Quarterly Status Report of Rate Complaint Cases Before the STB -1ST QUARTER 2018

Docket No:	NOR 4214 Consumers Energy Co. v. CSX Transportation, In			
Case Name:				
Commodities:	Coal			

Rate Review Type (SAC, SSAC, 3-Benchmark or Other):	SAC and Revenue Adequ		
Origin(s):	Interchange with BNSF in the vicinity of Chicago, IL		
Destination(s):	Campbell Generating Station near West Olive, MI		

Procedural Schedule:			
Date on Which Proceeding Began:	January 13, 2015		
*Discovery Completed:	July 1, 2015		
Opening Evidence:	November 2, 2015 / January 23, 2017 (supplement		
Reply Evidence:	March 7, 2016 / March 6, 2017 (supplementa		
Rebuttal Evidence:	May 20, 2016 / April 13, 2017 (supplemental)		
Closing Briefs:	June 24, 2016		

Merits Decision:	January 11, 2018
Petitions for Reconsideration or Reopening / Petitions for Technical Corrections	February 20, 2018
Replies to Petitions for Reconsideration or Reopening	March 12, 2018
Decision Making Technical Corrections	TBD
Merits Decision on Reconsideration or Reopening	TBD

Italics indicate dates of future events, which are subject to change.

Brief Description of the Final Decisions:	
	The merits decision found that (1) CSXT has market dominance over the issue traffic;
	(2) the rate was shown to be unreasonably high under the SAC constraint; and (3)
	CSXT was not shown to be revenue adequate under the revenue adequacy constraint.
	Rate relief was prescribed under the SAC constraint.

* Parties often set the schedule for discovery and do not necessarily inform the Board. This date is based on the information in the Board's possession, but may have changed.

CONSUMERS ENERGY CO. v. CSX TRANSPORTATION, Docket No. NOR 42142

Complete Timeline (Significant Filings and Decisions Only) January 13, 2015 **Consumers Complaint CSXT** Answer February 2, 2015 March 24, 2015 **CSXT Motion to Dismiss Revenue Adequacy Claim Consumers Reply to CSXT Motion to Dismiss** April 13, 2015 **Discovery Conference** April 21, 2015 STB Decision Denying CSXT Motion to Dismiss Rev. Adeq. Claim June 15, 2015 **Technical Conference** June 23, 2015 **Close of Discovery*** July 1, 2015 STB Decision Adopting Procedures for Formatting of Evidence July 15, 2015 July 20, 2015 **Discovery Conference Consumers Opening Evidence** November 2, 2015 **CSXT Reply Evidence** March 7, 2016 **CSXT Reply** March 7, 2016 **CSXT** Workpapers March 7, 2016 March 8, 2016 **CSXT Errata Sheet** March 8, 2016 **CSXT Errata Sheet Consumers Petition for Technical Conference** March 14, 2016 March 16, 2016 STB Decision Directing CSXT to File Response to Technical Conference **CSXT Reply to Consumers Technical Conference** March 21, 2016 STB Decision Denying Request for Technical Conference April 6, 2016 CSXT Reply and Submission of Workpapers in Response to STB Decision April 8, 2016 April 13, 2016 **Consumers Motion to Modify Procedural Schedule** April 15, 2016 CSXT Reply to Consumers Motion to Modify Procedural Schedule STB Decision Granting in Part Consumers Motion to Modify Procedural Schedule April 20, 2016 May 20, 2016 **Consumers Workpapers** May 20, 2016 **Consumers Rebuttal Consumers Rebuttal** May 20, 2016 **CSXT Confidential Errata to Reply Evidence** May 26, 2016 May 26, 2016 **CSXT Errata Sheet Consumers Reply to CSXT Errata Evidence** May 27, 2016 CSXT Letter Requesting the Board Accept Its Errata Sheet June 1, 2016 STB Decision Directing Parties to Prepare Closing Briefs June 3, 2016 June 3, 2016 **Consumers Errata Sheet** June 3, 2016 **Consumers Errata Sheet CSXT Motion to Strike** June 24, 2016 **CSXT Motion to Strike** June 24, 2016 June 24, 2016 **CSXT Final Brief** June 24, 2016 **CSXT Final Brief** June 24, 2016 **Consumers Final Brief** June 24, 2016 **Consumers Final Brief** June 27, 2016 **Consumers Motion to Remove CSXT Motion to Strike Consumers Reply to CSXT Motion to Strike** July 14, 2016 **Consumers Petition for Leave to Supplement Record** July 14, 2016 CSXT Reply to Consumers Petition for Leave to Supplement Record July 26, 2016 STB Decision Ruling on Consumers Petition for Leave to Supplement Record and CSXT Motion to Strike, and **Directing Parties to File Supplemental Evidence** December 9, 2016 **Consumers Supplemental Opening Evidence** January 23, 2017 **CSXT Supplemental Reply Evidence** March 6, 2017

* Parties often set the schedule for discovery and do not necessarily inform the Board. This date is based on the information in the Board's possession, but may have changed

Consumers Supplemental Rebuttal Evidence	April 13, 2017
CSXT Motion to Strike	May 3, 2017
Consumers Reply to CSXT Motion to Strike	May 23, 2017
STB Decision on the Merits	January 11, 2018
CSXT Motion for an Extension of Time	January 18, 2018
STB Decision Granting CSXT Motion for an Extension of Time	January 19, 2018
Joint Petition for Technical Changes	February 20, 2018
Consumers Petition for Technical Changes	February 20, 2018
Consumers Petition for Reconsideration	February 20, 2018
CSXT Petition for Reconsideration	February 20, 2018
CSXT Reply to Consumers Petition for Technical Changes	March 12, 2018
CSXT Reply to Consumers Petition for Reconsideration	March 12, 2018
Consumers Reply to CSXT Petition for Reconsideration	March 12, 2018
STB Updated Merits Decision to Include Public Version of Market Dominance Appendix	March 14, 2018
CSXT Letter	March 19, 2018
Consumers Response to CSXT Letter	March 20, 2018

Rail Rate Cases at the STB					
Docket No	Case Name	(1996 to Present) Commodity	- Last Updated 03/30/2018 Guidelines Used	Date of Decision	Decision
41191	West Texas v. BNSF	Coal	SAC	5/3/1996	Rates Unreasonable
37809	McCarty Farms v. BN	Grain	SAC	8/20/1997	Rates Reasonable
41185	APS v. ATSF	Coal	SAC	4/17/1998	Rates Unreasonable
41989	Pepco v. CSX	Coal	SAC	6/18/1998	Settlement
12012	Sierra Pacific v. UP	Coal	SAC	7/17/1998	Settlement
1670	Shell Chemical v. NS	Chemical	Simplified	3/12/1999	Settlement
1295	PPL v. Conrail	Coal	SAC	5/13/1999	Settlement
2034		Coal	SAC	5/13/1999	Settlement
2034	PSI Energy v. Soo FMC v. UP	Minerals	SAC	5/12/2000	Rates Unreasonable
					Settlement
2038	MN Power v. DMIR	Coal	Stipulated R/VC	1/5/2001	
2051	WPL v. UP	Coal	SAC	5/14/2002	Rates Unreasonable
2054	PPL v. BNSF	Coal	SAC	8/20/2002	Rates Reasonable
2059	Northern States v. UP	Coal	Stipulated R/VC	8/7/2003	Settlement
2077	APS v. BNSF	Coal	SAC	12/31/2003	Withdrawn
2056	TMPA v. BNSF	Coal	SAC	9/27/2004	Rates Unreasonable
2069	Duke v. NS	Coal	SAC	10/20/2004	Rates Reasonable
2070	Duke v. CSXT	Coal	SAC	10/20/2004	Rates Reasonable
2072	Carolina Power v. NS	Coal	SAC	10/20/2004	Rates Reasonable
2057	Xcel v. BNSF	Coal	SAC	12/14/2004	Rates Unreasonable
2058	AEPCO v. BNSF	Coal	SAC	3/15/2005	Rates Reasonable
2093	BP Amoco v. NS	Chemical	Simplified	6/28/2005	Settlement
2071	Otter Tail v.BNSF	Coal	SAC	1/27/2006	Rates Reasonable
2091	APS v. BNSF	Coal	SAC	2/10/2006	Settlement
2097	Albemarle v. LNW	Chemical	SAC	11/14/2006	Settlement
2098	Williams Olefins v. GTC	Chemical	Simplified	2/15/2007	Settlement
2095	KCPL v. UP	Coal	Stipulated R/VC	5/19/2008	Rates Unreasonable
2088	Western Fuels v. BNSF	Coal	SAC	2/18/2009	Rates Unreasonable
2112	E.I. Dupont v. CSX	Chemical	SAC	5/11/2009	Settlement
1191 (S1)	AEP Texas v. BNSF	Coal	SAC	5/15/2009	Rates Reasonable
2111	Oklahoma Gas v. UP	Coal	Stipulated R/VC	7/24/2009	Rates Unreasonable
2099	DuPont v. CSXT	Chemical	Three-Benchmark	9/1/2009	Settlement
2100	DuPont v. CSXT	Chemical	Three-Benchmark	9/1/2009	Settlement
2101	DuPont v. CSXT	Chemical	Three-Benchmark	9/1/2009	Settlement
2114	U.S. Magnesium v. UP	Chemical	Three-Benchmark	1/28/2010	Rates Unreasonable
2115	U.S. Magnesium v. UP	Chemical	Simplified SAC	4/2/2010	Settlement
2116	U.S. Magnesium v. UP	Chemical	Simplified SAC	4/2/2010	Settlement
2122	NRG v. CSXT	Coal	SAC	7/8/2010	Settlement
2110	Seminole Electric v. CSXT	Coal	SAC	9/27/2010	Settlement
2113 (S1)	AEPCO v. UP	Coal	SAC	4/15/2011	Settlement
2128	SMEPA v. NS	Coal	SAC	8/31/2011	Settlement
1191 (S1)	AEP Texas v. BNSF	Coal	SAC-Remand	10/26/2011	Settlement
2113	AEPCO v. BNSF & UP	Coal	SAC	11/22/2011	Rates Unreasonable
2132	Canexus v. BNSF	Chemical	Three-Benchmark	7/20/2012	Settlement
2127	IPA v. UP	Coal	SAC	11/2/2012	Withdrawn
2123	M&G Polymers v. CSXT	Chemicals	SAC	1/7/2013	Settlement
2125	DuPont v. NS	Chemicals	SAC	3/24/2014	Rates Reasonable
2130	SunBelt v. NS	Chemical	SAC	6/20/2014	Rates Reasonable
2136	IPA v. UP	Coal	SAC	10/8/2014	Settlement
2088	Western Fuels v. BNSF	Coal	SAC	6/15/2015	Settlement
2121	TPI v. CSXT	Chemicals	SAC	9/14/2016	Rates Reasonable
2142	Consumers v. CSXT	Coal	SAC & Revenue Adequacy	1/11/2018	Rates Unreasonable

Docket No	Case Name	Commodity	Guidelines Used	Date of Decision	Decision
NA					

Notes to Table:

1. SAC = Stand-Alone Cost Methodology Applied for a Hypothetical Railroad.

2. Simplified = Using a Simplified, Rather than Full-SAC, Methodology for Determining the Reasonableness

of Rates as Set Forth in Coal Rate Guidelines, Nationwide, 1 I.C.C.2d 520 (1985) (Guidelines).

3. Stipulated R/VC = Parties Agreed to Use Revenue to Variable Cost (R/VC) Ratios @ 180% Level, in Lieu of Using SAC.

4. Three-Benchmark Methodology = Methodology of Seeking Relief Pursuant to the Revised Simplified Procedures as Set Forth in Simplified Standards for Rail Rate Cases, STB Ex Parte No.
646 (Sub-No. 1) (STB served Sept. 5, 2007) and any additional Sub-No. decisions.
5. Revenue Adequacy = Revenue Adequacy Constraint, as Described in <u>Guidelines</u>.