Quarterly Status Report of Rate Complaint Cases Before the STB - 2ND QUARTER 2022

NOR 42173

Docket No:

Case Name:	Omaha Public Power District v. Union Pacific Railroad Company
Commodities:	Coal
Rate Review Type (SAC, SSAC, 3-Benchmark or Other):	SAC*
Origin(s):	The interchange between UP and BNSF Railway Co. at BNSF's Gibson Yard in Omaha, Neb.
Destination(s):	OPPD's North Omaha Power Station, Omaha, Neb.
	* OPPD's notice states: "The reasonableness of the rate may also be tested by rate reasonableness methodologies other than SAC."
	methodologies other than 5/10.
Procedural Schedule:	Pre-filing notice received May 2, 2022, but the procedural schedule in a rate reasonableness case is typically based on the filing date of the complaint.
Date on Which Proceeding Began:	TBD
Discovery Ends:	TBD
Opening Evidence Due:	TBD
Reply Evidence Due:	TBD
Rebuttal Evidence Due:	TBD
Closing Briefs Due:	TBD
Merits Decision:	TBD
Brief Description of the Final Decision:	
	TBD

Rail Rate Cases at the STB

(1996 to Present) - Last Reviewed 6/30/2022

Docket No	Case Name	Commodity	Guidelines Used	Date of Decision	Decision	
41191	West Texas v. BNSF	Coal	SAC	5/3/1996	Rates Unreasonable	
37809	McCarty Farms v. BN	Grain	SAC	8/20/1997	Rates Reasonable	
41185	APS v. ATSF	Coal	SAC	4/17/1998	Rates Unreasonable	
41989	Pepco v. CSX	Coal	SAC	6/18/1998	Settlement	
42012	Sierra Pacific v. UP	Coal	SAC	7/17/1998	Settlement	
41670	Shell Chemical v. NS	Chemical	Simplified	3/12/1999	Settlement	
41295	PPL v. Conrail	Coal	SAC	5/13/1999	Settlement	
42034	PSI Energy v. Soo	Coal	SAC	5/13/1999	Settlement	
42022	FMC v. UP	Minerals	SAC	5/12/2000	Rates Unreasonable	
42038	MN Power v. DMIR	Coal	Stipulated R/VC	1/5/2001	Settlement	
42051	WPL v. UP	Coal	SAC	5/14/2002	Rates Unreasonable	
42054	PPL v. BNSF	Coal	SAC	8/20/2002	Rates Reasonable	
42059	Northern States v. UP	Coal	Stipulated R/VC	8/7/2003	Settlement	
42077	APS v. BNSF	Coal	SAC	12/31/2003	Withdrawn	
42056	TMPA v. BNSF	Coal	SAC	9/27/2004	Rates Unreasonable	
42069	Duke v. NS	Coal	SAC	10/20/2004	Rates Reasonable	
42070	Duke v. CSXT	Coal	SAC	10/20/2004	Rates Reasonable	
42072	Carolina Power v. NS	Coal	SAC	10/20/2004	Rates Reasonable	
42057	Xcel v. BNSF	Coal	SAC	12/14/2004	Rates Unreasonable	
42058	AEPCO v. BNSF	Coal	SAC	3/15/2005	Rates Reasonable	
42093	BP Amoco v. NS	Chemical	Simplified	6/28/2005	Settlement	
42071	Otter Tail v.BNSF	Coal	SAC	1/27/2006	Rates Reasonable	
42091	APS v. BNSF	Coal	SAC	2/10/2006	Settlement	
42097	Albemarle v. LNW	Chemical	SAC	11/14/2006	Settlement	
42098	Williams Olefins v. GTC	Chemical	Simplified	2/15/2007	Settlement	
42095	KCPL v. UP	Coal	Stipulated R/VC	5/19/2008	Rates Unreasonable	
42088	Western Fuels v. BNSF	Coal	SAC	2/18/2009	Rates Unreasonable	
42112	E.I. Dupont v. CSX	Chemical	SAC	5/11/2009	Settlement	
41191 (S1)	AEP Texas v. BNSF	Coal	SAC	5/15/2009	Rates Reasonable	
42111	Oklahoma Gas v. UP	Coal	Stipulated R/VC	7/24/2009	Rates Unreasonable	
42099	DuPont v. CSXT	Chemical	Three-Benchmark	9/1/2009	Settlement	
42100	DuPont v. CSXT	Chemical	Three-Benchmark	9/1/2009	Settlement	
42101	DuPont v. CSXT	Chemical	Three-Benchmark	9/1/2009	Settlement	
42114	U.S. Magnesium v. UP	Chemical	Three-Benchmark	1/28/2010	Rates Unreasonable	
42115	U.S. Magnesium v. UP	Chemical	Simplified SAC	4/2/2010	Settlement	
42116	U.S. Magnesium v. UP	Chemical	Simplified SAC	4/2/2010	Settlement	
42122	NRG v. CSXT	Coal	SAC	7/8/2010	Settlement	
42110	Seminole Electric v. CSXT	Coal	SAC	9/27/2010	Settlement	
42113 (S1)	AEPCO v. UP	Coal	SAC	4/15/2011	Settlement	
42128	SMEPA v. NS	Coal	SAC	8/31/2011	Settlement	
41191 (S1)	AEP Texas v. BNSF	Coal	SAC-Remand	10/26/2011	Settlement	
42113	AEPCO v. BNSF & UP	Coal	SAC-Remaind SAC	11/22/2011	Rates Unreasonable	
42132	Canexus v. BNSF	Chemical	Three-Benchmark	7/20/2012	Settlement	
42127	IPA v. UP	Coal	SAC	11/2/2012	Withdrawn	
42123	M&G Polymers v. CSXT	Chemical	SAC	1/7/2013	Settlement	
42125	DuPont v. NS	Chemical	SAC	3/24/2014	Rates Reasonable	
42130	SunBelt v. NS	Chemical	SAC	6/20/2014	Rates Reasonable	
42136	IPA v. UP	Coal	SAC	10/8/2014	Settlement	
42088	Western Fuels v. BNSF	Coal	SAC	6/15/2015	Settlement	
42121	TPI v. CSXT	Chemical	SAC	9/14/2016	Rates Reasonable	
42142	Consumers v. CSXT	Coal	SAC & Revenue Adequacy	2/7/2019	Settlement	
Pending before the STB						
Docket No	Case Name	Commodity	Guidelines Used	Date of Decision	Decision	
			SAC (see case description on			
42173	OPPD v. UP	Coal	prior page)	TBD	TBD	

Notes to Table:

^{1.} SAC = Stand-Alone Cost Methodology Applied for a Hypothetical Railroad.

^{2.} Simplified = Using a Simplified, Rather than Full-SAC, Methodology for Determining the Reasonableness of Rates as Set Forth in Coal Rate Guidelines, Nationwide, 1 I.C.C.2d 520 (1985) (<u>Guidelines</u>).

^{3.} Stipulated R/VC = Parties Agreed to Use Revenue to Variable Cost (R/VC) Ratios @ 180% Level, in Lieu of Using SAC.

^{4.} Three-Benchmark Methodology = Methodology of Seeking Relief Pursuant to the Revised Simplified Procedures as Set Forth in Simplified Standards for Rail Rate Cases , STB Ex Parte No. 646 (Sub-No. 1) (STB served Sept. 5, 2007) and any additional Sub-No. decisions.

^{5.} Revenue Adequacy = Revenue Adequacy Constraint, as Described in $\underline{\textit{Guidelines}}$.