

Jill K. Mulligan Associate General Counsel BNSF Railway Company P.O. Box 961039 Fort Worth, TX 76161-0039 2500 Lou Menk Drive

Fort Worth, TX 76131-2828 817-352-2353 Direct 817-352-2399 Fax Jill.mulligan@bnsf.com

240143

Ms. Cynthia Brown Chief, Section of Administration Office of Proceedings Surface Transportation Board 395 E Street, SW Washington, DC 20423-0001 ENTERED Office of Proceedings February 17, 2016 Part of Public Record

Re: STB Ex Parte No. 724 (Sub-No. 3), United States Rail Service Issues—Data Collection

Dear Ms. Brown:

February 17, 2016

Enclosed for electronic filing in the above captioned proceeding is the Weekly Report of BNSF in response to the Board's Order of October 8, 2014. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

K. Mull. gar for fill

Jill K. Mulligan

BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

STB EX PARTE NO. 724 (Sub-No. 3)

UNITED STATES RAIL SERVICE ISSUES—DATA COLLECTION

WEEKLY REPORT OF BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY

BNSF Railway Company ("BNSF") provides the following weekly report in response to the Board's Order of October 8, 2014 in the above referenced sub-docket. The Board's Order contained requests for reporting that can be grouped into three separate categories: (i) weekly railroad-specific reporting on performance metrics for that railroad's network; (ii) a weekly overview of the operating conditions in the Chicago gateway including specific metrics regarding Chicago terminal inventories and trains held for delivery to Chicago; and (iii) a report summarizing the current Chicago Transit Coordination Office (CTCO) service contingency protocols, including Alert Levels, with notice of future changes. Covered parties are required to submit weekly reports of data responsive to the Board's requests, which are described as temporary.

Included with this pleading is an electronic spreadsheet containing BNSF's weekly submission of data responsive to the first category of data requests in the Order, which cover BNSF-specific network performance measures. A hardcopy of the spreadsheet is also included herein as Attachment A. Information responsive to the second and third categories of requests is being submitted on behalf of BNSF and the other Class I railroads through the AAR in a separate filing that will also be updated according to the schedule contained in the Board's Order.

BNSF will continue to update the enclosed spreadsheet on a weekly basis, and will continue to review available data sets and definitions as we gain more familiarity with the data sources relied on for this report. We repeat our earlier caution against drawing firm conclusions based on the absolute values reported in BNSF's report or across the various railroads that are also submitting data. BNSF will also continue to engage frequently and substantively with our customers through direct conversations, and through broader communications and letters, customer forums, meetings and broadcasts to provide real-time information around our service challenges, our short-term and long-term plans to increase network velocity, and our progress against those plans, and to ensure we hear their perspectives and feedback.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard E. Weicher Jill K. Mulligan BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY 2500 Lou Menk Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76131

February 17, 2016

Railroad: BNSF	Year: 2016	Reporting Week:	Date Week Began:	2/7/20
Namoad, Divor	1681.2010	Reporting Week.	Date Week Ended:	2/13/20
1. System-Average Train Sp Reporting W				
Intermodal	36.6			
Grain unit	25.9			
Coal unit	25.8			
Automotive unit	28.8			
Crude oil unit	28.5			
Ethanol unit	25.1			
Manifest	24.0			
All Other	22.5			
2. Weekly Average Terminal Hours Excluding Cars or	이 같은 것이 있는 것을 많이 있는 것이 것을 것을 못했다. 몸을 걸 수 있는 것이 같이 많이 많이 했다.			
System Average	27.7			
2. Weekly Average Termina Hours for 10 Largest Termi Capac	inals In Terms Of Railcar city			
Hours for 10 Largest Termi	inals in Terms Of Railcar			
Hours for 10 Largest Termi Capac	inals In Terms Of Railcar city			
Hours for 10 Largest Term Capac Barstow, CA	inals in Terms Of Railcar city 43.2			
Hours for 10 Largest Termi Capac Barstow, CA Denver, CO	inals In Terms Of Railcar city 43.2 37.9			
Hours for 10 Largest Termi Capac Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX	inals In Terms Of Railcar city 43.2 37.9 29.3			
Hours for 10 Largest Termi Capac Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL	inals In Terms Of Railcar city 43.2 37.9 29.3 42.5			
Hours for 10 Largest Termi Capac Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS	inals In Terms Of Railcar city 43.2 37.9 29.3 42.5 37.1			
Hours for 10 Largest Termi Capac Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN	inals In Terms Of Railcar clty 43.2 37.9 29.3 42.5 37.1 29.8			
Hours for 10 Largest Termi Capac Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN	inals In Terms Of Railcar city 43.2 37.9 29.3 42.5 37.1 29.8 20.3			
Hours for 10 Largest Termi Capac Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN	inals In Terms Of Railcar city 43.2 37.9 29.3 42.5 37.1 29.8 20.3 24.3			
Hours for 10 Largest Termi Capac Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Ca Wee	inals In Terms Of Railcar city 43.2 37.9 29.3 42.5 37.1 29.8 20.3 24.3 24.3 27.4 25.1 r Type for the Reporting ek			
Hours for 10 Largest Termi Capac Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Ca Wet Box	inals in Terms Of Railcar city 43.2 37.9 29.3 42.5 37.1 29.8 20.3 24.3 24.3 27.4 25.1 or Type for the Reporting ek 11,387			
Hours for 10 Largest Termi Capac Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Ca Wee Box Covered hopper	Inals In Terms Of Railcar city 43.2 37.9 29.3 42.5 37.1 29.8 20.3 24.3 27.4 25.1 r Type for the Reporting ek 11,387 70,694			
Hours for 10 Largest Termi Capac Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Ca Wee Box Covered hopper Gondola	inals in Terms Of Railcar city 43.2 37.9 29.3 42.5 37.1 29.8 20.3 24.3 27.4 25.1 r Type for the Reporting ek 11,387 70,694 8,720			
Hours for 10 Largest Termi Capac Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Ca Wee Box Covered hopper Gondola Intermodal	inals in Terms Of Railcar city 43.2 37.9 29.3 42.5 37.1 29.8 20.3 24.3 27.4 25.1 cr Type for the Reporting ek 11,387 70,694 8,720 15,630			
Hours for 10 Largest Termi Capac Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Ca Wee Box Covered hopper Gondola Intermodal Multilevel (automotive)	inals In Terms Of Railcar city 43.2 37.9 29.3 42.5 37.1 29.8 20.3 24.3 27.4 25.1 r Type for the Reporting ek 11,387 70,694 8,720 15,630 6,681			
Hours for 10 Largest Termi Capac Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Ca Wee Box Covered hopper Gondola Intermodal Multilevel (automotive) Open hopper	inals in Terms Of Railcar city 43.2 37.9 29.3 42.5 37.1 29.8 20.3 24.3 27.4 25.1 rr Type for the Reporting ek 11,387 70,694 8,720 15,630 6,681 54,043			
Hours for 10 Largest Termi Capac Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Ca Wet Box Covered hopper Gondola Intermodal Multilevel (automotive) Open hopper Tank	inals in Terms Of Railcar city 43.2 37.9 29.3 42.5 37.1 29.8 20.3 24.3 27.4 25.1 0 5.1 0 5.1 0 5.1 0 5.1 0 5.1 0 5.1 0 5.1 0 5.1 0 5.0 5.			
Hours for 10 Largest Termi Capac Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Ca Wee Box Covered hopper Gondola Intermodal Multilevel (automotive) Open hopper	inals in Terms Of Railcar city 43.2 37.9 29.3 42.5 37.1 29.8 20.3 24.3 27.4 25.1 rr Type for the Reporting ek 11,387 70,694 8,720 15,630 6,681 54,043			

4.8

Grain

Coal	2.1
Automotive	21.3
Crude Oil	3.7
Ethanol	11.7
All Other Unit Trains	5.5

					Cause		
Train Type		1	Track maintenance	Mechanical Issue		Other	Total
	Crew	Locomotive power	Track maintenance	wiechanical issue	Number	Briefly Explain Cause	Total
ntermodal	12	0	0	0	18	Road, Terminal, Other	30
Brain unit	15	0	6	4	34	Road, Terminal, Other	59
Coal unit	10	0	0	3	75	Road, Terminal, Other	88
utomotive unit	7	0	3	0	14	Road, Terminal, Other	24
rude oil unit	0	0	0	0	8	Road, Terminal, Other	8
thanol unit	3	0	2	2	2	Road, Terminal, Other	9
Other unit	6	0	14	4	6	Road, Terminal, Other	30
Il other trains	47	0	14	0	70	Road, Terminal, Other	131
Fotal	100	0	39	13	227	Road, Terminal, Other	379

6. Week	ly Total Number of Loaded and Em	pty Cars in Revenue Servi	ce That Have Not Moved	in:
	Greater Than 12	0 Hours	Greater Than 48 bu or Equal to 120	
	Loaded	Empty	Loaded	Empty
Intermodal	61	651	468	2,433
Grain	186	947	1,409	2,241
Coal	23	920	354	619
Crude Oil	1	22	30	196
Ethanol	12	43	581	824
Automotive	106	75	1,186	674
All Other	936	1,663	12,550	13,791

oad: BNSF	Year: 2016	Reporting Week:	Date Week Began:	2/7/ 2/13/
			Date Week Ended:	2/13
, 01135 (rye), 0113	ars loaded and billed, reported by State, aggregated for th 66 (sorghum grains), 01137 (wheat), 01139 (grain, not elsev ars loaded and billed" includes cars in shuttle service; ded	where classified), 01144 (soybeans), 01341 (beans, dry),	01342 (peas, dry), and 01343 (cowpeas, lentils, or	
S 0 0	t the total cars loaded and billed in shuttle service (or dedi r "0" if no data is being reported for a field.	cated train service) versus total cars loaded and billed in	all other ordering systems, including private cars.	
State	Total Grain Cars Loaded and Billed For All Ordering Systems	Total Grain Cars Loaded and Billed For Shuttle / Dedicated Train Service Ordering Systems	Total Grain Cars Loaded and Billed For Ordering Systems Other Than Shuttle / Dedicated Train Service	
AL	0			
AR	1			
AZ	0			
CA	1		1	
co	37		37	
СТ	0			
DE	0			
FL	0			
GA	0			
IA	443	221	222	
ID	15		15	
IL	232	227	5	
IN	0			
KS	1,332	1,017	315	
KY	0			
LA	0			
MA	0			
MD	0			
ME	0			
MI	0			
MN	2,144	2,028	116	
MO	342	342		
MS	0			
MT	628	341	287	
NC	0			
ND	2,669	1,931	738	
NE	1,473	1,354	119	
NH	0			
IN	0			
NM	0			
NV	0			
NY	0			
ОН	0			
OK	15		15	
OR	11		11	
PA	0	the second s		

RI	0		
SC	0		
05	1,879	1,710	169
N	0		
X	366	341	25
77	0		
IA I	0		
7	0		
VA	79		52
NI IN	102		102
w	0		
VY V	46		46
otal	11,815	9,512	2,303

Railroad: BNSE	N 2015	Descention Weather	Date Week Began:	2/7/2016
Railroad: BNSF	Year: 2016	Reporting Week:	Date Week Ended:	2/13/2016

8. For the aggregated STCCs in item 7, report by State the following: a. running total number of outstanding car orders (a car order equals one car); b. average number of days late for all outstanding car orders; c. total number of new car orders received during the past week; d. total number of car orders filled during the past week; and e. number of orders cancelled, respectively, by shipper and railroad during the past week.

State	a. Running Total Number of Outstanding Car Orders	b. Average Number of Days Late For All Outstanding Grain Car Orders	c. Number of New Car Orders	d. Number of Car Orders Filled	e.1. Number of Orders Canceled By Shipper	e.2. Number of Orders Canceled I Railroad
AL						
AR	(a) the second se					
AZ						
CA	and the state of the second second	and the second		2		
co				11		
CT						
DE						
FL						
GA						
IA				102		
ID						
IL				1		
IN						
KS				226		
KY		-				
LA						
MA						
MD						
ME						
MI		and the second states of the second states and	and should be a second as			A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY A REAL PRO
MN				103		
MO				2		
MS						
MT	1	3.0		310		
NC						1
ND	2	1.0	3	800		
NE				137		
NH						
NJ						
NM						
NV						
NY				the state of the s		
ОН						
OK				the second se		
OR						
PA						
RI						
SC				and the second provide the		
SD				156		
TN			TRAFFIC ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL AL			The second s
TX				27		
UT			the set of the second s			

И					
WA		Q	56		
WI			136		
WV					
WY			41		
TOTAL 3	1.7	6	2,110	0	0

Railroad: BNSF	Year: 2016	Reporting Week:	Date Week Began:	2/7/2016	
	12	d Grain Train) Round Trips, By	Date Week Ended:	2/13/2016	
Region (Please Specify Destination Region)	ated To Reflect The Previou Trip Plan	Trip Performance	_		
	February Plan	2/13/2016	2/6/2016	1/30/2016	1/23/2016
System	2.5	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.7
CA	2.3	2.9	2.6	2.4	2.7
Gulf	2.9	2.7	3.2	3.0	3.1
Mexico	1.3	2.0	1.5	2.1	1.9
PNW	2.7	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.7
West TX	3.2	3.9	4.0	3.6	3.9

10. Average Daily Coal Unit Train Loadings vs. Plan for the Reporting Week By Coal Production Region					
Region	Loadings Plan	Loadings Average			
Powder River Basin	36.0	32.9			
Illinois Basin					
Uinta Basin					
Northern Appalachia					
Central Appalachia					
Southern Appalachia					
Other	3.0	2.6			