-8, NJ-11, NJ-17, NJ-25, NY-1, NY-7, NY-8, NY-12, NY-24, NY-27, NY-38, NC-1, NC-2, NC-4, NC-5, OH-1, OH-16, OH-17, OH-19, OH-28, OH-29, OH-44, OH-71, OH-76, OH-79, OH-80, OH-98, OH-132, OH-133, OH-135, OH-147, OH-150, PA-1, PA-2, PA-10, PA-12, PA-13, PA-16, PA-17, PA-28, PA-43, SC-1-5, TN 1, TN-5, TN-7, TN-8, TN-12, TN-16, VA-1, VA-2, VA-6, VA-8, VA-12, VA-13, VA-18, VA-27, WV-1, WV-2, WV-4, WV-6, WV-7, WV-13, DC-1, DC-4, DC-6-8, DC-10, DC-13

receptor, NJ-26, OH-72, OH-73, PA-43, PA-44, TN-17, VA-27, WV-13, DC-14 regional, NJ-7, NJ-19, NJ-24, NJ-39, NY-7, NY-8, NY-20, NY-22-24, NC-4, OH-2, OH-16, OH-17, OH-31, OH-32, OH-42, OH-48, OH-51, OH-58, OH-59, OH-62, OH-64, OH-66-70, OH-80, OH-115, OH-116, OH-128, OH-130, OH-131, OH-133, OH-134, OH-138, OH-142, OH-148, OH-149, PA-11, PA-12, PA-25-29, PA-36-38, PA-41, PA-42, SC-3, TN-5, TN-15, VA-7, VA-20, VA-25, VA-26, WV-5, WV-6, WV-9, WV-12, DC-5, DC-6, DC-11, DC-12

remediation, OH-88 responsive, OH-128, OH-129, OH-132

Rhode Island, RI-1-4

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, RI-1-2 Summary of Analysis, RI-2

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, RI-2-3

Cumulative Effects, RI-4

Areas of Concern, RI-4

Rocky River, OH-32, OH-131, OH-133, OH-134, OH-136, OH-139, OH-143

route miles, NJ-1, NJ-3, NJ-11, OH-1, OH-2, PA-1-3, PA-19, VA-1, VA-2, VA-15, WV-1, WV-2, DC-1

S

serve, NJ-1, NJ-2, NY-1, NY-26, NC-2, OH-1, OH-8, OH-41, OH-42, OH-116, OH-118, OH-127, OH-151, PA-1, PA-7, PA-23, PA-26, VA-1-2, DC-9, DC-19

site visits, NJ-27, NJ-38, NY-26, NY-42, OH-75, OH-128, OH-129, OH-134, OH-136, OH-137, OH-145, OH-151, PA-50, VA-31, DC-20

socioeconomics, NJ-33, NY-36, NC-3, OH-111, PA-9, RI-2-4, VA-3-4

sound, NJ-26, NY-25, NY-26, NY-42, OH-71, OH-74, OH-75, OH-113, OH-137, OH-149, PA-45, TN-17, TN-18, VA-28, DC-15

South Carolina, SC-1-4, SC-6-8

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, SC-2

Summary of Analysis, SC-2-3

Safety:

Passenger Rail Operations, SC-3-4

Rail Transport of Hazardous Materials, SC-4-7

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, SC-7

Cumulative Effects, SC-7-8

Areas of Concern, SC-8

species, NJ-29, NJ-30, NJ-32, NJ-33, NY-29-36, OH-90-94, OH-96-99, OH-101-111 State Historic Preservation Office, NY-26 stormwater, NJ-31, NY-32, NY-34, OH-93, OH-95, OH-98, OH-100, OH-103 structures, OH-12, OH-77, OH-78, OH-114, OH-117, OH-141

sulfur dioxide. See air quality

T

Tennessee, TN-1-14, TN-16-21

Tennessee

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, TN-2-4

Summary of Analysis, TN-4

Safety:

Freight Rail Operations, TN-4-6

Highway/Rail At-Grade Crossings, TN-6-7

Rail Transport of Hazardous Materials, TN-7-9

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, TN-9-10

Roadway Crossing Delay, TN-10-11

Roadway Effects From Rail Facility Modifications, TN-i1-12

Navigation, TN-12

Air Quality, TN-13-16

Noise, TN-16-18

Environmental Justice, TN-18-21

Cumulative Effects, TN-21

Areas of Concern, TN-21-22

threatened species, NY-33, NY-35

Toledo Pivot Bridge, OH-13, OH-14, OH-43, OH-44, OH-80-82, OH-88, OH-90, OH-108-111, OH-117

Toledo, OH, OH-4, OH-15, OH-30, OH-57, OH-61, OH-62, OH-66, OH-69

trackage rights, NY-15, OH-128, OH-142, PA-19, DC-2, DC-3

traffic congestion, NJ-39, OH-130, OH-131, PA-52, VA-32, DC-2, DC-21

traffic volume, NJ-16

training, OH-17, PA-11

Transportation Department, OH-33

Triple Crown Services, NJ-2, PA-3, 1-7

truck traffic, NJ-12-16, NJ-36, OH-38, OH-41, OH-43, OH-119, PA-24-27, PA-50, TN-12, TN-20

U

U.S. Coast Guard, NJ-17, OH-13, OH-44, OH-117, PA-28, TN-12, VA-18, DC-10

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, NY-33, NY-36, OH-90-92, OH-94, OH-96, OH-97, OH-99, OH-102, OH-104, OH-105, OH-108, OH-111

U.S. Geological Survey, NJ-31, NY-31, NY-34, OH-92, OH-95, OH-97, OH-100, OH-102, OH-106, OH-108

V

Virginia, NC-4, OH-92, VA-1-4, VA-6-10, VA-12-20, VA-25-32, WV-1-16, DC-1-3, DC-5, DC-7, DC-9, DC-13, DC-14, DC-17, DC-21

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, VA-2-3

Summary of Analysis, VA-3-6

Safety:

Freight Rail Operations, VA-6-7

Passenger Rail Operations, VA-7-9

Highway/Rail At-Grade Crossings, VA-9-12

Rail Transport of Hazardous Materials, VA-12-14

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, VA-14-15

Roadway Crossing Delay, VA-16-17

Navigation, VA-17-18

Air Quality, VA-18-26

Noise, VA-26-29

Environmental Justice, VA-29-30

Cumulative Effects, VA-31

Areas of Concern, VA-31-32

W

Washington, D.C., OH-132, OH-135, PA-2, PA-3, VA-2, VA-15, WV-9, DC-1-21

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, DC-2-3

Summary of Analysis, DC-4

Safety:

Freight Rail Operations, DC-4-5

Passenger Rail Operations, DC-5-7

Rail Transport of Hazardous Materials, DC-7-8

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, DC-9-10

Navigation, DC-10

Air Quality, DC-10-12

Noise, DC-13-15

Environmental Justice, DC-15-20

Cumulative Effects, DC-20-21

Areas of Concern, DC-21-22

water resources, NJ-29, NJ-31, NJ-33, NJ-39, NY-29, NY-31, NY-32, NY-34, NY-36, OH-89, OH-92-95, OH-97-100, OH-102, OH-103, OH-105, OH-106, OH-108, OH-109, OH-111, OH-130, PA-52

wayside noise, NJ-25-27, NY-24-26, OH-70, OH-71, OH-74, OH-75, OH-146, OH-147, PA-42, PA-45, TN-16-18, VA-27, VA-28, WV-13, WV-14, DC-13-15

West Virginia, WV-1-16, DC-2

Proposed Conrail Acquisition Activities, WV-2-3

Summary of Analysis, WV-4

Safety:

Freight Rail Operations, WV-4-5

Passenger Rail Operations, WV-5-7

Highway/Rail At-Grade Crossings, WV-7-8

Transportation:

Passenger Rail Service, WV-8-9

Roadway Crossing Delay, WV-9-10

Air Quality, WV-10-12

Noise. WV-12-14

Environmental Justice, WV-15-16

Cumulative Effects, WV-16

Areas of Concern, WV-16-17

Wetlands, NJ-29, NJ-31, NJ-33, NJ-34, NY-29, NY-31-36, OH-11, OH-89, OH-92-94, OH-97-103, OH-105, OH-106, OH-108, OH-109, OH-111, PA-54

Willow Creek, IN, OH-4, OH-15, OH-18, OH-30, OH-54, OH-57, OH-72, OH-73

# X, Y, Z

Youngstown, OH, OH-6-8, OH-30, OH-49, OH-67, PA-4, PA-6, PA-18, PA-32, PA-38, PA-39

#### **DRAFT EIS VOLUME 4**

A abandonment, 6-3, 6-9, 7-2, 7-22-24 acquisition, 6-1-7, 6-9, 6-10, 7-1-10, 7-12-18, 7-20, 7-43, 7-48, 8-8 Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 6-11 agency consultation, 6-4-6, 7-2 agency coordination, 6-1, 6-4, 6-5, 8-2, 8-10 air quality, 6-2-4, 6-9, 7-3, 8-4 Alabama, 6-8, 7-10, 7-13, 7-14, 7-33, 7-34, 7-38, 7-39 American Public Transit Association, 6-2, 6-13 Amtrak, 6-2, 6-12, 7-26 application, 6-4, 6-5, 7-13, 7-16-20, 7-25 Ashtabula, OF., 6-7, 7-36, 7-41, 7-48 Association of American Railroads, 6-13, 7-13 average daily traffic, 6-2, 7-3 B Baltimore, MD, 6-7, 7-48 Bellevue, OH, 7-36, 7-47 Berea, OH, 7-36, 7-41, 7-47 Best Management Practices, 7-22, 7-23 Blue Island, IL, 6-7, 7-47 Board, 6-1, 6-3-6, 6-10, 6-11, 6-13, 6-15, 7-1-10, 7-16-21, 7-24, 7-46, 8-1 bridges, 6-3, 7-24 C carload, 7-3 CFR, 6-3, 6-10, 7-14, 7-22 Chicago, IL, 6-7, 7-47 Cleveland, Ohio, 7-18, 7-19 coastal zone, 6-10, 6-12 Coastal Zone Management, 6-10, 6-12 Code of Federal Regulations: 40 CFR Part 1500, 6-10 49 CFR 1105, 6-3 49 CFR 1105.7, 6-3 49 CFR Part 1105, 6-10 49 CFR Part 213.237, 7-14 49 CFR Parts 171 to 179, 7-22 Connecticut, 6-8, 7-10 connections, 6-7, 6-9, 7-3, 7-19, 7-24, 7-25 Conrail, 6-1, 6-2, 6-4-7, 6-9-11, 6-14, 7-1, 7-2, 7-4, 7-5, 7-7, 7-10, 7-11, 7-18, 7-19 construction, 6-3, 6-9, 7-3, 7-7-9, 7-16-20, 7-22-24, 8-9 crossing, 6-2, 6-9, 7-5-9, 7-11, 7-15, 7-16, 7-19, 7-21, 7-23, 7-24, 7-26, 7-33, 7-43, 7-46, 7-47 CSX, 6-5, 6-11, 6-12, 6-14, 7-2, 7-4, 7-6, 7-11-26, 7-33-42, 7-46-48 cultural resources, 6-9, 7-3-5 cumulative effects, 6-4, 6-10, 7-48 cumulative impacts, 6-4

Danville, IL, 7-47 dBA, 7-17 decision, 6-3, 6-13, 7-1-5, 7-8-10, 7-18, 7-24 Delaware, 6-2, 6-8, 7-10, 7-17, 7-21, 7-30, 7-36, 7-37 dispatching, 7-12 District of Columbia, 7-13, 7-18

E endangered species, 6-10, 7-18
Endangered Species Act, 7-18
energy, 6-3, 6-4, 6-9, 8-6, 8-8
engineering, 7-18, 8-2-4, 8-6-10
environmental assessments, 7-3, 7-24, 8-7
Environmental Impact Statement, 6-1, 6-6, 7-1
Environmental Justice, 6-2-4, 6-7, 6-10, 7-3, 7-18, 7-47, 8-6, 8-7
environmental report, 6-6
Erie, Pennsylvania, 7-6, 7-7, 7-16, 7-19, 7-20
erosion, 7-23
Errata, 6-6
Exermont, Illinois, 7-17

failure mode and effects analysis, 7-14
Federal Agency, 6-1, 6-8
Federal Railroad Administration, 6-11, 7-4
Federal Transit Administration, 6-11
Florida, 6-8, 7-10, 7-13
Fort Wayne, IN, 6-7, 7-34, 7-49, 7-47
Fostoria, Ohio, 7-15
freight rail operations, 7-14
Funding, 7-7, 7-8, 7-16, 7-19-21

G Gary, IN, 6-7, 7-47 Georgia, 6-8, 7-10, 7-12-14, 7-33, 7-34, 7-37

#### H

habitat, 6-10
Harrisburg, PA, 6-7, 7-25, 7-48
haulage rights, 7-12
Hazardous Materials, 6-2, 6-9, 7-4, 7-12-14, 7-22, 7-47, 7-48, 8-6
hazardous materials transport, 6-9, 7-47, 7-48
hazardous waste, 6-3, 6-9, 7-22, 8-6
hazardous waste sites, 6-3
health, 6-3
historic, 6-2, 6-9, 6-11-13, 7-3, 7-17, 7-18, 7-23, 7-24, 8-4, 8-5
Historic American Building Survey, 7-18
Historic American Engineering Record, 7-18
Hotline, 6-6, 6-7

#### 1

Illinois, 6-8, 6-12, 7-5, 7-7, 7-8, 7-10, 7-12, 7-14-18, 7-20, 7-24, 7-25, 7-39 Indiana, 6-8, 7-3, 7-5-8, 7-10, 7-12-16, 7-18, 7-20, 7-21, 7-24, 7-25, 7-39, 8-5 interlocking, 7-18 intermodal facility, 7-20

#### J, K

Kentucky, 6-8, 7-5, 7-7, 7-8, 7-10, 7-13-16, 7-34, 7-40

#### L

Lafayette, IN, 6-7, 7-47 Lafayette, Indiana, 7-6, 7-16, 7-20 land use, 6-3, 6-10, 7-3, 8-6, 8-7 Ldn, 6-3, 7-17 lead, 6-1 legal, 6-2, 6-6, 8-1, 8-10 Level of Service (LOS), 7-5 locomotive, 6-3, 6-13 Louisiana, 6-8, 7-10, 7-14, 7-38

#### M

maintenance, 6-10, 6-13, 7-22, 7-25, 8-9
major key route, 7-38
management, 6-10-12, 7-22, 7-23, 7-25, 8-2, 8-3, 8-6-8, 8-10
Maryland, 6-8, 7-5, 7-10-15, 7-18, 7-40, 7-44
Massachusetts, 6-8, 7-10
merits, 6-3
methodology, 7-2, 8-4
Michigan, 6-8, 7-10, 7-12-15, 7-17, 7-18

Mississippi, 6-8, 7-10, 7-14, 8-5 Missouri, 6-8, 7-10, 7-13 mitigation, 6-1, 6-4, 7-1-6, 7-8-26, 7-33, 7-38, 7-43, 7-47, 7-48, 8-2, 8-4, 8-5

N
National Environmental Policy Act, 6-1, 6-10
National Historic Preservation Act, 7-17, 7-18, 7-24
Native American, 6-3, 7-3
New Jersey, 6-8, 7-11, 7-13, 7-14, 7-37
New York, 6-8, 7-11-15, 7-18, 7-36, 7-41
Newark, DE, 6-2
noise, 6-2, 6-3, 6-9, 7-3, 7-17, 7-20, 7-22, 7-25, 7-47, 7-48, 8-8
Norfolk Southern, 6-12, 7-11
North Carolina, 6-8, 7-11-14, 7-35, 7-39

Oak Harbor, OH, 7-36 Ohio, 6-8, 7-3, 7-5, 7-8, 7-11, 7-13-19, 7-23-25, 7-29, 7-36, 7-37, 7-41, 7-44, 8-5 operating plans, 6-5, 6-6 outreach, 6-1, 6-4, 6-6, 6-7, 8-3, 8-10

Parties of Record, 6-13
passenger rail operations, 7-12
Pennsylvania, 6-8, 7-5-8, 7-11, 7-13-16, 7-18-20, 7-30, 7-37
permit, 7-5, 7-19, 7-20
planning, 6-5, 6-10, 6-12, 6-14, 7-7, 8-2-4, 8-6-8, 8-10
preservation, 6-11-13, 7-17, 7-18, 7-23, 7-24, 8-4, 8-5
primary application, 7-19
procedural schedule, 6-7
public comment, 6-4, 7-6, 7-9

Q, R rail line segment, 7-11, 7-12, 7-14, 7-17, 7-19, 7-21, 7-24 rail lines, 6-14, 7-2, 7-6, 7-20 rail operations, 6-9, 7-12, 7-14, 7-20, 7-24, 7-25, 8-1, 8-8, 8-9 rail yards, 6-9, 7-2, 7-3, 7-11, 7-14, 7-15 railroads, 6-4, 6-5, 6-7, 12, 6-13, 7-4, 7-7, 7-9, 7-13, 7-14 regional, 6-2, 6-4, 6-5, 6-7, 6-8, 6-12, 7-1, 7-2, 7-10, 7-12, 8-3, 8-7, 8-10 remediation, 6-3, 7-22 Rhode Island, 6-8, 7-11

Northeast Corridor, 7-11

S safety integration plans, 7-4 separated grade crossing, 7-6-9, 7-16 serve, 6-4 seven separate connections, 7-3, 7-24 shared assets areas, 7-11, 7-14 Shellpot Bridge, 7-17 site visits, 7-9 socioeconomics, 6-10 sound, 7-17 South Carolina, 6-8, 7-11, 7-13, 7-14, 7-35, 7-37 species, 6-10, 7-18 State Historic Preservation Office, 7-23 Surface Transportation Board. See Board

T
Tennessee, 6-8, 7-11, 7-13, 7-14, 7-35, 7-40
Tilton, IL, 7-40, 7-47
Toledo Pivot Bridge, 7-18
Toledo, OH, 6-7, 7-37, 7-40, 7-41, 7-47, 7-48
Tolono, Illinois, 7-24
traffic congestion, 6-9
traffic volume, 7-3
training, 7-13, 7-25

U
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 6-2, 6-11
U.S. Coast Guard, 6-2, 6-11
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 6-11
United States Code:
16 U.S.C. 470f, 7-17, 7-18, 7-24

V Vennilion, Ohio, 7-18, 7-23, 7-41 Virginia, 6-8, 7-11-13, 7-15

W
Washington, D.C., 6-2, 6-6, 6-8, 6-12, 7-11, 7-12, 7-26
water resources, 6-2, 6-10, 7-23
website, 6-7
West Virginia, 6-8, 7-11
Wetlands, 6-10, 7-23
Willow Creek, IN, 7-40

X, Y, Z Youngstown, OH, 6-7, 7-37, 7-48 [THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

# DRAFT EIS VOLUME 5A, 5B, AND 5C

Index not available for Volume 5A, Volume 5B, and Volume 5C.

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

# DRAFT EIS VOLUME 6

A

abandonment, 1-3, 6-16, 18-51, 53-46
acquisition, 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 30, 39, 45, 47, 51, 53, 54
adverse environmental, 2, 9
adverse environmental impacts, 9
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 7
agency coordination, 6
air quality, 4-7, 15, 21, 23, 24, 29, 34, 37, 43, 49, 51, 52, 57, 63-66
application, 1, 5
archeological, 5, 7, 17, 21, 50, 58
average daily traffic, 18, 29, 31

B

Best Management Practices, 23, 37, 47, 52, 61, 66
Board, 19, 20, 22, 23, 31, 32, 36, 37, 41, 45-47, 50, 51, 54, 59, 65
branch line, 27, 31
bridges, 3, 4, 8, 16, 21, 23, 30, 35, 38, 44, 50

C

construction, 3, 17, 43, 57, 60, 64, 67
contamination, 21, 22, 38, 52, 64, 67
Criteria of Effect, 7
critical habitat, 33, 34, 48, 63
crossing, 2, 4, 8, 11, 12, 18, 25, 30, 36, 55, 60
CSX, 1, 3, 6, 8, 10, 11, 16, 19-24, 40, 44, 45
cultural resources, 4, 5, 7, 15, 17, 21, 23, 24, 29, 35, 37, 38, 43, 50-52, 57, 64, 65, 67

## D

Danville, IL, 2 Delaware, 41

#### E

emission, 6
endangered species, 6, 14, 15, 20, 28, 29, 33, 42, 48, 56, 63
energy, 5, 9, 22, 23, 36, 37, 51, 65
Energy Consumption, 9, 22, 23, 36, 37, 51, 65
engineering, 67
Environmental analysis thresholds, 9
environmental benefits, 2
Environmental Data Resources, Inc., 8, 18
Environmental Justice, 4, 5, 9
environmental report, 1, 2, 5-7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 29, 30, 34, 35, 40, 43, 44, 50
erosion, 23, 32, 33, 37, 47, 48, 52, 55, 61, 62, 66
existing condition, 3

#### F

Federal Emergency Management Agency, 13, 28, 41, 55 fill, 3, 20, 32, 47, 61 Flood Insurance Rate Maps, 28, 41, 55 Fort Wayne, IN, 25

# G, H

habitat, 14, 20, 26, 28, 29, 33, 34, 39, 42, 48, 56, 62, 63
Hazardous Materials, 8, 22, 24, 36, 38, 52, 59, 64, 67
hazardous waste, 4, 7, 8, 18, 21-24, 30, 31, 35, 37, 38, 44, 45, 50-52, 58, 59, 61, 64, 65, 67
hazardous waste sites, 4, 7, 8, 18, 21, 23, 24, 30, 31, 35, 37, 38, 44, 45, 50-52, 58, 59, 64, 65, 67
highway/rail at-grade crossing, 8, 36
historic, 5, 7, 15-17, 21, 24, 29, 35, 38, 43, 44, 50, 52, 57, 58, 64, 67
Historic American Building Survey, 67
Historic American Engineering Record, 67

### I

Illinois, 1, 6-10, 15, 18-21, 24, 30 Indiana, 1, 2, 6-10, 14, 16, 20, 25, 27, 28, 30, 33, 35, 38, 42, 56 intermodal facility, 45

# J, K, L

land use, 4-6, 11, 12, 19, 23, 26, 31, 37, 40, 46, 51, 54, 60, 65, 66 lead, 59 Louisiana, 45

5-22-98 K 29206V6D

# Low-Income Populations, 9

M
main line, 10, 11, 19
maintenance, 21. 34, 35, 53, 62, 65
management, 5, 6, 13, 14, 20, 23, 27, 28, 37, 41, 47, 52, 55, 61, 66, 67
management plans, 5, 6
methodology, 9, 51
Michigan, 1, 16, 39, 53
Mississippi, 16
mitigation, 4, 6, 7, 9, 22-24, 33, 36-39, 48, 50, 51, 63-66
movable bridges, 8

N National Ambient Air Quality Standards, 15, 29, 43
National Historic Preservation Act, 24, 38
National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, 32, 61
National Register of Historic Places, 7, 16, 44
National Wetlands Inventory, 13
Native American, 5, 6, 13, 27, 41, 55
New Jersey, 30
New York, 16, 30, 57
nitrogen oxides, 34, 49, 63
No-action alternative, 11, 26, 40, 54, 65
noise, 2, 4, 5, 7, 15, 21, 23, 24, 29, 33-37, 43, 48-52, 57, 62-66
nonattainment area, 29, 43, 57
Norfolk Southern, 1, 30, 57
NO<sub>x</sub>, 21, 34, 49, 63

Ohio, 1, 2, 6-9, 16, 38, 39, 41, 43-46, 52, 53, 55, 57, 58, 64, 67 operating plans, §

particulate matter, 21, 34, 49, 63
Pennsylvania, 16
permit. 20, 32, 47, 48, 61, 63, 64
post-acquisition, 6
preservation, 7, 24, 38, 44, 58, 64
primary application, 1, 5
prime farmland, 5, 6, 12, 13, 26, 41, 55

# Q, R

rail line segment, 9, 22, 28, 35, 39, 40, 43, 45, 46, 48, 51 rail lines, 1-3, 7, 11, 15, 39, 43, 46, 57 rail-to-truck, 2, 9, 34 railroads, 15, 16, 43, 44 regional, 46, 57 remediation, 22, 24, 52, 64, 67 Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 18

#### S

serve, 1, 10, 11, 19, 22, 25, 27, 30, 31, 40, 47, 60 shared assets areas, 1 site visits, 5-8, 13, 14, 20, 31, 33, 35, 40, 55 socioeconomics, 4-6, 11, 13, 19, 23, 26, 27, 31, 32, 37, 40, 41, 46, 47, 51, 55, 61 species, 6, 14, 15, 20, 28, 29, 33, 34, 42, 48, 56, 62, 63 State Historic Preservation Office, 58 structures, 2-4, 16, 17, 21, 25, 39, 47, 50, 58 system-wide, 6, 9

#### T

Toledo Pivot Bridge, 2, 5, 38, 53, 54, 56-65 Toledo, OH, 2 trackage rights, 40, 54 truck traffic, 8, 45

#### U

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 32 U.S. Coast Guard, 8, 54, 60 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 13, 14 U.S. Geological Survey, 13, 27, 41, 55 United States Code 16 U.S.C. 470f, 24, 38

# V, W, X, Y, Z

water resources, 6, 13, 19, 23, 27, 32, 37, 41, 47, 51, 52, 55, 61, 65, 66 Wetlands, 6, 13, 14, 19, 20, 23, 27, 28, 32, 33, 37, 41, 47, 48, 52, 55, 66 APPENDIX T
Final Environmentai Impact Statement
Rail Line Segments

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

# CONTENTS

ADD	PAIDLY T. PINAL THE STATE OF TH	Page
AFF	PENDIX T: FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT RAIL LINE SEGMENTS	T-1
	ATTACHMENTS	
T-1	Master Rail Line Segment Table	T-1

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

# Attachment T-1

**Master Rail Line Segment Table** 

-	_	_	Total Segment	1.022		-	35,733		nger & Fr		gment 1	aule		Freight	Rail Data			_		-	Crite	ria Met		
	Own	ership			ent Description			100000	q. (1995)	The same of	cquisition	Annu	al Million G Transports	ross Tons	Estimate	ed Annual (		123	15	5	5	52	*	:
Seg.	Pre Acq. (1995)	Post Acq.	From		То		Seg. Length (mi.)	Pagr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains	Pre Acq	Post Acq.	Percent Change	Pre Acq.		Percent Change	Air Quality	Noise Analysis	Passenger Train	Freight Train	Increase in Hazardous Materials	New Key Route	New Major Key Route
C-001	CR	CSX	Anacostia	DC	Virginia Ave	DC	3	0.0	19.3	28.6	9.3	40.3	45.2	12%	21,000	26,000	24%	X	×		X	×		
C-002	CR	CSX	Virginia Ave	DC	Potomac Yard	VA	6	44.5	17.9	28.6	10.7	40.3		18%	20,000	26,000	30%	X	X	X	X	X		
C-003	CSX	CSX	Washington	DC	Pt of Rocks	MD	43	20.0		30.8	7.0	37.8			11,000		9%	X		X		X		
C-010	CSX	CSX	Barr Vd	IL	Biue Island Jct	IL	3	0.0		32.9	15.9	25.0			21,000		-5%	×	X		X			
C-011	CSX	CSX	Blue Island Jct	IL	59th Street	IL	15	0.0		22.9		27.0			0	3,000	1000%	X			_	X		
C-020	CR	CSX	Adams	IN	Ft Wayne	IN	5	0.0		13.9		3.4		460%	1,000		0%		×		X			
C-021	CSX	CSX	Evansville	IN	Amqui	TN	137	0.0		30.7	7.3	48.3		53%	22,000	31,000	41%	_			_	X	-	-
C-022	CR	CSX	Ft. Wayne	IN	Warsaw	IN	40	0.0		6.4		4.0			0	0		X	X		-			
C-023	CSX	CSX	Pine Jcl	IN	Barr Yd	fi.	11	0.0		31.7		42.0			20,000	20,000	0%	X		-	-	-	-	-
C-024	CR	CSX	Tolleston	IN	Clark Jct	IN	4	0.0		5.0		0.0			0	0	-	X	X		-	-	-	-
C-025	CSX	CSX	Vincennes	IN	Evansville	IN	53	0.0		28.8	6.5	44.7		75%	20,000	28,000	40%	X			-	X	-	
C-026	CR	CSX	Warsaw	IN	Tolleston	IN	83	0.0		5.0		4.0			0	0	-	X	X	-	-	-	-	-
C-027	CSX	CSX	Willow Creek	IN	Pine Jct	IN	12	2.0		34.6	14.5	34.2			16,000		69%		X	X	X	X	-	-
C-030	CSX	CSX	Alexandria Jct	MD	Benning	DC	6	0.0		24.3		40.3			20,000		10%	_		-	-	X	-	-
C-031	CSX	CSX	Alexandria Jct	MD	Washington	DC	5	22.0		30.8		34.5			2,000		500%			X	-	×	X	-
C-032	CSX	CSX	Baltimore	MD	Relay	MD	7	22.0		42.7	3.1	63.7			13,000		15%	_		×	-	X	-	-
C-033	CSX	CSX	Cumberland	MD	Sinns	PA	133	2.0		32.5		40.7			15,000		-27%	_		×	-		-	
C-034	CSX	CSX	Jessup	MD	Alexandria Jct	MD	17	22.0		37.1	3.7	48.0		45%	9,000		111%	х	_	X	-	X	X	-
C-035	CR	CSX	Landover	MD	Anacostia	DC	5	0.0		9.1	5.7	5.0			10.000	4,000	1000%	Х	×	-	-	×	-	-
C-036	CSX	CSX	Pt of Rocks	MD	Harpers Ferry	w	13	25.0		41.6		58.0			16,000		-25%	X	X	X	×	-	-	-
C-037	CSX	CSX	Relay	MD	Jessup	MD	. 7	22.0		37.0		45.8			9,000		89%	X		X	-	X	X	-
C-040	CSX	CSX	Carleton	MI	Toledo	ОН	26	0.0		33.1	11.2	40.0			13,000	21,000	62%	X	X	_	×	X	-	-
C-050	CR	CSX	Buffaio	NY	CP Sycamore	NY	1	0.0	13.5	18.5	5.0	16.0			0	0	-	×		-	-	-	-	-
C-051	CR	CSX	Chili	NY	Frontier	NY	51	7.1	40.6	45.9		79.7			33,000		21%			X	-	X	-	-
C-052	CR	CSX	CP Sycamore	NY	Black Rock	NY	6	0.0	21.5	26.5	5.0	32.0			20,000		-15%	_			-		-	-
C-053	CR	CSX	Hoffmans	NY	Utica	NY	66	7.4	38.3	44.8	6.5	76.2			33,000	40,000	21%	_		X	-	X	-	-
C-054	CR	CSX	Selkirk	NY	Hoffmans	NY	25	0.0		45.2	6.5	78.5	_	13%	33,000		21%	Х		-	-	X	-	-
C-060	CR	CSX	Ashtabula	ОН	Quaker	ОН	47	2.0		53.0		102.8		5%	39,000	45,000	15%	Х		X	-	X		-
C-061	CR	CSX	Berea	ОН	Greenwich	OH	42	0.0		53.0		30.9		250%	16,000	46,000	188%	х	X	_	×	X	-	×
C-062	CR	CSX	Bucyrus	ОН	Adams	IN	114	0.0	_	13.9	8.0	3.7	18.8	412%	4,000	4,000	0%	х	X		X		-	
C-063	CSX	CSX	Cincinnati	ОН	Hamilton	ОН	21	1.0		31.2	3.0	55.3	-	16%	22,000		32%	X		X	-	X	-	-
C-064	CR	CSX	Crestline	ОН	Bucyrus	ОН	12	0.0		14.5	8.0	3.7			4,000		0%	X	X		×		-	-
C-065	CSX	CSX	Deshler	OH	Toledo	ОН	36	0.0		14.2	13.6	0.3				14,000	1000%	×	X		X	×	X	-
C-066	CSX	CSX	Deshler	ОН	Willow Creek	IN	174	2.0	21.4	47.7	26.3	44.6		111%	16,000		113%	×	X	X	X	X	-	×
C-067	CR	CSX	Greenwich	ОН	Crestline	ОН	21	0.0	14.5	30.1	15.6	30.9			16,000		0%	X	X	-	X	-	-	-
C-068	CSX	CSX	Greenwich	ОН	Willard	ОН	12	2.0	32.5	55.2	22.7	55.8			17,000		224%	X	X	×	X	X	-	X
C-069	CR	CSX	Marcy	ОН	Short	OH	9	0.0	16.4	43.8	27.4	26.0			3,000		925%	×	X		X		X	×
C-070	CSX	CSX	Marion	ОН	Fostoria	ОН	40	0.0	17.8	27.4	9.6	40.0					667%	X	X	-	X	×	X	×
C-071	CR	CSX	Marion	ОН	Ridgeway	ОН	23	0.0	16.1	31.8	15.7	39.0			32,000		-16%	<u>~</u>	X	-	X	-	-	-
C-072	CR	CSX	Mayfield	ОН	Marcy	OH	6	0.0	3.4	43.8	40.4	9.0			0	41,000	1000%	-	X		X	X	X	×
C-073	CR	CSX	Quaker	ОН	Mayfield	ОН	3	0.0		43.8	37.0	9.0			4.000	41,000	1000%	X	X		×	X	X	×
C-074	CR	CSX	Short	OH	Berea	ОН	4	0.0	13.4	45.3	31.9	15.0	101.6	578%	4,000	39,000	875%	X	X		X	X	X	×

B = Change due to Acquisition

(1) 1000% is reported for B where the pre acq. is 0 and the "post" acq. is > 0

_	a
-	۹
	g
n	5

	_					-	35,733		nger & Fr		ment 1	anie	-	Freight	Rail Date		-	_		-	riteri	a Met		
	-	ership	Total Segment		ent Description		35,733		ger & Fr		quisition		Million G	roes Tone	Estimate	ed Annual (		123	5	5	5	5		10
Seg.	Pre Acq (1995)				To		Seg. Langth (mi.)	Pagr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains		Post Acq.	Percent Change		Post Acq.	Percent	Air Quality	Noise Analysis	Passenger Train	Freight Train	Increase in Hezardous	New Key Route	New Major Key
0.075	CSX	CSX	Willard	ТОН	Fostoria	OH	37	2.0	32.5	54.0	21.5	55.8	109.8	97%	18,000		139%	X	X	X	X	X		
C-080	CR	CSX	Field	PA	Belmont	PA	4	0.0	8.2	15.8	7.6	11.2	20.0	80%	0	5,000	1000%	X				×		1
0-081	CSX	CSX	New Castle	PA	Youngstown	OH	18	2.0	32.6	39.6	7.0	53.8	78.5	46%	16,000		0%	X		X				1
0.082	CSX	CSX	Rankin Jct	PA	New Castle	PA	51	0.0	28.9	38.3	9.4		72.1	74%	16,000		-25%	×	X		X		_	Ł
-083	CR	CSX	RG	PA	Field	PA	2	0.0	0.0	16.0	16.0	0.0		1000%	0	6,000	1000%	×	X		X	X	_	1
-064	CSX	CSX	RG	PA	Wismere	DE	26	0.0	22.9	26.4			49.0	23%		16,000	45%	X				X	_	1
C-085	CSX	CSX	Sinns	PA	Brownsville	PA	38	0.0	1.5	10.8				1055%	0	0		X	X		X			1
000	CSX	CSX	Sinns	PA	Rankin Jct	PA	9	2.0	30.8	40.2	9.4	40.3	71.6	77%			-27%	X	X	X	X			Ł
-090	CSX	CSX	Amgui	TN	Nashville	TN	16	0.0	40.8				104.1	30%			38%	X				X	_	1
-100	CSX	CSX	Doswell	VA	Fredericksburg	VA	37	18.0	16.2	22.8	6.6	40.7	52.0	28%			5%	X		X		×		Į.
-101	CSX	CSX	Fredericksburg	VA	Potoma : Yard	VA	49	30.0	16.3	23.4			51.8	29%			10%	×		×		X		Į.
-102	CSX	CSX	Richmond	VA	Doswall	VA	24	18.0	17.8	24.8			53.8	22%	21,000		5%	X		X		X	_	Į.
-103	CSX	CSX	S. Richmond	VA	Weldon	NC	82	10.0	18.4	23.0			56.0	18%			0%	×		X				1
-110	CSX	CSX	WD Tower	w	Rivesville	W	4	0.0	1.5	3.4		3.6	7.4	108%		0		×	X				_	Į.
200	CSX	CSX	Park Jct	PA	RG	PA	4	0.0					23.8	-47%			-20%	ш			_		_	1
-201	CSX	CSX	Wilsmere	DE	Baltimore	MD	68	0.0					50.4	14%			45%	ш				X	-	1
-202	CSX	CSX	Harpers Ferry	w	Cherry Run	W	32	12.0	33.3				74.8	29%			-25%	Н		X	_	-	$\vdash$	+
-203	CSX	CSX	Cherry Run	w	Cumberland	MD	65	2.0					67.3	9%			-33%	ш		X			-	4
-204	CSX	CSX	Youngstown	ОН	Sterling	ОН	79	2.0					66.5	24%	-	-	0%	Ц		X			-	1
-205	CSX	CSX	Sterling	ОН	Greenwich	ОН	37	2.0					62.1	13%			24%	ш				X	-	4
-206	CSX	COX	Fostoria	ОН	Deshler	ОН	26	2.0									75%	ш		X	_	X	-	+
2-207	CSX	CSX	Relay	MD	Pt of Rocks	MD	58	0.0					20.7	8%	4,000		-100%	ш				-	-	+
2.208	CSX	CSX	Hagerstown	MD	Lurgan	PA	34	0.0					2.4				-100%	ш			-	-	-	+
-209	CSX	CSX	Hagerstown	MD	Cherry Run	MD	19	0.0									-100%	Н			-	-	-	+
-210	CSX	CSX	Rockwood	PA	Johnstown	PA	45	0.0					0.7								-	-	-	+
-211	CSX	CSX	Lester	ОН	Lorain	ОН	23	0.0									-	Н			-	-	-	+
-212	CSX	CSX	Sterling	ОН	Lester	OH	16	0.0							(		-	Н		-	-	-	-	٠
0-213	CSX	CSX	Lester	OH	Cleveland	OH	30	0.0									-13%	Н			-	-	-	÷
0-214	CSX	CSX	Detroit	MI	Plymouth	MI	25	0.0					27.8	-11%	8,000			Н		-	-	1-	-	٠
C-215	CSX	CSX	Plymouth	MI	Grand Rapids	MI	124	0.0					20.1	-24%				Н		-	-	-	-	٠
C-216	CSX	CSX	Grand Rapids	Mi	Waverly	MI	26	2.0						-22%				Н			-	-	-	٠
C-217	CSX	CSX	Waverly	MI	Porter	IN	110	2.0							-			Н		-	-	×	-	٠
C-218	CSX	CSX	Siginaw	MI	Flint	Mi	29	0.0						18%				Н			-	X	-	+
0-219		CSX	Fint	MI	Holly	MI	28	0.0					17.8	22%			-	H		-	-	X	-	+
220	CSX	CSX	Holly	MI	Wixom	MI	20	0.0					17.4	20%				H		-	-	1 x	-	+
0-221	CSX	CSX	Wixom	MI	Plymouth	MI	12	0.0										Н		-	-	+ ×	-	+
C-222	CSX	CSX	Plymouth	MI	Wayne	MI	8	0.0										Н		-	-	_	-	+
C-223		CSX	Wayne	MI	Carleton	MI	15	0.0		24.8								H		-	-	X	-	+
2-224	CSX	CSX	Hamilton	ОН	Dayton	ОН	34	0.0										Н		-	-	X	+	+
C-225	CSX	CSX	Dayton	ОН	Sidney	ОН	37	0.0												-	-	-	1	+
C-228	CSX	CSX	Sidney	ОН	Lima	ОН	35	0.0					_			_		H	-	-	$\vdash$	-	+-	+
-227	CSX	CSX	Lima	ОН	Deshler	ОН	33	0.0	26.5	14.9	-11.6	43.6	40.2	-8%	20,000	16,000	-20%		-		_	_	_	J

B = Change due to Acquisition

<sup>(1) 1000%</sup> is reported for B where the pre acq is 0 and the "post" acq is > 0

								Maste		ine Sec	oment 1	able											
			Total Segmen	nts 1,022			35,73		nger & Fr				1000 P	Freight	Rail Data					Cri	toria Met		
	Own	nership	Rail Lie	ne Segm	ent Description			Pre Acc	q. (1995)	Post Ad	cquisition		el Million Gr Transporter			od Annual I		123	1	5 3	2	*	:
Seg.	Pre Acq (1995)	Post Acq	From		То		Seg. Length (ml.)	Pegr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains	Pre Acq.	Post Acq.	Percent Change	Pre Asq.	Post Acq	Percent Change	Air Quality		Passenger Train	Increase in Hazardous	New Key Route	New Major Key Route
C-228	CSX	CSX	Fostoria	OH	Toledo	OH	29	0.0	33.3	37.4	4.1	66.7	79.3	19%	7,000	25,000	257%				X	X	X
C-229	CSX	CSX	Columbus	ОН	Marion	ОН	20	0.0	17.8	17.4	-0.4	40.0	44.0	10%	4,000	12,000	200%				×	X	
C-230	CSX		N. Cabin	KY	Columbus	OH	53	0.0	11.7	11.4		40.2	41.9	4%	4,000	10,000	150%				×	X	
0-231	CSX	CSX	Cincinnati	OH	Columbus	ОН	112	0.0	2.8	2.9	0.1	3.9	4.9	25%	2,000	0	-100%						
0-232	CSX	CSX	Hampton	VA	Rivanna Jct	VA	80	4.0	9.6	8.6	-1.0	38.2	37.8	-1%	0	0							
C-233	CSX	CSX	Rivanna Jct	VA	Clifton Forge	VA	229	0.0	9.8	9.7	-0.1	54.2	53.4	-1%	2,000	2,000	0%	8					
2-234	CSX	CSX	Clifton Forge	VA	St Albans	w	195	0.9	9.8	10.9	1.1	57.0	59.7	5%	3,000	4,000	33%			X	X		
C-235	CSX	CSX	St Albans	W	Barboursville	W	29	0.9	10.9	12.8	1.9	68.1	66.0	-3%	6,000	6,000	0%			X			
C-236	CSX	CSX	Barboursville	w	Huntington	w	10	0.9	13.4	14.9	1.5	71.1	69.3	-2%	6,000	6,000	0%			X			
C-237	CSX	CSX	Huntington	w	Kenova	W	8	0.9	15.5	16.8	1.3	62.2	67.1	8%	16,000	17,000	6%			X	X		
C-238	CSX	CSX	Kenova	w	Big Sandy Jct	w	1	0.9	32.5	33.2	0.7	59.1	65.5	11%	16,000	17,000	6%				×		
-239	CSX	CSX	Big Sandy Jct	KY	Ashland	KY	6	0.9	32.5	30.5	-2.0	97.6	95.1	-3%	27,000		0%						
-240	CSX	CSX	Ashland	KY	Russell	KY	4	0.9	32.5	32.5	0.0	107.0	103.0	-4%	27,000		0%						
0-241	CSX	CSX	Russell	KY	NJ Cabin	KY	19	0.9	20.8	18.8	-2.0	67.3	68.4	2%	23,000		4%				X		
0-242	CSX	CSX	NJ Cabin	KY	Covington	KY	121	0.9	7.5	8.6	1.1	26.8	30.5	14%	15,000		-13%			X			
C-243	CSX	CSX	Cumberland	MD	W Virginia C	W	28	0.0	14.0	16.6	2.6	23.5	31.1	32%	5,000	4,000	-20%						
C-244	CSX	CSX	W Virginia C	w	MK Jct	w	46	0.0	9.4	12.0		20.0	27.3	36%	5,000	4,000	-20%						
C-245	CSX	CSX	MK Jct	w	Grafton	W	26	0.0	9.4	12.0	2.6	20.0	27.3	36%	5,000	4,000	-20%						
C-246	CSX	CSX	Grafton	w	Berkeley Jct	w	2	0.0	10.8	10.8	0.0	20.9	23.2	11%	5,000	3,000	-40%		1				
0-247	CSX	CSX	Berkeley Jct	W	Short Line Jct	W	21	0.0	3.8	3.8	0.0	7.4	6.8	-8%	5,000	3,000	-40%						
C-248	CSX	CSX	Brooklyn Jct	w	Short Line Jct	w	58	0.0		4.4	-0.2	6.4	6.1	-5%	5,000	3,000	-40%		_	1			
C-249	CSX	CSX	Parkersburg	w	Brooklyn Jct	w	55	0.0	4.5	4.5	0.0	7.0	7.0	0%	12,000	8,000	-33%						
C-250	CSX	CSX	Parkersburg	W	Huntington	W	119	0.0	5.3	5.1	-0.2	9.3	9.3	0%	12,000	10,000	-17%						
C-251	CSX	CSX	Brooklyn Jct	w	Benwood Jct	W	34	0.0	6.0	6.0	0.0	4.5	4.6	4%	12,000		0%						
C-252	CSX	CSX	Rivanna Jct	VA	Charlottesville	VA	98	0.0	1.5	1.5	0.0	2.9	3.2	9%	0	0			_				
C-253	CSX	CSX	Charlottesville	VA	Clifton Forge	VA	103	0.9	1.9	1.9	0.0	3.2	3.4	5%	0	0							
C-254	CSX	CSX	Munster	IN	Monon	IN	62	1.4	2.5	2.5	0.0	3.0	3.5	19%	1,000	3,000	200%				X		
C-255	CSX	CSX	Monon	IN	Lafayette	IN	30	1.4	3.0	3.0	0.0	3.8	4.7	25%	1,000	3 000	200%		_		X		
C-256	CSA	CSX	Lafayette	IN	Crawfordsville	IN	29	1.4	76	7.6	0.0	8.9	9.5	7%	1,000	3,000	200%		1	_	X	-	
C-257	CSX	CSX	Crawfordsville	IN	Greencastle	IN	31	0.0	4.2	0.2	-4.0	4.4	2.0	-54%		0			1			-	
258	CSX	CSX	Hamilton	ОН	Indianapolis	IN	99	0.9	3,0	5.0	2.0	6.0	8.0	34%	1,000	6,000	500%			X	X	-	
2-259	CSX	CSX	Cincinnati	ОН	Mitchell	IN	128	0.0	7.8	3.7	-4.1	14.1	0.9	-94%	5,500	0	-100%		-	_		_	
-260	CSX	CSX	Mitchell	IN	Vincennes	IN	62	0.0	12.7	5.8	-6.9	21.0	3.8	-82%	16,000	0	-100%		-	-		-	-
-261	CSX	CSX	Vincennes	IN	Salem	IL	79	0.0	14.2	9.1	-5.1	23.7	13.4	-43%	17,000	5,000	-71%	-	1	-	-	-	-
-262	CSX	CSX	Salem	IL	E. St Louis	IL.	68	0.0	11.8	8.7	-3.1	20.0	13.2	-34%	13,000	5,000	-62%	-	-	-	-	-	-
-263	CSX	CSX	Dolton	IL	Danville	IL	106	0.0	20.2	21.6	1.4	31.3	40.3	29%	17,000	19,000	12%	-	-		X	-	-
-264	CSX	CSX	Danville	IL	Terre Haute	IN	57	0.0	22.6	23.9	1.3	40.3	51.6	28%	18,000	19,000	6%	-	+	-	×	-	-
-265	CSX	CSX	Terre Haute	IN	Vincennes	-	54	0.0	22.6	26.5	3.9	40.3	62.8	56%	18,000	22,000	22%	-	1	-	×	-	-
-266	CSX	CSX	Nashville	TN	Decatur Disab Creat	AL	118	0.0	21.7	23.4	1.7	41.1	60.4	47%	22,000	32,000	45%		1	-	×	-	-
-267	CSX	CSX	Decatur	AL	Black Creek	AL	89	0.0	22.5	23.8	1.3	38.4	59.5	55%	22,000	32,000	45%	-	1	-	×	-	-
268	CSX	CSX	Black Crk	AL	Birmingham	AL	5	0.0	33.7	31.0	-2.7	48.9	67.2	37%	22,000	32,000	45%	1	-	-	×	-	-
269	CSX	CSX	Birmingham	AL	Parkwood	AL	12	0.0	32.8	30.7	-2.1	48.8	67.2	38%	28,000	40,000	43%				X		

B = Change due to Acquisition

<sup>(1) 1000%</sup> is reported for B where the pre acq is 0 and the "post" acq is > 0.

-	_		Total Segment	s 1.022			35,733		nger & Fre		ment T			Freight I	Rail Data	12.5	6			C	ritori	a Met		
	Own	ership			ent Description		35,733		(1995)		quisition		Million Gr	ross Tons	Estimate	d Annual C		2	67	5	5	244	*	2
Seg.	Pre Acq. (1985)	Post Acq	From		То		Seg. Length (mi.)	Pagr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains		Post Acq.	Perceni Change		Post Acq.	Percent Change	Air Quality	Noise Analysis	Passenger Train	Freight Train	Increase in Hazardous Meteriais	New Key Route	New Major Key
-270	CSX	CSX	Parkwood	AL	Montgomery	AL	87	0.0	14.1	14.3	0.2	23.1	28.5	23%	18,000		28%	П				X		-
-271	CSX	CSX	Montgomery	AL	Floration	AL	110	0.0	16.1	18.0		23.1	33.7	46%	32,000		44%	Н				×	-	+
2-272	CSX	CSX	Anchorage	KY	Winchester	KY	95	0./.	2.6	3.3		3.3	4.6	39%	0	1,000	1000%	н			_	X	-	+
2-273	CSX	CSX	Winchester	KY	Туро	KY	123	0.0	13.1	13.1	0.0	28.6	28.6	0%	2,000		0%	Н		$\vdash$	_	-	-	+
2-274	CSX	CSX	Туро	KY	N Hazard	KY	5	0.0		10.6		23.3	23.3	0%	1,000	1,000	0%	н			_	-	-	+
C-275	CSX	CSX	N Hazard	KY	Lothair	KY	2	0.0		10.9		24.1	24.1	0%	1,000	1,000	0%	Н		$\vdash$		-	-	+
2-276	CSX	CSX	Lothair	KY	Jeff	KY	5	0.0		8.4		18.4	18.4	0%	0	0		Н		$\vdash$		-	-	+
2-277	CSX	CSX	Jeff	KY	Dent	KY	11	0.0		6.9		15.2	15.2	0%	0	0		H	_		-	-	-	+
2-278	CSX	CSX	Dent	KY	Blackey	KY	8	0.0		5.2		11.4	11.4	0%	0			H				-	-	+
2.279	CSX	CSX	Blackey	KY	Duo	KY	2	0.0		4.3		9.3	9.3	0%	0			H			-	-	-	+
C-280	CSX	CSX	Duo	KY	Pat	KY	10	0.0		4.3		9.3	9.3	0%			_	Н	_		-	-	-	٠
C-281	CSX	CSX	Pat	KY	Deane	KY	6	0.0		4.4		9.7	9.7	0%	0			Н		-	_		-	٠
C-282	CSX	CSX	B C C Jct	KY	Deane	KY	22	0.0		6.0		12.2	12.2	0%	0			Н		-		-	-	٠
C-283	CSX	CSX	Porter Jct	KY	B C C Jct	KY	6	0.0		6.0		13.0	13.0	0%	0			Н	_	-		-	-	٠
C-284	CSX	CSX	Stevens Branch	KY	Porter Jct	KY	12	0.0		7.5				0%	0			Н			-		1	٠
C-285	CSX	CSX	Martin	KY	Stevens Branch	KY	_ 1	0.0		7.5			16.6	0%	0	0	-	Н				-	-	٠
C-286	CSX	CSX	Beaver Jct	KY	Martin	KY	5	0.0		7.5		17.7	27.0	-13%	10.000			Н			-	×	-	٠
C-287	CSX	CSX	Latonia	KY	Anchorage	KY	86	0.0		8.7		31.0	34.6	-13%	11,000			Н	_			×	-	٠
C-288	CSX	CSX	Anchorage	KY	Louisville	KY	13	0.0		14.3			32.1	-9%			36%	Н			-	×	_	٠
C-289	CSX	CSX	Louisville	KY	Amqui	TN	173	0.0		31.6	_		81.0		33,000			Н				×	_	٠
C-290	CSX	CSX	Cincinnati	ОН	Covington	KY	6	0.9		24.9		57.4	58.9		18,000		33%	Н				X		٠
C-291	CSX	CSX	Covington	KY	Latonia	KY	1	0.0		16.0		27.1	29.1	7%	8,000		-13%	Н		-	-	-	-	٠
C-292	CSX	CSX	Latonia	KY	Winchester	KY	93	0.0		23.3			41.8	4%	5,000		40%	Н	_			×	_	٠
C-293	CSX	CSX	Winchester	KY	Sinks	KY	56	0.0		21.6			41.4		5,000		40%	1				×		٠
C-294	CSX	CSX	Sinks	KY	Corbin	KY	35	0.0		26.1			52.7		5,000			Н				×		٠
C-295	CSX	CSX	Corbin	KY	Cartersville	GA	263 46	0.0		38.3			79.3		21,000			1				×		+
C-296	CSX	CSX	Cartersville	GA	Atlanta	GA	78	0.0		16.6			34.2		5.000			1		1		X		+
C-297	CSX	CSX	Atlanta	GA	Manchester	GA	203	0.0		26.0			57.3									X		T
C-298	CSX	CSX	Manchester	GA	Waycross Heidrick	KY	15	0.0							0			Н						T
C-299	CSX	CSX	Corbin	KY		KY	10	0.0					19.8		0	0		П						Т
C-300	_	CSX	Heidrick	KY	Elys	KY	2	0.0							0		-	П						T
C-301	CSX	CSX	Elys	KY	Yingling	KY	4	0.0			_				0			П						T
C-302	CSX	CSX	Yingling	KY	Pineville Harbell	KY	3	0.0				-	12.7					П					T	T
C-303		CSX	Pineville	KY	Ponza	KY	2	0.0						_				П	100					T
C-304	CSX	CSX	Harbell	_		KY	11	0.0		5.5					0			П						T
C-305	CSX	CSX	Ponza	KY	Crosby	KY	4	0.0							0									T
C-306	CSX	CSX	Blackment	KY	Kerr	KY	9	0.0																T
C-307	CSX	CSX	Blackmont	KY	Baxter	KY	8	0.0							1		-	П	7.00					T
C-308	CSX	CSX	Kerr	KY	Harlan	KY	2	0.0										П						1
C-309	CSX	CSX	Baxter			KY	1	0.0								0	-	1		1				T
C-310	CSX	CSX	Dressen Dressen	KY	Harlan Glidden	KY		0.0				_						Т						T

P = Change due to Acquisition

(1) 1000% is reported for B where the pre acq is 0 and the "post" acq is > 0

Page 4 of 25

Master Segment xls 5/14/98

-		-	Total Segments	1.022			35,733	Passe	r Rail L	eight Tra	in Data	ania		Freight	Rell Date					Crite	ria Met		
	Own	ership			ent Description				q. (1995)	1	equisition	Annu	al Million Gr Transporter	ross Tons	Estimate	_	Carloads of erial (1)	123		5	150	*	1:
Seg.	Pre Acq. (1995)	Post Acq	From		То		Seg. Length (ml.)	Pagr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains	Pre Acq	Post Acq.	Percent Change	Pro Acq.	Post Acq	Percent Change	Air Quality	Presenter Train	Freight Train	Incresse in Hazardous Materials	New Key Route	New Major Kay
0-312	CSX	CSX	Glidden	KY	Popeville	KY	2	0.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	8.8	8.8	0%	0	0							
2.313	CSX	CSX	Popeville	KY	KY-VA State Line	KY	7	0.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	8.7	8.7	0%	0								Т
-314	CSX	CSX	KY-VA State Line	VA	Hagans	VA	3	0.0		4.0					0	_					1		L
315	CSX	CSX	Hagans	VA	Pennington	VA	16	0.0							0								1
-316	CSX	CSX	Pennington	VA	Big Stone Gap	VA	16	0.0		4.3					0								1
C-317	CSX	CSX	Louisville	KY	Long Branch	KY	18	0.0		4.2					2,000			_	-	-		-	1
318	CSX	CSX	Long Branch	KY	Skillman	KY	49	0.0		4.0					2,000	1,000	-50%	4	-	-	-	-	+
0-319	CSX	CSX	Skillman	KY	Henderson	KY	60	0.0		4.0				1%	0	0		-	+	+	-	-	+
C-320	CSX	CSX	Big Sandy Jct	KY	Elkhorn City	KY	127	0.0		18.8			44.0		2,000		-50%	1	-	-	-	+	+
C-321	CSX	CSX	Elkhorn City	KY	Frisco	TN	89	0.0		19.3			32.8	7%	2,000		-50%	+	+	+	-	$\vdash$	+
C-322	CSX	CSX	Frisco	TN	Bostic	NC	157	0.0		19.3			45.3		8,000	_	-38%	4	+	+	-	-	+
C-323	CSX	CSX	Bostic	NC	Spartanburg	SC	32	0.0		13.8			30.5	9%	8,000		-100%	+	+	+	-	-	+
C-324	CSX	CSX	Laurens	SC	Spartanburg	SC	38	0.0		12.8		27.3 6.7	6.7	-17%	5,000	1,000	-80%	-	+-	+-	-	-	+
C-325	CSX	CSX	Clinton	SC	Laurens	SC	63	0.0		10.4			12.1	0%	3,000	3,000	0%	-	+	+	-	-	+
C-326	CSX	CSX	Columbia Eastover Jct	SC	Columbia	SC	27	0.0		4.3					3,000	3,000	076	+	-	+	-	-	+
C-327	CSX	CSX	Sumter	SC	Eastover Jct	SC	19	0.0		3.9			4.8		1,000		0%	-	-	+	+	-	+
C-329	CSX	CSX	Surnter	SC	Lane	SC	40	0.0		3.7			4.7	0%	1,000	_	0%	-	-	+	+	-	+
C-328	CSX	CSX	Charlotte	NC	Bostic	NC	73	0.0		7.6			16.9	10%	6,000		33%	-	+	+-	×		+
C-331	CSX	CSX	Monroe	NC	Charlotte	NC	24	0.0	12.0	12.4		18.5	20.3	10%	10,000		-20%	+	+	+	-	+-	+
C-332	CSX	CSX	Augusta	GA	Greenwood	SC	68	0.0		82		17.6	17.3	-2%	1,000		0%	-	+	+	1	-	+
C-333	CSX	CSX	Greenwood	SC	Laurens	SC	28	0.0		9.8		21.6	19.6	-9%	5.000		-80%		1	1		1	+
C-334	CSX	CSX	Weldon	NC	Rocky Mt	NC	37	10.0		25.5			55.9	12%	23,000	24,000	4%	1	×		X		+
C-335	CSX	CSX	Rocky Mt	NC	Contentnea	NC	13	10.0	19.6	27.1	2.5	50.3	53.2	6%	17,000	21,000	24%		×		×		+
C-336	CSX	CSX	Contentnea	NC	Selma	NC	22	10.0	18.2	21.0	2.8	44.4	45.1	2%	17,000	21,000	24%		×		×		T
C-337	CSX	CSX	Selma	NC	Fayetteville	NC	49	6.0	20.4	21.6	1.2	44.8	45.0	0%	19,000	21,000	11%		X		X		T
C-338	CSX	CSX	Fayetteville	NC	Pembroke	NC	31	6.0	22.1	22.2	0.1	43.9	45.4	3%	19,000	24,000	26%				X		Т
C-339	CSX	CSX	Pembroke	NC	Dillon	SC	21	6.0	15.7	17.2	1.5	22.8	28.2	24%	6,000	7,000	17%		X		×		T
C-340	CSX	CSX	Dillon	SC	Florence	SC	31	6.0	15.6	19.0	3.4	33.7	34.6	3%	9,000		-11%		×				П
0-341	CSX	CSX	Florence	SC	Lane	SC	49	6.0	12.7	16.6	3.9	28.8	31.2	8%	8,000	7,000	-13%		×				Т
-342	CSX	CSX	Lane	SC	St Stephen	SC	8	6.0	16.2	19.9	3.7	33.4	35.6	7%	9,000		-22%		×				Т
.343	CSX	CSX	St Stephen	SC	Ashley Jct	SC	39	6,0	12.7	16.5	3.8	29.0	31.0	7%	9,000		-22%		X				Т
-344	CSX	CSX	Ashley Jct	SC	Yemassee	SC	54	6.0	16.7	20.6	3.9		37.9	17%	8,000		25%		X	1	X	X	L
-345	CSX	CSX	Yemassee	SC	Savannah	GA	47	6.0	12.2	16.1	3.9		32.7	21%	7,000		-14%		×				L
346	CSX	CSX	Savannah	GA	Jesup	GA	52	8.0	17.3	22.8	5.5	46.6	50.6	9%	9,000		0%	-	×	-			1
.347	CSX	CSX	Jesup	GA	Waycross	GA	39	0.0	7.2	7.8	0.6	20.1	22.1	10%	5,000		0%	-	-	-		-	1
-348	CSX	CSX	Pembroke	NC	Wiimington	NC	81	0.0	3.5	5.0		9.3	10.5	14%	14.000	-	-7%	-	-	-	-	-	1
349	CSX	CSX	Hamlet	NC	Pembroke	NC	34	0.0	11.8	13.1	1.3	31.6	32.0	1%	26,000		-4%	-	-	-	-	+-	1
350	CSX	CSX	Hamiet	NC	Monroe	NC	53	0.0	20.4	23.0		41.5	43.1	4%	26,000		35%	+	+	+	×	-	+
0-351	CSX	CSX	Monroe	NC	Clinton	SC	92	0.0	13.1	15.6	2.5		28.9	29%	16,000		93%	+	+	-	×	-	+
0-352	CSX	CSX	Clinton	SC	Greenwood	SC	28	0.0	17.1	19.6	2.5		30.1	7%			69%	-+-	+	+	X	-	+
-353	CSX	CSX	Greenwood	SC	Athens	GA	81	0.0	16.1	18.8	2.7	28.3	30.6	8%	21,000	27,000	29%			1	X		

B = Change due to Acquisition.

(1) 1000% is reported for B where the pre acq is 0 and the 'post' acq is > 0.

Post Acquisition

Passenger & Freight Train Data

Pre Acq. (1995)

Freight Sall Data

Annual Million Gross Tons

Transported (1)

Estimated Annual Carloads

Hazardous Material (1)

Seg.	Pre Acq. (1995)	Post Acq	From		То		Seg. Length (ml.)	Psgr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Dec 2	Post Acq.	Percent Change	Pre Acq.	Post Acq	Percent Change	Airo	Noise /	Passen	Fag	Haz.	New Ke	New Ro
C-354	CSX	CSX	Athens	I GA	Atlanta	GA	69	0.0	16.7	21.0	2.3	32.9	37.5	14%	22,000							X		
C-355	CSX	CSX	Atlanta	GA	Lagrange	GA	70	0.0	15.3	16.5	1.2	23.0	25.3	10%	21,000	27,000	29%					X		
C-358	CSX	CSX	Lagrange	GA	Montgomery	AL	100	0.0	11.9	11.2	-0.7	17.3	18.6	7%	22,000							X		
C-357	CSX	CSX	Hamlet	NC	Mcbee	SC	50	2.0	3.4	3.3	-0.1	5.2		7%	4,000							X		
C-358	CSX	CSX	Mcbee	SC	Columbia	SC	108	2.0	4.4	4.4	0.0	5.4		9%	4,000	6,000		_				X		
C-359	CSX	CSX	Columbia	SC	Fairfax	SC	76	2.0	3.9	3.7	-0.2			3%	6,000	6,000	0%						_	
C-360	CSX	CSX	Fairfax	SC	Savannah	GA	62	2.0	12.4	11.6	-0.6	23.1	21.3	-8%	5,000	4,000		_			_		_	
C-361	CSX	CSX	Hamlet	NC	Dillon	SC	42	0.0	8.9		-1.2			4%	4,000	2,000	-50%				_		-	
C-362	CSX	CSX	Dilion	SC	Andrews	SC	74	0.0	4.3	4.2				-13%	1,000	0	-		- 1					_
C-363	CSX	CSX	Andrews	SC	State Jct	SC	28	0.0	2.5	2.5					0						_			_
C-364	CSX	CSX	State Jct	SC	Remount	SC	20	0.0	2.2	2.2					0						_		-	
C-365	CSX	CSX	Remount	SC	Charleston	SC	10	0.0	1.6						4,000						_		-	
C-366	CSX	CSX	Camak	GA	Atlanta	GA	126	0.0	8.1	7.7				-10%	3,000						_		-	
C-367	CSX	CSX	Augusta	GA	Camak	GA	46	0.0	7.1					-5%	3,000	2,000				_	_	-	-	_
C-368	CSX	CSX	Robbins	SC	Augusta	GA	28	0.0	12.9	12.3				-12%	6,000						_		-	
C-389	CSX	CSX	Fairfax	SC	Robbins	SC	29	0.0		12.3				-11%	6,000	4,000	-33%	0			1_	-	-	_
C-370	CSX	CSX	Yemassee	SC	Fairfax	SC	31	0.0	5.0	5.0					0	0						1-	-	_
C-371	CSX	CSX	Mckenzie	TN	Memphis	TN	116	0.0	10.1	12.4					6,000	5,000							1-	_
C-372	CSX	CSX	Nashville	TN	Mckenzie	TN	117	0.0	9.4	11.7				21%	7,000						_		-	
C-373	CSX	CSX	Nashville	TN	Stevenson	AL	113	0.0	20.6	21.1			41.6	4%									-	
C-374	CSX	CSX	Stevenson	AL	Chattanooga	TN	39	0.0	19.6	17.5				2%							_		-	
C-375	CSX	CSX	Chattanooga	TN	Cartersville	GA	87	0.0	17.7	17.4				-2%						-	-	-	-	_
C-376	CSX	CSX	Lagrange	GA	Parkwood	AL	142	0.0	13.5	13.5				21%	8,000					_	-	X	X	-
C-377	CSX	CSX	Manchester	GA	Lagrange	GA	45	0.0	12.0					11%	7,000			_	_	-	-	X	×	-
C-378	CSX	CSX	Waycross	GA	Thomasville	GA	105	0.0	8.0						3,000	2,000	-33%	6		-	-	-	-	-
C-379	CSX	CSX	Thomasville	GA	Metcalf	GA	11	0.0						0%	0	0		_		-	_	-	-	-
C-380	CSX	CSX	Thomasville	GA	Montgomery	AL	210	0.0						0%	2,000						-	X	-	-
C-381	CSX	CSX	Jesup	GA	Folkston	GA	54	8.0							2,000			6		X	-	-	-	-
C-382	CSX	CSX	Jacksonville	FL	Baldwin	FL	18	2.8	21.9						4,000		-100%	6		X	-	-	-	-
C-383	CSX	CSX	Baldwin	FL	Chattahoochee	FL	189	0.8						-13%	21,000				_	-	-	-	-	-
C-384	CSX	CSX	Chattahoochee	FL	Pensacola	FL	161	0.8						-12%	17,000				-	-	-	-	-	-
C-385	CSX	CSX	Pensacola	FL	Flomaton	AL	43	0.8						5%	28,000				_	X	-	-	-	-
C-386	CSX	CSX	Flomaton	AL	Mobile	AL	59	0.8					_	24%	45,000		_		_	-	-	X	-	-
C-387	CSX	CSX	Mobile	AL	New Orleans	LA	143	0.8	20.6	22.7	2.	23.4	34.6	48%	45,000	54,000	20%	6		X		X	-	_

CSX

CSX

CSX

CSX

CSX

CSX

C-388

C-390

C-391

C-392

C-393

C-394

C-395

CSX Waycross

CSX Folkston

CSX Callahan

CSX Baldwin

CSX Plant City

Starke

CSX

CSX Vitis

CSX CSX Callahan

Total Segments 1,022

Rail Line Segment Description

GA Folkston

GA Callahan

FL Plant City

FL Uceta Yard

FL Jacksonville

FL Baldwin

FL Starke

FL Vitis

Ownership

32.4

44.6

18.3

23.3

19.3

9.6

9.6

23.2

33.1

43.9

17.7

22.7

19.3

9.6

9.1

23.5

35

22

21

26

126

19

17

16

FL

FL

FL

FL

FL

FL

FL

0.0

8.0

0.0

2.0

2.0

0.0

4.0

8.0

-0.7 64.6

0.7

0.6

0.6 47.0

0.0 28.8

0.0 25.3

0.5 26.1

-0.3 47.1

95.6

44.4

66.0

84.2

51.0

52.0

40.1

25.8

28.1

45.8

2% 29,000 23,000

-12% 32,000 25,000

15% 25,000 18,000

1196

3%

27,000 27,000

27,000 27,000

6,000 6,000

7,000 7,000

-3% 8,000 8,000

-21%

-22%

-28%

0%

0%

0%

0%

Criteria Met

.

CSX B = Change due to Acquisition

<sup>(1) 1000%</sup> is reported for B where the pre acq is 0 and the "post" acq is > 0

-

				- 1022		-	35,733	Passa	nger & Fr	eight Trai	n Data	71000		Freight	Reil Date		-2			CHILD	ria Met		
	Owne	erahip	Total Segment		ent Description		35,733		q. (1995)	<b>HEADERS</b>	quisition		el Million G			d Annual C	carloads of erial (1)	2 2	2	5	247	*	:
Seg.	Pre Acq. (1995)	Post Acq	From		То		Seg. Length (mi.)	Pegr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains	Pre Acq	Post Acq.	Percent Change	Pre Acq.	Post Acq.	Percent Change	Air Quality Noise Analysis	Passenger Train	Freight Train	Increase in Hazardous Materials	New Yey Route	New Major Key
-396	CSX	CSX	Jacksonville	T FL	Palatka	FL	54	6.8	8.3	8.3	0.0	21.6	21.1	-2%	2,000		0%						1
-397	CSX	CSX	Palatka	FL	Sanford	FL	68	6.8	6.6	6.6	0.0	16.1	15.9	-1%	1,000	1,000	0%		_	-		-	+
-396	CSX	CSX	Sanford	FL	Aloma	FL	27	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0			0	0			_	-	-	-	+
-399	CSX	CSX	Sanford	FL	Orlando	FL	22	4.8				14.0					0%	-	-	-	-	-	+
400	CSX	CSX	Orlando	FL	Auburndale	FL	51	4.0	7.7	9.1	1.4	7.5				1,000	0%		×	1-	-	-	+
-401	CSX	CSX	Auburndale	FL	Lakeland	FL	12	4.0	7.2	8.6		15.9				2,000	0%	-	X	-	-	-	+
-402	CSX	CSX	Lakeland	FL	Winston	FL	4	4.0	17.6	18.9	1.3					16,000	0%	-	X	_	-	-	+
C-403	CSX	CSX	Winston	FL	Plant City	FL	5	4.0		11.1	1.3		19,9	10%		9,000	0%	-	X	+	-	ļ-	+
C-404	CSX	CSX	Auburndale	FL	Sebring	FL	47	6.0		11.3	0.0			2%		1,000	0%	-	-	+-	-	-	+
C-405	CSX	CSX	Sebring	FL	W. Palm Bch	FL	103	6.0		15.6	0.0					1,000	6%	-	-	-	-	-	+
C-408	CSX	CSX	W. Palm Buh	FL	Miami	FL	70	34.0		6.7	0.0			1%		_	0%	-	+	-	+	+-	+
C-407	CSX	CSX	Baltimore	MD	Hanover	PA	55	0.0										-	-	-	-	1	+
C-408	CSX	CSX	Hanover	PA	Hagerstown	MD	57	0.0			0.0							-	+	+	+-	-	+
C-409	CSX	CSX	Harpers Ferry	W	Strasburg Jct	VA	51	0.0										-	-	+	+-	+	+
C-410	CSX	CSX	Green Jct	PA	Brownfield	PA	15	0.0										-	+	+	+-	+-	+
C-411	CSX	CSX	Rankin Jct	PA	Willow Grove	PA	11	2.0										Η-	+	+-	+	+	+
C-412	CSX	CSX	Glenwood Jct	PA	Tylerdale	PA	32	0.0										-	-	+	-	+-	+
C-413	CSX	CSX	Willow Grove	PA	New Castle	PA	56	0.0							0			-	-	-	+	+	+
C-414	CSX	CSX	Wellsboro	IN	N Judson	IN	15	0.0										- 4-	+-	7-4	+-	+	+
C-415	CSX	CSX	Pine Jct	IN	Rock Island Jct	IL	10	0.0										-he	-	-	+	+	+
C-416	CSX	CSX	Dolton	IL	75th Street	IL	8	0.0										-		+-	1 x	-	+
C-417	CSX	CSX	Blue Island Jct	IL	Clearing	IL	15	0.0										-	++	+	+^	+	٠
C-418	CSX	CSX	Joliet	IL	Ottawa	IL	45	0.0									076	-	+	+	+	+	+
0.419	CSX	CSX	Ottawa	IL	Henry	IL	44	0.0										-	+-	-	1	+	+
C-420	CSX	CSX	Grand Rapids	MI	Baldwin	MI	75	0.0										-	-	+-	+	+-	+
2-421	CSX	CSX	Baldwin	MI	Walhalia	MI	13	0.0										+	+-	+-	+	+-	+
-422	CSX	CSX	Walhalia	MI	Ludington	MI	14	0.0				_						-	+	+-	+	+	+
-423	CSX	CSX	Walhalia	MI	Manistee	MI	27	0.0										+	+	+	+	+	+
-424	CSX	CSX	Waverly	MI	Grand Haven	MI	20	0.0										-	-	+	-	+	+
-425	CSX	CSX	Grand Haven	MI	Muskegon	MI	13	0.0										+	+	+	+	+	+
-426	CSX	CSX	Muskegon	MI	Berry	MI	5	0.0								_		-	-	+	-	+-	+
427	CSX	CSX	Berry	MI	Montague	MI	11	0.0										1	-	1		1	+
428	CSX	CSX	Berry	MI	Fremont	MI	20												+	1	1	1	+
-429	CSX	CSX	Saginaw	MI	Midland	MI	20	0.0								0		-	+	1	1	1	+
C-430	CSX	CSX	Saginaw	MI	Bay City	MI	17	0.0						_		0			-	1		1	+
-431	CSX	CSX	Saginaw	MI	Yale	MI	19	0.0											+	1		1	1
-432	CSX	CSX	Port Huron	MI	Belle River	MI	15	0.0								0	-	1	-	1	1	1	+
433	CSX	CSX	Fargo	ON	Blenheim	ON										7.000	0%		1		1	1	+
C-434	CSX	CSX	Chatham	ON	Fargo	ON		0.0														1	+
0-435	CSX	CSX	Chatham	ON	Sarnia	ON	53	0.0									-						1
C-436	CSX	CSX	Blenheim Cambriuge	OH	W Lorne Newark	OH.		0.0								0			1				1

B = Change due to Acquisition

<sup>(1) 1000%</sup> is reported for B where the pre acq is 0 and the "post" acq is > 0.

								Master	Rall L	ING SO	ment T	aute.		Freight F	Rall Data		2000			- 0	riter	ia Met		
			Total Segments	1,022			35,733	Passer	nger & Fr	(Total 19)	10000	Annu	el Million Gr			d Annual C	-doeds of	2	5		5	*	1	:
	Owne	rehip	Rail Line	Segme	ent Description			Pre Acc	1. (1995)	Post A	equisition		Transporter		Heza	rdous Mate	riet (1)	=	:	5	-	-	1	-
Seg.	Pre Acq. (1995)	Post Acq	From		То	- T	Seg. Length (mi.)	Pegr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains	Pre Acq	Post Acq	Percent Change	THE REAL PROPERTY.	Post Acq.	Percent Change	Air Quelly	Noise Analysi	Passenger Tra	Freight Train	Increase in Hazardous Materials	New Kay Rou	New Major Ke
	Charles and the same of	Section 1	Newark	ГОН	Columbus	ТОН	35	0.0	1.6	1.6		1.5		0%	0	0	-	Н	-		-	-	-	+-
438	CSX	CSX	Middletown Jet	OH	Middletown	ОН	11	0.0				13.0			_	1,000	0%	Н		-	_	-		1
439	CSX	CEX	S. Richmond	T VA	Bellwood	VA	8	0.0							1,000			$\mathbf{H}$	=		1	1		1
-440	CSX		Bellwood	VA	Hopewell	VA	16	0.0										Н			1	_	-	1
-441	CSX	CSX	Beliwood	VA	Centralia	VA	3	0.0				_			2,000	2,000		$\mathbf{H}$		-	1		1	t
-442	CSX	CSX	Weldon	NC.	Roanoke Rapids	NC	5	0.0			_										_	-		
-443	CSX	CSX	Weldon	NC	Franklin	VA	41	0.0							1,000	1,000	_	Н		_	-	-	1	1
-444	CSX	CSX	Franklin	VA	Portsmouth	VA	37	0.0							13,000				-	-	1	1	1	+
-445		CSX		NC	Parmele	NC	32	0.0				_			13,000	13,000	- 07	1		_	+	+	+-	+
-446	CSX	CSX	Parmele	NC	Plymouth	NC	37	0.0	2.0						13.000	13,000	_			-	+	+	1	+
-447	CSX	CSX	Parmele	NC	Elmer	NC	36	0.0	2.0			_				_	_	1		-	+-	1	+	+
-448		CSX	Contentnea	NC	Wallace	NC	69	0.0									_	+		+	+	1	1	+
449	CSX	CSX	Warsaw	NC	Moltonville	NC	10	0.0											-	-	+	+	1	+
450	CSX	CSX	Fayetteville	NC	Fort Jct	NC	9	0.0								-		1		-	1	-	1	+
-451	CSX	CSX	Fayetteville	NC.	Vander	NC	6	0.0								_		-		-	+	+	1	+
452	CSX	CSX	St Stephen	SC	Cross	SC	10	0.0												1	+	1	1	+
-453		CSX	Waycross	GA	Brunswick	GA	63	0.0									-	1		+-	+-	-		+
C-454	CSX	_	Waycross	GA	Pearson	GA	30	0.0										+		+	+	+	+	+
C-455	CSX	CSX	Yulee	FL	Fernandina Bch	FL	12	0.0										-	-	+	+	+	1	+
C-456	CSX	CSX	Jacksonville	FL	Seals	GA	41	0.0		_					_			4		+-	+	+	+	+
C-457	CSX		Valrico	FL	Yeoman Yard	FL	9	0.0									_	+-	-	+-	+	+	+	+
C-458	CSX	CSX	Orangeburg	SC	Sumter	SC	44	0.										+	-	+	+	+	+	+
C-459	_	CSX	Belton	SC	Greenville	SC	28	0.							_		-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
C-460		CSX	Greenville	SC	Spartanburg	SC	34										-	+	-	-	+		+	+
C-461	CSX	_	Anderson	SC	Belton	SC	12										-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
C-462	_	CSX	Durham	NC	Joyland	NC	7	0.	0 1.				_		_		- 10	+	-	+	+	1	+	+
C-463	_	CSX	Apex	NC	Durham	NC	22								_		0 -	+	+-	+	+	-	-	-
C-464	_	CSX	Norlina	NC	Raleigh	NC	55	0.										-	-	+	+	+	+	+
C-485		CSX	Raleigh	NC	Hamlet	NC	97	2.					5 4				0 -	-	+	+	+	1	-	+
C-466		_		SC	Robinson	SC	7	0			0 0.		4 0				0 -	+	+-	+	+	-	+	+
C-46		CSX		NC.		NC	24	0.			2 0		6 1.				0 -	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
C-466	_			AL	Western Jct	AL	51	0.			0 0		5 1.				0 -	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
C-46	_	CSX		GA	Harliee	GA	56	0	0 2		.8 0		5 5			**		-	+-	+-	+	+	+	+
C-47				SC	Pennyroyal Jct	SC		0					7 5			_			+	+	+	-	+	1
C-47		CSX		SC		SC		0			.2 0		1 3				0 -	~	+-	+	+	+-	+	+
C-47				FL	N Shore Jct	FL		0	_		.8 -0			6 0				04	+	+	+	1	+	1
C-47	_			GA		FL		C			0 0			2 0				_	+-	+	+	×	-	+
C-47			THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAME	IN	Chrisman	IL		0		_				0 8	_		_	_	+	+	+	1 x	+	+
C-47	_	CSX		IL	Decatur	IL	69	0							% 1.00	_	_	_	+	+-	+	1 ^	+	1
C-47	_		_	TN		AL			0 2			_			% 1.00		-	7	1	-	+	-	1	-1
C-47	_	_		AL		AL			0 2		_				16	-	0 -	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
C-47	B CSX	_		IN		IN			0 2	.0 2	2.0	1.0	1.4	4 0	y.	0	0	1	_	_			-	-

B = Change due to Acquisition.

<sup>(1) 1000%</sup> is reported for B where the pre acq is 0 and the "post" acq is > 0.

-	1				
-					
	-				
	-	۰	۰	6	

								Master	Rail Li	ine Seg	ment T	able						_		C-lac	1- 10-1		_
-	_		Total Segments	1,022		Т	35,733	Passe	nger & Fro	eight Trai	n Data			Freight	Rail Data			-	_	Criter	ia Met	_	-
	Own	erahip			nt Description	1		0.00	ı. (1995)	100000	quisition	Anno	Transport			d Annusi C rdous Mate	carloads of oriel (1)	2 2	2	5	25	*	=
Seg.	Pre Acq. (1995)	Post Acq.	From		То		Seg. Length (mi.)	Psgr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains		q. Post Acc		Total Control of the	Post Acq.	Percent Change	Air Quality Noise Analysis	Passenger Train	Freight Train	Increase in Hazardous Materials	New Key Route	New Major Key
-480	CSX	CSX	Evansville	IN	Adams	IN	9	0.0	3.7	3.7	0.0	6.			3,000	3,000	0%	+	+	+	-	+	+
-481	CSX	CSX	Adams	IN	Carmi	IL.	28	0.0	2.6	2.6	0.0	3.			3,000		0%	-	+	+-	_	+-	+
-482	CSX	CSX	Adams	IN	Abee	IN	6	0.0		0.8	0.0	0.				0,000	-	_	+	1			
C-483	CSX	CSX	Carmi	IL	Venedy	IL	89	0.0		0.6		2.						-					
C-484	CSX	CSX	Kronos	KY		KY	5	0.0		1.2		- 2											T
C-485	CSX	CSX	Kronos	KY	Wilson Sta	KY	4	0.0		2.1													I
C-486	CSX	CSX	Moorman	KY	Drakesboro	KY	13	0.0		5.8													
C-487	CSX	CSX	Morton	KY	Atkinson	KY	5	0.0		3.8											1		
C-486	CSX	CSX	Atkinson	KY	Providence	KY	19	0.0		2.6													Г
C-489	CSX	CSX	Providence	KY	Dotiki	KY	19	0.0									- 9						
C-490		CSX	Millport	KY	Atkinson	KY	19	0.0					_										I
C-491	CSX	CSX	Como	KY	Zeigler 9 (NW)	KY	6	0.0				_					-						
C-492		CSX	Drakesboro	KY	Sinclair	KY	6	0.0						_						9	1000		
C-493	_	CSX	Dent	KY	Jim Hill	AL.	13	0.0												N			
C-494		CSX	Black Crk	AL	Chetopa	AL.	10	0.0					_		6 0	0	-						
C-495		CSX	Magella	AL	Bessemer	AL	30	0.0			-	_			6 0	0	-						
C-496	_	CSX	Attalia	AL	Guntersville	AL	22	0.0				_			6 0	0	-					_	1
C-497	_	CSX	Attails	AL	Wellington Blue Crk Jct	AL	15	0.0						8 09	6 0								-
C-496	_	CSX	Boyles	AL	Valley Crk	AL	8	0.0					6 9	6 09							-	1	+
C-499	_	CSX	Blue Crk Jct	AL	Mt Pinson	AL	10	0.0				0	2 0	2 09					_	_		1	-
C-500	_	CSX	Boyles	AL	Western Jct	AL	3	0.0				1	5 1	5 09	6 (				_	-		1	-
C-50		CSX	Selma	AL	Myrtlewood	AL	61	0.0				1	2 1	2 09	6							_	1
C-502		CSX	Selma	AL	Autauga Crk	AL	12	0.0			0.0	1	3 1	3 09					_	_		1-	+
C-503	_	CSX	Montgomery	TN	Patty	TN	9	0.0		1.0	0.0	0	7 0	7 09	6 (	0			_	_		-	4
C-504	_	CSX	Calhoun	TN	Harriman	TN	24	0.0			0.0	0	7 0	7 09	6 (							1	+
C-505		CSX	Dossett	TN	Blue Ridge	GA	61	0.0		1.2	0.0	1	4 1	4 09						_			+
C-500	_	CSX	Etowah	KY	Warsaw	KY	20	0.0	2.4	2.4	0.0	1	.0 1	0 09	6 1,000			1	_	_	-	-	+
C-50		CSX	Worthville	KY	Medora	KY	10	0.0		2.1	0.0	9	1 9					1	-	_	-	+	+
C-508	-	CSX	Louisville Louisville	KY	Watson	IN	7	0.0	1.6	1.6	0.0	1		.8 09		) (		1	-	-	-	+	+
C-509		CSX	Mckenzie	TN	Dresden	TN	16	0.0	1.6	1.0	0.0	0		.6 09	_	0 (		Н	-	-	-	+	+
C-510		CSX		KY	Glasgow	KY	10	0.0		0.0	0.0			4 09		0		1	-	-	-	+-	+
C-51		CSX	Park City Rockmart	GA	Stilesboro Jct	GA	22	0.0		1.3	2 0.0	3		.0 09		0 0		1	+	-	-	+	+
C-512	_	CSX	Stilesboro Jct	GA	Stilesboro	GA	3	0.0	4.0	4.0			_		_	0		1	-	-	-	+	+
C-51	_	CSX	Monon	IN	Monticello	IN	10	0.0	0.2	0.3				.0 09	~	0 (		1	+	-	-	+	+
C-51		CSX	Monon	IN	Medaryville	IN	15	0.0	0.4	0.4				.6 0		0	-	1	+	-	-	+	+
C-51	-	_	Greencastle	IN	Bloomington	IN	24	0.0	0.0	0.1				.1 0		0		-	-	+	-	+	+
C-51				IN	Louisville	KY	67	0.0	7.0	4.6				.1 -63	_		_	1	-	-	-	+	+
C-51	_			KY	Doe Run	KY	1	0.0	_	4.0				.7 0		2,00		1	+	-	-	+	+
C-51				w	Hamrshire	w	11	0.0		3.				.0 0		0	0 .	-	-	-	-	+	+
C-51		_		w	MD-WV State Line	w	29	0.0		3.	4 0.			.7 0		0	0 -	-	-	-	-	-	+
C-52	_	_			Bayard	w	33	0.0	3.4	3.	4 0.	0 4	7	7 0	%	0	0 -						

B = Change due to Acquisition.

(1) 1000% is reported for B where the pre acq. is 0 and the "post" acq. is > 0.

#### Attachment T-1

_		_					35,733		Rail L			abie		Freight	Rail Data					C	ritori	ia Met		
	4	-72	Total Segments				30,133		q. (1995)		quisition		al Million G	ross Tons	Estimate	d Annual C		2	23	16	15	24	*	2
Seg.	Pre Acq.	ership	From	Segme	nt Description		Seg. Length (ml.)	Pagr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains		Post Acq.	Percent		Post Acq.	Percent Change	Air Quality 1	Noise Analysis	Passenger Train	Freight Train	increase in Hazardous Materials	New Key Route	New Major Key
ID#	(1995)	Prist Acq		w	Henry	Tw	6	0.0	1.2	1.2	0.0	1.7		0%	0	0		П		10.00		-		
C-522	CSX		Bayard MK Jct	W	Kingwood	w	18	0.0		1.2	0.0	1.9		0%	0	0								
C-523	CSX	CSX	Grafton	W.	WD Tower	w	27	0.0		3.5	1.9	4.8	7.6	59%	0	0	-							1
C-524	CSX	CSX	W Marietta	OH	Relief	ОН	27	0.0		1.8	0.0	2.2	2.2	0%	0	0			10					-
-525		CSX	Belpre	OH	W Marietta	ОН	12	0.0			0.0	2.4	2.4	0%	0	0								1
C-526	CSX	CSX	Balpre	OH	Prinkersburg	ОН	1	0.0			0.0	3.1	3.1	0%	0									-
C-527	CSX	CSX	Berkeley Jct	w	Berryburg Jct	w	11	0.0	7.2	7.2	0.0	13.5	13.5	0%				Ш					-	-
C-528	CSX	CSX	Berryburg Jct	w	Tygart Jct	w	11	0.0	7.2	7.2	0.0	10.6	10.6	0%	0	0								-
-529	CSX	CSX	Typart Jct	w	Century Jct	w	4	0.0				10.6									_	_	-	+
2-530 2-531	CSX	CSX	Century Jct	w	Buckhannon	w	13	0.0				9.7		0%								-	+	+
-532	CSX	CSX	Buckhannon	w	Hampton Jel	w	6	0.0		5.6	0.0	9.3			0							_	-	-
-533	CSX	CSX	Hampton Jct	w	Burnsville Jet	w	31	0.0	5.6	5.6	0.0	8.7						ш					-	+
-534	CSX	CSX	Burnsville Jct	w	WN Tower	w	42	0.0	5.4	5.4	0.0	7.3	7.3				_						-	+
-535	CSX	CSX	WN Tower	w	Allingdale	W	11	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.2	0.2	0%		4		ш					-	+
_	CSX	CSX	Tygart Jct	w	Norton	Tw		0.0	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.1	0.1			0							-	+
-536	CSX	CEX	Norton	w	Elkins	w		0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0%							_		-	+
-537	CSX	CSX	Burnsville Jct	w	Gilme	w		0.0	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0%		0					_		-	1
-538	CSX	CSX	Hampton Jct	w	IC Jet	w		0.0	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.6	0.6	0%		0							-	1.
-539	CSX	CSX	IC Jct	w	Alexander	w		0.0	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.6	0.6	0%		0							-	1
-540	CSX	CSX	Berryburg Jct	w	Sentinal	w	13	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.0	2.5	2.9			0							-	1
0-541		CSX	Century Jct	w	Century	w		0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0%	6	0	-				_		1-	1
C-542	_	CSX	WN Tower	w	Donaldson W	w	3	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2			0							-	+
C-543		CSX	Donaldson W	w	Beckley No 1	w		0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1			0					_		-	+
		CSX	St Albans	w	Sproul	w		0.0		16.0	0.0	53.0	53.0			0	-				_	-	-	+
C-546	_	CSX	Spreul	w	Madison	W	22	0.0	9.6	9.6	0.0	33.2	33.2			0		1			_	-	-	+
-547		CSX	Madison	w	Clothier	W	12	0.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	10.2	10.2			0 0		1		-	-	-	-	+
C-548	_	CSX	Clothier	w	Sharples	w	3	0.0	2.6	2.6	0.0	9.				0	_	_			-	-	-	4
C-549	_	CSX	Sharples	w	Mondo	W	1	0.0	2.6	2.6	0.0	9.0				0 0				_	_	-	+	-
C-550	_	CSX	Barboursville	w	Logan	W	65	0.0	6.6	6.6	0.0	21.3				0 0			1	-	-	-	+-	+
C-551	_	CSX	Logan	w	Stollings	W	2	0.0	4.2	4.2	0.0	13.4	13.4			0 0	_	_		_	-	-	-	4
C-552	_	CSX	Stollings	w	Rum Jci	W		0.0								0 0		-	_	-	-	-	-	+
C-553	_	CSX	Rum Jct	w	Gilbert Yard	W	21	0.0								0 0		-	-	-	-	-	+	+
C-554	CSX	CSX	Meadow Crk	w	Rainelle Jct	w		0.0	1.5	1.3						0 0	_	-		-	-	-	+	+
C-555	_	CSX	Ruinelle Jct	w	Swiss Jct	w		0.0								0 0		-		-	-	-	+	+
C-556		CSX	Rainelle Jct	w	Clearco	w	24	0.0								0 0		-	-	-	-	-	+-	+
2-557	CSX	CSX	Greenbrir E J	w	Peaser Jct	w	13	0.0								0 0		-	-	-	-	-	-	+
0.558		CSX	Peaser Jct	w	Line	W	1	0.0								0 0		-	-	-	-	-	-	+
559	_	CSX	Prince	w	Glen Daniels Jct	w	27	0.0							_	0 0		-	-	_	+-	-	+	+
_	CSX	CSX	Raleigh	w	Stone Coal Jct	w	20	0.0	0.1	0.1						0 (		-		-	-	-	+	+
561	CSX	CSX	Beckley Jct	w	Cranberry	w	6	0.0								0 0		-	_	-	-	-	+	+
C-562	CSX	CSX	Glen Daniels Jct	w	Maple Meadow	W	4	0.0							_	0 0		-	_	-	-	-	+	+
0-563	_	CSX	Gauley Br	w	Rich Crk Jct	Two	7	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.	1 0.1	09	6	0 (	1			1				

B = Change due to Acquisition

(1) 1000% is reported for B where the pre acq. is 0 and the "post" acq. is > 0.

#### Attachment T-1

_						_	T ac 200	Maste	nger & Fr	ine Seg	ment 1	able	-	Freinht	Rall Data	_		_		-	Criter	ia Met		
			Total Segments	1,022		- 11	35,733	Passe	nger & Fr	-		Annu	al Million G			ed Annual (	Carloads of	-		_			-	-
	Own	erahip	Rall Line	e Segm	ent Description			Pre Acc	į. (1995)	Post A	equisition		Transporte			rdous Mate		12	5		5	2	1	=
Seg.	Pre Acq. (1995)	Post Acq	From		То		Seg. Length (ml.)	Pagr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains	Charles Service	Post Acq		100000000	Post Acq.	Percent Change	Air Quality	Noise Analysis	Passanger Train	Freight Train	increase in Hazardous Materials	New Key Route	New Major Key Route
C-564	CSX	CSX	Madison	w	Harris	W		0.0	6.4	6.4	0.0	17.3	17.3		0		_	1	-		-			-
C-565	CSX	CSX	Van Jct	W	Robin Hood	W		0.0	0.6	0.6		1.6	1,6		0			Н	-	-	$\vdash$	-	-	-
C-566	CSX	CSX	Robinson Crk Jct	W	Holbrook	W		0.0	0.6				1.8		0			Н	-	-	-	-	-	-
C-567	CSX	CSX	Sproul	w	Elk Run Jct	W		0.0					18.9		0		:	Н	-	-	-	1	-	-
C-568	CSX	CSX	Elk Run Jct	w	Jarroids Vall	W		0.0					4,9		0			Н		-	-	+-		
C-569	CSX	CSX	Seth	w	Prenter No 5	W		0.0					2.8		0			-	-	-	-	-	-	
C-570	CSX	CSX	Jarroids Vall	w	Pettus	w		0.0							- 0			1			-	-	-	-
C-571	CSX	CSX	Pettus	w	Marfork	w		0.0										Н		-	-	+	-	-
C-572	CSX	CSX	Pettus	W	Sundial	w		0.0		0.6								-	-	-	-	-	-	-
C-573	CSX	CSX	Wylo	w	Elk Crk No 1	w		0.0							0		_	-	-	-		-	-	-
C-574	CSX	CSX	Man	w	Buffalo Mine	W		0.0				_			- 0			-		-	_		-	-
C-575	CSX	CSX	Snap Crk Jct	w	Don	W		0.0		0.1			0.1		1 0			-	-		-		-	-
C-576	CSX	CSX	Rum Jct	w	Macgregor	W		0.0				-			- 0		_	+	$\vdash$		-		+	-
C-577	CSX	CSX	Stollings	w	Band Mill Jct	W		0.0		0.1	0.0				1 0		_	-		-		-	1	-
C-578	CSX	CSX	Band Mill Jct	W	Melville	W		0.0		0.1								-		-	-	-	+-	-
C-579	CSX	CSX	Logan	W	Trace Jct	w		0.0		1.8					0			-	-	-	-	-	+	-
C-580	CSX	CSX	Monitor Jct	W	Omar	W		0.0										-	-	-	-	-	-	+
C-581	CSX	CSX	Logan	w	Hobet No 7	w		0.0		1.4					- 0			-		-	-	-	-	+
C-582	CSX	CSX	Levisa Jct	KY	Slones Branch	KY		0.0										+	-	-	+-	-	+	+-
C-583	CSX	CSX	Rum Jct	W	Island Crk No 2	W	_	0.0										₽	-	-	-	-	-	-
C-584	CSX	CSX	Glade Crk Jct	W	Caren	W		0.0									_	-	-	-	+-	-	-	+
C-585	CSX	CSX	Dawkins	KY	Skyline	KY	35	0.0								0		+	-	-	-	-	-	-
C-586	CSX	CSX	Shelby Jct	KY	Myra 1	KY	15	0.0								0		+	-	-	-	-	-	-
C-587	CSX	CSX	Coalrun	KY	Burke Station	KY	31	0.0									_	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
C-588	CSX	CSX	Pennington	VA	St Charles	VA	5	0.0										+	-	-	-	-	-	-
C-589	CSX	CSX	St Charles	VA	Turners Sta	VA		0.0								0	_	+	-	-	+	-	-	+
C-590	CSX	CSX	Paskert	VA	St Charles	VA	1	0.0								0		+	-	-	$\vdash$	-	+	+
C-591	CSX	CSX	Savoy	KY	Gatiff	KY	18	0.0		_								+-	-	-	+	+	+-	-
C-592	CSX	CSX	Heidrick	KY	Horse Crk Jct	KY	22	0.0								0		+	-	-	-	-	+	+
C-593	CSX	CSX	Paskert	VA	Mayflower	VA	2	0.0										+	-	-	+-	-	-	+
C-594	CSX	CSX	Harbell	KY	Middlesboro	KY	10	0.0								0 0	_	+	-	-	+	-	+	-
C-595	CSX	CSX	Cato	KY	Popeville	KY	1	0.0								0 0		+	-	-	-	-	+	-
C-596	CSX	CSX	Cato	KY	Crummies	KY	2	0.0								0 0	-	+-	-	-	-	1	+-	-
C-597	CSX	CSX	Middlesboro	KY	Stony Fork Jct	KY	3	0.0										+	-	-	-	+-	+	+
C-598	CSX	CSX	Stony Fork Jct	KY	Burley	KY	3	0.0								0 0		+	-	+-	-	+	+	-
C-599	CSX	CSX	Glidden	KY	Creech	KY	2	0.0								0 0	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-
C-600	CSX	CSX	Straight Crk	KY	Clover	KY	21	0.0								0 0		+	-	-	+-	+-	+	-
C-601	CSX	CSX	Straight Crk	KY	Heyburn	KY	5	0.0								0 0		+	-	-	+-	1	+	+-
C-602	CSX	CSX	Heyburn	KY	Wen-Lar	KY	7	0.0								0 0	_	+	-	-	+	-	+	+
C-603	CSX	CSX	Туро	KY	Wahoo	KY	3	0.0										+	-	-	-	1	-	+
C-804	CSX	CSX	Jeff	KY	Kenmont	KY	1	0.0								0 0		+	-	-	-	1	-	+
C-605	_	CSX	Blackey	KY	Hot Spot	KY	7	0.0	0.9	0.9	0.0	2.0	2.0	1 09	1	-	1	_	_	_	_	1		_

B = Change due to Acquisition.

(1) 1000% is reported for B where the pre acq is 0 and 'ne "post" acq is > 0.

aster Rail	Line	Segmen	t Table
------------	------	--------	---------

_	_					-	35,733		nger & Fre		ment T	able		Freight	Rail Data		_		_	C	ritor	a Met		
			Total Segmen				35,733		1 TO 1 TO 1	No. of Contract of	11000	Annu	al Million G			ed Annual C	Carloads of	-					-	L
	Own	ership	Rail Lir	ne Segm	ent Description			Pre Acc	ı. (1995)	Post A	quisition		Transporte			rdous Male		2	5	5	51	247	1	1:
Seg.	Pre Acq. (1995)	Post Acq	From		То		Seg. Length (mi.)	Psgr. Treins	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains	Pre Acq	Post Acq.	Percent Change	Division of the last of	Post Acq.	Percent Change	Air Quality	Noise Analysis	Passenger Train	Freight Train	Hazardous Materials	New Key Route	New Major Key
C-606	CSX	CSX	Jeff	KY	Vicco	KY	6	0.0	1.6	1.6	0.0	3.6			0			H					-	+
C-607	CSX	CSX	Pat	KY	Sapphire	KY	2	0.0	2.2	22		4.8			0			Н		_			-	+
C-608	CSX	CSX	Baxter	KY	Cloverlick Jct	KY	21	0.0	3,3	3.3		7.2			0			H	-			-	-	+
C-608	CSX	CSX	Cloverlick Jct	KY	Lynch 3	KY	1	0.0				6.7			0			H		-		-	-	+
C-610	CSX	CSX	Harian	KY	Parkdale	KY	8	0.0				2.6			0			Н		-	_		-	٠
C-611	CSX	CSX	Parkdale	KY	Pilabury	KY	1	0.0	0.9			2.0			- 0			₩				-	-	٠
C-612	CSX	CSX	Pillsbury	KY	Highsplint	KY	6	0.0	0.9			2.0			- 0			++	_				-	+
C-613	CSX	CSX	Highsplint	KY	Glenbrook	KY	13	0.0				0.6			0			1	-			-	-	+
C-614	CSX	CSX	Buffen	KY	Blue Grass 4	KY	3	0.0				0.5			- 0			H	-	-		-	-	+
C-615	CSX	CSX	Dressen	KY	Guiston	KY	4	0.0				0.1			0			H					-	+
C-616	CSX	CSX	Guiston	KY	Bardo	KY	3	0.0	2.7						0			H						+
C-617	CSX	CSX	N Hazard	KY	Duane	KY	1	0.0	0.0					_	0			H						٠
C-618	CSX	CSX	Parkdale	KY	Kenvir 3	KY		0.0							0			H					-	٠
C-619	CSX	CSX	High Springs	FL	Newberry	FL	42	0.0				6.5			0			H					_	+
C-620	CSX	CSX	Starke	FL	Newberry	FL	47	0.0				5.3			0			H						٠
C-621	CSX	CSX	Newberry	FL	Dunnellon	FL	10	0.0				5.3			0		-	H						+
C-622	CSX	CSX	Dunnellon	FL	Red Level Jct Lakeland	FL	19	2.0				17.3			21,000		0%	1						+
C-623	CSX	CSX	Vitis	FL	Eaton Park	FL	5	0.0							0			H						٠
C-624	CSX	CSX	Lakeland	FL	Bowling Green	FL	19	0.0							-	0	-	H						1
C-625	CSX	CSX	Bartow	_	Gainesville	FL	14	0.0							-			H						٠
C-626	CSX	CSX	Burnetts Lake	FL	St Petersburg	FL	15	0.0				0.3			0			H						T
C-627	CSX	CSX	Clearwater	FL	Keuka	FL	11	0.0							0			H						+
C-628	CSX	CSX	Hawthorne Winston	FL	Mulberry	FL	12	0.0							19,000	19,000	0%	1						1
C-629	CSX	CSX	Achan	FL	Mulberry	FL	6	0.0				9.4	_				0%	_						1
C-530	CSX	CSX	Achan	FL	Bonnie	FL	4	0.0							0	0		17						1
C-631	CSX	CSX	Achen	FL	Green Bay	FL	4	0.0					13.7	0%	10,000	10,000	0%							T
C-632	_	CSX	Green Bay	FL	Noralyn	FL	1	0.0					4.0	0%	0	0		T						T
C-633	CSX	CSX	Agricola	FL	Green Bay	FL	4	0.0			0.0	9.9	9.9	0%	2,000	2,000	0%							I
C-635		CSX	Yeoman Yard	FL	Sutton	FL	5	0.0				37.5	37.5	0%	0	0		П						T
C-636	CSX	CSX	Sutton	FL	Big Bend Jct	FL	8	0.0			0.0	18.2	18.2	0%		0		П				1		T
C-637	CSX	CSX	Big Bend Jct	FL	Oneco	FL	28	0.0	2.8	2.8	0.0	3.3	3.3	0%		0								
C-638	_	CSX	Welcome Jct	FL	Plant City	FL	11	0.0			0.0	3.1				0	-							L
C-639	-	CSX	Edison Jct	FL	Welcome Jct	FL	2	0.0	10.9							0	-							1
C-640	_	CSX	Edison Jct	FL	Mulberry	FL	5	0.0	24.0	24.0													-	1
C-641	CSX	CSX	Alert	FL	Bartow	FL	5	0.0							2,000		0%					_	-	1
C-642		CSX	Edison Jet	FL	Brewster	FL	11	0.0							-	0	-				-	_	-	1
C-643	CSX	CSX	Brewster	FL	Agrock	FL	4	0.0												_	-	-	-	+
C-644	CSX	CSX	Agrock	FL	Four Corners	FL	12	0.0								0					-	-	-	1
C-845	CSX	CSX	Agrock	FL	Arcadia	FL	35	0.0								0				-	-	-	-	+
C-646	CSX	CSX	Brewster	FL	Lonesome	FL	12	0.0								0		$\mathbf{H}$		-	-	-	-	+
C-647	CSX	CSX	Bradley Jct	FL	Pierce	FL	6	0.0	12.0	12.0	0.0	3.2	3.2	2 0%		0	1	ш					1	L

B = Change due to Acquisition.

<sup>(1) 1000%</sup> is reported for B where the pre acq is 0 and the "post" acq is > 0.

								Master	I VOIT L	ine de	- Bata	-		Freight	Pail Date			2000		Criter	a Met	5000	
- 8			Total Segmen	ts 1,022			35,733	Passe	nger & Fr	eight Trai	n Data					d Annual C	ortenda of						
	Own	nership	Rail Lin	e Segme	ent Description			Pre Acq	(1995)	Post Ac	noitisiup		al Million Gr Transported			rdous Mate		5 5	2	2	247	*	=
Seg.	Pre Acc (1995)		q. From		To		Seg. Length (mi.)	Pagr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains	The second	. Post Acq.	Percent Change	Military Co.	Post Acq.	Discount of the	Noise Analysis	Passenger Train	Freight Train	Hezardous Meterials	New Key Routs	New Major Key
-648	CSX	CSX	Achan	FL	Pierce	FL	5	0.0	1.5	1.5	0.0			0%			0%	+-	+	-	-		+
649	CSX			FL	Bonnie	FL	2	0.0	4.0	4.0	0.0				1,000		0%	-	+	+	-	-	Н
-650	CSX		Bradley Jct	FL	Agricola	FL	7	0.0	12.0				13.1	0%	0.000	0	0%	+-	+	+-	_		۰
651	CSX		Agricola	FL	Rockland Jct	FL	8	0.0	4.0									-	+	+	-	-	٠
-652	CSX	_	Hialeah	FL	Homestead	FL	30	0.0	0.8	0.8					0			+	+-	-	-	-	٠
-653	CSX	_	Gary	FL	Sulphur Sprgs	FL	5	0.0	8.2	8.2					0			-	+	-	-	-	٠
C-654	CSX		Sulphur Sprgs	FL	Clearwater	FL	26	0.0	2.2	2.2					0			+	+	+	-	-	٠
C-655	CSX			FL	Valrico	FL	12	0.0	20.4	20.4			_	0%	0			-	+	+	-	-	۰
C-858	CSX			FL	Rock	FL	45	0.0	1.2	1.2								-	+	+-	-	-	Н
C-657	CR			ОН	Hooking	ОН	1	0.0		9.5				-60%				+	-	+	-	-	٠
C-658	CR			OH	Columbus	OH	58	0.0	13.4	7.5				-59%				-	+	+	-	-	+
C-859	CR	_		ОН	Galion	OH	3	0.0	28.3	26.5		_		-22%			-68%	+-	-	+	-	-	٠
2-660	CR	_		ОН	Marion	OH	23	0.0	18.6	23.6					32,000		-50%	+	+	-		-	+
2-661	CR		_	ОН	Sidney	OH	38	0.0	24.2						44,000		-39%	-	+	-	-	-	٠
C-662	CR			ОН	So. Anderson	IN	86	0.0	29.4								-50%	-	-	-	-	-	+
C-663	CR	_		IN	Indianapolis	IN	35	0.0	32.0	25.7							-58%	-	+	-	-	-	+
C-864	CR			IN	Avon	IN	13	0.0	26.0					-38%	52.000			-	+	+	-	-	+
C-665	CR	_		IN	Greencastie	IN	28	0.0						-19%				+	+	-	-	-	+
C-666	CR	_		IN	Terre Haute	IN	32	0.0	26.4					-20%			_	-	+	-		-	H
C-667	CR			IN	Effingham	IL	69	0.0										-	+-	+-	-	-	+
C-668	CR			IL	St Elmo	IL	14	0.0	22.3	14.1	-8.2							-	-	-	-	-	+
C-669	CR			10.	E St Louis	IL	83	0.0	16.0									-	+	+	-	-	+
C-670	CR			IN	Paris	IL	22	0.0	1.6	1.7	0.1	1. 1.7					-100%		-	+	-	-	+
C-871	CR			IL	Chrisman	IL	11	0.0	1.6	0.0									-	+-	-	-	+
C-672	CR	_		IL	Danville	IL	25	0.0	1.6									_	-	-	-	-	+
C-673	CR	_		IL	Olin	IN	11	0.0	1.8										-	-	-	-	+
C-674	CR			IN	Kraft	IN	3	1.4	7.8	9.8									X	_	-	-	+
	CR	_		IN	Avon	IN	6	1.4	9.6	11.6	2.0								X	_	-	-	+
-676	CR			IN	Clermont	IN	4	1.4	8.8	10.9									×	_	X	-	+
C-877	CR			IN	Crawfordsville	IN	34	1.4	7.4	9.5	2.	1 11.1					1000%		X	-	×	-	+
	CR			IN	Frankfort	IN	37	0.0	1.4	1.4									-	-	_	-	+
C-678	CR	_		IN	Indianapolis	IN	28	0.0	1.6	1.6									_	_	1	_	+
C-679		_		OH	Dunkirk	ОН	57	0.0	11.6	1.4	-10.	2 19.					-			-	_	-	4.
-680	CR	_		ОН	Ridgeway	ОН	21	0.0	13.2	1.4	-11.						-		_	-		-	1
C-681	CR		-	ОН	Marysville	ОН		0.0	22.2	9.4	-12.1	8 27.	0 13.9			0 0						1_	1
C-682	CR			OH	Darby	ОН		0.0		5.0									-		-	1	1
C-683	CR	_		OH	Mounds	ОН		0.0	2.2	2.0	-0.	2 2											1-
C-684	CR	_		OH	Scioto	ОН		0.0			-0.	2 2.					_					1	+
C-685	CR	_		IN	Adams	IN	16	0.0		1.4	0.0	0 1.				0 0			-		-	-	+
C-686	CR			N'	Draw	NY	2	2.0		58.	5 2		_			_			X		X	-	1
C-687	CR			WY	Buff Crk Jct	NY				52.									_	-	×	-	1
C-689	CR			+ NY		NY		2.0	55.1	52.	5 -3.	3 103	8 101.3	-29	6 43,00	0 47,000	9%				X		T

B = Change due to Acquisition

-	i
7	9

				_		-		Passer	nger & Fre	light Trai	ment T	abie		Freight I	Rall Data				_	Criter	ia Met		
	Owne	ership	Total Segments  Rail Line 5		ent Description	13	15,733	Pre Acq	1000		quisition		Million Gr Transported	oes Tons	Estimate			2 6		5	247	*	2
Seg.	Pre Acq. (1995)	Post Acq.	From		То	1	Seg. Length (mi.)	Pagr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains	Special	Post Acq.	Percent Change	The Contract of	Post Acq	Percent Change	Air Quality Noise Analysis	Passenger Train	Freight Train	Mazardous Materials	New Key Route	New Major Key
-690	CR	CSX	Buff Seneca	NY		HC	123	2.0	50.1	49.6	-0.5	102.6		-2% -85%	40,000 36,000		10%	+	+	-	-	-	+
-691	CR	CSX	Quaker	OH		ЭН	8	2.0	53,4	11.7	-41.7	110.5		-100%	4.000		-100%	+	+	-			٠
-692	CR	CSX	Porter	IN		IN	6	0.0	9.6	0.0		21.3		24%	4,000		25%	-		1	X		T
-693	CR	CSX	Willow Creek	IN	11,511,100	IN	13	0.0	9.6	13.4		2.2		0%		0		_		1			Т
-694	CR	CSX	Woodville	ОН	Charles in the case of the cas	HC	14	0.0	2.8	2.8		38.6				0	-100%		_			1	T
-695	CR	CSX	CP Maumee	ОН	-	ЭН	1	0.0	15.2	4.0		38.6			0	_							Т
C-696	CR	CSX	Oak	OH		ОН	2	0.0	15.2			26.3			0				1				T
C-697	CR	CSX	Readville	MA	Dogum	AM	9	150.0	0.1						0				1				T
C-698	CR	CSX	Mansfield	MA	11000011111	MA	16	84.0	4.0			_						11					T
699	CR	CSX	Attleboro	MA	COLUMN TO THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PERTY OF	MA	7	48.0															Τ
-700	CR	CSX	MA-RI State Line	RI	- Titlebore	MA	6	26.0															T
-701	CR	CSX	Bridgeport	CT		СТ	16	102.0															Т
-702	CR	CSX	Norwalk	CT		CT	16	92.0	5.0														T
-703	CR	CSX	New Rochelle	NY	TO STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	CT	25	225.0															T
-704	CR	CSX	Woodlawn	NY		NY	5	212.0															T
-705	CR	CSX	MO	NY		NY	6	332.0						_					1				T
-708	CR	CSX	Mill River	CT	-	СТ	7	0.0								_							T
2-707	CR	CSX	Readville	MA	110-00-0	AAS	10	38.0															T
-708	CR	CSX	Walpole	MA	T. Territoria	AM	9	32.0 46.0															T
-709	CR	CSX	Transfer	MA	TONG	MA	10																I
C-710	CR	CSX	Attleboro	MA	Down.	MA	11	0.0			_												I
0-711	CR	CSX	Dean	MA	100001	MA	19	0.0													Ma. I		1
C-712	CR	CSX	Weir	MA	INON DOMESTIC	-	12	0.0								0					1		1
C-713	CR	CSX	Swamp	MA	7707	MA	4	0.0								0			V III				1
C-714	CR	CSX	Fitchburg	MA	- Leadininiano	MA	26	0.0								0 (					3 5 7 7		1
C-715	CR	CSX	Leominster	MA	Duio	MA	5	0.0					_		6	0 (							1
C-716	CR	CSX	Buro	MA	The state of the s	MA	9	0.0						09	6	0 (	-						1
C-717	CR	CSX	Mansfield	MA	110-5-0-0	MA	5	0.0							6	0 (	- 0	10.				100	1
C-718	CR	CSX	Walpole	MA	Integritation and	MA	7	0.0						09	6	0 (	- 0		2				
C-719		CSX	Medfield Jct	MA	T. Turring	MA	18	41.0							6 4,00	0 4,00	0%						1
C-720		CSX	Boston Beacon Par	MA	Caramina menu	MA	12								6 8,00	9,00	13%				×		1
C-721		CSX	Framingham	MA	110010010	MA	11	14.0		_					6 8,00	9,00	13%				×		
C-722		CSX	Westboro	MA	1101000101	MA	39	4.0						_	6 10,00	0 10,00						1	
C-723		CSX	Worcester	MA	1 40000	MA	15	6.0							6 10,00	0 10,00							
C-72		CSX	Palmer	MA	Opinignets	MA	11	2.0						1 39	15,00	0 15,00	0 0%						
C-72		CSX	Springfield	MA	***************************************	NY	85								6 12,00	0 10,00	0 -17%						
C-726		CSX	Westfield	MA	Commit	NY	7						-		*	0	0 -				-		
C-72		CSX	Selkirk	NY	10100000	NY	4	0.0			_			2 09	%	0	0 -						
C-726		CSX	Carman	NY	O deliterination	NY	70							6 39	%	0	0 -						
C-729		CSX	MO	NY	i oca i i i i	NY	50						_			_	0 -						
C-73	CR	CSX	Poughkeepsie Stuyvesant	NY	Stuyvesant Rensselaer	NY	16				_			2 09	16	0	0 .						

B = Change due to Acquisition.

(1) 1000% is reported for B where the pre acq is 0 and the "post" acq is > 0

٠				
7	١	•	۹	۰
		ı	ı	

								Maste	Rail L	ne Se	ment 7	ab'u	J				-	-		_		ia Mot	_	
20		1	Total Segments	1.022		35,7	733	Passe	nger & Fro	eight Trai	in Data				Rall Data			-	_	۲	mon	2 met		
	Own	ership	Rall Line	Segm	ent Description		I	Pre Acc	q. (1995)	Post A	equisition		al Million G Transporter			d Annual ( rdous Male		123	5	5	5	28	*	=
Seg.	Pre Acq. (1995)	Post Acc	From		То	Se Ler	igth	Pagr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains	(SAMOAN)	Post Acq.	Percent Change	A SECONDARY	Post Acq	Percent Change	Air Quality	Noise Analysis	Passenger Train	Freight Train	Increase in Hazardous Materiate	New Key Route	New Major Key
-732	CR	CSX	Stuyvesant	NY	Selkirk	NY	10	0.0	4	4.0				0%	0		-	+	$\rightarrow$	-		-		+
733	CR	CSX	Rensselaer	NY	W Albany	NY	4	14.0	0.000	3.4	0.0		7.8	0%	0			н	-	_	-	-	-	٠
734	CR	CSX	W Albany	NY	Hoffmans	NY	23	7.4		0.1	0.0			0%	0	0	-	+	-	-	-			٠
735	CR	CSX	Utica	NY	Syracuse	NY	51	9.0		43.4				14%	37,000		8%	$\rightarrow$	-	X		×		٠
738	CR	CSX	Syracuse	NY	Syracuse Jct	NY	6	9.0		46.6			89.3	9%	31,000		29%	н	-		-	x	-	٠
737	CR	CSX	Syracuse Jct	NY	Solvay	NY	2	9.0		44.8			91.1	14%	31,000		26%	н	-	X		×		٠
738	CR	CSX	Solvay	NY		NY	42	9.0		44.8			91.1	14%	32,000		22%	н	-	X		×		+
739	CR	CSX	Lyons	NY		NY	23	9.0		45.1			90.9	14%	32,000			н	-		-	1 x		٠
740	CR	CSX	Fairport	NY	Rochester	NY	11	9.0		36.5				10%	29,000		24%	Н	$\rightarrow$	×		+ - î		٠
-741	CR	CSX	Rochester	NY		NY	13	9.0		36.9				10%	30,000			н	$\rightarrow$	X	-	1 x		٠
-742	CR	CSX	Frontier	NY	Buffalo	NY	4	9.0		49.5					43,000	44,000	2%	Н	$\rightarrow$		-	-		٠
-743	CR	CSX	Lock	NY		NY	3	0.0		6.0				5%	0	1 0		Н	-		-	-		٠
-744	CR	CSX	Woodard	NY		NY	26	0.0		6.0					- 6	0		Н	$\rightarrow$		-	-	-	٠
-745	CR	CSX	CP 59	NY	CP 22	NY	12	0.0		7.2								Н	-		-	-		٠
-746	CR	CSX	Syracuse	NY	Oswego	NY	30	0.0		1.6					0	_		Н			-	-	-	٠
C-747	CR	CSX	Buffalo	NY	Black Rock	NY	7	7.0		1.6				0%	0		-	Н	_			-	-	+
C-748	CR	CSX	Black Rock	NY		NY	21	7.0		22.0			_				-15%	Н			-	-		٠
C-749	CR	CSX	Fairport	NY		NY	14	0.0		11.2					1,000		0%	Н			-	-		٠
C-750	CR	CSX	Genesee Jct	NY	Chili	NY	7	0.0		11.8					1,000	1,000					-	-	-	+
C-75	_	CSX	Syracuse	NY	Woodard	NY	4	0.0												_	-	-	+-	+
C-752	_	CSX	Woodard	NY	Philadelphia	NY	84	0.0										Н			-	-	-	+
C-75	_	CSX	Philadelphia	NY	Massena	NY	71	0.0										Н			-	-	-	٠
C-754	_	CSX	Massena	NY		PQ	39	0.0										Н			-	-	-	+
C-755	_	CSX	Huntingdon	PQ		PQ	14	0.0								3,000		Н			-	+	-	+
C-756	_	CSX	Cecile Jct	PQ		PQ	24	0.0								1	-	Н			-	-	-	٠
C-757	CR	CSX	Regis	NY		NY	11	0.0				_				29.000		Н			-	×	-	٠
C-758	CR	CSX	Ridgefield Heights	NJ	Newburgh	NY	45	0.0					-								-	x	-	+
C-759		CSX	Newburgh	NY	Seminor.	NY	80	0.0									30%			_	-	+	-	+
C-760	CR	CSX	Newtown Jct	PA		PA	36	164.0					_				-	Н			-	1	-	+
C-761	CR	CSX	Glenside	PA		PA	8	42.0								_		Н		-	-	-	+	+
-762	CR	CSX	Jenkintown	PA		PA	10	48.0									-	Н				1-	-	+
2-763	CR	CSX	Lansdale	PA		PA	10	34.0										Н			-	×	-	+
-764	CR	CSX	Park Jct	PA		PA	1	0.0													-	X	+	+
765	CR	CSA	Belmont	PA		PA	1	0.0							_	_				-	+	1 x	1 x	+
766	CR	CSX	West Falls	PA		PA	4	0.0													-	1 x	1 x	_
-767	CR	CSX	CP Newtown Jct	PA	CP Wood	PA	21	48.0					_					+			+	1 x	1 x	_
C-768	CR	CSX	CP Wood	PA	Trenton	NJ	6	48.0													+-	1 x	1 x	_
769	CR	CSX	Trenton	NJ		NJ	25	0.0		_							15/7	1-		-	+	1-	+^	+
2-771	CR	CSX	Brandywine	DE	Chalk Pt	MD	17	0.0								_	0 -	-		-	+	1	-	+
C-772	CR	CSX	Bowie	MD	Column to the co	MD	25	0.0						_	_			+			+	1	+	+
C-773	CR	CSX	Brandywine	MD	Morgantown	MD	21	0.0								-	0 40%	-		-	+	-	+	+
N-001		NS	Attalla	AL	Norris Yard	AL	48	0.0	7.4	12.	5 5	1 21.	9 25.1	159	6 10,000	14,00	40%	1		_	-	1 ^	_	_

B = Change due to Acquisition.

<sup>(1) 1000%</sup> is reported for B where the pre acq. is 0 and the "post" acq. is > 0.

Master Rail Line Segment Table

-	_		Total Segments	1,022			35,733	Passe	r Rail L	eight Tra	in Data	aule	_	Freight	Rall Date			Т		-	Critor	ia Met		
	Own	ership			ent Description		-		q. (1995)	No. of Contract of	cquisition	Annu	al Million G Transports	ross Tons	Estimate	ad Annual (		123	15	2	5	247	*	:
Seg.	Pre Acq. (1995)	Post Acq.	From		To		Seg. Longth (ml.)	Pagr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains	Pre Acq	Post Acq	Percent Change	Pre Acq.	Post Acq.	Percent Change	Air Quality	Noise Analysis	Passenger Train	Freight Train	Incresse in Hazardous Materials	New Key Route	New Major Key Route
N-010	CR	NS	Bell	DE	Edgemoor	DE	1	0.0	5.0	11.8	6.8	5.1	13.5	165%	4,000	6,000	50%	Х	X			X		
N-020	NS	NS	Howell	GA	Spring	GA	1	0.0	33.3	40.4		67.5		21%	32,000		25%	X				X		
N-022	NS	NS	Spring	GA	Scherer Coal	GA	65	0.0		32.9		60.8		11%	31,000	39,000	26%	X				X		
N-030	NS	NS	IC 95th St	IL	Pullman Jct	IL	1	0.0		5.9		4.8	-	179%	0	0		X	X					
N-032	NS	NS	Taylorsville	IL	Granite City	IL	77	0.0		15.0		17.1			7,000		0%	X			-			
N-033	NS	NS	Tilton	IL	Decatur	IL	71	0.0		39.0		29.2			10,000	17,000	70%	X	X		X	×		
N-034	CR	NS	Colehour	IL	Calumet Park	IL	5	0.0		2.5		3.6		125%	0	0		X	×	-	-	-		
N-040	NS	NS	Alexandria	IN	Muncie	IN	16	0.0		11.8		5.6			0	16,000	1000%	х	X		X	X	X	-
N-041	NS	NS	Butler	IN	Ft Wayne	IN	28	0.0		27.3		16.8			5,000		460%	X	X		X	×	X	X
N-042	CR	NS	Control Pt 501	IN	Indiana Hbr	IN	1	14.0		63.3		85.1			75,000	65,000	-13%	X	X	X	×	-	-	
N-043	NS	NS	Ft Wayne TC	IN	Ft Wayne Yard	IN	2	0.0		9.6		3.1			0	0		х	X		-	-	-	-
N-044	NS	NS	Ft Wayne	IN	Peru	IN	53	0.0		34.9		23.3		100%	11,000		327%	X	X		X	X	-	X
N-045	NS	NS	Lafayette Jct	IN	Tilton	IL	49	0.0		41.0		29.8			10,000		260%	X	X	-	X	×	-	X
N-046	NS	NS	Peru	IN	Lafayette Jct	IN	53	0.0		40.2		23.9		113%	11,000	47,000	327%	X	X		X	X	-	X
N-047	CR	NS	Indiana Harbor	IN	South Chgo	IL	8	16.0	43.1	48.2		84.5			75,000	66,000	-12%	X		X		-	-	
N-050	CR	NS	Croxton	NJ	Ridgewood Jct	NJ	17	64.0		7.9		14.8			0	0		X		X		-	-	
N-060	CR	NS	Corning	NY	Geneva	NY	57	0.0		1.6		0.2			0	0		×	X	_	-		-	
N-061	CR	NS	Ebenezer Jct	NY	Buffalo	NY	6	0.0		11.4		0.0			0		1000%	×	X		X	×	X	
N-062	CR	NS	Suffern	NY	Campbell Hall	NY	35	18.0		4.7		8.2		38%	0		1000%	X				X	X	
N-063	CR	NS	Campbell Hall	Kin	Port Jervis	NY	30	18.0		9.0		14.4		22%	0	18,000	1000%			X		X	X	
N-064	CR	NS	Ridgewood Jct	LN	Suffern	NY	11	94.0		10.6		23.2		123%	0	0		×	X	X				
N-065	CR	NS	Corning	MY	Buffalo	NY	128	0.0	13.6	20.6		22.8			2,000		700%	×		-		X	X	
N-070	NS	NS	Buhalo Fw	NY	Ashtabula	ОН	128	0.0		25 1		19.6			3,000	The second second	225%	х	X		X	X	X	X
N-071	NS	NS	Bucyrus	OH	Bellevue	OH		0.0		34.5		58.3			13,000		31%	X	X		X	X		
N-072	NS	NS	Vermilion	ОН	Beilevue	ОН		0.0		27.0		30.6		64%	9,000		67%	X	X		X	X	X	
N-073	NS	NS	Fairgrounds (Colum	ОН	Bucyrus	ОН		0.0		34.3		54.2			13,000		85%	X	X	-	X	X	-	
N-074	CR	NS	Cleveland	OH	Shortline Jct	ОН	7	0.0		4.2		0.7	_		0	6,000	1000%	X	X			×	-	
N-075	NS	NS	Ashtabula	OH	Cleveland	OH		0.0		36.6		19.9			7,000		429%	X	X		X	X	X	X
N-076	NS	NS	Ivorydale	OH	Cincinnati	OH	6	0.0		36.0		49.6			18,000		83%	X				X	-	
N-077	CR	NS	Oak Harbor	ОН	Miami	ОН	22	4.0		61.5		99.9			82,000		-10%	X	X	X	X	_		
N-078	CR	NS	Dayton	ОН	Ivorydale	ОН	48	0.0		19.5		24.3			6,000		17%	×		-	-	X	-	
N-079	NS	NS	Oak Harbor	OH	Bellevue	OH	27	0.0		27.2		17.2			3,000	18,000	500%	X	X		X	X	X	_
N-080	NS	NS	Cleveland	OH	Vermilion	ОН	37	0.0		34.1		25.5			9,000		256%	X	X	-	X	×	×	X
N-081	CR	NS	White	ОН	Cleveland	ОН	11	2.0		29.7	17.2	25.9			12,000		183%	X	X	X	X	×	-	X
N-082	CR	NS	Youngstown	ОН	Ashtabula	ОН	59	0.0		23.8		31.0		_	2,000		450%	X	X	-	X	×	X	_
N-084	CR	NS	Alliance	OH	White	OH	46	2.0		30.1		57.5		5%	29,000	33,000	14%	X		X	-	X	-	-
N-085	NS	NS	Bellevue	ОН	Sandusky Dock	ОН	15	0.0		12.9		5.9		147%	- 0	0	-	X	X	-	X	-	-	-
N-086	CR	NS	Miami	OH	Airline	ОН	2	4.0		64.0		112.4			86,000				X	X	X	-	-	-
N-090	CR	NS	Rutherford	PA	Harrisburg	PA	6	0.0		57.9		85.8			72,000		-24%	-	X	-	X	-	-	-
N-091	CR/NS	NS	Harrisburg	PA	Riverton Jct	VA	133	0.0		19.6		18.5			12,000		-8%	-	X	-	X	-	-	
N-092	CR	NS	Harrisburg	PA	Marysville	PA	9	4.0		49.1		85.2		18%	72,000		-38%	-		×	-	-	-	_
N-093	CR	NS	Harrisburg	PA	Procks	PA	22	0.0	2.2	6.0	3.8	2.8	6.8	143%	1 0	1,000	1000%	X	X			X		

Page 16 of 25

B = Change due to Acquisition

<sup>(1) 1000%</sup> is reported for B where the pre acq is 0 and the "post" acq is > 0

#### Attachment T-1

_	_		Total Segmen	. 102		-	35,733		Rail L		gment 1	Table		England	Rail Dat			_	_		0-14-	1- 10-1	_	
					And the second		35,733		1	-		Annu	al Million G		_		Carloads of	-	-		Crite	ria Mot		T
	Own	ership	Rail Lin	e Segm	ent Description			Pre Acc	. (1995)	Post A	cquisition		Transporte			ardous Mat		123			5	7	1	2
Seg.	Pre Acq. (1995)	Post Acq	From		То		Seg. Length (ml.)	Pagr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains	Pre Acq	Post Acq.	Percent Change	Pre Acq	Post Acq	Percent Change	Air Quality	Noise Analysis	Passenger Train	Freight Train	Increase in Hazardous Materials	New Key Route	New Major Key Route
N-094	CR	NS	WM Jct	PA	Rutherford	PA	45	0.0	42.4	49.7	7.3	86.8	91.0	5%	71,000	47,000	-34%	×						
N-095	CR	NS	Rochester	PA	Youngstown	ОН	39	0.0	12.6	17.7	5.1	31.8	37.1	17%	2,000	11,000	450%	×			10.7	×	X	
N-100	NS	NS	Riverton Jct	VA	Roanoke	VA	181	0.0	3.9	12.1	8.2			228%	1,000	5,000	400%	X	×		X	X		
N-110	NS	NS	Elmore	w	Deepwater	W	60	0.0	0.3	2.3	2.0			1160%				×	X					
N-111	CR	NS	Deepwater	w	Fola Mine	w	17	0.0	0.6	2.0				346%				X	X					
N-120	CR	NS	Jackson	MI	Kalamazoo	MI	67	8.0	5.4	3.4				-49%		_		X						
N-121	CR	NS	West Detroit	Mi	Jackson	MI	74	6.0	2.9	3.7	0.8		3.6	-25%				X						
N-200	CR	NS	Oak Island	NJ	Aldene	NJ	8	56.0	21.5	12.5	-9.0	42.4	26.9	-37%	32,000				_		_		_	
N-201	CR	NS	Aldene	NJ	Manville	NJ	20	0.0	21.8	12.8	-9.0		25.8	-38%	33,000		-67%		_	-	-	-	-	-
N-202	CR	NS	Manville	NJ	Bethlehem	PA	52	0.0	18.7	17.4	-1.3	30.2	24.1	-20%	27,000		-37%		_		_			
N-203	CR	NS	Bethlehem	PA	Allentown	PA	3	0.0	17.2	13.3	-3.9	24.8	22.8	-8%	8,000		38%		-	_	_	X	X	-
N-204	CR	NS	Allentown	PA	Burn	PA	3	0.0	24.9	21.3	-3.6	49.7	56.0	13%	31,000		6%	Ц		_	-	X	-	-
N-205	CR	NS	Bethlehem	PA	Burn	PA	5	0.0	10.1	9.6	-0.5	15.1	11.7	-23%	20,000		-70%		_	_	-	_	-	-
N-206 N-207	CR	NS NS	Burn Bending Bolt let	PA	Reading Bett Jct	PA	37	0.0	36.4	30.9	-5.5	65.7	67.8	3%	52,000	39,000	-25%				-	-	-	-
N-208	CR	NS	Reading Belt Jct Oak Island	NJ	Greenville	PA	4	0.0	31.2	26.3	-4.9	58.2	55.7	-4%	47,000	29,000	-38%	-		-	-	-	-	-
N-209	CR	NS	Oak Island	LIN	E Rail T V	NJ	6	0.0	17.1	8.7 15.2	-8.4 4.8	22.9 15.1	10.1	-56% 22%	13,000	9,000	-31%	-	-	-	-		-	-
N-210	CR	NS	E Rail T V	LN	Port Reading	LIN	8	0.0	5.7	6.0	0.3	10.8		-19%	13,000	20,000	54%	Н		-	-	×	-	-
N-211	CR	NS	Port Reading	LIN	South Amboy	INJ	6	0.0	2.9	2.4	-0.5	3.2	1.6	-19%	13,000	1,000	-54%	Н	_		-	-	-	1-
N-212	CR	NS	Bound Brook	LIN	Port Reading	NJ	15	0.0	2.4	5.1	2.7	7.5	7.6	1%	6,000	5,000	-17%	Н	-	-		-	-	-
N-213	CR	NS	Phillipsburg	NJ	Dover	NJ	47	0.0	1.1	1.4	0.3	0.6	0.5	-17%	0,000	3,000	-1/76	Н		-	-	-	-	-
N-214	CR	NS	Hazelton	PA	Lehighton	PA	29	0.0	1.4	1.4	0.0	0.4	0.4	0%	0	0	-	Н			-		-	-
N-215	CR	NIS	Lehighton	PA	Alientown	PA	29	0.0	5.7	4.3	-1.4	8.2	4.1	-50%	2,000		0%	Н		-	-	-	-	-
N-216	CR	NS	Reading	PA	Reading Belt Jct	PA	2	0.0	6.0	4.9	-1.1	8.5	12.4	46%	4,000	10,000	150%	Н			-	×	×	-
N-217	CR	NS	West Falls	PA	Abrams	PA	14	0.0	17.3	14.0	-3.3	36.9	28.0	-24%	21,000	16,000	-24%	Н	_		-	-	1^	-
N-218	CR	NS	Abrams	PA	WM Jct	PA	39	0.0	25.1	27.4	2.3	50.8	44.1	-13%	39,000	25,000	-36%	Н		-	-	-	-	-
N-220	CR	NS	Morrisville	PA	Abrams	PA	32	0.0	7.7	10.3	2.6	11.3	12.0	696	15,000	8.000	-47%				-		-	-
N-221	CR	NS	Earnest	PA	Coatsville	PA	29	0.0	1.4	1.4	0.0	1.4	1.7	21%	0	0,000		Н			-		-	-
N-222	CR	NS	West Falls	PA	Wayne Jct	PA	4	0.0	7.3	4.0	-3.3	14.3	2.4	-83%	11,000	1.000	-91%	Н		-	-		-	
N-223	CR	NS	Zoo	PA	Arsenal	PA	2	0.0	5.4	9.3	3.9	7.1	14.7	107%	1,000	8,000	700%	Н				×	1-	-
N-224	CR	NS	Arsenal	PA	Greenwich	PA	3	0.0	5.4	6.9	1.5	7.1	6.5	-8%	1,000	0	-100%					-	-	-
N-225	CR	NS	Eastwick	PA	Marcus Hook	PA	12	0.0	3.0	7.8	4.8	7.0	11.7	67%	5,000	8,000	60%					×	-	
N-226	CR	NS	CSX Park Jet	PA	Frankfrd Jct	PA	5	0.0	4.7	6.1	14	12.9	8.3	-36%	13,000	6,000	-54%				-	-	-	
N-227	CR	NS	Frankfrd Jct	PA	Pavonia	NJ	4	28.0	4.7	5.7	1.0	18.6	14.2	-24%	13,000	6,000	-54%			x			-	
N-230	CR	NS	Paulsboro	NJ	Carneys Pnt	NJ	16	0.0	1.7	1.7	0.0	2.2	1.2	-45%	1,000	0	-100%					-	1	
N-232	CR	NS	Bulson St	NJ	Winslow Jct	NJ	23	0.0	1.7	0.6	-1.1	1.7	0.7	-59%	0	0							-	
N-233	CR	NS	Winslow Jct	NJ	Palermo Coal	NJ	34	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	1.1	0.4	-64%	0	0								
N-234	CR	NS	Pavonia	NJ	Burlington	NJ	15	0.0	1.4	1.4	0.0	1.0	0.6	-40%	1,000	0	-100%							
N-241	CR	NS	Newark	DE	Harrington	DE	56	0.0	3.1	4.5	1.4	6.3	7.0	11%	4,000	4,000	0%			100		1		
N-242	CR	NS	Harrington	DE	Pocomoke	DE	64	0.0	1.2	1.4	0.2	1.7	1.6	-6%	1,000	1,000	0%							
N-243	CR	NS	Harrington	DE	Indian River Coal	DE	43	0.0	0.9	0.9	0.0	2.7	2.9	7%	0	0					Sec.			
N-244	CR	NS	Wayne	LN	Croxton	INJ	19	0.0	0.6	0.9	0.3	0.8	0.9	13%	0	0	-					13.0		

Page 17 of 25

B = Change due to Acquisition.

(1) 1000% is reported for B where the pre acq is 0 and the "post" acq is > 0.

			Total 5 agments	1.022			35,733	Passe	r Rail L	eight Trai	n Data	1		Freight	Rail Date	1		100		C	riteri	ia Met	- 3	
	Own	nership	0.000		ent Description			100000	q. (1995)		quisition		al Million Gr Transported			d Annual (	Carloads of	123	6	5	5	247	*	2
Seg.	Pre Acq (1995)	Post Acq	From		то		Seg. Length (mi.)	Page, Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains		Post Acq.	Percent Change	Pre Acq.	Post Acq.	Percent Change	Air Quality	Noise Analysis	Passanger Train	Freight Train	froresse in Hazardous Materials	New Key Route	New Major Key
N-245	CR	NS	Port Jervis	NY	Binghamton	NY	126	0.0		9.0	1.1	11.5	14.6	27%		18,000		×		-		X	X	-
N-246	CR	NS	Binghamton	NY	Waverly	NY	42	0.0		19.9	6.9	19.1	28.0	47%	0		1000%	1			817	X	X	-
N-247	CR	NS	Waverly	NY	Corning	NY	36	0.0		21.4	5.0	22.5	31.1	38%	0		1000%	1	-	_		×	X	+
N-248	CR	NS	Waverly	NY	Mehoopany	PA	59	0.0		1.5	0.0	0.9	0.9	0%	0			1					_	+
N-249	CR	NS	Sayre	PA	Ludlowvie Coal	NY	49	0.0			-0.7	2.4	2.2	-8%	0		-	н					-	-
N-250	CR	NS	Marys ville	PA	Enola	PA	5	0.0		18.4	-5.3	58.1		-19%			-25%	+	-	$\rightarrow$		-	-	+
N-251	CR	NS	Enola	PA	Wago Yorkhaven	PA	18	0.0		12.9	-6.4	48.0		-28%	THE REAL PROPERTY.		-17%	+	$\rightarrow$		_		-	+
N-252	CR	NS	Wago Yorkhaven	PA	Perryville	PA	58	0.0				40.3		-22%	12,000		-17%	+			-			+
N-253	CR	NS	Wago Yorkhaven	PA	York	PA	10	0.0		1.1	-0.6	2.0		-5% -3%	0		-	+	-				-	+
N-254	CR	NS	Cola	PA	Lancaster	PA	12	0.0			-0.3	3.5	3.4				-43%	+			-	-	-	+
N-255	CR	NS	Rockville	PA	Watsontown	PA	64	0.0			-0.7	11.4	15.3	34%			-43%	+		-	-	-		+
N-258	CR	NS	Watsontown	PA	Montgomery	PA	7	0.0		6.9		4.4		150%			-20%	Н		$\overline{}$				٠
N-257	CR	NS	Montgomery	PA	Linden North	PA	22	0.0				10.6	4.6	-57%	1,000		-100%	Н	$\rightarrow$				-	+
N-258	CR	NS	Montgomery	PA	Linden South	PA	22	0.0		7.9		15.7	15.8	1%	7,000		-43%	+	-		-		-	٠
N-259	CR	NS	Linden	PA	Keating	PA	59	0.0				7.7	7.8	1%			-38%	Н	-				-	+
N-260	CR	NS	Keating	PA	Ebenezer Jct	NY	149	0.0			-0.6	5.8	5.7	-2%	0,000	5,000	-30 %	н		-	-	-		+
N-261	CR	NS	Watsontown	PA	Straw Rdg Cl	PA	13	0.0			0.3	101.3	88.2	-13%	63,000	37.000	-41%	+	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	-	-	-	+
N-262	CR	NS	Marysville	PA	Pitcairn	PA	227	4.0		42.8	3.8	70.2	70.7	196			-28%	н	$\rightarrow$	x	-		-	+
N-263	CR	NS	Pitcairn	PA	Jacks Run	PA	18	4.0		49.8	-0.6		100.7	-13%			-38%	н	-			-	-	+
N-264	CR	NS	Jacks Run	PA	Conway East	PA	16	0.0		2.9		2.9	2.9	0%			-3676	н	-				-	+
N-265	CR	NS	Conpitt Jct	PA	Avonmre Coal	PA	44	0.0		1.7	1.1	1.5		13%	-			$\vdash$	$\neg$	$\overline{}$				+
N-266	CR	NS	Avonmre Coal	PA	Etna Federal St	PA	6	0.0		2.0		3.1	3.0	-3%			0%	+						+
N-267	CR	NS	Etna	PA	Thomson	PA	3	0.0		6.7				-43%			-100%	Н						+
N-268	CR	NS NS	Pitcairn	PA	Jacks Run	PA	16	0.0		9.9		41.0		-36%			-80%	H	-					+
N-269	CR	NS NS	Thomson	PA	W Brownsville	PA	42	0.0		11.8	-11.3	65.0		-48%	2.000		-100%	Н						1
N-270	CR	NS NS	Thomson W Brownsvile	PA	Blacksvile Coal	w	54	0.0		5.5	-5.0	31.4	15.8	-50%		_		Н		$\overline{}$				+
N-271		NS	Blacksvie Coal	W	Fed 2 Coal	w	6	0.0		0.9		7.0	_	-66%				н		$\Box$				1
N-272 N-273	_	NS	Emerald Coal	PA	Bailey Minecl	PA	15	0.0		5.6			16.4	-40%		0		П			181	Take I		+
N-274		NS	W Brownsvile	PA	Loveridge Coal	w	81	0.0			-2.1	11.6	6.4	-45%	1	0		Н			100			
_		NS NS	Conway East	PA	Rochester	PA	5	4.0		48.7		130.3	114.5	-12%	75,000	47,000	-37%	П						Т
N-275	_	NS	Ashtabula	OH.	Ashtabula Harbor	ОН	2	0.0				15.7	3.0	-81%		0		П			101	130		T
	CR	NS	Hubbard	OH	Oil City	PA	80	0.0				2.4		-13%	3,000	3,000	0%							T
N-277		NS NS	Youngstown	OH	Alliance	ОН	42	0.0		2.5		3.1		-10%			0%				11			Т
N-279	_	NS	Latimer	ОН	Warren	ОН	11	0.0				2.5		-40%		0								Г
N-280		NS	Rochester	PA	Yellow Creek	ОН	26	0.0			-1.6	14.7	13.6	-7%	1,000	1,000	U%	38						
N-281	CR	NS	Yellow Creek	ОН	Mingo Jct	ОН	20	0.0			-0.5	18.5	18.9	2%			0%							I
N-282	CR	N3	Mingo Jct	OH	Weirton	ОН	3	0.0				11.5	11.5	0%	1,000	1,000	0%							
N-263	CR	NS	Mingo Jct	ОН	Martinsferry	ОН	18	0.0	1.7	1.4	-0.3	2.7	2.7	0%		0				15				
N-284	CR	NS	Yellow Creek	ОН	Alliance	ОН	41	0.0	2.0	2.6	0.6	4.7	6.1	30%		0								
N-285	CR	NS	Rochester	PA	Alliance	ОН	57	2.0		26.3	-11.6	82.3	58.5	-29%	70,000	35,000	-50%							
N-286	CR	NS NS	Alliance	ОН	Crestline	ОН	106	0.0		4.1	-15.0	36.1	8.5	-76%	44,000	5,000	-89%		7			1		T

B = Change due to Acquisition

(1) 1000% is reported for B where the pre acq. is 0 and the "post" acq. is > 0.

Master Rail	Line So	egment	Table
-------------	---------	--------	-------

		_				_		Master	nger & Fro	ine Se	ment 1	abie	_	Freight	Pall Date	_		_		-	Crite	ria Met		
			Total Segmen	ts 1,022			35,733	Passe	nger a Fr	eignt Irai	in Data		-1 141111 C			d Annual (	Carlondo of				T T			
	Own	ership	Rait Lin	e Segm	ent Description			Pre Acq	(1995)	Post A	equisition		el Million G Transporte			rdous Mate		123	67	5	5	25	*	
Seg.	Pre Acq. (1995)	Post Acq	From		То		Seg. Length (IIII.)	Pagr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains	Pre Acq	Post Acq.	Percent Change	Pre Acq.	Post Acq.	Percent Change	Air Quality	Noise Analysis	Passenger Train	Freight Train	Increase in Hazardous Materials	New Key Route	New Major Key
N-287	CR	NS	Columbus	OH	Charleston	W	185	0.0	4.1	3.4	-0.7	9.5		-8%	7,000		14%					X		-
N-288	CR	NS	Charleston	w	Dickinson	w	14	0.0	4.3	4.6	0.3	7.6	7.2	-5%	4,000	6,000	50%				-	×		-
N-289	CR	NS	Dickinson	w	Peters Jet	W	41	0.0	1.6	2.7	1.1	4.5	7.2	60%	0	0		Н			-	-		-
N-290	CR	NS	Scioto	ОН	Alton	OH	6	0.0	3.3	5.6	2.3	5.3	8.8	66%	3,000		-67%	ш			-	_	-	-
N-291	CR	NS	Alton	ОН	Dayton	ОН	61	0.0	10.9	18.0	7.1	27.4	36.1	32%	8,000	_	0%	Н		-	-	1-		-
N-292	CR	NS	Kinsman	OH	North Randall	OH	9	0.0	0.9	1.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0%	0	0		Н				-	-	-
N-293	CR	NS	Cleveland	ОН	Vermilion (2)	ОН	43	4.0	48.4	32.9	-15.5	100.8	69.5	-31%	84,000		-52%			-	-	-	-	-
N-294	CR	NS	Vermilion	ОН	Oak Harbor	ОН	43	4.0	48.3	41.4	-6.9	100.3	82.3	-18%	82,000		-29%			-	_	-	-	-
N-295	CR	NS	Alline	ОН	River Rouge	MI	50	0.0	11.6	14.5	2.9	22.0	24.0	9%	7,000	5,000	-29%	Ш		_	-	-	-	-
N-298	CR	NS	River Rouge	M	West Detroit	MI	5	0.0	22.9	25.6	2.7	32.8	32.3		5,000	3,000	-40%				-	-	-	-
N-297	CR	NS	West Detroit	MI	North Yd	MI	6	0.0	9.4	12.1	2.7	10.5	6.9	-34%	4,000	2,000	-50%				-	-	-	-
N-298	CR	NS	North Yard	MI	Sterling	MI	14	0.0	8.0	8.1	0.1	4.7	2.5	-47%	0	0		Н			-	-	-	-
N-159	CR	NS	Ecorse Jct	MI	Brownstown	MI	4	0.0	1.4	1.4	0.0		1.2	-29%	0			Н			-	-		-
N-300	CR	NS	Kalamazoo	Mi	Elkhart	IN	55	0.0	7.0	6.5	-0.5	11.0	8.6	-22%	0	_		н		-	-	-	_	-
N-301	CR	NS	Jackson	MI	Lansing	MI	37	0.0	1.6	3.1	1.5	0.9	1.2		0			Н		_	-	-	-	-
N-302	CR	NS	Kalamazoo	MI	Grand Rapids	MI	49	0.0	1.9	3.0		2.2	2.8	27%	0			Н			-	-	_	-
N-303	CR	NS	Airline	ОН	Butler	IN	68	4.0	50.4	48.2		108.1	92.0		85,000		-20%					-	_	-
N-304	CR	NS	Butler	IN	Elkhart	IN	63	4.0	51.1	39.3	-11.8	111.3	85.8	-23%	88,000		-42%			_				_
N-305	CR	NS	Goshen	iN	Alexandria	IN	99	0.0	4.7	6.8	2.1	13.5	19.9	47%	12,000		33%					X		-
N-306	CR	NS	Alexandria	IN	Anderson	IN	13	0,0	4.3	0.0		12.0			10,000		-100%					-		-
N-307	CR	N2	Elkhart	IN	Porter	IN	61	4.0	53.0	45.2	-7.8	109.0	101.2	-7%	79,000		-13%				_	-	-	-
N-308	CR	NS	Porter	IN	Control Pt 501	IN	20	14.0	69.4	62.5	-6.9	129.2	131.6	2%	77,000		-13%			_	-	-	-	-
N-309	CR	NS	South Chgo	IL	Ashland Ave	IL	9	16.0	28.5	12.3		61.8	30.8	-50%	32,000		-41%			_	-	-	-	-
N-311	CR	NS	Indiana Harbor	IN	Kankakee	IL	57	0.0	6.6	4.0		12.3		-38%	2,000		-50%				-	-	_	-
N-312	CR	NS	Kankakee	IL	Streator	IL	49	0.0	4.9	5.0		8.3		11%	1,000	3,000	200%				-	X	-	-
N-313	CR	NS	Streator	IL	Hennepin	IL	32	0.0	2.3	1.0				-7%		-		ш			_			
N-314	CR	NS	Schneider	IL	Wheaffld Coal	IL	21	0.0	2.6	2.0		_		-1%				ш		_	_	-		-
N-315	NS	NS	Alexandria	VA	Manassas	VA	22	16.7	7.8	9.6		12.9	15.4	19%	2,000		200%			X	-	X		-
N-316	NS	NS	Manassas	VA	Montview	VA	142	2.2	13.7	15.0		20.3	23.4	15%	15,000		-20%	_		X		-		-
N-317	NS	NS	Montview	VA	Altavista	VA	21	2.0	15.4	19.6	4.2	23.0		33%	17,000		6%			×	-	X	-	-
N-318	NS	NS	Altavista	VA	Greensboro	NC	86	2.0	15.9	16.6		28.1	29.0	3%	20,000		-30%			-	-	-	-	-
N-319	NS	NS	Greensboro	NC	Linwood	NC	41	6.0	20.2	18.3	-1.9	32.4	38.2	18%	21,000		19%			-	-	×	_	-
N-320	NS	NS	Linwood	NC	Salisbury	NC	9	6.0	24.7	23.3	-1.4	46.5	47.3	2%	28,000		0%			-	-			
N-321	NS	NS	Salisbury	NC	Charlotte	NC	50	6.0	21.1	18.1	-3.0		34.6	-6%	22,000		-18%			_	-			
N-322	NS	NS	Charlotte	NC	Beaumont	SC	70	2.0	18.1	14.0		25.5			21,000		-24%							
N-323	NS	NS	Beaumont	SC	Hayne Yd	SC	2	2.0	19.2	17.6	-1,6	27.1	30.0		21,000		-	_			_	1		
N-324	NS	NS	Hayne Yd	SC	Howell	GA	181	2.0	16.9	16.5	-0.4	25.6		16%	20,000		-10%			_	-		-	
N-325	NS	NS	Riverton Jct	VA	Manassas	VA	51	0.0	11.3	8.8	-2.5	13.7	10.6	-23%	12,000		-58%				_			
N-326	NS	NS	Cincinnati	OH	SJ Jct	KY	112	0.0	31.0	28.0			55.9	4%	22,000		45%			-		X		
N-327	NS	NS	SJ Jct	KY	Harriman	TN	144	0.0	37.9	35.0	-2.9	71.5			34,000							×		
N-328	NS	NS	Harriman	TN	Citico Jct	TN	74	0.0	26.6	28.1	1.5	51.6	53.6	4%	21,000							×		
N-329		NS	Citico Jct	TN	Ooltewah	TN	12	0.0	37.0	44.0	7.0	69.4	82.1	18%	29,000	37,000	28%	X				X		

B = Change due to Acquisition

(1) 1000% is reported for B where the pre acq is 0 and the "post" acq is > 0.

•	
m	
к.	
2	

_						-	35,733		nger & Fr		ment 1	aure		Freight I	Rall Date					Criter	ia Met		-
			Total Segments	1,022	ent Description		35,733		(1995)	The same of	quisition		al Million G	oss Tons	Estimate	d Annual C		2 5	T <sub>5</sub>	5	2		T
Seg.	Pre Acq. (1995)	Post Acq	From	o griii	То		Seg. Length (mL)	Pagr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains		Poet Acq.	Percent Change	Pre Acq.	Post Acq.	Percent Change	Air Quality 1	Passenger Train	Freight Train	Hazardous 2	New Key Route	New Major Key
N-330	NS	NS	Ooltewah	TN	Cohutta	GA	12	0.0	27.9	33.4	5.5	52.2	59.0	13%		20,000	25%	-			X		_
N-331	NS	NS	Cohutta	GA	Austell	GA	108	0.0		36.5	3.7	66.4		7%	17,000		18%	X	+	-	X	-	-
N-332	NS	NS	Austell	GA	Howell	GA	16	2.0		50.4		97.7	101.4	4%	48,000		31%	-	-	-	X		-
N-333	NS	NS	Scherer Coal	GA	Macon Jct	GA	20	0.0		27.4	5.5	42.7	50.6	19%			26%	4	-	-	X		-
N-334	NS	NS	Macon Jct	GA	Brosnan Yd	GA	2	0.0						3%			38%	-	-	-	×		-
N-335	NS	NS	C of G Jct	GA	Langdale Yd	GA	148	0.0			1.2			12%			4%	-	-	-	X	_	-
N-336	NS	NS.	Langdale Yd	GA	FEC Bowden Yd	FL	116	0.0				16.7		13%	14,000		0%	-	-	-	-	-	+
N-337	NS	NS	Norris Yd	AL	Austell	GA	142	2.0		14.5	-4.6	37.7		-11%	32,000		28%	_	-	-	X	_	-
N-338	NS	NS	Norris Yd	AL	Birmingham 50th St		5	2.0				74.5	74.6	0%	59,000		-7%	-	-	-	-	-	-
N-339	NS	NS	Birmingham 50th St	AL	Wilson	AL	141	0.0					14.7	-17%			-25%		-		-	-	+
N-340	NS	NS	Citico Jct	TN	Chattanooga	TN	2	0.0			-7.5		111.5	-4%			26%	-	-	-	X	-	+
N-341	NS	NS	Wauhatchie	TN	Attalia	AL	82	0.0			5.4	20.1	23.4	16%	10,000		30%	×	+	-	×	-	+
N-342	NS	NS	Birmingham 50th St	AL	Burstal	AL	16	2.0						5%			-2%	-	+	+-	-	-	+
N-343	NS	NS	Burstal	AL	Meridian	MS	140	2.0					36.0	14%	33,000		3%	-	+-	+	×	-	٠
N-344	NS	NS	Meridian	MS	Oliver Jct	5	194	2.0			4.4	21.0		5%			-8%	-	×	+	-		H
N-345	NS	NS	Oliver Jct	LA	KCS Shrewsbury	LA	11	2.0		14.9				0%			0%	-	+	+	-	-	+
N-346	NS	NS	Oliver Jct	LA	Oliver Yd	LA	2	0.0						7%			3%	-	+	+	X	-	H
N-347	NS	NS	Greensboro	NC	Raleigh Yd	NC	83	4.0				10.3		-1%			9%	-	+	+	X	-	+
N-348	NS	NS	Raleigh Yd	NC	Chocowinity	NC	100	0.0						-7%			-14%	-	+	+-	-	-	+
N-349	NS	NS	Chocowinity	NC	New Bern	NC	30	0.0						-8%	4,000		0%	-	+		-	-	٠
N-350	NS	NS	Chocowinity	NC	Lee Creek	NC	31	0.0				5.1		12%	10,000	10,000	0%	+	+	+	-	-	+
N-351	NS	NS	Chocowinity	NC	Plymouth	NC	36	0.0							0		1000	-	+	+	-	-	H
N-352	NS	NS	Raleigh Jct	NC	Goldsboro	NC	50	4.0									-100%	-	+-	+	-	-	۰
N-353	NS	NS	Goldsboro	NC	New Bern	NC	58	0.0						0%	0	5,000	1000%	-	-	+	X	-	٠
N-354	NS	NS	New Bern	NC	Morehead City	NC	36	0.0				2.3		9%	4,000		0%	-	-	-	-	-	٠
N-355	NS	NS	Greensboro	NC	Gulf	NC	51	0.0						-24%	0			-	+	+	-	-	٠
N-356	NS	NS	Gulf	NC	Raleigh Jct	NC	56	0.0						75%	0			-	+-	+	-	-	+
N-357	NS	NS	Fayetteville	NC	Fuquay-Varina	NC	44	0.0						-33%			-50%	Η-	+	+	-	-	٠
N-358	NS	NS	Charlotte Jct	NC	Columbia	SC	109	0.0						-33%	2,000		100%	-	+	+	×	-	+
N-359	NS	NS	Columbia	SC	Millen	GA	135	0.0									25%	+	+	+-	_	×	+-
N-360		NS	Salisbury	NC	Asheville	NC	142	0.0						-11% -5%			33%		+	+	X	1 x	+
N-361	NS	NS	Asheville	NC	Leadvale	TN	74	0.0									30%	-+-	+	+	1	<u>  ^</u>	+
N-362	NS	NS	Asheville	NC	Hayne Yd	SC	69	0.0										-+-	+	-	-	-	+
N-363	NS	NS	Beaumont	SC	Columbia	SC	34	0.0										-+-	-	-	-	-	+
N-364	NS	NS	Andrews Yd	SC	Charleston	SC	120	0.0							1,000		- 076		+	+	_	-	1
N-365	NS	NS	Murphy Jct	SC	Waynesville	NC	27	0.0							1 0			+	+	-	1		+
N-366	NS	NS	Rock Hill	SC	Kershaw	SC	41											+	+	-	-	-	+
N-367	NS	NS	Eastover	SC	Kingville	SC	5	0.0										-	+	+	1	-	+
N-368	NS	NS	Hasskainp	SC	Wateree Coal	SC	18	0.0											-		-	1	+
N-369	NS	NS	Anderson	SC	Seneca	SC	24	0.0									_	+	+	+	1	1	+
N-370	NS	NS	Green	GA	Wansley Jct	GA	60	0.0										+	+	-	1	1	+
N-371	NS	NS	Athens	GA	Lula	GA	39	0.0	2.0	1.0	-0.2	1.0	0.9	-40%		1 0				_	_	_	_

B = Change due to Acquisition.

<sup>(1) 1000%</sup> is reported for B where the pre acq. is 0 and the "post" acq. is > 0.

Ţ					
,					
-					
-					
	в	۰	ú	٠	,
		ı	ï		ı

			Total Segmen	nts 1,022		-	35,733	Passe	nger & Fr	eight Trai	n Data	19 00		Freight	Rail Data					Criter	ia Met		
	Own	ership			ent Description			100000	ą. (1905)	I TO SHOW SHAPE	quisition		el Million G			ed Annual ( ardous Mate	Carloads of erial (1)	2 5	=	5	247	*	2
Seg.	Pre Acq (1995)	Post Ac	From		То		Seg. Length (ml.)	Pegr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains	Pre Acq	Post Acq.	Percent Change	Pre Acq.	Post Acq	Percent Change	Aur Guelley Noise Amalysis	Passenger Train	Freight Train	Increase in Hazz-dous Meterials	New Key Route	New Major Key
1-372	NS	NS	Industry Yd	GA	Edgewood	GA	95	0.0	1.4	1.4	0.0	0.9	_	22%	0	_							
1-373	NS	NS	Krannert	GA	Forrestville	GA	12	0.0	4.0	2.0	-2.0			-61%	0					_			
-374	NS	NS	Macon Jct	GA	Millen	GA	112	0.0		11.3				-11%	8,000				-	_		_	┺
375	NS	NS	Millen	GA	Savannah	GA	70	0.0		9.0			14.4	1%	6,000		0%	-	-	-		_	1
-376	NS	NIS	Brosnan Yd	GA	Brunswick	GA	183	0.0		2.0		3.1	3.1	0%				-	-	-	_	-	₽
-377	NS	NS	Ft Valley	GA	Albany	GA	77	0.0	3.1	3.7				6%	1,000	1,000	0%	-	-	-		_	-
-378	NS	I NS	Albany	GA	Dothan	GA	85	0.0	3.2	1.4		3.1		0%	0		-	-	-	-		-	₽
1-379	NS	NS	Valdosta	GA	Occidental	FL	42	0.0	5.4	3.8		6.7		-1%			-	-	-	-	×	-	+
1-380	NS	NS	Madison	GA	Mogul	GA	68	0.0	2.6	1.8		2.8	2.3	-18%	0			-	-	-	-	-	+
-381	15	NS	E Warrenton	GA	Waynesboro	GA	56	0.0	1.9	1.7		1.6		0%	0			-	-	-	_	-	+
1-382	NS	NS	Mahrt	AL	Greenville	GA	75	0.0		1.5		1.9		-5%	0			+	-	-	-	_	H
1-383	NS	NS	Childersburg	AL	Ft Valley	GA	178	0.0	1.8	1.9		2.2		5%				+	+-	-	-	-	۰
-384	NS	NS	F1 Valley	GA	Rutland Jct	GA	22	0.0	5.3	4.4		98	10.0	2%				-	+	-	-	-	H
-385	NS	NS	Walton	VA	Bulls Gap	TN	187	0.0	8.6	10.3		12 7	23.2	83%				+	+	-	X	-	H
-386	NS	NS	Bulls Gap	TN	New Line	TN	16	0.0		17.7			49.3	25%			_	-	+	-	X	-	H
-387	NS	NS	New Line	TN	Sevier Yd	TN	32	0.0		21.1	-0.8	48.1	60.0	25%	24,000		64%	-	+	-	×		H
1-388	NS	NS	Sevier Yd	TN	Cleveland	TN	88	0.0		17.1	2.0	35.0	28.8	28% 68%	11,000			+	+	-	×	-	H
1-389	NS	NS	Cleveland	TN	Ooltewah	TN	14	0.0		12.6		17.1	15.3	-14%	12,000		30%	+	+	+-	-	-	۲
1-390		NS	Cleveland	TN	Cohutta	TN	15	0.0	6.3	4.6		17.7	12.2	-14%	0		-	+	+-	-	-	-	۰
1-391	NS	NS	Bulls Gap	TN	Leadvale	TN	17	0.0	4.4	5.7		11.4	10.7	-6%				+	+	+-	×	×	H
1-392	NS	NS	New Line	TN	Leadvale	TN	11	0.0		9.4				-11%	13,000		_	+	+	+	x	-	۰
4-393	NS	NS	Harriman	TN	Sevier Yd	TN	58	0.0		2.9		5.6		-7%	13,000	14,000	- 076	+	+	-		-	H
N-394	NS	NS	Beverly	TN	Burley	KY	68	0.0	10.2	10.8			29.4	19%	10,000	14,000		-	+	-	×		٠
V-395	NS	NS	Wauhatchie	TN	Sheffield	AL	154		23.1	22.2				2%				+	+	+-	-		H
1-396	NS	NS	Sheffield	AL	Wilson	AL	144	0.0	14.8	16.5				10%				+	+	+-	×	-	t
1-397	NS	NS	Wilson	AL	Memphis	KY	123	0.0	3.0	2.4				33%	15,000	0		+	+	+	-	-	t
1-398	NS	NS	Corinth	MS	Fulton	TN	41	00		12.1				-3%	8,000	13.000		+	+	-	×	×	t
1-399	NS	NS	Bulls Gap	TN	Frisco	VA	46	00		9.3				-9%	0,000		-	+-	+	1	-	-	۰
400	NS	NS	Frisco	TN	Appaichia St Paul	VA	79	0.0		6.6		22 5		6%	1 0	0		-	+	-			t
-401	NS	NS		1 VA	Andover	VA	1	0.0		5.4		17.2		-23%	0	0		+	+	-		-	r
402	NS	NS NS	Appalachia	+ VA	Norton	VA	13	0.0	6.1	43		8.8		1%	0	0	-			-	-		۲
-403	NS	NS	Appalachia	VA	Bundy	VA	11	0.0	3.1	2.3				-2%	0	0			T				r
404	NS NS	NS NS	Appalachia Knoxville	VA	Alcoa	TN	15	0.0	1.7	1.7					0	0				1			T
405	NS NS	NS NS	Frisco	VA	Kingsport	VA	6	0.0		4.0					7,000	12,000	71%				×	X	T
406	NS	NS NS	Purstal	AL	Seima	AL	89	0.0		7.2			15.1	-16%	12,000								Г
407	NS	NS NS	Seima	AL	Mobile	AL	162	0.0		4.9				4%	9,000	9,000	0%				1000		Г
408	NS	NS	Wilton	AL	Roberta	AL	5	0.0		6.0		7.7	8.0	4%	4,000	0	-100%			1/ 0			Γ
410	NS	NS	Roberta	AL	Coosa Pines	AL	33	0.0	2.8	2.5	0.0	5.1	5.4	6%	0	0							Γ
411	NS	NS NS	Berry Coal	AL	Parrish	AL	23	0.0	2.3	2.3		2.9	2.9	0%	0	0					Sec.		Γ
411	NS	NS	Demopolis	AL	Marion Jct	AL	38	0.0		2.0	0.0	1.5			0	1,000	1000%				×		
413	NS	NS NS	Maplesville	AL	Montgomery	AL	51	0.0	1.7	2.0	0.3	1.4	1.6	14%	0	0		11 10			100		Г

B = Change due to Acquisition

(1) 1000% is reported for B where the pre-ecq is 0 and the "post" acq is > 0.

	Own	ership	Rail Line	Segmi	ent Description		1	ble ve	f. Lianol	roma	ediameter.		Transporter	s (1)	Haza	rdous Mate	enal (1)	-						-
Seg.	Pre Acq. (1995)	Post Acq	From		То		Seg. Length (mi.)	Psgr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains	-	. Post Acq.		Pre Acq.	Post Acq	-	Air Quality	Noise Analysis	Passenger Train	Freight Train	Increase in Hazardous Materiale	New Key Routs	New Major Key Route
N-414	NS	NS	Clinton	TN	Pruden	TN	62	0.0	1.2				_		14 000	16,000	14%	Н			+	×	-	
N-415	NS	NS	Louisville	KY	SJuci	KY	87	0.0	13.7					-6%	14,000				_		-	-	-	
N-416	NS	NS	Louisville	KY	E St Louis	IL	263	0.0				21.0			5,000			_	-		-	+-		
N-417	NS	NS	Norfolk	VA	Burkeville	VA	138	0.0							1,000		-100%				-	-	_	
N-418	NS	NS	Burkeville	VA	Pamplin	VA	37	0.0						13%	6,000						+	1		1-
N-419	NS	NS	Paraplin	VA	Roanoke	VA	85	0.0						-	11,000						+-	X	-	1
N-420	NS	NS	Roanoke	VA	Salem	VA	7	0.0							10,000				_		+-	×	1-	
N-421	NS	NS	Salem	VA	valton	VA	33	0.0							5,000		_				1	-	1	
N-422	NS	NS	Walton	VA	Narrows	VA	30	0.0						4%	12,000						+-			1
N-423	NS	NS	Narrows	VA	Kellysville	w	11	0.0							12,000					-	+-	-		
N-424	NS	NS	"ellysville	w	Bluefield	VA	22	0.0							1,000						-	1	1	
N-425	NS	NS	Abilene	VA	Pamp'in	VA	16	0.0							5,000						_	1	1	1
N-426	NS	NS	Burkeville	VA	Altavista	VA	78	0.0							6,000			_	_		+	-	1	
N-427	NS	NS	Altavista	VA	Tinkers Crk Conn	VA	41	0.0					-		5,000		_	_			+	+	1	
N-428	NS	NS	Tinkers Crk Conn	VA	Salem	VA	13	0.0							6,000			_	-	_	+-		-	-
N-429	NS	NS	Salem	VA	Narrows	VA	66	0.0							0,000	1	-100%	1	-		+	-		
N-430	NS	NS	Burkeville	VP.	West Point	VA	91	0.0							3,000	3.000				1	+-	+	+	1
N-431	NS	NS	Petersburg	VA	Hopewell	VA	9	0.0							7,000						+	×	1 x	
N-432	NS	NS	Poe Mi	\A	Petersburg	VA	3									11,000		+		-	+	-	+ "	1
N-433	NS	NS	Suffolk	1/A	Edgerton	VA	71									1,000		4	$\vdash$		+-	1	+	
N-434	NS	NS	S Roanoke	'/A	Belews Crk Jct	NC	99								1,000				-	-	+-		1	
N-435	NS	NS	Belews Crk Jct	NC	Winston Salem	NC	23								2,000				-	-	+-	+	+	+
N-436	NS	NS	Winston Salem	NC	Greensboro	NC	26									_	_	1		-	+	-	+	$\mathbf{T}$
N-437	NS	NS	Belews Crk Jct	NC	Belews Crk Cl	NC	4	0.0								-		+			+	-	1	
N-438	NS	NS	Kinney 11	VA	Brookneal	VA	32											+		1	+	+-	1	
N-436	NS	NS	Vabrook	VA	Mayo Jct	NC	39										-	+			+	-		
N-440	NS	NS	South Boston	VA	Clover	VA	16												-	+	+	+	+	1
N-44	NS	NS	Kimballton	VA	Norcross	VA	2								_	0 1,00		1		1	1	_	+	
N-442	NS	NS	Elkton	VA	Harrisonburg	VA	20											6	1	1	+	+		
N-44	NS	NS	Bluefield	VA	lager	w	56						_			_			_	1	+	-		
N-44	NS.	NS	lager	W	Wharncliff a	w	16						_	_		-				1	-		1	1
N-445	NS	NS	Wharncliffe	wv		w	32		_	_										1				1
N-44	NS NS	NS	Williamson	W	VVol Creek	w	18		_											1			+	1
N-44		NS	Wolf Creek	w		ОН													1					1
N-44	NS NS	NS	Kenova	ОН									_				0 -	+			1			
N-445	NS	NS	Bluefield	VA		VA	34										0 -	-				1.000		
N-450	NS.	NS	Cedar Bluff	VA		VA	42									_	0 -	1		1				
N-45	NS	NS	St Paul	VA		VA	22									_	0 -	1		!	1			
N-45	NS	NS	Norton	VA	Ramsey	VA	5									-	0 -	1		1				
N-45	NS	NS	Weller	VA	Richlands	VA	46										0 -	+		1	1			
_			V 4 4 100 1		I Character	I MAA	27	. 0		0	31 U.	US 1.4.	VI 60.										_	_

NS B = Change due to Acquisition

NS

N-454

N-455

NS Weller NS Cedar Bluff

VA Devon

VA lager

Total Segments 1,022

5.7

6.7

46

w

w

0.0

0.0

6.5

6.4

0.1 7.9 0.8 22.3

16.9

23.1

<sup>(1) 1000%</sup> is reported for B where the pre acc is 0 and the "post" acq is > 0

,	۰	٠	4
٠	۸		,
	ĸ	з	×

_	_		Total Sugments	1.022		-	35,733		nger & Fr		ment T	aDie	-	Freinht	Rall Date					Crite	ria Met	_	
	Owne	ership	1900	-	ent Description		35,733		(1995)		quisition		al Million Gr Transported	rose Tons	Estimate		Carloads of	2 6	5	5	12	*	1:
t eg.	Pre Acq. (1995)	Post Acq	From		To		Seg. Length (ml.)	Pegr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains		Post Acq.	Percent Cirange		Post Acq	Percent	Air Quality Noise Analysis	Passenger Train	Freight Train	Incresse in Hazardous Maserials	New Key Route	New Major Key
N-456	NS	NS	Kellysville	W	Elmore	W		0.0	3.7	5.4	1.7	8.7	13.7	57%	0				-				F
N-457	NS	NS	Elmore	W	Pinnacle Crk Jct	W		0.0		4.9	0.3	12.9		8%	0				1	-		-	+
N-458	NS	NS	Pinnacle Crk Jct	w	Simon	W	23	0.0		2.0		4.1	4.9	20%	0		-		+	-		-	+
N-459	NS	NS	Simon	w	Wharncliffe	W		0.0		4.1		12.1		9%	0				-	-		_	+
N-460	NS	NS	Simon	w	Kopperston	W		0.0		1,9				49	0			-	+	-	-	-	+
N-461	NS	NS	Pinnacle Crk Jct	w	Pinnacle Crk	WV		0.0		2.9		_		1%	0				-	-	-	-	+
N-482	NS	NS	Mullens	w	Winding Gulf	W		0.0		0.4		0.6		50%	0				+	-	-	-	+
N-467	NS	NS	Amigo	w	Stone Coal Jct	w	_	0.0		0.3				0%	0			-	-	-	-	-	+
N 464	NS	NS	Wolf Creek	w	Pontiki	KY	12	0.0		4.5				6%	0			-	+	+	-	-	+
1-465	NS	NS	Pontiki	KY	Pevler	KY	10	0.0		0.3			-	0%		_	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
N-466		NS	Marrowbone	w	Naugatuck	w	3	0.0		3.7				20%	0		-	-	+	-	-	-	+
N-467	NS	NS	Bellevue	ОН	Ft Wayne	IN	120	0.0		28.5				6%			-59%		-	-	-	_	+
N-468	NS	NS	Ft Wayne	IN	Hobart	IN	120	0.0		11.1	-0.6			-35%	9,000		-56%	-	+	-	-	-	+
N-469	NS	NS	Hobart	IN	Hammond	IN	1.	0.0		11.2	-15.1	39.1	13.4	-66%	29,000		-86%	-	-	-	-	-	4
N-470		NS	Hammond	IN	Calumet	IL	8	0.0		13.2	-13.3	40.7		-67%	31,000		-87%	1	-	-	-	-	1
N-471	NS	NS	Hadley	IN	Hobart	IN	1111	0.0		0.9		9.3		-75%	20,000		-100%	_	-	-			+
N-472		NS	Argos	IN	Dillon	IN	22	0.0		1.4		2.3		-96%	1,000		-100%	-	-	-	-	-	+
N-473		NS	Buffaio	NY	Black Rock	NY	7	0.0		5.1		14.3		-58%	0		1000%	-	-	-	×	-	+
N-474	NS	NS	Black Rock	NY	St Thomas	ON		0.0		2.5		1.6		56%	0		-	-	-	-	-		4
N-475	NS	NS	St Thomas	ON	West Detroit	M		0.0		2.4				33%	0				-	-	-	_	1
N-476	NS	NS	Oakwood	MI	Butter	IN	107	0.0		17.3				23%	6,000		50%		-	-	X	-	1
N-477	NS	NS	Decatur	IL	Mobe ty	MO		0.0		17.3		15.9		77%	3,000		133%	_	-	-	X	-	4
11-478	NS	NS	Moberly	MO	CA Jct	MC		0.0		25.9				42%	6,000		67%		-	_	X	X	1
N-479	NS	NS	CA Jct	MO	N Kansas City	MO		0.0		31.3				11%	6,000	_	33%			1	×	_	1
N-480		NS	Feeder	ON	Wellend	ON		0.0		2.0				0%	0	0				-	-	-	1
N-481	NS	NS	Sheffield Yard	ОН	South Lorain	ОН		0.0		4.6				27%	0				-	+	-	-	4
N-482	NS	NS	Milan	MI	Homestead	OH		0.0		0.0		6.2		- 00%	1,060		-100%		-	-	-	-	4
N-483	NS	NS	Homestead	NO.	Oak Harbor	ОН		0.0		4.4					3,000		-33%		-	-	-	_	+
N-484	NS	NS	Ft Wayne	IN	Muncie	IN	64	0.0		15.0					14,000		-36%		-	1	-	-	Ų.
N-485	NS	NS	Muncie	IN	Ivorydale	OH		0.0		20.5		34.4		19%	15,000		60%	-	-	-	×	-	+
N-486	NS	NS	Vera	ОН	Sardenia	OH	57	0.0		0.0		5.7		-100%	1,000	-	-100%	-	-	-	-	-	1
N-487	NS	NS	Sardenia	OH	Norwood	ОН		0.0		1.7		5.7		-95%	1,000	-	-100%	-	-	-	-	-	1
N-488	NS	NS	Norwood	ОН	Ivorydale	OH		0.0		2.0		5.7		-75%	1,000		-100%	-	-	-	-	-	1
N-489	NS	NS	Lafayette Jct	IN	Alexandria	IN	67	0.0		4.8		5.3		47%	0	0	-	-	-	+	-	-	+
N-490		N5	Gibson City	IL	Bement	IL	41	0.0		7.0				49%	4,000		75%	-	-	-	X	-	+
N-491	NS	NS	Gibson City	IL	E Peoria	IL	72	0.0		0.9				-35%	2,000		-50%	-	-	-	-	-	+
N-492		NS	Decatur	11	Taylorville	IL	30	0.0		16.	7.0			24%	6,000		17%	-	-	-	X	-	1
N-493	_	NS	Granite City	IL	E St Louis	IL	1	0.0		16.8		18.6		-20%	9,000		0%	-	-	-	-	-	+
N-494		NS	E St Louis	I IL	Luther	MC	_	0.0		22.0			24.2	20%	9,000		-11%	-	-	-	-	-	+
N-495	NS	NS	Luther	MO	Moberly	MC		01		11.4				4%	3,000		-33%	4	-	-	-		+
N-496		NS	Coffeen Coal	IL	CNW Madison	IL	53	0.0		0.7	_	-		0%	0	0		-	-	-	-	-	+
N-497	AMTK	AMTK	Kalamazoo	MI	Porter	IN	97	8.0	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.1	0.1	0%	0	0				1	1	1	

B = Change due to Acquisition

	L	ì
ā	ī	1
r	Ś	š

			Total Segments	1,022			35,733		ger & Fre		n Data quisition		Million Gr	ose Tone	Reil Data Estimate	d Annual C	arloads of	1 6	5	5	a Mot	*	2
	Owne	erehip	Rail Line	Segme	ent Description	_		Pre Acq	(1995)	Post Ad	quisition		Transported	(1)	Huza	rdous Male	rial (1)	1	5	-		8	4
Seg.	Pre Acq. (1995)	Post Acq.	From		То		Sog. Length (mi.)	Pagr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains	Pre Acq	Post Acq.	Percent Change	Pre Acq	Post Acq.	Percent Change	Noise Analys	Passenger Tr	Freight Trai	Incresse in Hazardous Materials	New Key Ro	New Major K
-	NS	NS	IC 95th St	11	Gibson City	IL	99	4.0	2.0	5.2	3.2	5.6	13.8	146%	7,000	3,000	-57%	-	X	-	-	-	+
1-498 1-499	NS	NS	Calumet	IL	Landers	IL	8	0.0	23.2	18.0	-5.2	32.7	0.4	-99%	15,000		33%	-	+-	-	X	-	-
-001	AMTK	AMTK	Davis	DE	Perryville	MD	21	73.0	4.5	12.4	7.9	25.8	44.8	74%	15,000		13%	-	X	-	X	-	٠
-010	AMTK	AMTK	Baltimore	MD	Bowie	MD	29	117.0	2.4	7.7	5.3		36.7	49%	0		1000%	<del>^</del>	X	-	×	-	H
3-011	AMTK	AMTK	Bowie	MD	Landover	MD	8	117.0	3.2	9.3	6.1	28.5	43.0	51%	0	-	1000%	x x	+^	×	×	-	٠
5-020	CR	SHARED	Carleton	Mi	Ecorse	M	20	0.0	2.0	11.2			14.5	2802%	0				+	1-	-		٠
5-021	CR	SHARED	West Detroit	MI	North Yard	MI	7	0.0	7.9	13.2			13.6	119%	3,000		0%	XX	-	-		-	+
5-022	CR	SHARED	West Detroit	MI	Delray	MI	2	0.0	12.7	16.5			17.5	53%	3,000 6,000		50%	-	×	-	×	-	+
5-030	AMTK	AMTK	Lane	NJ	Union	LN	7	277.0	3.4	11.0			75.6	29%			67%	<del>-</del>	1 x	1	X	1	+
5-031	AMTK	AMTK	Midway	NJ	Morrisville	PA	17	175.0	3.4	11.0				46%			120%	2	1^	1	×		+
3-032	CR	SHARED	PN	NJ	Bayway	NJ	9	0.0	10.9	16.2				62%	6.000		33%	×	×	-	TX.	1	1
5-033	AMTK	AMTK	Union	NJ	Midway	2	22	189.0	3.4	11.0			46.4	63%	-			x x	×	×	X	1	٠
-040	AMTK	AMTK	Arsenal	PA	Davis	DE		131.0	2.3					25%			100%	× ^	×	+-	X	+-	٠
-041	AMTK	AMTK	Morrisville	PA	Zoo	PA		145.0						303%	1.000		600%	XX	+^	×	×		t
-042	CR	SHARED	South Philadelphia	PA	Field	PA	5	0.0	8.2			_		0%	1,000	_		1	+	+	-	1	+
3-200	CR	CSX	W Brownsville	PA	Waynesburg	PA		0.0					_				-	+	+	1			T
3-201	CR	CSX	W Brownsville	PA	Catawba Jct	PA		0.0							1		-	_	+-	1	-		+
5-202	CR	CSX	Catawba Jct	PA	Loveridge Mine	W	_	0.0										+	-	1	1	+	+
3-203	CR	CSX	Waynesburg	PA	Wana	PA		0.0										+-	+	+-	1		٠
3-204	CR	CSX	Wana	PA	Clif	PA		0.0									_	+-	+	+-	-	+	+
S-205	CR	CSX	Clif	PA	Blacksville	PA		0.0									_	+	+	+	1	1	٠
5-206	CR	CSX	Waynesburg	PA	Bailey	PA		0.0										+	+	+	+	-	+
S-207	CR	CSX	Clif	PA	Federal	PA		0.0										+	+-	+	1	+	٠
S-208	CR	SHARED	North Yard	MI	Utica	MI	17	0.0										+	+	+	×	-	+
S-209	CR	SHARED	Delray	MI	Trenton	MI		0.0										+	1 x	-	1	+	+
S-210	CR	SHARED	West Detroit	M	Dearborn	MI		6.0										-	1	+-		1	T
S-211	CR	SHARED	Nave	NJ	N Bergen	NJ		0.0										-	1-	+-	×	1	T
5-212	CR	SHARED	N Bergen	MJ	Ridgefield Hts	ИЛ		0.0								_		+		+		1	T
S-213	NJT	SHARED	Aldene	NJ	High Bridge	NJ		56.0				_					-	-	1				T
S-214	NJT	SHARED	Union	NJ	Red Bank	NJ		88.0								_				1	1	1	T
S-215	CR	SHARED	Red Bank	NJ	Lakehurst	NJ		0.0								0 0							T
S-216	CR	SHARED	ca	NJ	Monmouth Jct	NJ		0.0									33%				X		T
S-217	CR	SHARED	Bayway	NJ	PD	M		0.0													X		T
S-218	CR	SHARED		NJ	Wood	NJ		0.0		_													T
5-219	CR	SHARED	Jamesburg	NJ	Farmingdale	NJ							_			24 000	. %		1		X		T
5-220	CR	SHARE	Nave	NJ	CP Green	NJ		0.0		_											×		T
S-221	CR	SHARED	Nave	NJ	Croxion	NJ													1	1	X		T
5-222	CR	SHARE	Green	MI	Oak Island	NJ	_	0.0											1		X		T
5-223	CR	SHAREC	Hack	NJ	Crexton	NJ		0.0					_						1	1	X		T
S-224	CR	SHARED	Croxton	NJ	North Bergen	N.				_			_			_						1	1
S-225	CR	SHARED	Waldo	NJ	Hack Kearny	N.										_					1		T

B = Change due to Acquisition

<sup>(1) 1000%</sup> is reported for B where the pre acq is 0 and the "post" acq is > 0.

10-51	or district	Total Segments	1,022	ALCOHOLD ST	Total A	35,733							Freight I	tali Deta				-200	Cri	toria Mi	R		
Own	erekip	Rall Line	Soym	ent Description			Pre Acc	. (1996)	Post Ac	quiellion							2 :			5 X		: :	
	Post Acq.	From		То		Seg. Longth (mi.)	Pegr. Trains	Freight Trains	Freight Trains	Change in Freight Trains	Pre Acq.	Post Acq.	Percent Change	Pre Acq.	Post Acq.		Air Quality	None from the		Incresse in	Monertals	New Key Route New Majer Key	Route
CR	SHARED	Kearny	123	Valley	INJ	HORSE, I	0.0	19.6	5.9	-13.7	21.2	4.1	-81%	10,000	5,000	-50%		魔师		<b>B</b>		<b>100</b> 100	8
			LN	NK	NJ	1	0.0	24.5	23.7	-0.9	42.5	38.6	-9%	10,000	5,000	-50%				題 無		<b>100</b>	8
CR	SHARED	Pt Reading Jct	NJ	Port Reading	W	16	0.0	3.6	5.3	1.7	5.5	7.8	43%	4,000	5,000	25%						部 第	
			NJ	Boundtrook	NJ	?2	56.0	36.0	25.5	-10.5	46.4	42.7	-8%	25,000	30,000	20%		職物	翻順			題動	
CR	SHARED	Boundbrook	KJ	Pt Reading Jct	NJ	3	0.0	34.2	27.4	-6.8	44.2	45.5	3%	29,000	31,000	7%	調整	應算			<b>30</b> 8	<b>新疆</b> 寶	
CR	SHARED	Park Jcl	P.	Phil Frankfort	PA	6	0.0	7.8	10.7	2.9	13.5	17.2	27%	8,000	11,000	38%				,	端 8	X	100
CR	SHARED	Phil Frankfort	PA	Camden	NJ	4	0.0	7.8	10.7	2.9	13.3	17.2	29%	8,000	11,000	38%					300 B	X	
			PA	Lester	PA	6	0.0	3.2	3.2	0.0	5.5	5.6	1%	10,000	10,000			職 智		100 年		部 第	
CR	SHARED	Voodbury	NJ	Paulaboro	N.	6	0.0	3.2	3.2	0.0	3.7	3.7	0%	11,000	11,000			<b>100</b> 3		and the		<b>100</b> 30	
CR	SHARED	Paulsboro	N	Deepwater	KN	16	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	3.7	3.7						<b>原</b>	御 着	<b>193</b>		2000	
	Pre Acq. (1995) CR	(1995) Post Acq. CR SHARED	Ownership Rell Line  Pre Acq. (1995) Post Acq.  CR SHARED Kearny  CR SHARED Valley  CR SHARED Pt Reading Jct  CR SHARED Boundbrook  CR SHARED Boundbrook  CR SHARED Park Jct  CR SHARED Phil Frankfort  CR SHARED Eastwick  CR SHARED Eastwick  CR SHARED Woodbury	Ownership Rail Line Seym  Pre Acq. (1995) Post Acq.  CR SHARED Kearny NJ CR SHARED Valley NJ CR SHARED Pt Reading Jct NJ CR SHARED Boundbrook NJ CR SHARED Boundbrook NJ CR SHARED Park Jct CR SHARED Phil Frankfort PA CR SHARED CR SHARED Castwick PA CR SHARED Castwick PA CR SHARED CASTWICK PA	Pre Acq. (1995) Post Acq. From To  CR SHARED Kearny NJ Ni.  CR SHARED PR Reading Jot NJ Port Reading  CR SHARED NK NJ Boundbrook  CR SHARED Boundbrook NJ Pt Reading Jot  CR SHARED Park Jot NA Phil Frankfort  CR SHARED Phil Frankfort PA CR SHARED	Ownership Rail Line Segment Description  To  CR SHARED Kearny NJ Velley NJ CR SHARED Pt Reading Jct NJ Port Reading NJ CR SHARED NK NJ Boundbrook NJ CR SHARED Boundbrook NJ Pt Reading Jct NJ CR SHARED Pt NJ CR SHARED CR SHARED NJ CR SHARED CR SHARED NJ CR SHARED CR SHARED NJ CR SHAR	Ownership Reli Line Seyment Description  Seg. Lingth (1995) Post Acq. From To (mi.)  CR SHARED Kearny NJ Valley NJ 4  CR SHARED Pt Reading Jct NJ Port Reading NJ 16  CR SHARED Pt Reading Jct NJ Boundtrook NJ 22  CR SHARED Boundbrook NJ Pt Reading Jct NJ 3  CR SHARED Boundbrook NJ Pt Reading Jct NJ 3  CR SHARED Park Jct NJ Phil Frankfort PA 6  CR SHARED Phil Frankfort PA Camden NJ 4  CR SHARED Cambridort PA Lester PA 6  CR SHARED Cambridort NJ Paulsboro NJ 6	Total Segments 1,022  Rell Line Segment Description  Pre Acq. (1995) Post Acq. From To Longth (mil.)  CR SHARED Kearny NJ Valley NJ 4 0.0  CR SHARED Valley NJ Nit. NJ 1 0.0  CR SHARED PReading Jct NJ Port Reading NJ 16 0.0  CR SHARED Boundbrook NJ 22 56.0  CR SHARED Park Jct NJ Pt Reading Jct NJ 3 0.0  CR SHARED Park Jct NA Phil Frankfort PA 6 0.0  CR SHARED Phil Frankfort PA 6 0.0  CR SHARED Casshvick PA Lester PA 6 0.0  CR SHARED Voodbury NJ Paulsboro N.1 6 0.0	Total Segments 1,022   S5,733   Presenger & From   Freight   Page   Pa	Total Segments   1,022     35,733     Passenger & Freight   Trains	Total Segments 1,922   S5,733   Presenger & Project Train Data	Total Segments   1,022     35,733     Presenger & Freight Train Data	Pre Acq. (1995)   Post Acq.   From   To   Seg.   Length (mil.)   Pro Acq. (1995)   Post Acq.   Pro Acq. (1995)   Pro Acq. (1995)   Pro Acq.   Pro	Total Segment 1,922   S5,733   Presenger & Freight   Present Train Data   Present   Present	Total Segments   1,022   35,733   Presenger & Freight Train Data   Freight Rail Data	Pre Acq. (1995)   Post Acq. (1	Total Segments   1,022     Presenger & Project Train Data   Product Train Train Data   Product Train Train Data   Product Train Data   Product Train Tr	Total Segments 1,022   Rail Line Segment Description   Property   Page   Property   Pr	Total Segments 1,022   S5,735   Presenter & Freight Train Data   Freight Rail Data   Pro Acq. (1995)   Post Acq. (1995)   Pos	Total Segment   1,922     25,733	Commercial   Com	Pre Acq. (1996)   Post Acq. (1	Pre Acq. (1995)   Post Acq. (1995)   Post Acq. (1995)   Post Acq. (1995)   Pre Acq

2.0

14.3

3.8

1.4

2.0

15.6

€.0

1.4

0.0

1.3 41.9

1.2

0.0

4.5

9.0

1.5

0.0

88.0

0.0

NJ

MD

NJ LN

9

32

9

30

0% 1,600 1,000 0% 11,000 11,000

2,000 4,000

100%

X

4.5

44.9

5.3

0.9

-41%

7-25

S-237 CR SHARED Cooper

S-238 AMTK AMTK Perryville S-239 CR SHARED Pavonia

S-240 CR SHARED Woodbury

NJ Woodbury

MD Baltimore

NJ Woodbury NJ Millville

B = Change due to Acquisition.

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

# APPENDIX U List of Preparers

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

## CONTENTS

		Page
APPENDIX U:	LIST OF PREPARERS	. U-1

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

# APPENDE U LIST OF PREPARERS

# SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD SECTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS

ELAINE K. KAISER Program Director/Legal Counsel,

Section of Environmental Analysis

MICHAEL J. DALTON, III Program Manager.

Section of Environmental Analysis

HAROLD M. McNULTY Environmental Protection Specialist, Rail Operations

Analyst, Section of Environmental Analysis

VICTORIA J. RUTSON Staff Attorney/Legal Review,

Section of Environmental Analysis

DANA G. WHITE Environmental Protection Specialist,

Section of Environmental Analysis

#### PRIME CONTRACTORS

The prime contractors, De Leuw, Cather & Company (DCCO) and HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), were involved in all aspects of project management, technical analysis, quality assurance, public outreach, and document production. In addition, a subcontractor, Public Affairs Management (PAM), directed and managed the public outreach efforts and provided extensive project management support as well as extensive technical and editorial assistance.

- Michael W. Johnson (DCCO), B.A. Legal Studies; 10 years experience in EIS
  preparation and infrastructure planning. Mr. Johnson served as Project Coordinator,
  Agency Operations Manager, and DCCO Project Manager.
- Thomas M. Sanders (IDR), B.S. Civil Engineering; 20 years experience in environmental and civil engineering. Mr. Sanders served as the Project Director and HDR Project Manager.

 Charles L. Gardiner (PAM), B.A. Chemistry and Political Science; 15 years in public outreach and agency coordination for environmental review and transportation-related projects. Mr. Gardiner served as Project Coordinator and PAM Project Manager.

#### DCCO AND SUBCONTRACTORS

DCCO and its subcontractors were responsible for project management, comment response team management, legal counsel, and public outreach; and technical analysis of railroad operations, environmental justice, traffic and transportation, emergency response, noise, and hazardous materials transport.

- David Coate (Acentech, Inc.), B.A. Mathematics, B.A. Chemistry, B.A. Physics, M.S. Energy Technology; 20 years in acoustics and environmental studies. Mr. Coate served as Noise Analysis Team Leader.
- Charles De Weese (DCCO), B.S. Mathematics; 35 years in railroad operations and safety. Mr. De Weese served as Rail Operations Analyst and addressed hazardous materials transportation issues.
- Winn B. Frank (DCCO), M.B.A. Marketing, B.S./B.A. Transportation; 33 years
  experience in railroad operations and management for domestic and international
  projects. Mr. Frank served as the Railroad Operations Manager.
- James Gregory (DCCO), M.A. Urban and Environmental Planning, B.S. Biology; more than 10 years in environmental planning and management. Mr. Gregory served as Comment Response Analyst and Energy Team Leader.
- Robin E. Joseph (DCCO), M.A. Urban Planning, B.A. Political Science; 3 years in transportation and land use planning, transportation policy analysis, environmental justice and conflict management and resolution. Ms. Joseph served as Environmental Justice Team Co-leader.
- John C. Martin (DCCO), M.C.R.P. City and Regional Planning, B.S. Business Administration; 23 years experience in transportation planning. Mr. Martin served as Transportation — Emergency Response Team Leader.
- Terrence L. McKinley (DCCO), Juris Doctor, M.B.A., Management Science, B.S.
  Industrial Engineering; 15 years experience in management consulting and 17 years
  experience in public transportation, capital program management, strategic planning, and
  intergovernmental relations. Mr. McKinley served as Mitigation Manager.

- Bonnie A. Nixon (PAM), B.A. Communications; 15 years in strategic management of
  public, articipation programs for Federal, state, and regional agencies. Ms. Nixon served
  on the Project Advisory Panel for Strategic Issues and served as Public Outreach and
  Political Liaison.
- William J. Novak (DCCO), M.A., B.A. Geography; 25 years experience in environmental planning and impact assessment for transportation and infrastructure development projects. Mr. Novak served as Environmental Justice Team Co-leader and managed the local impacts analyses.
- Phil Olekszyk (World Wide Rail), M.B.A. Behavioral Science, B.S.M.E. Mechanical Engineering; 12 years in railroad federal safety enforcement, 10 years in railroad research. Mr. Olkeszyk served as Safety Team Leader.
- Edward Y. Papazian (DCCO), M.S. Civil Engineering; B.S. Civil Engineering; 28 years in traffic engineering. Mr. Papazian served as Traffic/Transportation Team Leader.
- John Pinto (Rail Trac Associates), B.A. Social Sciences; 21 years in railroad rights of ay acquisition, management, and analysis. Mr. Pinto served as Data Manager.
- Debra Richards (Consultant), M.B.A., B.S. Business Administration; 10 years in project management. Ms. Richards served on the Project Advisory Panel and was involved in strategic issues related to communications and document development.
- Robert Rooney (DCCO), B.S. Management; 20 years in railroad operations planning and analysis. Mr. Rooney served as Rail Operations Passenger Interface Analyst.
- Matthew Royce (PAM), M.F.A. Management; 10 years in public meeting planning and communications. Mr. Royce served as Public Outreach Associate.
- Barry P. Steinberg, Esq. (Kutak Rock), LLB, B.A. Psychology; 35 years as a military and private-sector environmental attorney. Mr. Steinberg served on the Project Advisory Panel.
- Philip Stephens (DCCO), M.S. Highway Engineering and Geotechnics, B.S. Civil Engineering; 10 years experience in transportation engineering. Mr. Stephens served as Process Auditing and Quality Assurance Specialist.

#### HDR AND SUBCONTRACTORS

HDR and its subcontractors were responsible for project management, as well as management of databases, the comment response team, and document production; and technical analysis of Safety Integration Plans, cultural resources, rail safety, hazardous materials transport safety rail operations, natural resources, land use, cumulative impacts, air quality, and hazardous waste sites.

- William D. Burgel (HDR), M.S. Geology, B.S. Engineering; 26 years in railroad engineering and operations and railroad negotiations with public agencies. Mr. Burgel served as Railroad Operations Team Leader.
- Todd Burger (Arthur D. Little, Inc.), B.S. Accounting; 21 years in rail transport operations, safety, organizational change strategy, and process improvement. Mr. Burger served as Safety Integration Plan Manager.
- Jay Campbell, P.E. (HDR), M.S., B.S., Civil Engineering; 29 years experience in project and operations management in transportation and environmental projects. Mr. Campbell served as the Quality Control Manager.
- Michael E. Harris, P.E. (HDR), M.S., B.S. Civil Engineering; 25 years experience in project operations management and Quality Assurance/Quality Control in environmental projects. Mr. Harris served as Quality Assurance Leader.
- William J. Jeffords, Jr. (HDR), B.S. General Science Education; 10 years in environmental impact assessment and planning for transportation projects. Mr. Jeffords served as Natural Resources Team Leader.
- Jeffer, P. Johnson (HDR), M.C.R.P. City and Regional Planning, B.A. Political Science;
   12 years land use, comprehensive and strategic planning projects, and economic and site development. Mr. Johnson served as Land Use and Cumulative Impacts Analyst.
- Edward J. Liebsch (HDR), M.S. Meteorology, B.A. Earth Science; 17 years in air quality impact analysis and permitting. Mr. Liebsch served as Air Quality Team Leader.
- John H. Morton (HDR), M.S. Engineering Management, B.S. Environmental Engineering; 23 years in impact analysis, regulatory compliance and environmental mitigation for transportation and development projects. Mr. Morton served as Technical Analysis Manager.
- Nancy A. Roberts, Esq. (Kutak Rock), Juris Doctor; 20 years in environmental law, including NEPA compliance. Ms. Roberts served as Legal Advisor for Railroad Operations and Inconsistent and Responsive Applications.

- Alonso Rodriguez (HDR), B.A. Management/Finance; seven years experience in telecommunications. Mr. Rodriguez served as Central Administrative Unit Document/Database Manager.
- Eileen K. Straughan (Straughan Environmental Services, Inc.), B.S. Natural Resources and Conservation; 16 years in environmental analysis, mitigation planning and design, and NEPA documentation. Ms. Straughan served as Production Manager
- Barry Wharton (HDR), M.A. Archaeology, B.A. Anthropology; 18 years in cultural resource impact assessments and Section 106 compliance. Mr. Wharton served as Cultural Resources Team Leader/Section 106 Compliance.
- John H. Wiser (HDR), B.S. Biology; seven years experience in natural resource management. Mr. Wiser served as Central Administrative Unit Lead Comment Analyst.
- Mark Wollschlager (HDR), Juris Doctor, B.S. Biology; 20 years in environmental law and impact analysis and permitting. Mr. Wollschlager served as Comment Response Team Manager.
- Susan L. Young (HDR), B.S. Geology; 18 years in environmental geology and project management for environmental projects. Ms. Young served as Hazardous Waste Team Leader.

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

#### SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD Finance Docket No. 33388

CSX Corporation and CSX Transportation, Inc.

Norfolk Southern Corporation and Norfolk Southern Railway Company

Control and Operating Leases/Agreements

Conrail Inc. and Consolidated Rail Corporation

#### GUIDE TO THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

This Final Environmental Impact Statement (Final EIS) evaluates the potential environmental impacts that could result from the proposed Acquisition of Conrail Inc. and Consolidated Rail Corporation (Conrail) by CSX Corporation and CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSX) and Norfolk Southern Corporation and Norfolk Southern Railway Company (NS). The Surface Transportation Board's (Board) Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) has prepared this document in accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321); the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations implementing NEPA; the Board's environmental rules (49 CFR Part 1105); and other applicable environmental statutes and regulations.

SEA issued the Draft EIS on December 19, 1997. Subsequently, SEA issued an Errata (January 12, 1998) and a Supplemental Errata (January 21, 1998) to clarify statements and analyses in the Draft EIS. The 45-day public comment period closed February 2, 1998. This Final EIS provides responses to comments, questions, and issues that the public, agencies, and other document reviewers raised. It describes SEA's additional environmental analysis and includes SEA's final environmental mitigation recommendations to the Board.

To assist the reader in the review of this document, each volume contains a Guide to that volume and a Table of Contents for each chapter in that volume. In addition, each individual volume also contains a Guide to the Final EIS, a Glossary of Terms, a List of Acronyms and Abbreviations, and the Table of Contents of the Final EIS. Specifically, the Final EIS document includes the following volumes:

#### **Executive Summary Volume**

The Executive Summary provides an overview of the proposed Conrail Acquisition, including the potential environmental impacts and the mitigation measures that SEA recommends to address those impacts. In addition, the Executive Summary Volume contains the Letter to Interested Parties that SEA attached to copies of this Final EIS, the Information Sources that SEA used for preparing both the Draft EIS and the Final EIS documents, and the Index of keywords and phrases that appear in this Final EIS.

#### Volume 1: Chapters 1, 2, and 3

- Chapter 1, "Introduction and Background," describes the purpose and need for the
  project, the proposed action, and the alternatives to the proposed action. It also sets forth
  the jurisdiction of the Board and outlines SEA's environmental review process. In
  addition, this chapter presents an overview of SEA's agency coordination and the public
  comment process.
- Chapter 2, "Scope of the Environmental Analysis," identifies the proposed Conrail
  Acquisition-related activities that SEA analyzed. This chapter includes a table presenting
  the thresholds SEA used to identify activities for environmental analysis and explains
  project activities that differ from those set forth in the Draft EIS.
- Chapter 3, "Agency Coordination and Public Outreach," describes SEA's public outreach activities to notify interested parties and environmental justice populations of the potential environmental impacts of the proposed Conrail Acquisition and of the availability of the Draft EIS and the Final EIS. Additionally, the chapter explains SEA's distribution of the Draft EIS and the Final EIS, explains the methods that SEA used to facilitate the public comment process, and describes the agency coordination that SEA performed as part of the environmental review process. Chapter 3 also reviews the historic properties outreach activities that SEA conducted in Ohio.

### Volume 2: Chapter 4

• Chapter 4, "Summary of Environmental Review," outlines the additional environmental analysis that SEA conducted for each environmental issue area since preparation of the Draft EIS. Specifically, it explains the methods of analysis, presents the public comments and additional evaluations, identifies the results of the analysis, and reviews SEA's assessment of environmental impacts. In addition, this chapter describes SEA's refinement of the mitigation measures recommended in the Draft EIS, SEA's final recommended mitigation measures, anticipated environmental benefits, and the adverse environmental impacts of the proposed Conrail Acquisition.

### Volume 3: Chapter 5

 Chapter 5, "Summary of Comments and Responses," contains summaries of the comments that SEA received on the Draft EIS and SEA's responses to the comments. The chapter provides the following: (a) an overview of the comments, including those from Federal agencies, the Applicants, and national and regional groups as well as groups and individuals within specific states; (b) general comments on the Draft EIS, including the Application review process, the invironmental review process, and the system-wide technical analysis; and (c) comments on state and community issues, organized by state and environmental issue category.

#### Volume 4: Chapter 6

Chapter 6, "Safety Integration Planning," sets forth the purpose and topics of the Safety
Integration Plans and presents summaries of comments that reviewing agencies and the
public submitted about the Safety Integration Plans. The chapter also includes SEA's
analysis and response to those comments and provides SEA's conclusion and
recommended conditions regarding the Safety Integration Plans.

#### Volume 5: Chapter 7

 Chapter 7, "Recommended Environmental Conditions," describes the final environmental mitigation conditions that SEA recommends to address significant adverse environmental impacts that could result from the proposed Conrail Acquisition.

#### Volume 6: Appendices

 These four volumes (6A through 6D) include appendices containing the comments on the Draft EIS and the analysis by the technical disciplines as well as appendices containing public outreach and agency consultation information and documents.

#### Volume 6A contains the following appendix:

A. Comments Received on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

# Volume 6B contains the following appendices:

- B. Draft Environmental Impact Statement Correction Letter, Errata, Supplemental Errata and Additional Environmental Information, and Board Notices to Parties of Record.
- C. Settlement Agreements and Negotiated Agreements.
- D. Agency Consultation.
- E. Safety: Highway/Rail At-Grade Crossing Safety Analysis.
- F. Safety: Hazardous Materials Transport Analysis.
- G. Transportation: Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Traffic Delay Analysis.
- H. Transportation: Roadway Systems Analysis.
- I. Air Quality Analysis.

# Volume 6C contains the following appendices:

- J. Noise Analysis.
- K. Cultural Resources Analysis.
- L. Natural Resources Analysis.
- M. Environmental Justice Analysis.

#### N. Community Evaluations.

#### Volume 6D contains the following appendices:

- O. EPA Rules on Locomotive Emissions.
- P. SEA's Best Management Practices for Construction and Abandonment Activities.
- Q. Example Public Outreach Materials.
- R. All Relevant Board Decisions.
- S. Index for the Draft Environmental Impact Statement.
- T. Final Environmental Impact Statement Rail Line Segments.
- U. List of Preparers.

#### Addendum Volume

The Addendum contains information SEA did not include in the other portions of the Final EIS because of production timing constraints. The Addendum contains SEA's evaluation and additional analyses SEA conducted for train traffic rerouting proposed as mitigation for the Greater Cleveland Area. The Addendum also contains additional analysis of the proposed connection in Alexandria, Indiana (one of the Seven Separate Connections) as well as comments received during an additional comment period and summaries of, and responses to, those comments.

### **GLOSSARY OF TERMS**

abandonment:

The discontinuance of service on a rail line segment and the salvaging and/or the removal of railroad-related facilities for reuse, sale, and/or disposal.

Acquisition:

The proposal by CSX, NS, and Conrail to acquire control of Conrail's assets and its basic railroad operations.

active warning devices:

Traffic control devices that give positive notice to highway users of the approach or presence of a train. These devices may include a flashing red light signal (a device which, when activated, displays red lights flashing alternately), a bell (a device which, when activated, provides an audible warning, usually used with a flashing red light signal), automatic gates (a mechanism added to flashing red light signals to provide an arm that can lower across the lanes of the roadway), and a cantilever (a structure equipped with flashing red light signals and extending over one or more lanes of traffic).

Advanced Civil Speed Enforcement System (ACSES): A supplement to the Automatic Cab Signal (ACS) and Automatic Train Control (ATC) systems currently in place within the Northeast Corridor (NEC), ACSES uses a series of transponders to communicate location and other factors to passing trains whose on-board computers utilize the information to achieve system function. These functions include: (1) civil speed enforcement; (2) temporary speed enforcemen, including protection of roadway workers; and (3) enforcemen of positive stop at interlocking home signals and Control Points (CPs).

adverse environmental impact:

A negative effect, resulting from the implementation of a proposed action, that serves to degrade or diminish an aspect of human or natural resources.

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP): An independent Federal agency charged with advising the President and Congress on historic preservation matters and administering the provisions of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

air-brake test:

A test made prior to train departure, required by Federal Railroad Administration regulations and by railroad rules to ensure that a train's air-brake system is functioning as intended and that certain devices are within prescribed tolerances and physical parameters.

Allied Rail Unions (ARU):

A group of unions representing railroad employees, including the Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers, the Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen, and the Brotherhood of Maintenance-of-Way Employees.

**Applicants:** 

CSX Corporation and CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSX), Norfolk Southern Railway Company and Norfolk Southern Corporation (NS), and Conrail Inc. and Consolidated Rail Corporation (Conrail).

Application:

A formal filing with the Surface Transportation Board related to railroad mergers, acquisitions, constructions, or abandonments. Applications may be either Primary Applications or Inconsistent and Responsive (IR) Applications. See Primary Application and Inconsistent and Responsive (IR) Application.

## Area of Potential Effect(s) (AoPE):

The geographic area surrounding a rail activity where an individual (or resource) or group of individuals (or resources) cou'd likely experience adverse environmental effects. For this Final EIS, where applicable, the different technical disciplines determined their own specific definitions of this term for their individual technical disciplines.

#### attainment area:

An area that EPA has classified as complying with the National Ambient Air Quality Standards specified under the Clean Air Act.

#### authorized speed:

Maximum permitted speed for a specific train at a specific location, taking into account the prevailing weather conditions (for example, restrictions due to heavy rain, extreme heat or cold).

## Automatic Block System (ABS):

A series of railroad signals that indicate track occupancy in the block (length of track of defined limits) ahead and govern the use of a consecutive set of blocks by a train. These signals include wayside track signals and cab signals (signals displayed in the locomotive cab instead of, or in addition to, wayside track signal displays), or both. This system combines automatic detection of train position with control of signals.

## Automatic Train Control (ATC):

A system that has components installed on both trains and tracks that, when working together, will cause the train brakes to apply automatically if the engineer fails to respond to a condition requiring train speed to be reduced.

## Best Management Practice (BMP):

Technique that various parties (for example, the construction industry) use to provide protection from adverse impacts to the environment. The Board may designate these techniques as mitigation measures.

block group:

A small population area that the U.S. Census Bureau uses to measure and record demographic characteristics. The population of a block group typically ranges from 600 to 3,000 people and is designed to reflect homogeneous living conditions, economic status, and population characteristics. Block group boundaries follow visible and identifiable features, such as roads, canals, railroads, and above-ground high-tension power lines.

block swapping:

The process of moving groups of cars with a common destination (called "blocks") from one train to another.

Board:

The Surface Transportation Board, the licensing agency for the proposed Conrail Acquisition.

bulletins:

Documents addressed to train crews and other operating employees specifying temporary or local operating rules and restrictions.

cab signaling:

System that provides signal indications in the locomotive cab instead of, or in addition to, wayside signal displays.

carload:

A unit of measure used to describe commodities transported on a railroad typically in a boxcar, tank car, flat car, hopper car, or gondola.

centralized traffic control system:

A signal system that allows for the movement of trains in either direction on designated tracks at the maximum authorized speed, in accordance with the wayside or cab signals or both.

census tract:

Small, relatively permanent statistical subdivisions of a county containing between 2,500 and 8,000 persons. The U.S. Bureau of Census designs census tracts to reflect homogeneous living conditions, economic status, and population characteristics.

## Clean Air Act (Clean Air Act Amendments):

The Clean Air Act of 1970 and the subsequent amendments, including the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 7401-7671g); the primary Federal law that protects the nation's air resources. This act establishes a comprehensive set of standards, planning processes, and requirements to address air pollution problems and reduce emissions from major sources of pollutants.

#### Clean Water Act:

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972 (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.;) is the primary Federal law that protects the nation's waters, including lakes, rivers, aquifers, and coastal areas. This act provides a comprehensive framework of standards, technical tools, and financial assistance to address the many causes of pollution and poor water quality, including municipal and industrial wastewater discharges, polluted runoff from urban and rural areas, and habitat destruction. Specifically, the Clean Water Act provides for the following:

- Requires major industries to meet performance standards to ensure pollution control.
- Charges states and tribes with setting specific water quality standards appropriate for their waters and developing pollution control programs to meet them.
- Provides funding to states and communities to help them meet their clean water infrastructure needs.
- Protects valuable wetlands and other aquatic habitats through a permitting process that conducts land development activities and other activities in an environmentally sound manner.

#### coastal zone:

According to the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, lands and waters adjacent to the coast that exert an influence on the uses of the sea and its ecology, or whose uses and ecology the sea affects.

Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA): The Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended ((16 U.S.C. 1451-1464; P.L. 92-583), is also known as "Federal Consistency With Approved State Coastal Management Programs" (15 CFR 930). This Federal act preserves, protects, develops, and, where possible, restores or enhances the resources of the nation's coastal zone for the present and for future generations. The provisions of 15 CFR 930.30 ensure that all Federally conducted or supported activities, including development projects directly affecting the coastal zone, are consistent with approved state coastal management programs as much as possible.

collective bargaining agreement:

An agreement between a union and an employer that defines the scope of work, rates of pay, rules, and working conditions for the union's members.

common corridor:

For the purposes of this Final EIS, a railroad line segment that accommodates both public mass transportation service and passenger and freight train operations by using separate tracks adjacent to each other in the same right-of-way or area.

compensation wetlands (compensatory wetlands): Wetlands that an agency or entity creates, enhances, or preserves to mitigate for unavoidable impacts on existing wetlands that occur as a result of implementation of the agency's or entities' proposed action. These compensation (or compensatory) wetlands replace, "in kind", wetlands that an agency or entity partially or totally fills or drains during its construction or earth-moving activities.

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA): The Comprehensive Environmen'al Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601-9675; P.L. 96-510); the Federal act that provides EPA with the authority to clean up inactive hazardous waste sites and distribute the cleanup costs among the parties who generated and/or handled the hazardous substances at these sites.

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System (CERCLIS): Federal database containing information on potential hazardous waste sites that states, municipalities, private companies, and private persons have reported to the EPA, pursuant to Section 103 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act. This database contains sites that are either proposed for inclusion on, or are currently on, the National Priorities List (NPL) and sites that are in the screening and assessment phase for possible inclusion on the NPL.

condition:

A provision that the Board imposes as part of any decision approving the proposed Conrail Acquisition and that requires action by one or more of the Applicants.

conductor:

The operating employee on a train responsible for safe and efficient train movement in accordance with all railroad operating rules and special instructions.

Conrail Shared Assets Operations:

See Shared Assets Areas.

consist:

The number and type of locomotives and cars included in a train, considering special factors such as the tonnage and the placement of hazardous materials cars and "high-wides" (oversize dimension cars).

constant warning time:

A motion-sensing system with the capability of measuring train speed and providing a relatively uniform warning time by warning signal devices to highway traffic at highway/rail atgrade crossings.

Control Date:

The date on which the merger can become effective, following formal approval of the Board.

Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ): Federal agency responsible for developing regulations and guidance for agencies implementing the National Environmental Policy Act.

craft employee:

Term applied to a railroad employee qualified in a specific railroad operating or maintenance activity (for example, locomotive engineer, train dispatcher, signal maintainer, or car inspector).

crew caller:

Term applied to a railroad employee who is responsible for notifying train crews when and where to report for duty.

crew calling:

Process of notifying train crew members when and where their next tour-of-duty will start. Labor agreements commonly specify that railroads call train crews a minimum of 2 hours before crew members are required to begin their tour-of-duty.

critical habitat:

The specific sites within the geographical area occupied by a threatened or endangered species that include the physical or biological features essential to the conservation of the species. These areas may require special management considerations or protection. These areas include specific sites outside the geographical areas occupied by the species at the time of the listing that are essential for the conservation of the species.

criteria of significance:

The criteria SEA developed specifically for the proposed Conrail Acquisition to determine whether a potential adverse environmental effect is significant and may warrant mitigation.

cross-tie:

Transverse wooden, concrete, or steel beam support: gthe rails of a railroad track.

#### cultural resource:

Any prehistoric or historic district, site, building, structure, or object that warrants consideration for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. A cultural resource that is listed in or is eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places is considered a historic property (or a significant cultural resource). For the purposes of this Final EIS, the term applies to any resource more than 50 years old for which SEA gathered information to evaluate its significance. In addition, this Final EIS addresses potential environmental impacts of the proposed rail line construction and abandonment activities on Native American reservations and sacred sites.

#### cumulative effects:

Effects resulting from the incremental impacts of the proposed Conrail Acquisition when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions, regardless of which agency (Federal or non-Federal) or person undertakes such actions, as described in 40 CFR 1508.7. Cumulative effects can result from individually minor but collectively significant actions taking place over a period of time.

### Day 1:

In the event that the Board approves the proposed Conrail Acquisition, the date (as the Applicants determine through mutual agreement) when operating responsibility for the acquired railroad is transferred to the Applicants' organizations.

### decibel (dB):

A unit of noise measured on a logarithmic scale that compresses the range of sound pressures audible to the human ear over a range from 0 to 140, where 0 decibels represents sound pressure corresponding to the threshold of human hearing, and 140 decibels corresponds to a sound pressure at which pain occurs. Noise analysts measure sound pressure levels that people hear in decibels, much like other analysts measure linear distances in yards or meters. A-weighted decibel (dBA) refers to a weighting that accounts for the various frequency components in a way that corresponds to human hearing.

degradation:

To change a habitat, either terrestrial or aquatic, so that it no longer meets the survival needs of a particular species of plant or wildlife. Such change could include reducing the feeding area, modifying the vegetation type, and limiting the available shelter.

detector car:

One of two types of rail equipment designed to detect imperfections in railroad track structure. Rail detector cars detect internal imperfections within the rail, using ultrasonic techniques. See also track geometry inspection car.

dimensional traffic:

A freight shipment requiring special authorization for movement because of height, width, length, or gross weight.

dispatcher (train):

The railroad operating employee responsible for issuing ontrack movement and/or occupancy authority through the use of remotely controlled switches, signals, visual displays, voice control written mandatory directives, and/or all of the above.

dispatcher desk:

The workstation from which a train dispatcher controls a specific portion of a railroad's network.

dispatching:

The process of real-time planning, supervising, and controlling of train movements.

disproportionality (test for):

A comparison test to assess whether potentially high and adverse impacts of an action are predominantly borne or more severe or greater in magnitude in an Environmental Justice (EJ) population than a non-EJ population within the current analysis scale (that is, at the system, state, county, segment, or block group level).

double-stack freight service:

The transport of two intermodal containers stacked on top of each other on one platform of an intermodal rail flat car.

double tracking:

Construction of a second railroad track immediately adjacent to an existing track, to perform railroad activities similar to those occurring on the existing track.

emergent species:

Any type of aquatic plant whose vegetative growth is mostly above the water.

emissions:

Air pollutants that enter the atmosphere.

endangered species:

A species that is in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range. Federal and state laws protect these species.

Endangered Species Act (ESA):

The Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.; P.L. 93-205), as amended in 1978, is the primary Federal law protecting endangered and threatened wildlife and plant species. The purpose of the law is to provide for the conservation of habitat for such species.

engineer (railroad):

Employee responsible for operating a railroad locomotive in accordance with train-handling practices, signal indications, operating rules, speed limits, and the technical requirements of the particular locomotive.

Environmental Impact Statement (EIS):

A document that the National Environmental Policy Act requires Federal agencies to prepare for major projects or legislative proposals having the potential to significantly affect the environment. A tool for decision-making, it describes the positive and negative environmental effects of the undertaking, and alternative actions and measures to reduce or eliminate potentially significant environmental impacts.

## Environmental Justice (EJ):

For purposes of this document, SEA defines environmental justice as the mission discussed in Executive Order (EO) 12898 "Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations" (59 FR 7629, February 11, 1994). This EO directs Federal agencies to identify and address "disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects" of their programs, policies, and activities on minority and low-income populations in the United States. EO 12898 also calls for public notification for environmental justice populations, as well as meaningful public participation of environmental justice populations. In this document, SEA used the guidance provided in the Department of Transportation Order on Environmental Justice, the Council of Environmental Quality, Environmental Justice Guidance under the National Environmental Policy Act, and the Interim Final Guidance for Incorporating Environmental Justice Concerns in EPA's NEPA analysis to analyze potential disproportionately high and adverse impacts on environmental justice populations for rail segments, intermodal facilities, rail yards, and new construction.

# Environmental Justice (EJ) population:

A population within an Area of Potential Effect whose minority and low-income composition meets at least one of the following criteria: (1) The percentage of minority and low-income population in the Area of Potential Effect is greater than 50 percent of the total population in the Area of Potential Effect; or (2) The percentage of minority and low-income population in the Area of Potential Effect is at least ten percentage points greater than the percentage of minority or low-income population in the county of which the Area of Potential Effect is a part.

# Environmental Resource Category:

Any of the environmental issues that serve as the major topics of impact analysis for this EIS. Examples include land use, natural resources, noise, hazardous materials, cultural resources, water quality, or air quality.

Environmental Resource Score (ERS):

The impact score determined for an environmental resource category within a (block group) Area of Potential Effect. A typical ERS ranges from 0 to 6, reflecting the relative impact on the Area of Potential Effect compared with impacts on other Areas of Potential Effect. For the Environmental Justice analysis, SEA calculated an ERS for noise, hazardous materials transport, and traffic safety and delay.

equipment:

For a railroad, a term used to refer to the mobile assets of the railroad, such as locomotives, freight cars, and on-track maintenance machines. Also used more narrowly as a collective term for freight cars operated by the railroad.

equipment restrictions:

Operating instructions that restrict certain types of locomotives or freight cars from operating over selected line segments.

Errata:

A list of corrections to the Draft EIS, prepared to facilitate public review of the Draft EIS and to clarify some of the information contained therein.

Executive Order (EO) 12898:

Executive Order 12898, "Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority and Low-Income Populations," issued in February of 1994; directs Federal agencies to identify and address as appropriate "disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects," including interrelated social and economic effects, of their programs, policies, and activities on minority populations and low-income populations in the United States.

extra board crew caller position:

Railroad employee who does not have a regularly assigned position but who works on an on-call basis.

floodplain:

The lowlands adjoining inland and coastai waters and relatively flat areas and flood-prone areas of offshore islands, including, at a minimum, those areas that have a 1 percent or greater chance of flood in any given year (also known as a 100-year or a Zone A floodplain).

Four City Consortium:

An alliance of the cities of East Chicago, Hammond, Gary, and Whiting, Indiana.

freight car inspections:

Pre-departure tests required for railroad freight cars pursuant to Federal Railroad Administration regulations.

fugitive dust:

According to EPA regulations, those particulate matter emissions that could not "reasonably pass" through a stack, cnimney, vent, or other functionally equivalent opening. Examples of fugitive dust include wind-borne particulate matter from earth-moving and material handling during construction activities

Geographic Information System (GIS):

A computer system for storing, retrieving, manipulating, analyzing, and displaying geographic data. GIS combines mapping and databases.

grade crossing:

See highway/rail at-grade crossing.

grade separation:

See separated grade crossing.

gross ton-mile:

A measure of railroad production that represents the weight of cars and freight movement in terms of total tons per mile transported system-wide or over a specific rail line segment. Specifically, 1 ton of railroad car and loading carried 1 mile.

haulage right(s):

The limited right (or combination of limited rights) of one railroad to have their freight traffic moved by another railroad over the designated lines of the other railroad.

hazardous materials:

Substances or materials that the Secretary of Transportation has determined are capable of posing an unreasonable risk to human health, safety, and property when transported in commerce, as designated under 49 CFR Parts 172 and 173.

hazardous wastes:

Waste materials that, by their nature, are inherently dangerous to handle or dispose of (for example, old explosives, radioactive materials, some chemicals, some biological wastes). Usually, industrial operations produce these waste materials.

high-and-wide load:

Load on a freight car that exceeds the normal height and/or width limits for general operation over a railroad. Such loads may move only with special operating precautions to prevent damage to wayside structures and trains on adjacent tracks.

high-profile crossings:

A condition at a highway/rail at-grade crossing where the elevation of the tracks is above the elevation of the approaching roadway. This condition, generally the result of the periodic raising of the tracks for maintenance of the track bed, can affect sight distance for highway users and can become a hazard for trucks and trailers with low ground-clearance. This is also referred to as "hump crossings".

highway/rail at-grade crossing:

The general area of an intersection of a public or private road and a railroad where the intersecting rail and highway traffic are at the same level. historic property:

Any prehistoric or historic district, site, building, structure, or object included in or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). The term "eligible for inclusion in the NRHP" pertains to both properties that the Secretary of the Interior has formally determined to be eligible and to all other properties that meet NRHP listing criteria.

horn noise (train):

Noise that occurs when locomotives sound warning horns in the vicinity of highway/rail at-grade crossings.

hours-of-service regulations:

Federa! Hours of Service Law, which Federal Railroad Administration enforces, governing maximum shift lengths and minimum rest periods for railroad operating employees. These employees include train crew, train dispatchers, and signal maintainers, as well as mechanical employees such as hostlers who move equipment for the purpose of test and inspection.

Implementing Agreement:

An agreement between a railroad company and an employee union regarding working conditions on a combined system, and specifying the corresponding seniority districts, work locations, and other terms and conditions of employment.

Inconsistent and Responsive (IR) application: Proposal to the Surface Transportation Board that Parties of Record submitted prior to October 21, 1997, requesting modifications of, or alternatives to, the proposed Conrail Acquisition.

Indian tribe:

According to Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 450-458; P.L. 93-638), any Indian tribe, band, nation, or other organized group or community recognized as eligible for the special programs and services that the United States provides to Indians because of their status as Indians.

interchange point:

Point at which two or more railroads join to exchange freight

traffic.

interlocking:

An arrangement of switch, lock, and signal devices that is located where rail tracks cross, join, or separate. The devices are interconnected in such a way that their movements must succeed each other in a predetermined order, thereby

preventing opposing or conflicting movements.

intermodal facility:

A site consisting of tracks, lifting equipment, paved and/or unpaved areas, and a control point for the transfer (receiving, loading, unloading, and dispatching) of trailers and containers between rail and highway, or between rail and marine modes of transportation.

jurisdictional wetland:

Wetlands that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers regulates under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1344).

key route:

For the purposes of this Final EIS, a rail line segment that carries an annual volume of 10,000 or more carloads of hazardous material

key train:

Any train with five or more tank carloads of chemicals classified as a Poison Inhalation Hazard (PIH), or with a total of 20 rail cars with any combination of PIHs, flammable gaces, explosives, or environmentally sensitive chemicals.

Ldn:

The day-night average noise sound level, which is the receptor's cumulative noise exposure from all noise events over a full 24 hours. This is adjusted to account for the perception that noise at night is more bothersome than the same noise during the day.

Leg(h):

The hourly energy-averaged noise level.

labor relations culture:

Philosophy by which an employer and/or parties to a collective bargaining agreement conduct labor-management relations.

land use consistency:

Determination of whether the proposed Conrail Acquisition represents a change that is consistent with local land use plans in effect, based on consultation with local and/or regional planning agencies and/or a review of the official planning documents that such agencies have prepared.

Level of Service (LOS):

A measure of the operational efficiency of a roadway vehicle traffic stream using procedures that consider factors such as vehicle delay, freedom to maneuver, traffic interruptions, comfort and convenience, and safety. Traffic analysts express LOS as letter grades, ranging from Level of Service A (free flowing) to Level of Service F (severely congested); they measure LOS by the average delay for all vehicles. Specifically, Level of Service A describes operations with very low delay (less than 5.0 seconds per vehicle); Level of Service B describes operations with delay in the range of 5.1 to 15.0 seconds per vehicle; Level of Service C describes operations with delay in the range of 15.1 to 25.0 seconds per vehicle; Level of Service D describes operations with delay in the range of 25.1 to 40.0 seconds per vehicle; Level of Service E describes operations with delay in the range of 40.1 to 60.0 seconds per vehicle; and Level of Service F describes operations with delay in excess of 60.0 seconds per vehicle.

low-income population:

A population composed of persons whose median household income is below the Department of Health and Human Services poverty guidelines.

maintenance area:

An area classified by EPA as meeting National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) and which previously (within the last 10 years before reclassification) did not meet NAAQS.

maintenance-of-way:

The activity of maintaining the track and structures of a railroad.

major key route:

For the purposes of this Final EIS, a rail line segment where the annual volume of hazardous material it carries is projected to double and also exceed 20,000 carloads as a result of the proposed Conrail Acquisition.

**Mechanical Department:** 

Department of the railroad primarily responsible for the maintenance and inspection of locomotives, freight cars, and other moving equipment.

Memorandum of Agreement (MOA):

With regard to cultural resources for the Final EIS, a legally binding document executed under 36 CFR 800.5(e)(4) that either specifies the process a Federal agency will undertake in order to avoid, reduce, or mitigate adverse effects on historic properties by the implementation of a proposed action, or documents the acceptance of such effects in the public interest. The parties who sign a MOA generally include the lead agency, the State Historic Preservation Office, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, and sometimes other interested parties.

Memorandum of Understanding (MOU): An agreement that two or more parties execute that sets forth the specific duties and responsibilities of each party. For the purposes of this Final EIS, MOU is an agreement that the Applicants may negotiate with communities.

minority population:

A population composed of persons who are Black (non-Hispanic), Hispanic, Asian American, American Indian, or Alaskan Native.

mitigation:

An action taken to prevent, reduce, or eliminate adverse environmental effects.

motive power:

Locomotives operated by the railroad.

multi-level rail car:

A two- or three-level freight car, designed for transporting automotive vehicles.

Multiple Resource Score (MRS):

For the Environmental Justice analysis, a measure of aggregate impacts used to identify the geographic areas of greatest concern. This score sums the environmental resource scores for hazardous materials transport, noise, and traffic safety and delay and forms the basis for the tests for disproportionality.

National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS): Air pollutant concentration limits established by the EPA for the protection of human health, structures, and the natural environment.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA):

The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321-4347; P.L. 91-190) is the basic national charter for the protection of the environment. It establishes policy, sets goals, and provides means for carrying out the policy. Its purpose is to provide for the establishment of a Council on Environmental Quality and to instruct Federal agencies on what they must do to comply with the procedures and achieve the goals of NEPA.

National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA):

The National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. 470-470t et seq.; P.L. 89-665), is the basic legislation of the Nation's historic preservation program that established the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation and the Section 106 review process. Section 106 of the NHPA requires every Federal agency to "take into account" the effects of its undertakings on historic properties.

National Priorities List (NPL):

A subset of CERCLIS; EPA's list of the most serious uncontrolled or abandoned hazardous waste sites identified for possible long-term remedial action under the Superfund Program.

National Register of Historic Places (NRHP): Administered by the National Park Service, the Nation's master inventory of known historic properties, including buildings, structures, sites, objects, and districts that possess historic, architectural, engineering, archaeological, or cultural significance at the Federal, state, and local levels.

Native American:

According to the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act of 1990, as amended (25 U.S.C. 3001 et seq.; P.L. 101-601), of, or relating to, a tribe, people, or culture that is indigenous to the United States.

Native American lands:

According to the regulations of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation in 36 CFR 800.2, as modified by the scope of this EIS, all lands under the jurisdiction or control of an Indian tribe, including all lands within the exterior boundaries of any American Indian reservation.

Negotiated Agreement:

An agreement between CSX, NS, or both, and one or more communities or other governmental units that addresses potential environmental impacts or other issues.

No-Action Alternative:

The proposed acquisition of Conrail by CSX and NS does not take place under this alternative; also the present setting for the pre-Acquisition conditions.

noise:

A disturbance or annoyance of an intruding or unwanted sound. Noise impacts essentially depend on the amount and nature of the intruding sound, the amount of background sound already present before the intruding or unwanted sound occurred, and the nature of working or living activity of the people occupying the area where the sound occurs.

noise contour:

Lines plotted on maps or drawings connecting points of equal sound levels.

noise-sensitive receptor:

Location where noise can interrupt ongoing activities and can result in community annoyance, especially in residential areas. The Board's environmental regulations include schools, libraries, hospitals, residences, retirement communities, and nursing homes as examples of noise-sensitive receptors.

nonattainment area:

An area that EPA has classified as not complying with the National Ambient Air Quality Standards promulgated under the Clean Air Act.

Northeast Corridor (NEC):

Railroad right-of-way between Boston, Massachusetts and Washington, D.C. on which Amtrak and others operate; Amtrak is responsible for operation and maintenance on all of the route, except the route segment between New Haven, Connecticut and New Rochelle, New York.

Northeast Operating Rules:

Rules that govern railroad operations, adapted by members of the Northeast Operating Rules Advisory Committee (NORAC). These operating rules apply to all railroads when working on any NORAC member's territory. The NORAC members are Bay Colony Railroad, Conrail Inc. and Consolidated Rail Corporation (Conrail), Delaware & Hudson Railway company, Guildford Transportation Industries, National Railroad Passenger Corporation (Amtrak), New Jersey Transit (NJT), New York Susquehanna & Western Railway Corporation, Providence & Worcester Railroad Company, and Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (SEPTA).

notices:

Documents addressed to engineers and other operating employees detailing temporary or local operating rules and restrictions.

on-track (maintenance) equipment:

Track and other maintenance equipment provided with flanged wheels and able to move along railroad track.

operating employee:

Railroad employee engaged in the operation of trains, including a member of the train crew; a train dispatcher; and a track, a signal, and an equipment maintenance employee.

**Operating Plans:** 

Documents that CSX and NS provided as part of the Application, detailing their planned railroad operations following the proposed Conrail Acquisition.

operating practices:

Safety and operating rules, practices, and procedures contained in operating rulebook, timetable, special instructions, or any other company-issued instructions and the management decisions implementing those rules and instructions that govern the movement of trains and work on or around active tracks. operating rules:

Written rules of a railroad governing the operation of trains and the conduct of employees responsible for train operations when working on or around active tracks.

**Operation Lifesaver:** 

A non-profit public information and safety education program dedicated to eliminating collisions, deaths, and injuries at highway/rail at-grade crossings and on railroad rights-of-way. It is composed of a broad-based coalition of Federal, state, and local government agencies, private safety groups, and transportation industry representatives.

particulate matter (PM):

Airborne dust or aerosols.

Party of Record (POR):

Party that notified the Board of their active participation in the proceeding about the proposed Conrail Acquisition. When submitting a filing to the Board, the POR must also notify the entire POR service list.

passive warning devices:

Traffic control devices that do not give positive notice to highway users of the approach or presence of a train. These devices may include signs and pavement markings, located at, or in advance of, railroad crossings to indicate the presence of a crossing and the presence of a train. These signs are either regulatory or non-regulatory and may include parallel track signs, crossbucks, stop signs, yield signs, and constantly flashing lights.

positive train separation:

Mechanism included in positive train control, an experimental, automated safety system, using Global Positioning System (GPS) technology, onboard computers and wayside information inputs to control train movement. In the event of failure on the primary safety system, positive train control reduces the risk of single-point failure (that is, human error).

posted speed:

Maximum speed permitted at a specific location on the railroad network irrespective of train type.

Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Ciass I Areas: National parks and wilderness areas designated under the Clean Air Act as areas for which users are to maintain air quality at pristine levels, with very small increases in air pollution levels allowed.

**Primary Application:** 

The formal filing of documents with the Surface Transportation Board by applicants for railroad mergers, acquisitions, constructions, or abandonments. The Primary Application contains Operating Plans and information describing related construction projects. It also includes an Environmental Report, describing the physical and operational changes associated with the proposed action and the potential environmental effects of that action.

prime farmland:

According to Natural Resources Conservation Service, land having the best combination of physical and chemical characteristics for producing food, feed, forage, fiber, and oilseed crops.

proposed Conrail Acquisition: The proposed acquisition of Conrail's physical assets and operating systems by CSX and NS, for which the Applicants are seeking approval from the Board.

public uses:

According to 49 U.S.C. 10905 and STB Regulations "Surface Transportation Manual," Section 1105.7(3)iv, those identified alternative public purposes for the use of rail properties proposed for abandonment or discontinuance, including highways, other forms of mass transportation, conservation, energy production or transmission, or recreation.

queue:

A line of vehicles waiting at a highway/rail at-grade crossing for an obstruction to clear.

### Glossary of Terms

rail line segment:

For the purposes of this Final EIS, portions of rail lines that

extend between two terminals or junction points.

rail route:

Line of railroad track between two points on a rail system.

rail spur:

A railroad track that typically connects to the main line at only one end and provides rail service to one or more railroad freight customers. A rail spur could also parallel the main line.

rail vard:

A location or facility with multiple tracks where rail operators

switch and store rail cars.

receptor:

See noise-sensitive receptor.

regional and system gang:

A group of railroad maintenance-of-way employees that work a particular region or an entire railroad system.

remediation (remedial actions):

Actions taken to mitigate the adverse effects, or potential adverse effects, to the environmental or to the public health and welfare resulting from the release or spill of hazardous substances.

**Request for Conditions:** 

A document filed with the Board by a party to this proceeding on or before October 21, 1997, that requests the Board to impose one or more specified requirements on the Applicants as a condition to the Board's approval of the proposed Conrail Acquisition.

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA): The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (42 U.S.C. 6901 et seq.; P.L. 94-580) is a Federal act governing the generating, storing, transporting, treating, and disposing of hazardous waste.

Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System (RCRIS): Fee ral database containing information on facilities that generate, transport, store, treat, and/or dispose of hazardous waste.

Responsive Environmental Report (RER): A report, submitted by an Inconsistent and Responsive applicant, that contains detailed environmental information regarding the activities proposed in its IR Application and complies with the requirements for environmental reports in the Board's rules at 49 CFR 1105.7(e).

restricted speed:

A speed that will permit a train to stop within one-half the range of vision of the railroad employee controlling the movement of the train; the train must stop before passing improperly aligned switches, a defect in the track structure, deliberately placed objects, or striking other railroad equipment. According to Federal Railroad Administration regulations, this speed is not to exceed 20 miles per hour.

retarder:

In railroad yards, a braking device, usually power-operated, built into a railroad track to reduce the speed of cars by means of brake-shoes which, when set in braking position, press against the sides of the lower portions of the wheels.

right-of-way:

The strip of land for which an entity (for example, a railroad) has a property right to build, operate, and maintain a linear structure (for example, a rail line).

roadmaster:

Railroad supervisor responsible for track inspection and maintenance over a specified portion of the railroad network.

Safety Assurance and Compliance Program (SACP): Federal Railroad Administration program to audit railroad safety practices and to ensure compliance with Federal regulations.

safety culture:

The manner in which management and employees in an organization view and approach the issue of safety, including both formalized rules and informal practices in the organization.

Safety Implementation Plan Guidelines (SIPG): A series of acquisition-related guidelines that the Federal Railroad Administration developed for CSX and NS, detailing a list of safety concerns that CSX and NS must address in their Safety Integration Plans.

Safety Integration Plans:

Plans that the Applicants prepared and submitted to the Board to explain how they propose to provide for the safe integration of their separate corporate cultures and operating systems, if the Board approves the proposed Conrail Acquisition.

Section 106 review process:

The review process set forth in Section 106 of the NHPA (16 U.S.C. 470) that requires every Federal agency to "take into account" the effects of its undertakings on historic properties and affords the ACHP the opportunity to comment on those undertakings and their effects.

seniority district:

A geographic area within which a group of employees in a specific labor union (for example, engineers, dispatchers) are authorized and expected to work.

seniority rights:

The priority one employee has over another employee in bidding for available positions, choice of work assignments, and similar matters, based on length of employment in a specified category. Agreements between railroad companies and labor unions specify such rights.

sensitive receptor:

See noise-sensitive receptor.

separated grade crossing:

The site where a local street or highway crosses railroad tracks at a different level or elevation, either as an overpass or as an underpass.

service:

The official notification and delivery of Board decisions and notices (including EAs and EISs) by the Secretary of the Board to persons involved in a particular proceeding.

Settlement Agreement:

An agreement negotiated between CSX or NS or both and one or more parties, including other railroads, that addresses concerns or requests of the party (or parties). Generally, such an agreement addresses competitive customer service or labor issues.

Seven Separate Connections: Seven new rail line connection construction projects in Illinois, indiana, and Ohio. These projects total approximately 4 miles of new track. CSX and NS requested that the Board give early consideration and approval to the physical construction of these particular connections.

**Shared Assets Areas:** 

Areas comprising Conrail facilities in southeastern Michigan, northern New Jersey, and southern New Jersey/Philadelphia that CSX and NS would share and Conrail Shared Assets Operations would operate for the benefit of both CSX and NS, if the Board approves the proposed Conrail Acquisition.

shifted load:

An improperly secured freight car load that has moved and may protrude beyond the allowed dimensional limits.

shipment:

A unit of freight given to the railroad for movement to its destination by an individual customer.

siding:

A track parallel to a main track that is connected to the main track at each end. A siding is used for the passing and/or storage of trains.

signal maintainer:

Railroad employee who maintains signal and communications systems.

socioeconomic:

For this Final EIS, job loss directly attributable to changes in the physical environment as a result of construction and abandonment activities and other activities related to the proposed Conrail Acquisition project.

Sound Exposure Level (SEL):

For a transient noise event such as a passing train, equivalent to the maximum A-weighted sound level that would occur if all of the noise energy associated with the event were restricted to a time period of 1 second. The SEL accounts for both the magnitude and the duration of the noise event; noise analysts use SEL to calculate the day-night average noise level.

Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures Plan (SPCCP):

A site-specific document written to detail measures to prevent discharges of oil into waters of the United States (as defined in the Clean Water Act). Facilities with aboveground storage capacities in a single container greater than 660 gallons, or the aggregate aboveground storage capacity greater than 1,320 gallons, or total underground storage capacity greater than 42,000 gallons are required to prepare SPCCPs.

superior train:

For purposes of this Final EIS, a passenger train operating on the same track network with freight trains. Superior trains must have track clear of all trains not less than 15 minutes prior to their arrival. See temporal train separation. Supplemental
Environmental Report:

A report that analyzes the environmental impacts of operating changes related to a Settlement Agreement between an Applicant and another railroad that exceed the Board's thresholds when added to changes proposed in the Applicants' Operating Plans.

switch:

The portion of the track structure used to direct cars and locomotives from one track to another.

switching:

The activity of moving cars from one track to another in a yard or where tracks go into a railroad customer's facility.

temporal train separation:

The time separation of passenger trains that share rail lines with freight trains, in order to reduce the possibility of train collisions. See *superior train*.

territory:

The portion of a railroad's track network under the management of a particular supervisor.

threatened species:

A species that is likely to become endangered within the foreseeable future throughout all or part of its range. Federal and state laws protect these species.

threshold for environmental analysis:

A level of proposed change in railroad activities that determines the need for SEA's environmental review. For the proposed Conrail Acquisition, SEA used the Board's environmental rules at 49 CFR Part 1105 to determine the activities that it would examine for air and noise impacts ("Board thresholds"). For other issue areas, SEA developed appropriate thresholds to guide its environmental review ("SEA thresholds"). The term "Board thresholds", as used in this EIS, may refer to either Board or SEA thresholds.

timetable:

A document that identifies key railroad line features over a defined portion of the network. The features usually include distances, speed limits, track layout, type of signaling, location and length of passing sidings, and the local applicability of specific operating rules. Operating rules are often published with the timetable.

track geometry:

Dimensional description of railroad track and individual rails compared to optimal design criteria.

track geometry inspection car:

Rail vehicle equipped with instruments to make continuous, inmotion measurements of variations in the track gauge, alignment, and cross level.

trackage right(s):

The right (or combination of rights) of one railroad to operate over the designated trackage of another railroad including, in some cases, the right to operate trains over the designated trackage; the right to interchange with all carriers at all junctions, the right to build connections or additional tracks to access other shipper or carriers. See also haulage right(s).

trackage rights agreement:

An agreement between two parties that defines the trackage rights granted to one party over the tracks of a second party.

traffic volume (highway):

The number of highway vehicles that pass over a given point during a given period of time, often expressed on an annual, daily, hourly, and sub-hourly basis. For the purposes of this Final EIS, SEA expressed highway traffic volumes on a daily basis.

traffic volume (rail):

The total volume of rail traffic that passes over a given rail line segment, typically expressed in either trains per day or annual million gross tons per year.

train (freight):

A conveyance transported by one or more locomotives typically with 40 to 150 freight cars, measuring approximately 5,000 to 8,000 feet in length. For the purposes of this Final EIS, does not apply to locals, work trains, switch-engine movements, or engine-only movements.

train (passenger):

Equipment composed of one or more rail cars designed to carry passengers, propelled by a locomotive or self-propelled, moving from one place to another.

train crew:

Employees assigned to operate a train, usually an engineer, a conductor, and one or more trainmen.

train defect detector:

An electronic device located alongside a rail track that monitors passing trains to determine the presence of certain potentially dangerous conditions, such as an overheated wheel bearing ("hot box") or a shifted load that protrudes from the rail car.

trainman:

Member of a train crew responsible for assisting the engineer and conductor in operating the train, especially with switching cars.

trainmaster:

Railroad operations supervisor responsible for managing train and yard operations and operating employees on a defined portion of the railroad network.

transient noise event:

An intermittent occurrence of noise, such as the passing of a train that generates such noise.

Transportation Department:

Department of the railroad responsible for day-to-day train operations and dispatching.

Triple Crown Service (TCS):

An expedited intermodal service offered by both Conrail and NS. TCS trains do not require the use of flat cars, but rather use specially designed dual-mode highway trailers that are coupled together with two-axle rail wheel sets that support the ends of the trailers for the rail portion of the rail-highway movement. The equipment used is similar to "RoadRailer" equipment.

turnout:

The portion of railroad track structure where a single track divides into two tracks.

**Verified Statement:** 

A party's sworn statement that provides information to the Board.

vibration velocity:

The rate of change of displacement of a vibration. Noise analysts often express measurements of vibration in terms of velocity because velocity correlates well with human response to vibration.

waybill:

Document or computer record containing details of a rail shipment: origin, destination, route, commodity, freight rate, car or cars used, and similar information.

wayside:

Adjacent to the railroad track, as in "wayside signals" or "wayside defect detectors."

wayside noise:

Train noise adjacent to the right-of-way that comes from sources other than the horn, such as engine noise, exhaust noise, and noise from steel train wheels rolling on steel rails.

wetlands:

According to 40 CFR Part 230.41, those "areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions," generally including swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas.

yardmaster:

Railroad operations supervisor responsible for railroad operations and employees in a railyard.

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

#### LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AAR Association of American Railroads

ABS Automatic Block System

ACHP Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

ACS Automatic Cab Signals

ACSES Advanced Civil Speed Enforcement System

ADT Average Daily Traffic

Amtrak The National Railroad Passenger Corporation

ANSI American National Standards Institute

AoPE Area of Potential Effect(s)
APL American Presidents Line

APTA American Public Transit Association

ARU Allied Rail Unions

ASTM American Society for Testing and Materials

ATC Automatic Train Control

B&O Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Company

B&OCT Baltimore & Ohio Chicago Terminal Railroad Company

BIA Bureau of Indian Affairs
BMP Best Management Practice
Board Surface Transportation Board

BOCT Baltimore & Ohio Chicago Terminal Railroad Company
BRL The Cities of Bay Village, Rocky River, and Lakewood, Ohio

CAA Clean Air Act of 1970

CAAA . Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990
CEQ Council on Environmental Quality

CERCLA Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of

1980

CERCLIS Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability

Information System

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

CO carbon monoxide

Conrail Conrail, Inc. and Consolidated Rail Corporation

CP Control Point

CPR Canadian Pacific Railway

CRC Comments and Requests for Conditions

CSX Corporation and CSX Transportation, Inc.

CTC Centralized Traffic Control CZM Coastal Zone Management

Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 CZMA

dB decibel

dBA A-weighted decibels

DES Division of Endangered Species U.S. Department of the Interior DOI DOT U.S. Department of Transportation

**Environmental Assessment** EA Environmental Data Resources, Inc. EDR EIS **Environmental Impact Statement** 

DAI **Environmental Justice** EO **Executive Order** 

EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

ERS Environmental Resource Score ESA Endangered Species Act of 1973 FAA Federal Aviation Administration

FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency

FHWA Federal Highway Administration FIRM Flood Insurance Rate Map

Failure Mode and Effects Analysis FMEA Federal Railroad Administration FRA

Federal Railroad Administration Identification Number FRA ID

Federal Transit Administration FTA GIS Geographic Information System GPS Global Positioning System

Historic American Buildings Survey HABS Historic American Engineering Record HAER

The Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual **HCM** 

**HMERP** Hazardous Materials Emergency Response Plan Hazardous Materials Information System HMIS

HUD Department of Housing and Urban Development

Interstate Commerce Commission ICC

Identification ID

IHB Indiana Harbor Belt Railroad Company IR Inconsistent and Responsive [application]

ISTEA Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act

Information Technology IT

LAL Livonia, Avon, and Lakeville Railroad Corporation

day-night equivalent sound level Ldn hourly energy-averaged sound level Leg(b)

Level of Service LOS

Leaking Underground Storage Tank LUST

May 1998

MARC Maryland Rail Commuter (Maryland's Mass Transit Administration's Commuter

Rail Service)

MBTA Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority

Metra Northeast Illinois Regional Commuter Railroad Corporation

min./veh minutes per vehicle

MNR Metro-North Railroad (Metro-North Commuter Railroad Company)

MOA Memorandum of Agreement
MOU Memorandum of Understanding

mph miles per hour

MRS Multiple Resource Score

MRTA Metro Regional Transit Authority of Akron, Ohio
MUTC Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices

N/A Not Applicable

NAAQS National Ambient Air Quality Standards

NEC Northeast Corridor

NEPA National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

NFIP National Flood Insurance Program

NHPA National Historic Preservation Act of 1966
NHTSA National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

NJT New Jersey Transit

NORAC Northeast Operating Rules Advisory Committee

NO, nitrogen oxide

NPDES National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

NPL National Priorities List NPS National Park Service

NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission

NRCS Natural Resources Conservation Service
NRHP National Register of Historic Places

NS Norfolk Southern Railway Company and Norfolk Southern Corporation

NWI National Wetlands Inventory NYCH New York Cross Harbor

O<sub>3</sub> ozone

OAR Office of Air and Radiation (within Environmental Protection Agency)

OHPO Ohio Historic Preservation Office

OMS Office of Mobile Sources (within Environmental Protection Agency)

OTR Ozone Transport Region PCB polychlorinated biphenyl

PDEA Preliminary Draft Environmental Assessment

PIH Poison Inhalation Hazard

P.L. Public Law
PM particulate matter

PM<sub>10</sub> particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter

POR Party of Record

PSD Prevention of Significant Deterioration

P&W Providence & Worcester

QA/QC Quality Assurance/Quality Control

RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

RCRIS Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System

RER Responsive Environmental Report

RO Reportable Quantity

SACP Safety Assurance and Compliance Program

SARA Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986

SCS Soil Conservation Service

SEA Section of Environmental Analysis

sec/veh seconds per vehicle
SEL Sound Exposure Level

SEPTA Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority

SHPO State Historic Preservation Office
SIPG Safety Implementation Plan Guidelines

SPCCP Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures Plan

Stat. Statute

STB Surface Transportation Board

SO, sulfur dioxide

TCS Triple Crown Service

TLCPA Toledo-Lucas County Port Authority

TMACOG Toledo Metropolitan Area Council of Governments

Tri-Rail Florida Tri-County Commuter Rail Authority

USACE U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

U.S.C. United States Code
USCG U.S. Coast Guard

USFWS U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS U.S. Geological Survey

VRE Virginia Railway Express

WMATA Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority

# CONTENTS OF THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	UME — EXECUTIVE SUMMARY TER TO INTERESTED PARTIES	Page
	DE TO EXECUTIVE SUMMARY VOLUME	
CON	TENTS OF EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-i
EXE	CUTIVE SUMMARY	FS-1
	INTRODUCTION	
	PURPOSE AND NEED FOR THE PROPOSED CONRAIL ACQUISITION	FS-3
	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ACTION	
	ALTERNATIVES	
	THE BOARD'S ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS AND THE	
	PUBLIC'S RIGHT TO SEEK ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW	ES-7
	OVERVIEW OF THE BOARD'S AND SEA'S ENVIRONMENTAL	
	ACTIVITIES SINCE THE DRAFT EIS	ES-8
	AGENCY COORDINATION AND PUBLIC OUTREACH	ES-8
	OVERVIEW OF PUBLIC COMMENTS	ES-8
	ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENTS ON RECENT NS ROUTING	
	CHANGES	ES-9
	OPERATIONAL SAFETY AND INTEGRATION PLANS	
	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION	ES-11
	CONCLUSIONS	ES-18
	FIGURES	
ES-1	Existing System - CSX, Norfolk Southern, and Conrail	
ES-2	Proposed System - CSX and Norfolk Southern	ES-5
GUII	DE TO THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT G	uide-1
GLO	SSARY OF TERMS	sary-1
LIST	OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS Acros	nyms-1

#### **Executive Summary Volume Continued**

CONT	ENT	S OF T	HE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT	i
INFO	RMA	TION S	OURCES	Sources-1
INDE	x			. Index-1
VOLU GUID		1 VOLU	ME 1	
CONT	ENT	S OF C	KAPTER 1	1-i
CHAP		1: INT	RODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	1.1
	1.1	INTRO	DUCTION	1-1
	1.2	BACK	GROUND OF THE JOINT APPLICATION TO ACQUIRE	1.2
		CONTI	ROL OF CONRAIL	1-2
	1.5	PURPO	OSE OF AND NEED FOR THE PROPOSED CONRAIL	1.3
		ACQU.	OARD'S APPLICATION REVIEW PROCESS	1-3
	1.4	THEB	Background on Railroad Regulation	1-6
		1.4.1	Role of the Board in Reviewing Railroad Mergers and	
		1.4.2	Acquisitions	1-7
		1.4.3	SEA and Its Independent Third-party Contractors	1-15
		1.4.5	Thresholds for Environmental Analysis	1-15
		1.4.4	Analysis of Railroad Activities and Environmental Issues	1-17
	1.5	THE P	ROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES	1-19
	1.5	1.5.1	Proposed Action	1-i9
			Alternatives	1-21
	1.6	SEA'S	PUBLIC OUTREACH ACTIVITIES	1-24
	1.7	THE B	OARD'S AND SEA'S ACTIVITIES SINCE THE DRAFT	
	•	FIS		1-24
	1 8	OVER	VIEW OF PUBLIC COMMENTS	1-26
	1.9	SAFET	TY INTEGRATION PLANS	1-27
			FIGURES	
1-1	Exis	sting Sys	stem - CSX, Norfolk Southern, and Conrail	1-4
1-2	Pro	posed Sy	stem - CSX and Norfolk Southern	1-5
1-3	Sur	face Tran	asportation Board's Decision Making Process for the Proposed	
	Con	rail Acq	uisition	1-11
1-4	Sun	nmary of	Environmental Review Process	1-12

#### TABLES

			1.11/220
1-1	Boa	rd's Pro	cedural and SEA's Environmental Review Schedule 1-9
1-2			Insportation Board Thresholds for Environmental Analysis 1-16
CON	TEN	rs of	CHAPTER 2
CHA	PTE	2: SC	COPE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS 2-1
	2.1		SHOLDS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS 2-1
	2.2		LINE SEGMENTS 2-7
	2.3	INTE	RMODAL FACILITIES
	2.4		YARDS 2-21
	2.5	CON	STRUCTIONS 2-22
	2.6		NDONMENTS
			TABLES
2-1	SEA	's Thre	sholds for Environmental Analysis
2-2	Rail	Line S	egments Exceeding SEA Thresholds for Environmental Analysis 2-8
2-3			Facilities That Meet or Exceed the Board's Thresholds for
	Env	ironme	ntal Analysis
2-4	Rail	Yards	That Meet or Exceed the Board's Thresholds for Environmental
	Ana	lysis .	
2-5	Prop	posed C	onstruction Projects
2-6	Prop	posed A	bandonments 2-25
CON	TENT	rs of	CHAPTER 3 3-i
СНА	PTER	3: AC	SENCY COORDINATION AND PUBLIC OUTREACH 3-1
	3.1		ODUCTION
		3.1.1	
		3.1.2	Agency Coordination Process
	3.2		IC OUTREACH AND NOTIFICATION ACTIVITIES FOR
			T EIS
		3.2.1	
		3.2.2	Distribution of Draft EIS
		3.2.3	Summary of Draft EIS Public Comment Process 3-9
		3.2.4	Ohio Historic Properties Outreach
	3.3	ENVI	RONMENTAL JUSTICE 3-10
		3.3.1	Environmental Justice Outreach Strategy
		3.3.2	Environmental Justice Outreach Activities
		3.3.3	Additional Environmental Justice Outreach Activities
		-	

	3.4	PUBL	IC OUTREACH AND NOTIFICATION ACTIVITIES FOR	
		FINAL	EIS	3-15
		3.4.1	Notification of Final EIS Availability	3-15
		3.4.2	Distribution of Final EIS	3-16
			TABLES	
3-1	Noti	ce of A	vailability Postcard Distribution	3-5
3-2	Dist	ribution	of Draft Environmental Impact Statement	3-7
GUI	DE TO	THE	FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT	Guide-1
GLO	SSAR	Y OF T	TERMS	Glossary-1
LIST	OFA	CRON	YMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	Acronvms-1
CON	TENT	rs of 1	THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMEN	т і
	UME DE TO	2 VOLU	UME 2	
CON	NTENT	rs of c	CHAPTER 4	4-i
CHA	PTE	2.4: SU	MMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW	4-1
	4.1	BACK	GROUND	4-1
		4.1.1	Framework of SEA's Analysis	4-2
		4.1.2	Additional Activities Resulting in Refinements to the Draft	
			EIS	4-3
	4.2	SAFE	TY: HIGHWAY/RAIL AT-GRADE CROSSINGS	4-5
		4.2.1	Analysis Methods	4-5
		4.2.2	Public Comments and Additional Evaluations	4-6
		4.2.3	Analysis Results and Impacts	4-8
		4.2.4	Mitigation	4-8
	4.3	SAFE	TY: HAZARDOUS MATERIALS TRANSPORT	4-10
		4.3.1	Analysis Methods	4-11
		4.3.2	Public Comments and Additional Evaluations	4-12
		4.3.3	Analysis Results and Impacts	4-13
		4.3.4	Mitigation	4-15

4.4	SAFE	TY: PASSENGER RAIL OPERATIONS	. 4-18
	4.4.1	Analysis Methods	
	4.4.2	Public Comments and Additional Evaluations	. 4-19
	4.4.3	Analysis Results and Impacts	. 4-20
	4.4.4	Mitigation	. 4-21
4.5	SAFE	TY: FREIGHT RAIL OPERATIONS	. 4-22
	4.5.1	Analysis Methods	. 4-22
	4.5.2	Public Comments and Additional Evaluations	. 4-23
	4.5.3	Analysis Results and Impacts	. 4-24
	4.5.4	Mitigation	. 4-25
4.6	TRAN	SPORTATION: PASSENGER RAIL SERVICE	. 4-26
	4.6.1	Analysis Methods	. 4-26
	4.6.2	Public Comments and Additional Evaluations	. 4-28
	4.6.3	Analysis Results and Impacts	. 4-28
	4.6.4	Mitigation	
4.7	TRAN	ISPORTATION: HIGHWAY/RAIL AT-GRADE CROSSING	
	DELA	Υ	
	4.7.1	Analysis Methods	. 4-29
	4.7.2	Public Comments and Additional Evaluations	. 4-31
	4.7.3	Analysis Results and Impacts	4-32
	4.7.4	Mitigation	
	4.7.5	Delay of Emergency Vehicles	4.35
4.8	TRAN	ISPORTATION: ROADWAY SYSTEMS	4-38
	4.8.1	Analysis Methods	4-38
	4.3.2	Public Comments and Additional Evaluations	
	4.8.3	Analysis Results and Impacts	
	4.8.4	Mitigation	4-42
4.9	TRAN	SPORTATION: NAVIGATION	4-43
	4.9.1	Analysis Methods	4-43
	4.9.2	Public Comments and Additional Evaluations	
	4.9.3	Analysis Results and Impacts	4-44
	4.9.4	Mitigation	
4.10		GY	
	4.10.1	Analysis Methods	4-45
	4.10.2	Public Comments and Additional Evaluations	4-47
	4.10.3	Analysis Results and Impacts	4-48
		Mitigation	

4.11	AIR QUALITY	4-50
	4.11.1 Analysis Methods	4-52
	4.11.2 Public Comments and Additional Evaluations	4-55
	4.11.3 Analysis Results and Impacts	4-61
	4.11.4 Mitigation	4-63
4.12	NOISE	4-63
	4.12.1 Analysis Methods	4-64
	4.12.2 Public Comments and Additional Evaluations	
	4.12.3 Analysis Results and Impacts	4-68
	4.12.4 Mitigation	4-69
4.13	CULTURAL RESOURCES	4-72
	4.13.1 Analysis Methods	4-72
	4.13.2 Public Comments and Additional Evaluations	4-73
	4.13.3 Analysis Results and Impacts	4-73
	4.13.4 Mitigation	4-75
4.14	HAZARDOUS WASTE SITES	4-77
	4.14.1 Analysis Methods	4-77
	4.14.2 Public Comments and Additional Evaluations	4-78
	4.14.3 Analysis Results and Impacts	4-79
	4.14.4 Mitigation	4-80
4.15	NATURAL RESOURCES	4-81
	4.15.1 Analysis Methods	4-81
	4.15.2 Public Comments and Additional Evaluations	4-83
	4.15.3 Analysis Results and Impacts	4-84
	4.15.4 Mitigation	4-84
4.16	LAND USE AND SOCIOECONOMICS	
	4.16.1 Analysis Methods	4-87
	4.16.2 Public Comments and Additional Evaluations	
	4.16.3 Analysis Results and Impacts	4-89
	4.16.4 Mitigation	4-90
4.17	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	
	4.17.1 Analysis Methods	4-92
	4.17.2 Public Comments and Additional Evaluations	4-94
	4.17.3 Analysis Results and Impacts	4-97
	4.17.4 Mitigation	4-99
4.18	CUMULATIVE EFFECTS4	-102
	4.18.1 Analysis Methods	-103
	4.18.2 Public Comments and Additional Evaluations	-106
	4.18.3 Analysis Results and Impacts	-108
	4.18.4 Mitigation 4	-110

	4.19 COMMUNITY EVALUATIONS	4-110
	4.19.1 Greater Cleveland Area, Ohio	4-111
	4.19.2 Erie, Pennsylvania	
	4.19.3 Four City Consortium, Indiana	
	4.19.4 Lafayette, Indiana	
	4.20 INCONSISTENT AND RESPONSIVE APPLICATIONS AND	
	REQUESTS FOR CONDITIONS	4-163
	4.21 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS AND NEGOTIATED	
	AGREEMENTS	4-170
	4.21.1 Settlement Agreements	4-170
	4.21.2 Negotiated Agreements	
	4.22 ANTICIPATED ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS	
	4.22.1 Energy Efficiency and Consumption	4-175
	4.22.2 Air Quality	4-175
	4.22.3 Hazardous Materials Transportation	4-175
	4.22.4 Transportation Safety	4-176
	4.23 SUMMARY OF ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS	4-174
	FIGURES	
4-1	Greater Cleveland Area Rail Routes	4-114
4-2	Cleveland Area Alternative 1 - Application Base Case	
4-3	Cleveland Area Alternative 2 - NS Cloggsville	
4-4	Cleveland Area Alternative 3 - Cleveland Flip Plan No. 1	
4-5	Cleveland Area Alternative 4 - Cleveland Flip Plan No. 2	
4-6	Cleveland Area Alternative 5 - Wickliffe Flyover	
4-7	Cleveland Area Alternative 6 - Wickliffe Flyover with Erie Connection	
4-8	Cleveland Area Alternative 7 - Cleveland Reverse Curve	
4-9a	Erie Area Rail Routes	
4-9b	Erie Area Rail Routes	
4-10a	Four City Area Rail Routes	
4-10b	Four City Area Rail Routes	
4-11	Lafayette Area Rail Routes	
	TABLES	
4-1	Surface Transportation Board Thresholds for Environmental Analysis	4-3
1-2	Revised Findings and Recommendations for Highway/Rail At-grade	
	Crossing Safety	4-8
	•	

4-3	Dispre	oportion	nately High and Adverse Impacts on Environmental Justice
	Popul	ations f	for Which SEA Recommends Additional or Tailored Mitigation 4-100
4-4	Train	Traffic	Through Selected Greater Cleveland Residential Areas 4-120 of Alternative Routes in the Greater Cleveland Area 4-128
4-5	Comp	parison	On Alternative Routes in the Oreater Cleveland Area
4-6	Reque	ests for	Conditions Submitted by Passenger/Commuter Rail
	Organ	nization	Adverse Environmental Impacts by State 4-178
4-7	Sumn	nary of	Adverse Environmental impacts by State
GUID	E TO	THE F	INAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT Guide-1
GLOS	SSARY	OF T	ERMS Glossary-1
LIST	OF AC	CRONY	MS AND ABBREVIATIONS Acronyms-1
			HE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT i
VOL	UME 3		
		VOLU	ME 3
CON	TENT	SOFC	HAPTER 5 5-i
		2	5.1
CHA	PTER	5: SUN	MMARY OF COMMENTS AND RESPONSES
	5.1		VIEW OF COMMENTS 5-3
		5.1.1	Federal Agencies 5-3
		5.1.2	Applicants
		5.1.3	National and Regional Groups5-4
		5.1.4	Alabama
		5.1.5	Connecticut
		5.1.6	Delaware 5-5
		5.1.7	Florida
		5.1.8	Cieorgia
		5.1.9	Illinois
		5.1.10	Indiana
		5.1.11	Kentucky
		5.1.12	Louisiana 5-6
		5.1.13	Maryland 5-6
		5.1.14	Massachusetts 5-6
		5.1.15	Michigan 5-7
		5.1.16	Mississippi5-7
		5.1.17	Missouri 5-7

	5.1.18	New Jer	sey 5-7
	5.1.19	New Yor	rk 5-7
	5.1.20	North Ca	arolina 5-7
	5.1.21	Ohio	5-8
	5.1.22	Pennsylv	vania
	5.1.23	Rhode Is	sland 5-8
	5.1.24	South Ca	arolina 5-8
	5.1.25	Tennesse	se 5-8
	5.1.26	Virginia	5-9
			rginia 5-9
			of Columbia 5-9
5.2	GENE	RAL CO	MMENTS ON THE DRAFT EIS 5-9
	5.2.1	The App	lication Review Process 5-10
		5.2.1.1	Support for the Proposed Conrail Acquisition 5-10
		5.2.1.2	Opposition to the Proposed Conrail Acquisition 5-11
-		5.2.1.1	Merits
		5.2.1.4	Consultation and Negotiation 5-12
		5.2.1.5	Oversight and Enforcement Period 5-14
	5.2.2	The Env	ironmental Review Process 5-15
		5.2.2.1	Application of NEPA 5-15
		5.2.2.2	Public Involvement 5-16
		5.2.2.3	Alternatives to the Proposed Conrail Acquisition 5-19
		5.2.2.4	Methodology of the Impact Analysis 5-20
		5.2.2.5	Requests for Information and Corrections 5-23
		5.2.2.6	Mitigation
	5.2.3		wide Technical Analysis 5-31
		5.2.3.1	Safety: Highway/Rail At-grade Crossings 5-31
		5.2.3.2	Safety: Hazardous Materials Transport 5-39
		5.2.3.3	Safety: Passenger Rail Operations 5-44
		5.2.3.4	Safety: Freight Rail Operations 5-47
		5.2.3.5	Safety: Other 5-49
		5.2.3.6	Transportation: Passenger Rail Service 5-50
		5.2.3.7	Transportation: Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing
			Delay 5-57
			Transportation: Roadway Systems 5-64
			Transportation: Other 5-65
			Energy 5-66
			Air Quality 5-66
			Noise 5-74
			Cultural and Historic Resources 5-78
		5.2.3.14	Natural Resources 5-79

		5.2.3.15 Land Use and Socioeconomics
		5.2.3.16 Environmental Justice 5-82
		5.2.3.17 Cumulative Effects
5.3	COMM	MENTS ON STATE AND COMMUNITY ISSUES 5-93
	5.3.1	Alabama 5-93
	5.3.2	Connecticut
	5.3.3	Delaware 5-99
	5.3.4	Florida
	5.3.5	Georgia 5-109
	5.3.6	Illinois 5-111
	5.3.7	Indiana
	5.3.8	Kentucky
	5.3.9	Louisiana
	5.3.10	Maryland
	5.3.11	Massachusetts
	5.3.12	Michigan
	5.3.13	Mississippi 5-195
	5 3 14	Missouri
	5.3.15	New Jersey 5-197
	53.16	New York 5-207
	53.17	North Carolina 5-226
	5.3.18	Ohio 5-232
	5.3.19	Pennsylvania 5-368
	53.20	Rhode Island 5-389
	5321	South Carolina 5-390
	5 3 22	Tennessee 5-391
	5 3 23	Virginia 5-392
	5 3 24	West Virginia
	5.3.25	District of Columbia 5-415
GUIDE TO	O THE	FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT Guide-1
		Closeary-1
GLOSSAF	RYOF	TERMS Glossary-1
LIST OF	ACRON	YMS AND ABBREVIATIONS Acronyms-1
CONTEN	TS OF	THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT i
CONTEN	1501	HILL PHANE BUT HOUSE AND HAR THE BUT T

## VOLUME 4 GUIDE TO VOLUME 4

CONTENT	TS OF CHAPTER 6	6-i
CHAPTER	R 6: SAFETY INTEGRATION PLANNING	6-1
6.1	INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY	
6.2	PROCEDURAL HISTORY	
6.3	SAFETY INTEGRATION PLANNING OVERVIEW	
0.3	6.3.1 Purpose and Topics of the Safety Integration Plans	
	6.3.2 Operating Practices, Rules, and Procedures	6.5
	6.3.3 Dispatching	6.5
		6.6
	6.3.7 Hazardous Materials Transport and Handling	
	6.3.8 Passenger Service	
	6.3.9 Overall Safety Management Process	0-8
	6.3.10 Planning and Scheduling	0-8
	6.3.11 Staffing and Workload	6-9
	6.3.12 Training	6-9
	6.3.13 Implementation Monitoring and Feedback	
	6.3.14 Corporate Culture's Safety Implications	
	6.3.15 Information Technology	6-10
6.4		
	INTEGRATION PLANS	
	6.4.1 U.S. Department of Transportation	
	6.4.2 State and Local Government	
	6.4.3 Non-Applicant Railroads	
	6.4.4 Labor Unions	
	6.4.5 Shippers and Other Parties	
	6.4.6 CSX and NS	
6.5		
	6.5.1 Responses to Comments	
	6.5.2 Recommended Conditions	
6.6	MEMOR ANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING (MOU)	6-20
GUIDE TO	THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT	Guide-1
GLOSSAR	RY OF TERMS	Glossary-1
LIST OF A	ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS A	crony ms-1

CON	TENT	rs of 1	THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT i
<u>VOL</u>		5 VOL	UME 5
CON	TENT	rs of c	CHAPTER 7 7-i
СНА	PTER	7: RE	COMMENDED ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS 7-1
	7.1	OVER	RVIEW 7-1
		7.1.1	Broad Geographic Scope of the Proposed Conrail Acquisition 7-3
		7.1.2	Number of Concerned Communities
		7.1.3	Variety of Environmental Issues
		7.1.4	Importance of Safety 7-5
		7.1.5	Importance of Safety Integration Planning
		7.1.6	Accommodation of Freight Rail and Passenger Rail Service on
			the Same Rail Line 7-6
		7.1.7	Concerns About Environmental Justice 7-6
		7.1.8	The Scope of the Board's Jurisdiction to Impose Mitigation 7-7
		7.1.9	SEA's Conclusions
	7.2	FINA	L RECOMMENDED ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS 7-10
		7.2.1	Final Recommended General Conditions 7-12
		7.2.2	Final Recommended Regional Environmental Conditions 7-16
		7.2.3	Final Recommended Local or Site-specific Environmental
			Conditions
		7.2.4	Final Recommended Environmental Conditions for
			Proposed Constructions and Abandonments
	7.3	RECO	MMENDED SAFETY INTEGRATION CONDITIONS 7-60
	7.4		OMMENDED MONITORING AND ENFORCEMENT 7-60
			TABLES
7-1	Fina	l Recor	nmended Conditions by State
			ATTACHMENTS
7-A			gement Practices for Recommended Environmental Conditions Nos.
	68 a	nd 69	

GUII	DE TO THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT Guide-1
GLO	SSARY OF TERMS Glossary-1
LIST	OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS Acronyms-1
CON	TENTS OF THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
	UME 6A DE TO VOLUME 6A
	OF APPENDICES Appendices-
APP	ENDIX A: COMMENTS RECEIVED ON THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
	TABLES
A-1	Comments Received on the Draft EIS
A-2	Comments Received on SEA's Additional Hazardous Materials Transport and
	Noise Analysis A-613
A-3	Comment Documents Received between Publication of the Final Scope and
	Service of the Draft EIS A-615
A-4	Comments Received after Close of Comment Period A-619
GUII	DE TO THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT Guide-1
GLO	SSARY OF TERMS Glossary-1
LIST	OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS Acronyms-1
cox	TENTS OF THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT i
	UME 6B DE TO VOLUME 6B
LIST	OF APPENDICES Appendices-i

APP	ENDIX B: DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT CORRECTION LETTER, ERRATA, SUPPLEMENTAL
	ERRATA AND ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION,
	AND BOARD NOTICES TO PARTIES OF RECORD
	Draft Environmental Impact Statement Correction Letter
	Draft Environmental Impact Statement Errata
	Draft Environmental Impact Statement Supplemental Errata
	Draft Environmental Impact Statement Additional Environmental
	Information
	TABLES
B-1	Distribution of the Correction Letter, Errata, and Supplemental Errata B-2
B-2	Distribution of the Additional Environmental Information
APPI	ENDIX C: SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS AND NEGOTIATED
	AGREEMENTS C-1
	C.1 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS
	C.1.1 CSX
	C.1.2 NS
	C.2 NEGOTIATED AGREEMENTS
	C.2.1 CSX
	C.2.2 NS
	C.2.3 CSX and NS
	ATTACHMENTS
C-1	SEA Letter Requesting That NS and CSX Provide a Verified Statement or a
	Supplemental Environmental Report for Settlement Agreements
	(February 13, 1998)
C-2	SFA Letter Requesting Copies of all Settlement Agreements That CSX and NS
	Have Reached with Other Railroads or Organizations (March 27, 1998) C-11
C-3	Verified Statement of John H. Friedmann, Strategic Planning Director, NS C-17
C-4	Supplemental Environmental Report of NS Regarding Settlement Agreement
	with Indiana & Ohio Rail System
C-5	Verified Statement of William M. Hart, Vice President of Corporate
	Development, CSX
APP	ENDIX D: AGENCY CONSULTATION D-
	Agency Letters
	1ABLES
D-1	Consultation With Agencies

APP	ENDIX E: SAFETY: HIGHWAY/RAIL AT-GRADE CROSSING SAFETY
	ANALYSIS E-1
	ATTACHMENTS
E-1	Illinois Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Accident Frequency E-3
E-2	Indiana Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Accident Frequency E-9
E-3	Maryland Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Accident Frequency E-23
E-4	Michigan Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Accident Frequency E-27
E-5	New York Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Accident Frequency E-31
E-6	Ohio Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Accident Frequency E-35
E-7	Pennsylvania Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Accident Frequency E-61
E-8	Virginia Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Accident Frequency E-67
E-9	West Virginia Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Accident Frequency E-73
APP	ENDIX F: SAFETY: HAZARDOUS MATERIALS TRANSPORT
	ANALYSIS F-1
	ATTACHMENTS
F-1	Comparison of CSX Hazardous Materials Transport Data Used in the Draft EIS
	and Final EIS F-3
F-2	All Rail Line Segments with a Projected Increase in Hazardous Materials
	Transported F-11
F-3	New Key Route and Major Key Route Rail Line Segments F-17
F-4	Accident Predictions for Rail Line Segments with a Projected Increase in
	Hazardous Materials TransportedF-21
APPI	ENDIX G: TRANSPORTATION: HIGHWAY/RAIL AT-GRADE
	CROSSING TRAFFIC DELAY ANALYSIS G-1
	G.1 REVISED ANALYSES WITH REFINED DATA G-1
	G.2 ADDITIONAL ANALYSES IN RESPONSE TO PUBLIC
	COMMENTS G-2
	G.2.1 Emergency Response Vehicle Delay G-2
	G.2.2 Fostoria, Ohio G-5
	G.2.3 Corridor Analysis
	FIGURES
G-1	Fostoria Area G-6
	ATTACHMENTS
G-1	Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Vehicle Delay and Queues G-11

5-22-98 K 29206V6D 10/10 FD 33388

G-2			gment C-065 Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Vehicle Delay and	C 21
G-3	Queu	es	gments C-070, C-228 and C-229 Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing	G-21
0-3			ay and Queues	G 25
G-4			gments C-066 and C-206 Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Vehicle	G-23
0-4				G-29
G-5	Dela	y and Q	gments N-077 and N-303 Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Vehicle	U-29
0-5				G-33
G-6	Dela	and C	gments N-080 and C-467 Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Vehicle	0-33
0-0	Dala	Line Se	Dueues	G-37
67			gments N-073 and N-085 Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Vehicle	0-37
G-7				G-43
G-8			egment N-079 Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Vehicle Delay and	0-43
0-8				G-47
G-9			egment N-476 Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Vehicle Delay and	0-47
G-9				G-51
G-10			gment C-061 Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Vehicle Delay and	G-31
0-10				G-55
G-11			egment C-046 Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Vehicle Delay and	0-33
0-11				G-59
	Quei	ics		0.57
APPE	NDIX	н. т	RANSPORTATION: ROADWAY SYSTEMS ANALYSIS	H-1
			YORK CITY/NORTHERN NEW JERSEY METROPOLITAN	
	****	AREA		H-1
			Existing Transportation Environment	
			The Applicants' Proposed Operations	
		H13	Conditions Proposed in the Metropolitan Area by Parties of	
			Record	H-9
		H14	Draft EIS Analysis of Changes Related to the Proposed Conrail	
			Acquisition in Northern New Jersey and in the New York	
				H-12
		H15	Analysis of Truck Movement Effects within the Metropolitan	
		11.1.0	Area Regional Highway System	H-14
	н2	NS PR	OPOSED SANDUSKY INTERMODAL FACILITY	H-19
			ADELPHIA AREA INTERMODAL FACILITIES	
	11.5		Proposed NS AmeriPort/South Philadelphia Intermodal	
		11.0.1	Facility	H-24
		H32	Revised Analysis for NS Morrisville Intermodal Facility	
		11.5.2	The state of the s	

3	CI	ID	ES

H-1			Fransportation Routes - Metropolitan New York City Area and lew England	
H-2A	Met	ropolita	an New York City Area Major Transportation Facilities and Truck H-4	
H-2B	Met	ropolita	an New York City Area Major Transportation Facilities and Truck	
	Rou	ites	H-5	
H-3	Met	ropolita	an New York City Area Maximum Potential Truck Route Shifts H-18	
H-4	NS	- Sandu	sky Triple Crown Services Facility H-20	
H-5	CS	K and N	IS South Philadelphia Intermodal Facilities H-22	
			TABLES	
H-1			ilers (Heavy Trucks) Average Daily Traffic (ADT), Eastbound H-7	
H-2	Met	ropolita	an Area and Southern New England Commentor List H-10	
H-3	Incr	eased T	rucks at Intermodal Facilities in the Proposed North Jersey Shared	
			a H-13	
H-4			Potential Truck Trip Shifts from Tappan Zee Bridge to George	
			n Bridge on Average Daily Traffic H-17	
H-5				
			Н-19	
H-6			ruck Activity Associated With Proposed NS AmeriPort/South	
			a Intermodal Facility H-25	
H-7	Trai	fic Ana	alysis Summary for Morrisville Intermodal Facility H-26	
APPE	NDI	X I: Al	IR QUALITY ANALYSIS 1-1	
	I.1	EMIS	SIONS ANALYSES I-1	
		1.1.1	Additional and Revised Emissions Analyses I-3	
		I.1.2	Additional Emissions Analysis Associated With Increased Traffic from Inconsistent and Responsive Applications and Settlement	
			Agreements	
	1.2	ADDI	ITIONAL ANALYSES IN RESPONSE TO COMMENTS I-13	
		1.2.1	Projected Cumulative Changes in Nitrogen Oxides Emissions I-13	
		1.2.2	Potential Ambient Carbon Monoxide Concentrations Due to Motor	
			Vehicle Delays at Highway/Rail At-grade Crossings I-15	
		1.2.3	Potential Ambient Air Pollutant Concentrations Due to Diesel	
			Locomotive Exhaust Emissions from Stopped Trains I-17	
		1.2.4	Potential Ambient Air Pollutant Concentrations Due to Emissions	
			from Diesel Locomotives on Rail Line Segments 1-20	
		1.2.5	Potential Health Effects of Toxic Air Pollutants in Diesel	
			Locomotive Exhaust Emissions	
			Document Dimensions	

T	A	D	П	E	C

I-1	County/Jurisdiction Emissions Screening Levels I-2
I-2	Butler County, Ohio Annual Nitrogen Oxides Emissions Summary I-4
I-3	Hamilton County, Ohio Annual Nitrogen Oxides Emissions Summary
I-4	Ottawa County, Ohio Annual Nitrogen Oxides Emissions Summary
I-5	Wayne County, Michigan Annual Nitrogen Oxides Emissions Summary 1-7
I-6	Estimated Increases in Emissions in Albany County
I-7	Estimated Increases in Emissions in Rensselaer County
I-8	Vanderburgh County Annual Nitrogen Oxides Emissions Summary I-11
I-9	Estimated increases in Emissions in Counties Affected by Louisville and Indiana
	Railroad Settlement I-12
I-10	Carbon Monoxide Modeling Input Values and Results for Highway/Rail At-grade
	Crossings
I-11	Modeling Input Values for Analysis of Stopped, Idling Locomotives I-19
I-12	Maximum Concentrations of Criteria Pollutants Due to Stopped, Idling Diesel
	Locomotives
I-13	Modeling Input Values For Analysis of Locomotives on Rail Line Segments I-21
I-14	Maximum Concentrations of Criteria Pollutants Due to 153 Locomotive
	Passbys/Day Compared to ErA Significance Levels and NAAQS I-24
	ATTACHMENTS
I-1	Cumulative Nitrogen Oxides Fmissions Changes Due to Proposed Conrail
	Acquisition and EPA Locomotive Rules I-31
I-2	Charts Showing Cumulative Nitrogen Oxides (NO <sub>x</sub> ) Emissions Changes Due to
	Proposed Conrail Acquisition and EPA Locomotive Rules I-35
I-3	Maximum Concentrations of Diesel Particulates and Organic Substances and
	Comparison to Health Criteria for 153 Diesel Locomotive Passbys Per Day I-45
I-4	Maximum Calculated Concentrations of Diesel Particulates and Organic
	Substances Due to Locomotives and Comparison to Health Criteria for 73
	Locomotive Passbys Per Day
GUI	DE TO THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT Guide-1
GLO	OSSARY OF TERMS Glossary-1
	OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS Acronyms-1
CON	TENTS OF THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT i

## VOLUME 6C GUIDE TO VOLUME 6C

LIST	OF A	APPEN	DICES	Appendices-i
APP	ENDI	X J: N	OISE ANALYSIS	Lı
-	J.1		NITION OF RAILROAD NOISE	
	J.2		EENING PROCESS	
	J.3		ELING	
		J.3.1		I-2
		J.3.2	Reference Sound Exposure Level Values	
		J.3.3	Parallel Rail Line Segments	
		J.3.4	Wayside Noise at Highway/Rail At-grade Crossings .	I-4
	J.4	QUA	LITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL	J-4
	J.5		JLTS	
	J.6		E MITIGATION	
		J.6.1	Noise Mitigation Criteria	
		J.6.2	Noise Mitigation Analysis	
		3.0.3	Mitigation Analysis Results	J-6
			TABLES	
J-1	Refe	erence S	Sound Exposure Level Values (dBA)	J-3
J-2			That Meet Wayside Noise Mitigation Criteria	
			ATTACHMENTS	
J-1	Nois	se Impa	ct Analysis Quality Assurance Checksheet	J-9
J-2	Sens	sitive R	eceptor Counts for Rail Line Segments That Meet the Bo	oard's
	Thre	sholds	for Noise Analysis	J-13
J-3			eceptor Counts for Intermodal Facilities and Rail Yards	
	the I	Board's	Thresholds for Noise Analysis	J-17
J-4			our Maps Showing Receptors That Meet the Noise Mitig	
	Crite	eria	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	J-21
APPE			ULTURAL RESOURCES ANALYSIS	
	K.1	RANI	OOLPH STREET GRADE SEPARATION	K-1
	K.2	SOUT	TH BEND-TO-DILLON JUNCTION ABANDONMENT	K-2
	K.3	PARIS	S-TO-DANVILLE ABANDONMENT	К-2
APPE	NDIX	L: N	ATURAL RESOURCES ANALYSIS	L-1
	L.1	NATL	RAL RESOURCES ASSESSMENT	L-1
			Analysis Presented in the Draft EIS	

		L.1.2 Natural Resources Assessment Conclusions L-4
	L.2	STORMWATER IMPACTS ASSESSMENT L-4
		HAZARDOUS MATERIALS ASSESSMENT L-6
		L.3.1 Chemical MigrationL-7
		L.3.2 Risk Potential for Hazardous Materials Transport L-9
		L.3.3 Mitigation for Potential Releases L-9
		L.3.4 Hazardous Materials Assessment Conclusions L-11
		TABLES
L-1	Poter	ntial Effects of a Chemical Release L-8
L-2		10 Hazardous Chemicals and Petroleum Products Transported L-8
		ATTACHMENTS
L-1	Failu	re Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) L-13
APP	ENDIX	M: ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE ANALYSIS M-1
	M.1	BACKGROUND INFORMATION M-1
		M.1.1 Summary of Draft EIS Environmental Justice Analysis
		Methodology and Conclusions M-2
		M.1.2 Public Comments and SEA Response M-3
	M.2	METHODOLOGY M-3
		M.2.1 Identifying the Potential Health and Environmental Effects M-4
		M.2.2 Determining Whether Potential Effects Might Occur in Minority
		and Low-income Populations M-4
		M.2.3 Assessing Whether Potential Effects are "High" and
		"Adverse"
		M.2.4 Determining Whether Potentially High and Adverse Effects
		Are Disproportionate M-7
	M.3	QUANTITATIVE RESULTS M-14
	M.4	DETERMINING APPROPRIATE MITIGATION MEASURES TO
		AVOID OR REDUCE DISPROPORTIONATE EFFECTS M-17
	M.5	ADDITIONAL OR TAILORED MITIGATION TO ADDRESS
		DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH AND ADVERSE IMPACTS ON
		MINORITY AND LOW-INCOME POPULATIONS M-17
		TABLES
M-1		itigation Noise Level Scores
M-2	Prem	itigation Increase in Noise Level Scores
M-3		itigation Hazardous Materials Transport Scores M-10
M-4		itigation Increase in Hazardous Materials Transport Scores M-10
M-5	Rout	e Designation Scores M-11

on Minority and Low-income Populations by Rail Line Segment (Premitigation)	M-6	Premitigation Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Safety and Traffic Delay
(Premitigation) M-18 Proposed Tailored or Additional Mitigation for Areas of Potential Effect with Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects on Minority and Low-income Populations M-19  **ATTACHMENTS**  M-1 Environmental Justice Summary for Intermodal Facilities M-21 M-2 Summary of Areas of Potential Effect for the System and Each State M-25 M-3 Summary of Number of Environmental Justice, Nonenvironmental Justice, and Total Block Groups in Each County M-29 M-4 Summary of Noise Scoring by State M-39 M-5 Summary of Noise Scoring by County M-43 M-6 Summary of Hazardous Materials Scoring by State M-51 M-7 Summary of Hazardous Materials Scoring by County M-55 M-8 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by State M-63 M-9 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by County M-67 M-10 Environmental and Nonenvironmental Justice Communities with High and Adverse Multiple Impacts M-67 M-11 Summary of MRS Scoring at State Level M-83 M-12 Summary of MRS Scoring at County Level M-87 M-13 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's Threshold Segments M-97 M-14 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's State Analysis M-101 M-15 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's County Analysis M-104 M-16 Map of Environmental Justice County Groupings M-109 M-17 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's County Analysis M-104 M-18 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's County Analysis M-105 M-16 Map of Environmental Justice County Groupings M-109 M-17 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's County Analysis M-105 M-16 Map of Environmental Justice County Groupings M-109 M-17 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's County Analysis M-101 M-19 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's County Analysis M-101 M-10 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's State Analysis M-101 M-11 Definition of Study Area M-1 M-11 Alternative M-11 Alternative Offered by NS as Mitigation of Impacts to West Shore Communities N-12  N-12 Alternative S-12 NS Cloggsv	M-7	Areas of Potential Effect with Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects
M-8 Proposed Tailored or Additional Mitigation for Areas of Potential Effect with Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects on Minority and Low-income Populations		(Premitigation)
ATTACHMENTS  M-1 Environmental Justice Summary for Intermodal Facilities	M-8	Proposed Tailored or Additional Mitigation for Areas of Potential Effect
M-1 Environmental Justice Summary for Intermodal Facilities M-21 M-2 Summary of Areas of Potential Effect for the System and Each State M-25 M-3 Summary of Number of Environmental Justice, Nonenvironmental Justice, and Total Block Groups in Each County M-29 M-4 Summary of Noise Scoring by State M-39 M-5 Summary of Noise Scoring by State M-39 M-6 Summary of Hazardous Materials Scoring by State M-51 M-7 Summary of Hazardous Materials Scoring by County M-55 M-8 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by State M-63 M-9 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by County M-67 M-10 Environmental and Nonenvironmental Justice Communities with High and Adverse Multiple Impacts M-67 M-11 Summary of MRS Scoring at State Level M-87 M-12 Summary of MRS Scoring at County Level M-87 M-13 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's Threshold Segments M-97 M-14 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's State Analysis M-101 M-15 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's County Analysis M-104 M-16 Map of Environmental Jus ice County Groupings M-109 M-17 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for Cleveland, Lafayette, and Erie Alternatives M-113  APPENDIX N: COMMUNITY EVALUATIONS N-1 N-1 CLEVELAND, OHIO N-1 N-1 Definition of Study Area N-4 N-1.2 Alternative Actions Considered N-8 N-1.2.1 Alternative 1: Application Base Case Alternative Examined in the Draft EIS N-8 N-1.2.2 Alternative 2: NS Cloggsville Alternative Offered by NS as Mitigation of Impacts to West Shore Communities N-12		Low-income Populations
M-2 Summary of Areas of Potential Effect for the System and Each State M-25 M-3 Summary of Number of Environmental Justice, Nonenvironmental Justice, and Total Block Groups in Each County M-29 M-4 Summary of Noise Scoring by State M-39 M-5 Summary of Noise Scoring by County M-49 M-6 Summary of Hazardous Materials Scoring by State M-51 M-7 Summary of Hazardous Materials Scoring by County M-55 M-8 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by County M-63 M-9 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by County M-67 M-10 Environmental and Nonenvironmental Justice Communities with High and Adverse Multiple Impacts M-75 M-11 Summary of MRS Scoring at State Level M-87 M-12 Summary of MRS Scoring at County Level M-87 M-13 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's Threshold Segments M-97 M-14 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's State Analysis M-101 M-15 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's County Analysis M-101 M-16 Map of Environmental Justice County Groupings M-109 M-17 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for Cleveland, Lafayette, and Erie Alternatives M-11  APPENDIX N: COMMUNITY EVALUATIONS N-1 N-1 CLEVELAND, OHIO N-1 N-1.1 Definition of Study Area N-4 N-1.2 Alternative Actions Considered N-8 N-1.2.1 Alternative 1: Application Base Case Alternative Examined in the Draft EIS N-8 N-1.2.2 Alternative 2: NS Cloggsville Alternative Offered by NS as Mitigation of Impacts to West Shore Communities N-12		ATTACHMENTS
M-2 Summary of Areas of Potential Effect for the System and Each State M-25 M-3 Summary of Number of Environmental Justice, Nonenvironmental Justice, and Total Block Groups in Each County M-29 M-4 Summary of Noise Scoring by State M-39 M-5 Summary of Noise Scoring by County M-49 M-6 Summary of Hazardous Materials Scoring by State M-51 M-7 Summary of Hazardous Materials Scoring by County M-55 M-8 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by County M-63 M-9 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by County M-67 M-10 Environmental and Nonenvironmental Justice Communities with High and Adverse Multiple Impacts M-75 M-11 Summary of MRS Scoring at State Level M-87 M-12 Summary of MRS Scoring at County Level M-87 M-13 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's Threshold Segments M-97 M-14 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's State Analysis M-101 M-15 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's County Analysis M-101 M-16 Map of Environmental Justice County Groupings M-109 M-17 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for Cleveland, Lafayette, and Erie Alternatives M-11  APPENDIX N: COMMUNITY EVALUATIONS N-1 N-1 CLEVELAND, OHIO N-1 N-1.1 Definition of Study Area N-4 N-1.2 Alternative Actions Considered N-8 N-1.2.1 Alternative 1: Application Base Case Alternative Examined in the Draft EIS N-8 N-1.2.2 Alternative 2: NS Cloggsville Alternative Offered by NS as Mitigation of Impacts to West Shore Communities N-12	M-1	Environmental Justice Summary for Intermodal Facilities M-21
M-3 Summary of Number of Environmental Justice, Nonenvironmental Justice, and Total Block Groups in Each County	M-2	Summary of Areas of Potential Effect for the System and Each State M-25
Total Block Groups in Each County  M-4 Summary of Noise Scoring by State  M-5 Summary of Noise Scoring by County  M-6 Summary of Hazardous Materials Scoring by State  M-7 Summary of Hazardous Materials Scoring by State  M-8 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by State  M-8 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by State  M-9 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by County  M-67  M-10 Environmental and Nonenvironmental Justice Communities with High and Adverse Multiple Impacts  M-13 Summary of MRS Scoring at State Level  M-83  M-12 Summary of MRS Scoring at County Level  M-87  M-13 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's Threshold Segments  M-97  M-14 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's State Analysis  M-105  M-15 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's County Analysis  M-106  M-16 Map of Environmental Justice County Groupings  M-107  M-17 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for Cleveland, Lafayette, and Eric Alternatives  M-113  APPENDIX N: COMMUNITY EVALUATIONS  N-1  N.1 CLEVELAND, OHIO  N.1.1 Definition of Study Area  N.1.2 Alternative Actions Considered  N.1.2.1 Alternative 1: Application Base Case Alternative Examined in the Draft EIS  N.1.2.2 Alternative 2: NS Cloggsville Alternative Offered by NS as Mitigation of Impacts to West Shore  Communities  N-12		Summary of Number of Environmental Justice, Nonenvironmental Justice, and
M-4 Summary of Noise Scoring by State M-39 M-5 Summary of Noise Scoring by County M-43 M-6 Summary of Hazardous Materials Scoring by State M-51 M-7 Summary of Hazardous Materials Scoring by County M-55 M-8 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by State M-63 M-9 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by County M-67 M-10 Environmental and Nonenvironmental Justice Communities with High and Adverse Multiple Impacts M-75 M-11 Summary of MRS Scoring at State Level M-83 M-12 Summary of MRS Scoring at County Level M-87 M-13 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's Threshold Segments M-97 M-14 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's State Analysis M-101 M-15 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's County Analysis M-105 M-16 Map of Environmental Justice County Groupings M-109 M-17 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for Cleveland, Lafayette, and Erie Alternatives M-113  APPENDIX N: COMMUNITY EVALUATIONS N-1 N.1 CLEVELAND, OHIO N-1 N.1.1 Definition of Study Area N-4 N.1.2 Alternative Actions Considered N-8 N.1.2.1 Alternative 1: Application Base Case Alternative Examined in the Draft EIS N-8 N.1.2.2 Alternative 2: NS Cloggsville Alternative Offered by NS as Mitigation of Impacts to West Shore Communities N-12		
M-5 Summary of Noise Scoring by County M-6 Summary of Hazardous Materials Scoring by State M-51 M-7 Summary of Hazardous Materials Scoring by County M-55 M-8 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by State M-63 M-9 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by County M-67 M-10 Environmental and Nonenvironmental Justice Communities with High and Adverse Multiple Impacts M-75 M-11 Summary of MRS Scoring at State Level M-83 M-12 Summary of MRS Scoring at County Level M-87 M-13 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's Threshold Segments M-97 M-14 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's State Analysis M-101 M-15 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's County Analysis M-105 M-16 Map of Environmental Justice County Groupings M-109 M-17 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for Cleveland, Lafayette, and Erie Alternatives M-113  APPENDIX N: COMMUNITY EVALUATIONS N-1 N.1 CLEVELAND, OHIO N.1.1 Definition of Study Area N.1.2 Alternative Actions Considered N.1.2.1 Alternative 1: Application Base Case Alternative Examined in the Draft EIS N-8 N.1.2.2 Alternative 2: NS Cloggsville Alternative Offered by NS as Mitigation of Impacts to West Shore Communities N-12	M-4	Summary of Noise Scoring by State M-39
M-6 Summary of Hazardous Materials Scoring by State M-51 M-7 Summary of Hazardous Materials Scoring by County M-55 M-8 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by State M-63 M-9 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by County M-67 M-10 Environmental and Nonenvironmental Justice Communities with High and Adverse Multiple Impacts M-75 M-11 Summary of MRS Scoring at State Level M-83 M-12 Summary of MRS Scoring at County Level M-87 M-13 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's Threshold Segments M-97 M-14 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's State Analysis M-101 M-15 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's County Analysis M-105 M-16 Map of Environmental Justice County Groupings M-109 M-17 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for Cleveland, Lafayette, and Erie Alternatives M-113  APPENDIX N: COMMUNITY EVALUATIONS N-1 N.1 CLEVELAND, OHIO N-1 N.1.1 Definition of Study Area N-4 N.1.2 Alternative Actions Considered N-8 N.1.2.1 Alternative 1: Application Base Case Alternative Examined in the Draft EIS N-8 N.1.2.2 Alternative 2: NS Cloggsville Alternative Offered by NS as Mitigation of Impacts to West Shore Communities N-12	3075	Summary of Noise Scoring by County M-43
M-7 Summary of Hazardous Materials Scoring by County M-55 M-8 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by State M-63 M-9 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by County M-67 M-10 Environmental and Nonenvironmental Justice Communities with High and Adverse Multiple Impacts M-75 M-11 Summary of MRS Scoring at State Level M-83 M-12 Summary of MRS Scoring at County Level M-87 M-13 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's Threshold Segments M-97 M-14 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's State Analysis M-10 M-15 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's County Analysis M-105 M-16 Map of Environmental Justice County Groupings M-109 M-17 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for Cleveland, Lafayette, and Eric Alternatives M-113  APPENDIX N: COMMUNITY EVALUATIONS N-1 N.1 CLEVELAND, OHIO N-1 N.1.1 Definition of Study Area N-4 N.1.2 Alternative Actions Considered N-8 N.1.2.1 Alternative 1: Application Base Case Alternative Examined in the Draft EIS N-8 N.1.2.2 Alternative 2: NS Cloggsville Alternative Offered by NS as Mitigation of Impacts to West Shore Communities N-12	M-6	Summary of Hazardous Materials Scoring by State M-51
M-8 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by State M-63 M-9 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by County M-67 M-10 Environmental and Nonenvironmental Justice Communities with High and Adverse Multiple Impacts M-75 M-11 Summary of MRS Scoring at State Level M-83 M-12 Summary of MRS Scoring at County Level M-87 M-13 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's Threshold Segments M-97 M-14 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's State Analysis M-101 M-15 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's County Analysis M-105 M-16 Map of Environmental Justice County Groupings M-109 M-17 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for Cleveland, Lafayette, and Erie Alternatives M-113  APPENDIX N: COMMUNITY EVALUATIONS N-1 N.1 CLEVELAND, OHIO N-1 N.1.1 Definition of Study Area N-4 N.1.2 Alternative Actions Considered N-8 N.1.2.1 Alternative 1: Application Base Case Alternative Examined in the Draft EIS N-8 N.1.2.2 Alternative 2: NS Cloggsville Alternative Offered by NS as Mitigation of Impacts to West Shore Communities N-12		Summary of Hazardous Materials Scoring by County M-55
M-9 Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by County M-10 Environmental and Nonenvironmental Justice Communities with High and Adverse Multiple Impacts M-75 M-11 Summary of MRS Scoring at State Level M-83 M-12 Summary of MRS Scoring at County Level M-87 M-13 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's Threshold Segments M-97 M-14 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's State Analysis M-10 M-15 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's County Analysis M-105 M-16 Map of Environmental Justice County Groupings M-109 M-17 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for Cleveland, Lafayette, and Erie Alternatives M-113  APPENDIX N: COMMUNITY EVALUATIONS N-1 N.1 CLEVELAND, OHIO N-1 N.1.1 Definition of Study Area N.1.2 Alternative Actions Considered N-1.2 Alternative Actions Considered N-1.2 Alternative 1: Application Base Case Alternative Examined in the Draft EIS N-1.2 Alternative 2: NS Cloggsville Alternative Offered by NS as Mitigation of Impacts to West Shore Communities N-12	M-8	Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by State M-63
M-10 Environmental and Nonenvironmental Justice Communities with High and Adverse Multiple Impacts	M-9	Summary of Safety and Delay Scoring by County M-67
Adverse Multiple Impacts M-75 M-11 Summary of MRS Scoring at State Level M-83 M-12 Summary of MRS Scoring at County Level M-87 M-13 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's Threshold Segments M-97 M-14 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's State Analysis M-101 M-15 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's County Analysis M-105 M-16 Map of Environmental Justice County Groupings M-109 M-17 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for Cleveland, Lafayette, and Erie Alternatives M-113  APPENDIX N: COMMUNITY EVALUATIONS N-1 N.1 CLEVELAND, OHIO N-1 N.1.1 Definition of Study Area N-4 N.1.2 Alternative Actions Considered N-8 N.1.2.1 Alternative 1: Application Base Case Alternative Examined in the Draft EIS N-8 N.1.2.2 Alternative 2: NS Cloggsville Alternative Offered by NS as Mitigation of Impacts to West Shore Communities N-12	M-10	Environmental and Nonenvironmental Justice Communities with High and
M-11 Summary of MRS Scoring at State Level M-83 M-12 Summary of MRS Scoring at County Level M-87 M-13 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's Threshold Segments M-97 M-14 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's State Analysis M-101 M-15 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's County Analysis M-105 M-16 Map of Environmental Justice County Groupings M-109 M-17 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for Cleveland, Lafayette, and Erie Alternatives M-113  APPENDIX N: COMMUNITY EVALUATIONS N-1 N.1 CLEVELAND, OHIO N-1 N.1.1 Definition of Study Area N-4 N.1.2 Alternative Actions Considered N-8 N.1.2.1 Alternative 1: Application Base Case Alternative Examined in the Draft EIS N-8 N.1.2.2 Alternative 2: NS Cloggsville Alternative Offered by NS as Mitigation of Impacts to West Shore Communities N-12		
M-12 Summary of MRS Scoring at County Level	M-11	Summary of MRS Scoring at State Level M-83
M-13 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's Threshold Segments . M-97 M-14 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's State Analysis . M-101 M-15 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's County Analysis . M-105 M-16 Map of Environmental Justice County Groupings . M-109 M-17 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for Cleveland, Lafayette, and Eric Alternatives . M-113  APPENDIX N: COMMUNITY EVALUATIONS . N-1 N.1 CLEVELAND, OHIO . N-1 N.1.1 Definition of Study Area . N-4 N.1.2 Alternative Actions Considered . N-8 N.1.2.1 Alternative 1: Application Base Case Alternative Examined in the Draft EIS . N-8 N.1.2.2 Alternative 2: NS Cloggsville Alternative Offered by NS as Mitigation of Impacts to West Shore Communities . N-12	M-12	Summary of MRS Scoring at County Level M-87
M-14 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's State Analysis	M-13	Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's Threshold Segments M-97
M-16 Map of Environmental Justice County Groupings M-109 M-17 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for Cleveland, Lafayette, and Erie Alternatives M-113  APPENDIX N: COMMUNITY EVALUATIONS N-1 N.1 CLEVELAND, OHIO N-1 N.1.1 Definition of Study Area N-4 N.1.2 Alternative Actions Considered N-8 N.1.2.1 Alternative 1: Application Base Case Alternative Examined in the Draft EIS N-8 N.1.2.2 Alternative 2: NS Cloggsville Alternative Offered by NS as Mitigation of Impacts to West Shore Communities N-12	M-14	Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's State Analysis M-101
M-17 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for Cleveland, Lafayette, and Erie Alternatives M-113  APPENDIX N: COMMUNITY EVALUATIONS N-1  N.1 CLEVELAND, OHIO N-1  N.1.1 Definition of Study Area N-4  N.1.2 Alternative Actions Considered N-8  N.1.2.1 Alternative 1: Application Base Case Alternative Examined in the Draft EIS N-8  N.1.2.2 Alternative 2: NS Cloggsville Alternative Offered by NS as Mitigation of Impacts to West Shore Communities N-12	M-15	Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for SEA's County Analysis M-105
M-17 Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for Cleveland, Lafayette, and Erie Alternatives M-113  APPENDIX N: COMMUNITY EVALUATIONS N-1  N.1 CLEVELAND, OHIO N-1  N.1.1 Definition of Study Area N-4  N.1.2 Alternative Actions Considered N-8  N.1.2.1 Alternative 1: Application Base Case Alternative Examined in the Draft EIS N-8  N.1.2.2 Alternative 2: NS Cloggsville Alternative Offered by NS as Mitigation of Impacts to West Shore Communities N-12	M-16	Map of Environmental Justice County Groupings M-109
APPENDIX N: COMMUNITY EVALUATIONS	M-17	Pre-Mitigation Test Results and Conclusions for Cleveland, Lafayette, and
N.1 CLEVELAND, OHIO		Erie Alternatives M-113
N.1 CLEVELAND, OHIO	APPE	NDIX N: COMMUNITY EVALUATIONS
N.1.1 Definition of Study Area		
N.1.2 Alternative Actions Considered		N 1 1 Definition of Study Area
N.1.2.1 Alternative 1: Application Base Case Alternative  Examined in the Draft EIS		N.1.2 Alternative Actions Considered
N.1.2.2 Alternative 2: NS Cloggsville Alternative Offered by NS as Mitigation of Impacts to West Shore Communities		
N.1.2.2 Alternative 2: NS Cloggsville Alternative Offered by NS as Mitigation of Impacts to West Shore Communities		
NS as Mitigation of Impacts to West Shore  Communities		N.1.2.2 Alternative 2: NS Cloggsville Alternative Offered by
Communities N-12		NS as Mitigation of Impacts to West Shore
		Communities N-12

	N.1.2.4	Alternatives Developed by SEA for Consideration	N-24
	N.1.2.5	Overview Comparison of Train Traffic in the Seven	
		Alternatives	N-37
	N.1.2.6	Additional Improvements	N-38
	N.1.2.7	Discretionary Stand-Alone Improvements in the	
		Greater Cleveland Area	N-39
	N.1.2.8	Alternatives Considered and Rejected from Further	
		Study	N-40
	N.1.2.9		
		Operating Entity to Serve Northeastern Ohio	N-40
N.1.3	Potential	Environmental Impacts of the Alternative Actions	
		ommended Mitigation	N-44
	N.1.3.1	Safety: Highway/Rail At-grade Crossings	N-44
	N.1.3.2	Safety: Hazardous Materials Transport	N-46
	N.1.3.3	Safety: Passenger Rail Operations	N-50
	N.1.3.4	Safety: Freight Rail Operations	N-51
	N.1.3.5	Transportation: Passenger Rail Service	N-52
	N.1.3.6	Transportation: Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing	
		Delay	N-53
	N.1.3.7	Transportation: Roadway Systems	N-57
	N.1.3.8	Transportation: Navigation	N-57
	N.1.3.9	Energy	N-60
		Air Quality	N-61
		Noise	N-64
		Cultural Resources	N-65
	N.1.3.13	Hazardous Waste Sites	N-73
		Natural Resources	N-87
	N.1.3.15	Land Use and Socioeconomics	N-94
	N.1.3.16	Environmental Justice	N-96
	N.1.3.17	Cumulative Effects	N-101
	N.1.3.18	Project Construction Cost	N-102
	N.1.3.19	Inconsistent and Responsive Applications and	
		Comments and Requests for Conditions	N-103
N.1.4	Summar	v of Differences Among Alternatives	N-103
N.1.5	Compar	isons and SEA Recommendations	N-113
	N.1.5.1	SEA's Conclusion Regarding Greater Cleveland	
		Area Alternatives	N-114
	N.1.5.2	SEA's Recommended Environmental Conditions	
		For the Greater Cleveland Area	N-114
FOLIE	CITYC	ONSORTIUM AREA, INDIANA	N-118
N21	Backero	ound	N-118

N.2

		N.2.2.	The Four	City Consortium Alternative Routing Plan	N-122
		N.2.3	Evaluation	on of the Alternative Routing Plan Proposed by the	
				y Consortium and CSX Operating Plan	N-123
		N.2.4		y	
	N.3			LVANIA	
		N.3.1		ion of Existing Environment	
		N.3.2		Resulting from the Proposed Conrail Acquisition	
		N.3.3		Environmental Impacts and Recommended	
				on	N-129
				Safety: Highway/Rail At-grade Crossings	
				Safety: Hazardous Materials Transport	
			N.3.3.3		
			N.3.3.4	Transportation: Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing	
				Delay	N-136
			N.3.3.5	Energy	N-138
			N.3.3.6	Air Quality	N-139
			N.3.3.7	Noise	
			N.3.3.8	Cultural Resources	N-140
			N.3.3.9	Hazardous Waste Sites	N-140
				Natural Resources Analysis	N-144
			N.3.3.11	Land Use and Socioeconomics	N-146
			N.3.3.12	Environmental Justice	N-146
	N.4	LAFA	YETTE, I	NDIANA	N-147
		N.4.1		on of Existing Environment	
		N.4.2		Resulting from the Proposed Conrail Acquisition	
		N.4.3		nental Analysis Methods	
				Safety: Highway/Rail At-grade Crossings	
				Safety: Hazardous Materials Transport	
			N.4.3.3	Safety: Freight Rail Operations	
			N.4.3.4	Transportation: Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing	
				Delay	N-156
				Air Quality	
				Noise	
				Environmental Justice	
				FIGURES	
N-1	Cler	aland A.	ea Altarn	ative Rail Line Segment Index	NI S
N-2				ative 1 - Base Application	
N-3				ative 2 - NS Cloggsville	
N-4				ative 3 - Cleveland Flip Plan No. 1	
14-4	Cieve	cialiu Al	ca Alterna	inve 5 - Cleveland Filp Flan No. 1	14-17

N-5	Cleveland Area Alternative 4 - Cleveland Flip Plan No. 2 N	1-22
N-6	Cleveland Area Alternative 5 - Wickliffe Flyover N	1-26
N-7		1-30
N-8		1-34
N-9A	Four City Consortium Area - Indiana	120
N-9B	Four City Consortium Area - Indiana	121
N-10A		
N-10B	Erie NS Realignment N-	
N-11	Lafayette Railroad Relocation Proiect N-	
	TABLES	
N-1	Comparison of Rail Line Segments Studied in Draft EIS With Rail Line	
27.2	Segments Studied in the Cleveland-area Alternatives Mitigation Study	N-6
N-2	Rail Line Segments Affected by Alternative 1 Application Base N	1-10
N-3	Rail Line Segments Affected by Alternative 2 NS Cloggsville N	1-14
N-4		1-18
N-5		1-23
N-6		1-27
N-7	Rail Line Segments Affected by Alternative 6 Wickliffe Rail/Rail Flyover	J-31
N-8	Affected Rail Line Segments in Alternative 7 SEA Revision of	
		V-35
N-9	Cit i citalia i control cat i c i internativo i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	V-37
N-10	Predicted Aggregate Rate of Accidents per Year at 86 Intersections in	
	the Greater Cleveland Area	N-45
N-11	Annual Hazardous Materials Carloads Transported Through Selected	
	Residential Areas	N-47
N-12	Hazardous Materials Transport "Exposure Effect" N	V-48
N-13	Supplemental Train Defect Detection	V-49
N-14	Predicted Aggregate Measures of Highway/rail At-grade Crossing Delay at	
	86 Intersections in the Greater Cleveland Area	N-55
N-15	Train Levels on Rail Line Segments with Movable Bridges Before and	
	After the Proposed Conrail Acquisition	N-59
N-16	Sensitive Receptor Counts for Alternatives	V-65
N-17	Sites Evaluated for Cultural Resources and Alternatives Associated with	
		V-67
N-18		N-74
N-19	Sites Evaluated for Hazardous Materials and Alternatives Associated with	
12.00		N-75
N-20	Sites Reported by EDR for the Berea Area	

N-21	Sites Reported by EDR for the Cloggsville Arca	N-78
N-22	Sites Reported by EDR for the Wickliffe Rail/Rail Flyover Area	N-79
N-23	Sites Reported by EDR for the Erie Connection Area	N-80
N-24	Sites Reported by EDR for the Rockport Yard Area	
N-25	Known Hazardous Waste Sites or Related Environmental Concerns	N-83
N-26	Sites Evaluated for Natural Resources and Alternatives Associated with	
	Those Sites	N-90
N-27	Cleveland Area Mitigation Alternatives Environmental Justice	
	Impacts (Cuyahoga County, Pre-mitigation)	N-100
N-28	Estimated Cost to Implement Study Alternatives	N-102
N-29	Comparison of Alternative Routes in the Greater Cleveland Area—	
	Implementation and Operational Issues	N-104
N-30	Train Traffic Through Selected Cleveland Residential Areas	N-107
N-31	Rail Line Segments in Four City Consortium Area	N-119
N-32	Input Values Used to Analyze Traffic Changes for the Proposed Conrail	
	Acquisition in Erie, Pennsylvania	N-129
N-33	Aggregate Predicted Rate of Accidents per Year at 25 Intersections in	
	Erie, Pennsylvania	N-133
N-34	Predicted Accidents per Year at Highway/Rail At-grade Crossings That	
	Warrant Safety Mitigation in Erie, Pennsylvania	N-133
N-35	Accident Predictions for Hazardous Materials Transport Along Rail Line	
	Segments in Erie, Pennsylvania	N-135
N-36	Accident Predictions for Rail Line Segments Erie, Pennsylvania	N-136
N-37	Predicted Aggregate Measures of Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Delay	
	at 25 Intersections in Erie, Pennsylvania	N-138
N-38	Sites Reported by EDR for West Erie	N-141
N-39	Sites Reported by EDR for East Erie	N-143
N-40	Input Values Used to Analyze Traffic Changes for the Proposed	
	Conrail Acquisition in Lafayette, Indiana	N-149
N-41	Predicted Aggregate Rate of Accidents per Year at 39 Intersections in	
	Lafayette, Indiana	N-152
N-42	Predicted Accidents per Year at 15 Highway/Rail At-grade Crossings That	
	Warrant Safety Mitigation in Lafayette, Indiana	N-153
N-43	Mitigation for Highway/Rail At-grade Crossings Warranting Safety	
	Mitigation in Lafayette, Indiana	N-153
N-44	Accident Predictions for Hazardous Materials Transport Along Rail Line	
		N-155
N-45	Accident Predictions for Rail Line Segments, Lafayette, Indiana	N-156
N-46	Predicted Aggregate Measures of Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Delay	
	at 39 Intersections in Lafayette, Indiana	N-158

**ATTACHMENTS** 

N-1	Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Accident Frequency
	Erie, Pennsylvania N-161
N-2A	Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Vehicle Delay and Queues
	Erie, Pennsylvania N-165
N-2B	Highway/Pail At grade Crossing Vehicle Delay and Queues
	Erie, Pennsylvania
N-3	Sensitive Receptor Counts for Alternative Rail Line Segments
	Erie, Pennsylvania N-173
N-4	Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Accident Frequency
•	Lafayette, Indiana N-177
N-5	Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Summary of Vehicle Delay
	Lafayette, Indiana N-181
N-6A	Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Vehicle Delay and Queues
	Lafayette, Indiana N-185
N-6B	Highway/Rail At-grade Crossing Vehicle Delay and Queues
	Lafayette, Indiana N-189
N-7	Sensitive Receptor Counts for Alternative Rail Line Segments
-22.00	Lafayette, Indiana N-193
GUID	E TO THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT Guide-1
GLOS	SSARY OF TERMS Glossary-1
LIST	OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS Acronyms-1
CONT	TENTS OF THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT i
VOLU	UME 6D
GUID	DE TO VOLUME 6D
LIST	OF APPENDICES Appendices-i
APPE	NDIX O: EPA RULES ON LOCOMOTIVE EMISSIONS 0-1
	ATTACHMENTS
O-1	EPA Fact Sheet "Final Emissions Standards for Locomptives and Locomotive
	Engines" (December 1997)
0-2	EPA Fact Sheet "Emission Factors for Locomotives" (December 1997) O-11

APPENDIX P: SEA'S BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR
CONSTRUCTION AND ABANDONMENT ACTIVITIES P-1
APPENDIX Q: EXAMPLE PUBLIC OUTREACH MATERIALS Q-1
Press Release, Newspaper Notice, and the Federal Register Notice Q-3
The Notification Post Card, and Sample Letters to Congressional
Representatives and Consultation Communities Q-17
Fact Sheets and Accompanying Cover Letter, and Public Service
Announcements for Environmental Justice Communities Q-27
Outreach Strategies for Environmental Justice Communities Q-81
Letter to Reference Librarian
Letters to Native American Tribes and Bureau of Indian Affairs Q-97
Acknowledgment Receipt Letter Q-105
Information Letter Regarding Potential Effects of the Proposed Conrail
Acquisition on Historic Properties in Ohio Q-109
A Newspaper Notice for Additional Environmental Justice Communities
and the Federal Register Notice for Additional Environmental Analysis Q-113
Public Service Announcement and Cover Letter for Additional
Environmental Justice Communities
Letter to Interested Parties in Additional Environmental Justice
Communities
Outreach Strategies for Additional Environmental Justice Communities Q-131 Letter to Reference Librarian in Additional Environmental Justice
Communities
Letter to Mayors and County Administrators in Additional Environmental
Justice Communities
Follow-up Letter to County Administrators in Consultation Communities Q-215
APPENDIX R: ALL RELEVANT BOARD DECISIONS R-1
Board Decision No. 6
Board Decision No. 9
Board Decision No. 12
Board Decision No. 52
Board Decision No. 54
Board Decision (Sub-No. 1)
Board Decision No. 71
Board Decision No. 73
Board Decision No. 75

APPENDIX S: INDEX FOR THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT	
STATEMENT (Draft EIS)	S-1
DRAFT EIS EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	S-1
DRAFT EIS VOLUME 1	S-7
DRAFT EIS VOLUME 2	. S-17
DRAFT EIS VOLUME 3A	. S-19
DRAFT EIS VOLUME 3B	. S-33
DRAFT EIS VOLUME 4	. S-47
DRAFT EIS VOLUME 5A, 5B, AND 5C	. S-53
DRAFT EIS VOLUME 6	. S-55
APPENDIX T: FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT RAIL	
LINE SEGMENTS	T-1
ATTACHMENTS	
T-1 Master Rail Line Segment Table	T-1
APPENDIX U: LIST OF PREPARERS	. U-1
GUIDE TO THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT G	uide-1
GLOSSARY OF TERMS	sary-1
LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS Acron	yms-1
CONTENTS OF THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT	i
VOLUME 7	
ADDENDUM	AD-1
GUIDE TO THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT G	uide-1
GLOSSARY OF TERMS	sary-1
LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS Acron	yms-1
CONTENTS OF THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT	i