

Jill K. Mulligan Associate General Counsel

239624

ENTERED Office of Proceedings November 25, 2015 Part of Public Record

November 25, 2015

Ms. Cynthia Brown Chief, Section of Administration Office of Proceedings Surface Transportation Board 395 E Street, SW Washington, DC 20423-0001

Re: STB Ex Parte No. 724 (Sub-No. 3), United States Rail Service Issues—Data Collection

Dear Ms. Brown:

Enclosed for electronic filing in the above captioned proceeding is the Weekly Report of BNSF in response to the Board's Order of October 8, 2014. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Jill Mulligan / ET

Jill K. Mulligan

BNSF Railway Company P.O. Box 961039 Fort Worth, TX 76161-0039 2500 Lou Menk Drive Fort Worth, TX 76131-2828 817-352-2353 Direct 817-352-2399 Fax Jill.mulligan@bnsf.com

BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

STB EX PARTE NO. 724 (Sub-No. 3)

UNITED STATES RAIL SERVICE ISSUES—DATA COLLECTION

WEEKLY REPORT OF BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY

BNSF Railway Company ("BNSF") provides the following weekly report in response to the Board's Order of October 8, 2014 in the above referenced sub-docket. The Board's Order contained requests for reporting that can be grouped into three separate categories: (i) weekly railroad-specific reporting on performance metrics for that railroad's network; (ii) a weekly overview of the operating conditions in the Chicago gateway including specific metrics regarding Chicago terminal inventories and trains held for delivery to Chicago; and (iii) a report summarizing the current Chicago Transit Coordination Office (CTCO) service contingency protocols, including Alert Levels, with notice of future changes. Covered parties are required to submit weekly reports of data responsive to the Board's requests, which are described as temporary.

Included with this pleading is an electronic spreadsheet containing BNSF's weekly submission of data responsive to the first category of data requests in the Order, which cover BNSF-specific network performance measures. A hardcopy of the spreadsheet is also included herein as Attachment A. Information responsive to the second and third categories of requests is being submitted on behalf of BNSF and the other Class I railroads through the AAR in a separate filing that will also be updated according to the schedule contained in the Board's Order. BNSF will continue to update the enclosed spreadsheet on a weekly basis, and will continue to review available data sets and definitions as we gain more familiarity with the data sources relied on for this report. We repeat our earlier caution against drawing firm conclusions based on the absolute values reported in BNSF's report or across the various railroads that are also submitting data. BNSF will also continue to engage frequently and substantively with our customers through direct conversations, and through broader communications and letters, customer forums, meetings and broadcasts to provide real-time information around our service challenges, our short-term and long-term plans to increase network velocity, and our progress against those plans, and to ensure we hear their perspectives and feedback.

Respectfully submitted,

lligan/ET

Richard E. Weicher Jill K. Mulligan BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY 2500 Lou Menk Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76131

November 25, 2015

Railroad: BNSF	Year: 2015	Reporting Week: 47	Date Week Began:	11/15/2015
Kallfoad: DNSF	fear: 2015	Reporting week: 47	Date Week Ended:	11/21/2015
	Speed by Train Type for the Week (MPH)			
Intermodal	35.3			
Grain unit	23.5			
Coal unit	22.9			
Automotive unit	26.9			
Crude oil unit	24.5			
Ethanol unit	23.5			
Manifest	22.6			
All Other	21.3			
	nal Dwell Time Measured In on Run Through Trains 25.5			
Hours Excluding Cars System Average 2. Weekly Average Termin Hours for 10 Largest Ter	on Run Through Trains 25.5 nal Dwell Time Measured In minals In Terms Of Railcar			
Hours Excluding Cars System Average 2. Weekly Average Termin Hours for 10 Largest Ter	on Run Through Trains 25.5 nai Dwell Time Measured in			
Hours Excluding Cars System Average 2. Weekly Average Termin Hours for 10 Largest Ter Cag Barstow, CA	on Run Through Trains 25.5 nal Dwell Time Measured In minals In Terms Of Railcar pacity 41.2			
Hours Excluding Cars System Average 2. Weekly Average Termin Hours for 10 Largest Ter Cag Barstow, CA	on Run Through Trains 25.5 nal Dwell Time Measured in minals In Terms Of Railcar bacity 41.2 31.9			
Hours Excluding Cars System Average 2. Weekly Average Termin Hours for 10 Largest Ter Cag	on Run Through Trains 25.5 nal Dwell Time Measured In minals In Terms Of Railcar pacity 41.2			
Hours Excluding Cars System Average 2. Weekly Average Termin Hours for 10 Largest Ter Cap Barstow, CA Denver, CO	on Run Through Trains 25.5 nal Dwell Time Measured in minals In Terms Of Railcar bacity 41.2 31.9			
Hours Excluding Cars System Average 2. Weekly Average Termin Hours for 10 Largest Ter Cap Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX	on Run Through Trains 25.5 nal Dwell Time Measured in minals In Terms Of Railcar bacity 41.2 31.9 25.6			
Hours Excluding Cars System Average 2. Weekly Average Termin Hours for 10 Largest Ter Cag Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL	on Run Through Trains 25.5 nal Dwell Time Measured in minals In Terms Of Railcar pacity 41.2 31.9 25.6 33.2			
Hours Excluding Cars System Average 2. Weekly Average Termin Hours for 10 Largest Ter Cap Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS	on Run Through Trains 25.5 nal Dwell Time Measured In minals In Terms Of Railcar acity 41.2 31.9 25.6 33.2 33.5			
Hours Excluding Cars System Average 2. Weekly Average Termin Hours for 10 Largest Ter Cap Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE	on Run Through Trains 25.5 nal Dwell Time Measured In minals In Terms Of Railcar pacity 41.2 31.9 25.6 33.2 33.5 27.0			
Hours Excluding Cars System Average 2. Weekly Average Termin Hours for 10 Largest Ter Cap Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN	on Run Through Trains 25.5 nal Dwell Time Measured in minals In Terms Of Railcar pacity 41.2 31.9 25.6 33.2 33.5 27.0 13.9			

3. Total Cars On Line by Car Type for the Reporting Week		
Box	11,345	
Covered hopper	67,731	
Gondola	8,531	
Intermodal	16,450	
Multilevel (automotive)	6,377	
Open hopper	61,357	
Tank	50,873	
Other	10,451	
Total	233,115	

10000	e Dwell Time at Origin for Unit Train nts Measured in Hours
Grain	5.5

Automotive	26.2
Crude Oil	2.7
Ethanol	9.4
All Other Unit Trains	5.0

					Cause		
Train Type		Locomotive power Track maint	Track maintenance	Mechanical Issue		Other	Total
			Track maintenance	mechanical issue	Number	Briefly Explain Cause	
ntermodal	11	0	7	4	37	Road, Terminal, Other	59
Grain unit	4	0	19	0	49	Road, Terminal, Other	72
Coal unit	8	0	5	3	132	Road, Terminal, Other	148
Automotive unit	7	0	4	0	4	Road, Terminal, Other	15
Crude oil unit	3	2	2	3	21	Road, Terminal, Other	31
thanol unit	0	0	0	2	6	Road, Terminal, Other	8
Other unit	6	3	12	0	27	Road, Terminal, Other	48
All other trains	22	0	26	0	80	Road, Terminal, Other	128
Total	61	5	75	12	356	Road, Terminal, Other	509

6. Weekly Total Number of Loaded and Empty Cars in Revenue Service That Have Not Moved In:						
	Greater Than 12	0 Hours	Greater Than 48 bu or Equal to 120	C. C		
	Loaded	Empty	Loaded	Empty		
Intermodal	58	688	611	2,418		
Grain	170	864	1,427	2,299		
Coal	20	941	491	1,527		
Crude Oil	3	40	41	161		
Ethanol	10	23	594	745		
Automotive	16	99	1,245	781		
All Other	590	1,205	10,783	13,428		

Railroad: BNSF	Year: 2015	Penerting Week: 47	Date Week Began:	11/15/2015
	fear: 2015	Reporting week: 47	Date Week Ended:	11/21/2015

7. Weekly total grain cars loaded and billed, reported by State, aggregated for the following Standard Transportation Commodity Codes (STCCs): 01131 (barley), 01132 (corn), 01133 (oats), 01135 (rye), 01136 (sorghum grains), 01137 (wheat), 01139 (grain, not elsewhere classified), 01144 (soybeans), 01341 (beans, dry), 01342 (peas, dry), and 01343 (cowpeas, lentils, or lupines). "Total grain cars loaded and billed" includes cars in shuttle service; dedicated train service; reservation, lottery, open and other ordering systems; and, private cars. Additionally, please separately report the total cars loaded and billed in shuttle service (or dedicated train service) versus total cars loaded and billed in all other ordering systems, including private cars.

Instruction: Please enter "0" if no data is being reported for a field.

State	Total Grain Cars Loaded and Billed For All Ordering Systems	Il Grain Cars Loaded and Billed For All Ordering Systems Train Service Ordering Systems		
AL	0			
AR	7		7	
AZ	0			
CA	30	A REAL PROPERTY OF A REAL PROPER	30	
со	321	112	209	
а	0	the second s	When an a state of the second day of the second	
DE	0			
FL	0			
GA	0			
IA	1,012	667	345	
ID	9		9	
IL	230	225	5	
IN	0			
KS	1,347	1,120	227	
KY	0			
LA	0		and the second se	
MA	0			
MD	3		3	
ME	0			
MI	0			
MN	1,282	1,114	168	
MO	125	113	12	
MS	0			
MT	911	553	358	
NC	0			
ND	2,666	1,547	1,119	
NE	1,250	1,005	245	
NH	0			
NJ	0			
NM	0			
NV	0			
NY	0			
OH	0			
ОК	0			
OR	5		5	
PA	0	and the second		

RI	0		
SC	0		
SD	1,171	1,123	48
TN	0	the second s	the second s
TX	348	329	19
UT	0		
VA	0		
VT	0		
WA	258	113	145
WI	160	105	55
wv	0		
WY	29		29
Total	11,164	8,126	3,038

Railroad: BNSF	N	Describer Missler 47	Date Week Began:	11/15/2015
Kaliroad: BNSF	Year: 2015	Reporting Week: 47	Date Week Ended:	11/21/2015

8. For the aggregated STCCs in item 7, report by State the following: a. running total number of outstanding car orders (a car order equals one car); b. average number of days late for all outstanding car orders; c. total number of new car orders received during the past week; d. total number of car orders filled during the past week; and e. number of orders cancelled, respectively, by shipper and railroad during the past week.

State	a. Running Total Number of Outstanding Car Orders	b. Average Number of Days Late For All Outstanding Grain Car Orders	c. Number of New Car Orders	d. Number of Car Orders Filled	e.1. Number of Orders Canceled By Shipper	e.2. Number of Orders Canceled By Railroad
AL						
AR				10		
AZ				2		
CA				5		
CO			14	127		
СТ			internet and a second second second second		I want the second second second second	
DE						
FL			and the second			
GA						
IA	16	1.1	2	105		
ID						
IL.						CAOMER CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER
IN						
KS	3	5.0	6	296		
кү						
LA						
MA						
MD	A CONTRACTOR OF				And And I have been a set of	
ME						
MI	A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. CONTRACT OF A CONTRA					
MN			131	250		
MO	and the second	Read With the second second		16		
MS						
MT	4	1.0	30	214	13	
NC						
ND	50	1.6	158	910	7	
NE	4	7.5	10	124		
NH						
NJ						
NM			and the second second second second	2		AND A REAL PROPERTY OF A
NV						
NY						
он						
ОК			12	1		
OR			1			
PA						
RI						
SC						
SD	4	9.0	9	26		
TN						
тх	1	13.0		21		
UT						The second s

VT		and the second		Internet and the second second		
WA			2	127		
WI			18	27		
wv						
WY		and the second second second	Town of the second second	24		AND A DESCRIPTION
TOTAL	82	2.4	393	2,285	20	

Railroad: BNSF	Year: 2015	Reporting Week: 47	Date Week Began:	11/15/2015	
			Date Week Ended:	11/21/2015	
	or Grain Shuttle (Or Dedicate lated To Reflect The Previous	d Grain Train) Round Trips, By s Four Weeks	7		
Region (Please Specify Destination Region)	Trip Plan	Trip Performance			
	November Plan	11/21/2015	11/14/2015	11/7/2015	10/31/2015
System	2.6	2.8	3.0	3.0	2.9
CA	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.6	2.5
Gulf	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.6
Mexico	1.4	1.9	1.7	1.5	1.3
PNW	2.6	3.0	3.2	3.0	2.9
West TX	3.4	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.5

10. Average Daily Coal Unit Train Loadings vs. Plan for the Reporting Week By Coal Production Region				
Region	Loadings Plan	Loadings Average		
Powder River Basin	46.0	44.3		
Illinois Basin				
Uinta Basin				
Northern Appalachia				
Central Appalachia				
Southern Appalachia				
Other	3.0	3.6		