

Jill K. Mulligan Vice President & General Counsel Regulatory

**BNSF Railway Company** 

P.O. Box 961039 Fort Worth, TX 76161 2500 Lou Menk Drive, AOB-3 Fort Worth, TX 76131-2828 (817) 352-2353 Phone (817) 352-2398 Fax

July 20, 2016

Ms. Cynthia Brown Chief, Section of Administration Office of Proceedings Surface Transportation Board 395 E Street, SW Washington, DC 20423-0001

**ENTERED** Office of Proceedings July 20, 2016 Part of Public Record

241144

#### Re: STB Ex Parte No. 724 (Sub-No. 3), United States Rail Service Issues-Data Collection

Dear Ms. Brown:

Enclosed for electronic filing in the above captioned proceeding is the Weekly Report of BNSF in response to the Board's Order of October 8, 2014. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mull: gan

Jill K. Mulligan

### BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

### STB EX PARTE NO. 724 (Sub-No. 3)

### UNITED STATES RAIL SERVICE ISSUES—DATA COLLECTION

### WEEKLY REPORT OF BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY

BNSF Railway Company ("BNSF") provides the following weekly report in response to the Board's Order of October 8, 2014 in the above referenced sub-docket. The Board's Order contained requests for reporting that can be grouped into three separate categories: (i) weekly railroad-specific reporting on performance metrics for that railroad's network; (ii) a weekly overview of the operating conditions in the Chicago gateway including specific metrics regarding Chicago terminal inventories and trains held for delivery to Chicago; and (iii) a report summarizing the current Chicago Transit Coordination Office (CTCO) service contingency protocols, including Alert Levels, with notice of future changes. Covered parties are required to submit weekly reports of data responsive to the Board's requests, which are described as temporary.

Included with this pleading is an electronic spreadsheet containing BNSF's weekly submission of data responsive to the first category of data requests in the Order, which cover BNSF-specific network performance measures. A hardcopy of the spreadsheet is also included herein as Attachment A. Information responsive to the second and third categories of requests is being submitted on behalf of BNSF and the other Class I railroads through the AAR in a separate filing that will also be updated according to the schedule contained in the Board's Order.

1

BNSF will continue to update the enclosed spreadsheet on a weekly basis, and will continue to review available data sets and definitions as we gain more familiarity with the data sources relied on for this report. We repeat our earlier caution against drawing firm conclusions based on the absolute values reported in BNSF's report or across the various railroads that are also submitting data. BNSF will also continue to engage frequently and substantively with our customers through direct conversations, and through broader communications and letters, customer forums, meetings and broadcasts to provide real-time information around our service challenges, our short-term and long-term plans to increase network velocity, and our progress against those plans, and to ensure we hear their perspectives and feedback.

Respectfully submitted,

li gan

Richard E. Weicher Jill K. Mulligan BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY 2500 Lou Menk Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76131

July 20, 2016

Railroad: BNSF	Year: 2016	Reporting Week:	Date Week Began:	7/10/2
namoud, phor	Tear, 2010	reporting week:	Date Week Ended:	7/16/2
1. System-Average Train Spe Reporting We				
Intermodal	35.2			
Grain unit	23.7			
Coal unit	22.2			
Automotive unit	26.8			
Crude oil unit	26.0			
Ethanol unit	23.2			
Manifest	23.1			
All Other	21.5			
2. Weekly Average Terminal Hours Excluding Cars on				
System Average	23.4			
Capaci	ty			
·				
Capaci Barstow, CA Denver, CO	ty 32.4 30.2			
Barstow, CA	32.4			
Barstow, CA Denver, CO	32.4 30.2			
Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX	32.4 30.2 25.1			
Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL	32.4 30.2 25.1 26.7			
Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS	32.4 30.2 25.1 26.7 32.0			
Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE	32.4 30.2 25.1 26.7 32.0 31.6			
Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN	32.4 30.2 25.1 26.7 32.0 31.6 18.5			
Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN	32.4 30.2 25.1 26.7 32.0 31.6 18.5 23.5			
Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA	32.4 30.2 25.1 26.7 32.0 31.6 18.5 23.5 26.3			
Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA	32.4 30.2 25.1 26.7 32.0 31.6 18.5 23.5 26.3 26.3 23.4 7ype for the Reporting			
Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK <b>3. Total Cars On Line by Car</b>	32.4 30.2 25.1 26.7 32.0 31.6 18.5 23.5 26.3 26.3 23.4 7ype for the Reporting			
Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Car Weel	32.4 30.2 25.1 26.7 32.0 31.6 18.5 23.5 26.3 26.3 23.4 7ype for the Reporting x			
Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Car Weel Box	32.4 30.2 25.1 26.7 32.0 31.6 18.5 23.5 26.3 23.4 23.4			
Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK <b>3. Total Cars On Line by Car</b> Weel Box Covered hopper	32.4 30.2 25.1 26.7 32.0 31.6 18.5 23.5 26.3 23.4 23.4 23.4 23.4 11,269 68,550			
Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK <b>3. Total Cars On Line by Car</b> Weel Box Covered hopper Gondola	32.4 30.2 25.1 26.7 32.0 31.6 18.5 23.5 26.3 23.4 23.4 23.4 23.4 23.4 23.4 23.4 23			
Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Car Weel Box Covered hopper Gondola Intermodal	32.4 30.2 25.1 26.7 32.0 31.6 18.5 23.5 26.3 23.4 23.4 7ype for the Reporting ( 11,269 68,550 8,496 16,632			
Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Car Weel Box Covered hopper Gondola Intermodal Multilevel (automotive)	32.4 30.2 25.1 26.7 32.0 31.6 18.5 23.5 26.3 23.4 23.4 7ype for the Reporting ( 11,269 68,550 8,496 16,632 7,461			
Barstow, CA Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK <b>3. Total Cars On Line by Car</b> Weel Box Covered hopper Gondola Intermodal Multilevel (automotive) Open hopper	32.4 30.2 25.1 26.7 32.0 31.6 18.5 23.5 26.3 23.4 23.4 <b>Type for the Reporting</b> (x 11,269 68,550 8,496 16,632 7,461 54,586			

4. Weekly Average Dwell Time at Origin for Unit Train Shipments Measured in Hours

Grain	5.3
Coal	2.4
Automotive	30.1
Crude Oil	3.3
Ethanol	7.4
All Other Unit Trains	7.9

Г

					Cause		
Train Type	Group	Lange stress	Turkurinterer	Other	Other	Total	
Crew	Locomotive power	ver Track maintenance	Mechanical Issue	Number	Briefly Explain Cause	Total	
termodal	8	0	15	0	16	Road, Terminal, Other	39
rain unit	17	2	19	0	58	Road, Terminal, Other	96
oal unit	44	8	14	14	38	Road, Terminal, Other	118
utomotive unit	0	0	7	0	14	Road, Terminal, Other	21
rude oil unit	3	0	8	0	3	Road, Terminal, Other	14
thanol unit	0	0	5	0	2	Road, Terminal, Other	7
ther unit	16	3	16	0	36	Road, Terminal, Other	71
ll other trains	28	0	28	4	56	Road, Terminal, Other	116
otal	116	13	112	18	223	Road, Terminal, Other	482

6. Wee	kly Total Number of Loaded and Em	pty Cars in Revenue Servi	ice That Have Not Moved	In:
	Greater Than 12	0 Hours	Greater Than 48 bu or Equal to 120	
	Loaded	Empty	Loaded	Empty
Intermodal	62	1,122	703	2,577
Grain	251	606	1,179	1,773
Coal	33	803	48	576
Crude Oil	0	23	16	160
Ethanol	3	79	392	660
Automotive	7	172	1,071	1,062
All Other	630	1,353	9,019	10,983

		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Railroad: BNSF	Year: 2016	Reporting Week:	Date Week Began:	7/10/2016
Kalload. BNSF	1641: 2016	Reporting week:	Date Week Ended:	7/16/2016

7. Weekly total grain cars loaded and billed, reported by State, aggregated for the following Standard Transportation Commodity Codes (STCCs): 01131 (barley), 01132 (corn), 01133 (oats), 01135 (rye), 01136 (sorghum grains), 01137 (wheat), 01139 (grain, not elsewhere classified), 01144 (soybeans), 01341 (beans, dry), 01342 (peas, dry), and 01343 (cowpeas, lentils, or lupines). "Total grain cars loaded and billed" includes cars in shuttle service; dedicated train service; reservation, lottery, open and other ordering systems; and, private cars. Additionally, please separately report the total cars loaded and billed in shuttle service (or dedicated train service) versus total cars loaded and billed in all other ordering systems, including private cars.

Instruction: Please enter "0" if no data is being reported for a field.

State	Total Grain Cars Loaded and Billed For All Ordering Systems	Total Grain Cars Loaded and Billed For Shuttle / Dedicated Train Service Ordering Systems	Total Grain Cars Loaded and Billed For Ordering Systems Other Than Shuttle / Dedicated Train Service
AL	0		
AR	6		6
AZ	6		6
CA	9		9
CO	154	110	44
СТ	0		
DE	0		
FL	0		
GA	0		
IA	636	228	408
ID	24		24
IL I	116	111	5
IN	0		
KS	1,167	894	273
КҮ	0		
LA	0		
MA	0		
MD	0		
ME	0		
MI	0		11日本 11日本 11日本 11日本 11日本
MN	2,229	2,033	196
MO	120	113	7
MS	0		
MT	1,482	1,149	333
NC	0		
ND	2,671	1,709	962
NE	2,074	1,794	280
NH	0		
NJ	0		
NM	7		7
NV	0		
NY	0		
ОН	0		
ОК	227	227	And addressed on the second
OR	0		
PA	0		

0 0 2,112 162 0 0 0 276 0 1
--

Railroad: BNSF	Voar: 2016	Poporting Wook:	Date Week Began:	7/10/2016
Railroad: BNSF Year: 2016	fear: 2016	Reporting Week:	Date Week Ended:	7/16/2016

8. For the aggregated STCCs in item 7, report by State the following: a. running total number of outstanding car orders (a car order equals one car); b. average number of days late for all outstanding car orders; c. total number of new car orders received during the past week; d. total number of car orders filled during the past week; and e. number of orders cancelled, respectively, by shipper and railroad during the past week.

State	a. Running Total Number of Outstanding Car Orders	b. Average Number of Days Late For All Outstanding Grain Car Orders	c. Number of New Car Orders	d. Number of Car Orders Filled	e.1. Number of Orders Canceled By Shipper	e.2. Number of Orders Canceled By Railroad
AL						
AR				4		
AZ				1		
CA				9		
СО			-	11		
СТ						
DE						
FL						
GA						
IA			3	86		
ID						
L						
IN						
KS			7	132		
KY LA						the second se
MA						
MD						
MI						
MN				200		
MO				11		
MS					1	
MT	2	2.0	3	233	5	
NC	_	2.0				
ND		Second and the second	59	709	2	
NE	7	1.0	39	276		
NH						
NJ						
NM				5		
NV						
NY						
ОН						
ОК			2	3		
OR						
PA						
RI						
SC						
SD				427		
TN						
ТХ				21		

UT				And the second		
VA						
VT						
WA				13		
WI				50		
wv						
WY	a contraction of the second statement	Cherry and the second second second	and the second states and the	1		
TOTAL	9	1.2	113	2,192	7	0

Railroad: BNSF	Rai	Iroad:	BNSF
----------------	-----	--------	------

#### Year: 2016

Reporting Week:

 Date Week Began:
 7/10/2016

 Date Week Ended:
 7/16/2016

Trip Plan	Trip Performance			
July Plan	7/16/2016	7/9/2016	7/2/2016	6/25/2016
3.0	3.0	2.9	2.7	3.1
2.8	2.7	2.7	2.4	3.1
3.2	1.9	3.7	3.8	3.4
2.0	1.8	1.7	1.9	2.0
3.2	3.0	2.9	2.6	3.0
3.8	3.6	3.0	4.8	3.5
	3.0 2.8 3.2 2.0 3.2	July Plan         7/16/2016           3.0         3.0           2.8         2.7           3.2         1.9           2.0         1.8           3.2         3.0	July Plan         7/16/2016         7/9/2016           3.0         3.0         2.9           2.8         2.7         2.7           3.2         1.9         3.7           2.0         1.8         1.7           3.2         3.0         2.9	July Plan         7/16/2016         7/9/2016         7/2/2016           3.0         3.0         2.9         2.7           2.8         2.7         2.7         2.4           3.2         1.9         3.7         3.8           2.0         1.8         1.7         1.9           3.2         3.0         2.9         2.6

10. Average Daily Coal Unit Train Loadings vs. Plan for the Reporting Week By Coal Production Region		
Region	Loadings Plan	Loadings Average
Powder River Basin	43.0	40.1
Illinois Basin		
Uinta Basin		
Northern Appalachia		
Central Appalachia		
Southern Appalachia		
Other	2.0	2.0