

Jill K. Mulligan Associate General Counsel
 BNSF Railway Company

 P.O. Box 961039

 Fort Worth, TX 76161-0039

 2500 Lou Menk Drive

 Fort Worth, TX 76131-2828

 817-352-2353 Direct

 817-352-2399 Fax

 Jill.mulligan@bnsf.com

September 9, 2015

239177

Ms. Cynthia Brown Chief, Section of Administration Office of Proceedings Surface Transportation Board 395 E Street, SW Washington, DC 20423-0001 ENTERED Office of Proceedings September 9, 2015 Part of Public Record

Re: STB Ex Parte No. 724 (Sub-No. 3), United States Rail Service Issues—Data Collection

Dear Ms. Brown:

Enclosed for electronic filing in the above captioned proceeding is the Weekly Report of BNSF in response to the Board's Order of October 8, 2014. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

ll K. Mulligan

BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

STB EX PARTE NO. 724 (Sub-No. 3)

UNITED STATES RAIL SERVICE ISSUES—DATA COLLECTION

WEEKLY REPORT OF BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY

BNSF Railway Company ("BNSF") provides the following weekly report in response to the Board's Order of October 8, 2014 in the above referenced sub-docket. The Board's Order contained requests for reporting that can be grouped into three separate categories: (i) weekly railroad-specific reporting on performance metrics for that railroad's network; (ii) a weekly overview of the operating conditions in the Chicago gateway including specific metrics regarding Chicago terminal inventories and trains held for delivery to Chicago; and (iii) a report summarizing the current Chicago Transit Coordination Office (CTCO) service contingency protocols, including Alert Levels, with notice of future changes. Covered parties are required to submit weekly reports of data responsive to the Board's requests, which are described as temporary.

Included with this pleading is an electronic spreadsheet containing BNSF's weekly submission of data responsive to the first category of data requests in the Order, which cover BNSF-specific network performance measures. A hardcopy of the spreadsheet is also included herein as Attachment A. Information responsive to the second and third categories of requests is being submitted on behalf of BNSF and the other Class I railroads through the AAR in a separate filing that will also be updated according to the schedule contained in the Board's Order.

BNSF will continue to update the enclosed spreadsheet on a weekly basis, and will continue to review available data sets and definitions as we gain more familiarity with the data sources relied on for this report. We repeat our earlier caution against drawing firm conclusions based on the absolute values reported in BNSF's report or across the various railroads that are also submitting data. BNSF will also continue to engage frequently and substantively with our customers through direct conversations, and through broader communications and letters, customer forums, meetings and broadcasts to provide real-time information around our service challenges, our short-term and long-term plans to increase network velocity, and our progress against those plans, and to ensure we hear their perspectives and feedback.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard E. Weicher Jill K. Mulligan BNSF RAIDWAY COMPANY 2500 Lou Menk Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76131

September 9, 2015

Railroad: BNSF	Year: 2015	Reporting Week:	Date Week Began:	8/30/2015
Kalifuau, bissr	fear. 2015	Reporting week.	Date Week Ended:	9/5/2015
	Speed by Train Type for the Week (MPH)			
Intermodal	32.2			
Grain unit	22.0			
Coal unit	20.1			
Automotive unit	25.3			
Crude oil unit	23.4			
Ethanol unit	20.9			
Manifest	21.1			
All Other	19.4			
Hours Excluding Car	inal Dwell Time Measured in s on Run Through Trains 26.2			
Hours Excluding Cars	s on Run Through Trains			
Hours Excluding Car System Average 2. Weekly Average Termi Hours for 10 Largest Te Ca	s on Run Through Trains 26.2 inal Dwell Time Measured in rminals In Terms Of Railcar pacity			
Hours Excluding Carr System Average 2. Weekly Average Termi Hours for 10 Largest Te Ca Barstow, CA	s on Run Through Trains 26.2 Inal Dwell Time Measured in rminals In Terms Of Railcar pacity 42.8			
Hours Excluding Car System Average 2. Weekly Average Termi Hours for 10 Largest Te Ca Barstow, CA Denver, CO	s on Run Through Trains 26.2 Inal Dwell Time Measured in rminals In Terms Of Railcar pacity 42.8 30.0			
Hours Excluding Car System Average 2. Weekly Average Termi Hours for 10 Largest Te Ca Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX	s on Run Through Trains 26.2 Inal Dwell Time Measured in rminals In Terms Of Railcar pacity 42.8 30.0 25.3			
Hours Excluding Car System Average 2. Weekly Average Termi Hours for 10 Largest Te Ca Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL	s on Run Through Trains 26.2 inal Dwell Time Measured in rminals In Terms Of Railcar pacity 42.8 30.0 25.3 40.2			
Hours Excluding Car System Average 2. Weekly Average Termi Hours for 10 Largest Te Ca Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS	s on Run Through Trains 26.2 inal Dwell Time Measured in rminals In Terms Of Railcar pacity 42.8 30.0 25.3 40.2 34.0			
Hours Excluding Car System Average 2. Weekly Average Termi Hours for 10 Largest Te Ca Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE	s on Run Through Trains 26.2 Inal Dwell Time Measured in rminals In Terms Of Railcar pacity 42.8 30.0 25.3 40.2 34.0 34.0 27.0			
Hours Excluding Car System Average 2. Weekly Average Termi Hours for 10 Largest Te Ca Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN	s on Run Through Trains 26.2 inal Dwell Time Measured in rminals In Terms Of Railcar pacity 42.8 30.0 25.3 40.2 34.0 27.0 15.7			
Hours Excluding Car System Average 2. Weekly Average Termin Hours for 10 Largest Te Ca Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN	s on Run Through Trains 26.2 inal Dwell Time Measured in rminals In Terms Of Railcar pacity 42.8 30.0 25.3 40.2 34.0 27.0 15.7 33.1			
Hours Excluding Car System Average 2. Weekly Average Termi Hours for 10 Largest Te Ca Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN	s on Run Through Trains 26.2 inal Dwell Time Measured in rminals In Terms Of Railcar pacity 42.8 30.0 25.3 40.2 34.0 27.0 15.7			

3. Total Cars On Line by Car Type for the Reporting Week				
Box	11,553			
Covered hopper	69,373			
Gondola	8,734			
Intermodal	16,706			
Multilevel (automotive)	6,572			
Open hopper	63,940			
Tank	54,284			
Other	10,605			
Total	241,767			

	ge Dwell Time at Origin for Unit Train nents Measured in Hours
Grain	6.8
Coal	2.9

Automotive	25.5
Crude Oil	3.8
Ethanol	12.6
All Other Unit Trains	9.1

					Cause			
Train Type	<u> </u>	1	Trade and a barrage	Mashaaladlaa	Other			
	Crew	Crew Locomotive power Trac	Track maintenance	Track maintenance Mechanical Issue	Number	Briefly Explain Cause	Total	
ntermodal	6	0	10	0	92	Road, Terminal, Other	108	
Grain unit	6	0	10	4	102	Road, Terminal, Other	122	
ioal unit	30	4	4	0	310	Road, Terminal, Other	348	
utomotive unit	10	2	8	0	28	Road, Terminal, Other	48	
rude oil unit	2	0	6	0	54	Road, Terminal, Other	62	
thanol unit	2	0	2	2	8	Road, Terminal, Other	14	
Other unit	6	0	12	0	126	Road, Terminal, Other	144	
All other trains	28	6	24	0	368	Road, Terminal, Other	426	
fotal	90	12	76	6	1,088	Road, Terminal, Other	1,272	

	Greater Than 12	0 Hours	Greater Than 48 bu or Equal to 120	
	Loaded	Empty	Loaded	Empty
Intermodal	87	431	718	2,605
Grain	155	679	1,489	3,072
Coal	96	596	846	956
Crude Oil	8	134	51	158
Ethanol	25	71	560	913
Automotive	65	64	1,181	901
All Other	809	1,394	12,018	15,145

No. 2015	Peresties Wester	Date Week Began:	8/30/2015
fear: 2015	Reporting week:	Date Week Ended:	9/5/2015
	Year: 2015	Year: 2015 Reporting Week:	Year: 2015 Reporting Week: Date week Began:

7. Weekly total grain cars loaded and billed, reported by State, aggregated for the following Standard Transportation Commodity Codes (STCCs): 01131 (barley), 01132 (corn), 01133 (oats), 01135 (rye), 01136 (sorghum grains), 01137 (wheat), 01139 (grain, not elsewhere classified), 01144 (soybeans), 01341 (beans, dry), 01342 (peas, dry), and 01343 (cowpeas, lentils, or lupines). "Total grain cars loaded and billed" includes cars in shuttle service; dedicated train service; reservation, lottery, open and other ordering systems; and, private cars. Additionally, please separately report the total cars loaded and billed in shuttle service (or dedicated train service) versus total cars loaded and billed in all other ordering systems, including private cars.

Instruction: Please enter "0" if no data is being reported for a field.

State	Total Grain Cars Loaded and Billed For All Ordering Systems	Total Grain Cars Loaded and Billed For Shuttle / Dedicated Train Service Ordering Systems	Total Grain Cars Loaded and Billed For Ordering Systems Other Than Shuttle / Dedicated Train Service
AL	0		
AR	0		
AZ	0		
CA	0		
со	318	226	92
СТ	0	where the second state of the terminal state of the second	
DE	0		
FL	0		
GA	0		
IA	517	222	295
ID	4		4
IL SALE	785	785	
IN	0		
KS	309	110	199
KY	0		
LA	0		
MA	0		
MD			
ME	0		
MI	0	and the second	and the second
MN	1,153	1,005	148
MO	222	220	2
MS	0		
MT	718	339	379
NC	0		
ND	3,134	1,673	1,461
NE	672	448	224
NH	0		
NJ	0		
NM	1		1
NV	0		
NY	0		CONCERNMENT OF CONCERNMENT OF CONCERNMENT
ОН	0		
OK	0		
OR	9		9
PA	0		

RI	0		
SC	0		
SD	1,278	777	501
TN	0		
TX	162	112	50
UT	0		
VA	0		
VT	0	the state of the second se	
WA	215	113	102
WI	24		24
wv	0		
WY	56		56
Total	9,577	6,030	3,547

Delland, DNCC	N 2015	Benerative Weeks	Date Week Began:	8/30/2015
Railroad: BNSF	ailroad: BNSF Year: 2015	Reporting Week:	Date Week Ended:	9/5/2015

8. For the aggregated STCCs in item 7, report by State the following: a. running total number of outstanding car orders (a car order equals one car); b. average number of days late for all outstanding car orders; c. total number of new car orders received during the past week; d. total number of car orders filled during the past week; and e. number of orders cancelled, respectively, by shipper and railroad during the past week.

State	a. Running Total Number of Outstanding Car Orders	b. Average Number of Days Late For All Outstanding Grain Car Orders	c. Number of New Car Orders	d. Number of Car Orders Filled	e.1. Number of Orders Canceled By Shipper	e.2. Number of Orders Canceled By Railroad
AL						
AR				6	TOWNSROOM AND REPORT OF STREET	
AZ			and the second second second			
CA			1	3		
co				136		
СТ						
DE						
FL	and the second second second	a second and a second part of the	THE REPORT OF AN AREA	A set of the second	and the first of the second second second	Water Classification of Human Co.
GA						
IA		A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY.	Contraction of the second	19		
ID						
IL	The second second second second			6		
IN						
KS	5	4.0	AT SURVEY AND A SURVEY AND	59	and the second second second second	
KY						
LA	The second s	a second the second second beauties of	The state of the state of the second	25	A MARTIN AND A DEPARTMENT OF	
MA						
MD			The second s	STREET SQLAGE CONTRACTOR	Children and the second second	
ME						
MI						
MN	8	2.0	and the second sec	266		
MO	ter state for the state of the state			3		and the second
MS						
MT	7	3.0	1	465		
NC						
ND	46	4.1	39	1,424	2	
NE				110		
NH	Call State State State Provide State					
NJ						
NM				1	The second s	
NV						
NY	and the second second second second second					
ОН						
OK			7	14	A STATISTICS AND A STATISTICS	Contraction of the second
OR				1		
PA				Construction of the second second		
RI						
SC				Construction of the second second second		
SD	54	3.8		342		
TN		5.0	Contract of the state of the state			
TX	2	2.0	5	38	1	
UT	2	2.0	5	50		
VA						

VT						
WA	3	3.0	In the second	75		
WI	and the second second			20		
wv						
WY	1	5.0	3	20		
TOTAL	126	3.7	56	3,033	3	

Railroad:	RNISE
Railroad:	DINOF

Year: 2015

Reporting Week:

Date Week Began: 8/30/2015 Date Week Ended: 9/5/2015

Region (Please Specify Destination Region)	Trip Plan	Trip Performance			
	September Plan	9/5/2015	8/29/2015	8/22/2015	8/15/2015
System	2.5	2.3	2.7	2.8	2.3
CA	2.4	2.8	2.9	2.6	2.4
Gulf	3.0	4.0	2.6	3.2	3.4
Mexico	1.4	0.8	1.6	1.6	1.0
PNW	2.6	2.9	3.1	3.3	2.6
West TX	3.4	3.0	4.0	3.5	3.3
				-	

10. Average Daily Coal Unit Train Loadings vs. Plan for the Reporting Week By Coal Production Region					
Region	Loadings Plan	Loadings Average			
Powder River Basin	47.0	50.3			
Illinois Basin					
Uinta Basin					
Northern Appalachia					
Central Appalachia					
Southern Appalachia					
Other	3.0	3.4			