

Study of Competition in the Railroad Industry and Analysis of Proposals to Enhance Competition

Mark Meitzen, Kelly Eakin, and Joe Swanson

October 2007

Outline

- Assignment
- □ Project team
- □ Project overview
- □ We want your input

Assignment

- As a follow up to the GAO study of railroads, the Board issued an RFP to
 - Study competition and captivity in the industry
 - Review and analyze of various proposals that might enhance competition
- □ The Christensen Associates team was selected to conduct an independent, rigorous study
 - Study to be completed by November 1, 2008

Christensen Associates

- □ Founded in 1976 located in Madison, WI
- We have been producing high-caliber analysis to help clients solve complex problems in rapidly changing markets
- We employ about 55 economists, engineers, and market research specialists
- We have several affiliates with stellar backgrounds in business, economics, finance, geography, engineering and market research

Expertise in Network Industries

- □ Christensen Associates has institutional knowledge of numerous domestic and international industries including:
 - —Transportation
 - —Postal Service
 - —Energy
 - —Telecommunications
 - —Health care

Project Team

- Christensen Associates has assembled a team of consultants and academics with wide-ranging railroad industry experience
 - —In addition to economic theory and statistics, team members possess solid understanding of railroad industry
 - —Team members have represented various stakeholders including shippers, railroads and government

Project Team

- We have reassembled the team that conducted seminal research in railroad cost and productivity analysis over the last 25 years
 - Drs. Douglas Caves and Laurits Christensen of Christensen Associates
 - Dr. Joseph Swanson of Jos. Swanson & Co.
- □ Their research and methods are used to this day
- □ Their work includes
 - Revenue adequacy (Swanson)
 - RCAF-A (Caves, Christensen)

Project Team

- □ In addition to Caves, Christensen and Swanson, other key personnel include
 - —Dr. Kelly Eakin Christensen Associates
 - —Dr. Mark Meitzen Christensen Associates
 - —Dr. John Hudson Northwestern University
 - —Dr. Wesley Wilson University of Oregon

Project Overview

- Analysis of industry competition, capacity and service quality
- Analysis of policy reforms and likely effects

Analysis of Industry Competition, Capacity and Service Quality

- □ Is recent increase in rates the result of capacity constraints or the exercise of market power?
 - —Current state of competition and assessment of captivity
 - Nationwide and by location, commodity
 - —Current and near-future capacity constraints
 - —Investment incentives
 - —Service quality issues and their causes

Analysis of Policy Reforms and Their Likely Effects

- What are the likely economic effects of proposed policy reforms?
 - —Reciprocal switching, trackage rights, bottleneck rates, paper barriers, etc.
 - —Repeal antitrust exemptions
 - —Use of arbitration in STB proceedings

Project Phases

- □ Phase 1 Qualitative Research
 - Now through January
- □ Phase 2 Quantitative Analysis
 - January through Summer
- □ Phase 3 Reporting
 - August through October

Final Report November 1, 2008

Qualitative Research We Want Your Input!

- Before conducting any analysis, we want to make sure we are investigating the appropriate issues
- □ To accomplish this we will obtain input from various industry stakeholders, including
 - Railroads
 - Shippers
 - Industry analysts
 - Policy makers and regulators
 - Other interested parties

- We are designing a plan to select representative stakeholders to interview
- □ However, we want to hear from any stakeholders who want to express their views
 - —We want to ensure the process is open to all

- We have designed a system for stakeholders to come to us with their input
- We have a website and electronic forum for registered parties to express their opinions

www.LRCA.com/railroadstudy

Website

- —Allows project team to provide information to stakeholders
- —Allows stakeholders to provide limited information to the project team (for example contact information, requests for access to forum, etc.)
- —Hosted on a Christensen server

□ Electronic Forum

- —Stakeholders have access to project team to provide information
- —Forum is arranged around topics
- —We anticipate forum discussions will produce additional topics
- —Issues discussed in the forum to be considered in formulating a final research plan

Remember - go to

www.LRCA.com/railroadstudy

and let us know what you think