

Jill K. Mulligan Associate General Counsel BNSF Railway Company P.O. Box 961039 Fort Worth, TX 76161-0039 2500 Lou Menk Drive Fort Worth, TX 76131-2828 817-352-2353 Direct 817-352-2399 Fax Jill.mulligan@bnsf.com

February 18, 2015

Ms. Cynthia Brown Chief, Section of Administration Office of Proceedings Surface Transportation Board 395 E Street, SW Washington, DC 20423-0001 237760

ENTERED
Office of Proceedings
February 18, 2015
Part of
Public Record

Re: STB Ex Parte No. 724 (Sub-No. 3), United States Rail Service Issues—Data

Collection

Dear Ms. Brown:

Enclosed for electronic filing in the above captioned proceeding is the Weekly Report of BNSF in response to the Board's Order of October 8, 2014. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Jill K. Mulligan

# BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

### UNITED STATES RAIL SERVICE ISSUES—DATA COLLECTION

### WEEKLY REPORT OF BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY

BNSF Railway Company ("BNSF") provides the following weekly report in response to the Board's Order of October 8, 2014 in the above referenced sub-docket. The Board's Order contained requests for reporting that can be grouped into three separate categories: (i) weekly railroad-specific reporting on performance metrics for that railroad's network; (ii) a weekly overview of the operating conditions in the Chicago gateway including specific metrics regarding Chicago terminal inventories and trains held for delivery to Chicago; and (iii) a report summarizing the current Chicago Transit Coordination Office (CTCO) service contingency protocols, including Alert Levels, with notice of future changes. Covered parties are required to submit weekly reports of data responsive to the Board's requests, which are described as temporary.

Included with this pleading is an electronic spreadsheet containing BNSF's weekly submission of data responsive to the first category of data requests in the Order, which cover BNSF-specific network performance measures. A hardcopy of the spreadsheet is also included herein as Attachment A. Information responsive to the second and third categories of requests is being submitted on behalf of BNSF and the other Class I railroads through the AAR in a separate filing that will also be updated according to the schedule contained in the Board's Order.

BNSF will continue to update the enclosed spreadsheet on a weekly basis, and will continue to review available data sets and definitions as we gain more familiarity with the data sources relied on for this report. We repeat our earlier caution against drawing firm conclusions based on the absolute values reported in BNSF's report or across the various railroads that are also submitting data. BNSF will also continue to engage frequently and substantively with our customers through direct conversations, and through broader communications and letters, customer forums, meetings and broadcasts to provide real-time information around our service challenges, our short-term and long-term plans to increase network velocity, and our progress against those plans, and to ensure we hear their perspectives and feedback.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard E. Weicher

Jill K. Mulligan

BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY

2500 Lou Menk Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76131

February 18, 2015

Railroad: BNSF	Year: 2015	Reporting Week:	Date Week Began:	2/8/2015
			Date Week Ended:	2/14/2015
		1		

#### System-Average Train Speed by Train Type for the Reporting Week (MPH)

Reporting week (IVII II)		
Intermodal	34.2	
Grain unit	22.5	
Coal unit	18.4	
Automotive unit	26.3	
Crude oil unit	23.0	
Ethanol unit	21.3	
Manifest	21.1	
All Other	19.9	

### Weekly Average Terminal Dwell Time Measured in Hours Excluding Cars on Run Through Trains

System Average	26.7

### Weekly Average Terminal Dwell Time Measured in Hours for 10 Largest Terminals In Terms Of Railcar Canacity

Capacity		
Barstow, CA	38.0	
Denver, CO	30.9	
Fort Worth, TX	25.5	
Galesburg, IL	32.4	
Kansas City, KS	32.2	
Lincoln, NE	35.0	
Memphis, TN	18.9	
Northtown, MN	33.4	
Pasco, WA	33.9	
Tulsa, OK	25.1	
Lincoln, NE  Memphis, TN  Northtown, MN  Pasco, WA		

# 3. Total Cars On Line by Car Type for the Reporting Week

11,354
69,423
8,693
15,520
6,192
67,632
53,128
10,661
242,603

 Weekly Average Dwell Time at Origin for Unit Train Shipments Measured in Hours

### ATTACHMENT A

Grain	8.0
Coal	3.3
Automotive	22.0
Crude Oil	3.8
Ethanol	13.3
All Other Unit Trains	7.1

### 5. Weekly Total Number of Trains Held Short of Destination or Scheduled Interchange for Longer than 6 Hours by Train Type and Cause

Train Type	Cause						
	Crew Loc	Locomotive power	Locomotive power Track maintenance	Mechanical Issue	Other		Total
	23.7.4.00				Number	Briefly Explain Cause	
Intermodal	9	0	22	0	22	Road, Terminal, Other	53
Grain unit	11	0	13	2	60	Road, Terminal, Other	86
Coal unit	24	3	13	3	223	Road, Terminal, Other	266
Automotive unit	5	0	3	0	10	Road, Terminal, Other	18
Crude oil unit	3	0	1	1	49	Road, Terminal, Other	54
Ethanol unit	7	0	2	0	2	Road, Terminal, Other	11
Other unit	3	3	17	0	48	Road, Terminal, Other	71
All other trains	23	0	35	4	159	Road, Terminal, Other	221
Total	85	6	106	10	573	Road, Terminal, Other	780

	Greater Than 12	Greater Than 120 Hours		Greater Than 48 but Less than or Equal to 120 Hours	
	Loaded	Empty	Loaded	Empty	
Intermodal	189	848	743	2,454	
Grain	241	963	1,860	2,442	
Coal	76	1,046	629	912	
Crude Oil	2	41	62	413	
Ethanol	55	62	582	831	
Automotive	89	59	1,329	1,097	
All Other	837	1,781	11,912	14,013	

2/8/2015 2/14/2015

Railroad: BNSF	Year: 2015	Reporting Week:	Date Week Began:	
			Date Week Ended:	
7. Weekiy total grain				
cars loaded and billed,				
reported by State,				
aggregated for the				
following Standard				
Transportation				
Commodity Codes				
(STCCs): 01131 (barley),				
01122 (apre) 01122 (apre)				

State	Total Grain Cars Loaded and Billed For All Ordering Systems	Total Grain Cars Loaded and Billed For Shuttle / Dedicated Train Service Ordering Systems	Total Grain Cars Loaded and Billed For Ordering Systems Other Than Shuttle / Dedicated Train Service
AL	0		
AR	0		
AZ	0		
CA	18		18
со	42		42
CT	0		
DE	0		
FL	0		
GA	0		
IA	905	652	253
ID	5		5
IL	560	557	3
IN	0		
KS	854	666	188
KY	0		
LA	0		
MA	0		
MD	0		
ME	0		
MI	0		
MN	1,454	1,330	124
МО	144	112	32
MS	0		
MT	1,115	552	563
NC	0		
ND	2,750	1,665	1,085
NE	999	841	158
NH	0		
NJ	0		
NM	0		
NV	0		
NY	0		
ОН	0		
OK	0		
OR	9		9
PA	0		

RI	0		
SC	0		
SD	2,019	1,771	248
TN	0		
TX	381	217	164
UT	0		
VA	0		
VT	0		
WA	234	112	122
WI	131	110	21
wv	0		
WY	42		42
Total	11,662	8,585	3,077

Railroad: BNSF	Year: 2015	Reporting Week:	Date Week Began:	2/8/2015
			Date Week Ended:	2/14/2015

STCCs in item 7, report by State the following: a.

State	a. Running Total Number of Outstanding Car Orders	b. Average Number of Days Late For All Outstanding Grain Car Orders	c. Number of New Car Orders	d. Number of Car Orders Filled	e.1. Number of Orders Canceled By Shipper	e.2. Number of Orders Canceled Railroad
AL						
AR						
AZ						
CA	10	16.0		18		
СО	26	9.4		33	2	
СТ						
DE						
FL						
GA						
IA	209	17.5	18	41		
ID						
IL						
IN						
KS	377	20.5	34	221		
KY						
LA						
MA						
MD						
ME						
MI						
MN	150	17.9	12	131		
MO	33	6.5	1.0	39		
MS	33	0.5		33	1	
MT	690	16.2	31	507	137	
NC	656	10.2	31	307	137	
ND	1,482	16.7	157	900	116	
NE	240	9.4	64	117	110	
NH	240	5.4	04	117		
NJ						
NM						
NV						
NY						
OH				-		
ОК		160		5		
OR	5	16.0		5		
PA						
RI						
SC						
SD	538	17.5	49	233		
TN	3	20.0		7		
TX	196	9,9		138		
UT						
VA						

VT	WA	WI	WV	WY	TOTAL
	191	63		82	4.295
	10.6	36.2		8.9	16.2
	26	1		15	407
	213	51		42	2.701
	08				335
					C
_		_			

Railroad: BNSF	Year: 2015	Reporting Week:	Date Week Began:	2/8/2015
		* 25	Date Week Ended:	2/14/2015

	The second secon			
Feb Plan	2/14/2015	2/7/2015	1/31/2015	1/24/2015
2.5	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.8
2.1	2.6	2.3	3.0	2.5
2.8	3.3	2.9	3.3	3.6
1.3	1.8	1.8	2.0	2.0
2.5	2.6	2.4	2.6	2.6
3.1	4.5	3.2	3.4	2.9
	2.5 2.1 2.8 1.3 2.5	2.5     2.6       2.1     2.6       2.8     3.3       1.3     1.8       2.5     2.6	2.5     2.6     2.5       2.1     2.6     2.3       2.8     3.3     2.9       1.3     1.8     1.8       2.5     2.6     2.4	2.5     2.6     2.5     2.6       2.1     2.6     2.3     3.0       2.8     3.3     2.9     3.3       1.3     1.8     1.8     2.0       2.5     2.6     2.4     2.6

asi manage bany con	Unit Train Loadings vs. Plan for Production Region	The reporting is a key c
Region	Loadings Plan	Loadings Ar grage
Powder River Basin	50.0	51.1
Illinois Basin		
Uinta Basin		
Northern Appalachia		
Central Appalachia		
Southern Appalachia		
Other	4.0	2,4