

Jill K. Mulligan Vice President & General Counsel Regulatory

BNSF Railway Company

P.O. Box 961039 Fort Worth, TX 76161 2500 Lou Menk Drive, AOB-3 Fort Worth, TX 76131-2828 (817) 352-2353 Phone (817) 352-2398 Fax

241197

ENTERED Office of Proceedings July 27, 2016 Part of Public Record

July 27, 2016

Ms. Cynthia Brown Chief, Section of Administration Office of Proceedings Surface Transportation Board 395 E Street, SW Washington, DC 20423-0001

Re: STB Ex Parte No. 724 (Sub-No. 3), United States Rail Service Issues—Data Collection

Dear Ms. Brown:

Enclosed for electronic filing in the above captioned proceeding is the Weekly Report of BNSF in response to the Board's Order of October 8, 2014. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Jill K. Mulligan

BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

STB EX PARTE NO. 724 (Sub-No. 3)

UNITED STATES RAIL SERVICE ISSUES—DATA COLLECTION

WEEKLY REPORT OF BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY

BNSF Railway Company ("BNSF") provides the following weekly report in response to the Board's Order of October 8, 2014 in the above referenced sub-docket. The Board's Order contained requests for reporting that can be grouped into three separate categories: (i) weekly railroad-specific reporting on performance metrics for that railroad's network; (ii) a weekly overview of the operating conditions in the Chicago gateway including specific metrics regarding Chicago terminal inventories and trains held for delivery to Chicago; and (iii) a report summarizing the current Chicago Transit Coordination Office (CTCO) service contingency protocols, including Alert Levels, with notice of future changes. Covered parties are required to submit weekly reports of data responsive to the Board's requests, which are described as temporary.

Included with this pleading is an electronic spreadsheet containing BNSF's weekly submission of data responsive to the first category of data requests in the Order, which cover BNSF-specific network performance measures. A hardcopy of the spreadsheet is also included herein as Attachment A. Information responsive to the second and third categories of requests is being submitted on behalf of BNSF and the other Class I railroads through the AAR in a separate filing that will also be updated according to the schedule contained in the Board's Order.

1

BNSF will continue to update the enclosed spreadsheet on a weekly basis, and will continue to review available data sets and definitions as we gain more familiarity with the data sources relied on for this report. We repeat our earlier caution against drawing firm conclusions based on the absolute values reported in BNSF's report or across the various railroads that are also submitting data. BNSF will also continue to engage frequently and substantively with our customers through direct conversations, and through broader communications and letters, customer forums, meetings and broadcasts to provide real-time information around our service challenges, our short-term and long-term plans to increase network velocity, and our progress against those plans, and to ensure we hear their perspectives and feedback.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard É. Weicher Jill K. Mulligan BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY 2500 Lou Menk Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76131

July 27, 2016

Railroad: BNSF	NSF Year: 2016 R		Date Week Began:	7/17/2
Namuad; DNJF	Tear: 2010	Reporting Week:	Date Week Ended:	7/23/2
1. System-Average Train Spe	ed by Train Type for the			
Reporting We				
Intermodal	34.8			
Grain unit	23.7			
Coal unit	21.8			
Automotive unit	25.7			
Crude oil unit	24.5			
Ethanol unit	23.0			
Manifest	22.9			
All Other	20.2			
2. Weekly Average Terminal	Dwell Time Measured in			
Hours Excluding Cars on	Run Through Trains			
System Average	23.9			
2. Weekly Average Terminal	Dwell Time Measured in			
Hours for 10 Largest Termin	nals in Terms Of Railcar			
Capaci				
Barstow, CA	32.0			
Denver, CO	31.8			
Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX	31.8 26.7			
Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL	31.8 26.7 32.3			
Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS	31.8 26.7 32.3 30.5			
Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE	31.8 26.7 32.3 30.5 32.5			
Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS	31.8 26.7 32.3 30.5			
Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN	31.8 26.7 32.3 30.5 32.5 16.3			
Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA	31.8 26.7 32.3 30.5 16.3 25.2 26.2			
Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN	31.8 26.7 32.3 30.5 32.5 16.3 25.2			
Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA	31.8 26.7 32.3 30.5 16.3 25.2 26.2			
Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA	31.8 26.7 32.3 30.5 16.3 25.2 26.2			
Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA	31.8 26.7 32.3 30.5 16.3 25.2 26.2 29.3			
Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK	31.8 26.7 32.3 30.5 32.5 16.3 25.2 26.2 29.3 7Type for the Reporting			
Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Car Wee	31.8 26.7 32.3 30.5 32.5 16.3 25.2 26.2 29.3 Type for the Reporting k			
Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Car Wee Box	31.8 26.7 32.3 30.5 16.3 25.2 26.2 29.3 Type for the Reporting k			
Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Car Wee Box Covered hopper	31.8 26.7 32.3 30.5 32.5 16.3 25.2 26.2 29.3 Type for the Reporting k 11,287 69,183			
Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Car Wee Box Covered hopper Gondola	31.8 26.7 32.3 30.5 32.5 16.3 25.2 26.2 29.3 Type for the Reporting k 11,287 69,183 8,327			
Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Car Wee Box Covered hopper Gondola Intermodal	31.8 26.7 32.3 30.5 32.5 16.3 25.2 26.2 29.3 Type for the Reporting k 11,287 69,183 8,327 16,521			
Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Car Wee Box Covered hopper Gondola Intermodal Multilevel (automotive)	31.8 26.7 32.3 30.5 32.5 16.3 25.2 26.2 29.3 Type for the Reporting k 11,287 69,183 8,327 16,521 7,388			
Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Car Wee Box Covered hopper Gondola Intermodal Multilevel (automotive) Open hopper	31.8 26.7 32.3 30.5 32.5 16.3 25.2 26.2 29.3 Type for the Reporting k 11,287 69,183 8,327 16,521 7,388 55,008			
Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Car Wee Box Covered hopper Gondola Intermodal Multilevel (automotive) Open hopper Tank	31.8 26.7 32.3 30.5 16.3 25.2 26.2 26.2 29.3 Type for the Reporting k 11,287 69,183 8,327 16,521 7,388 55,008 50,200			
Denver, CO Fort Worth, TX Galesburg, IL Kansas City, KS Lincoln, NE Memphis, TN Northtown, MN Pasco, WA Tulsa, OK 3. Total Cars On Line by Car Wee Box Covered hopper Gondola Intermodal Multilevel (automotive) Open hopper	31.8 26.7 32.3 30.5 32.5 16.3 25.2 26.2 29.3 Type for the Reporting k 11,287 69,183 8,327 16,521 7,388 55,008			

4. Weekly Average Dwell Time at Origin for Unit Train Shipments Measured in Hours

Grain	4.6
Coal	2.6
Automotive	29.8
Crude Oil	3.9
Ethanol	13.3
All Other Unit Trains	6.1

Г

					Cause		
Train Type	Crew	Locomotive power	Track maintenance		Other		
Crew Locomo	Locomotive power	Track maintenance	Mechanical Issue	Number	Briefly Explain Cause	Total	
ntermodal	5	0	20	0	15	Road, Terminal, Other	40
rain unit	14	2	20	0	59	Road, Terminal, Other	95
oal unit	51	3	20	8	65	Road, Terminal, Other	147
utomotive unit	0	0	4	0	21	Road, Terminal, Other	25
rude oil unit	6	0	2	0	12	Road, Terminal, Other	20
thanol unit	0	0	2	0	6	Road, Terminal, Other	8
ther unit	15	0	33	0	23	Road, Terminal, Other	71
ll other trains	30	0	27	0	51	Road, Terminal, Other	108
otal	121	5	128	8	252	Road, Terminal, Other	514

6. Weekly Total Number of Loaded and Empty Cars in Revenue Service That Have Not Moved In:					
	Greater Than 12	0 Hours	Greater Than 48 bu or Equal to 120		
	Loaded	Empty	Loaded	Empty	
Intermodal	107	833	583	2,685	
Grain	158	481	1,239	1,826	
Coal	6	929	65	861	
Crude Oil	0	5	8	65	
Ethanol	33	28	397	655	
Automotive	12	202	1,021	914	
All Other	512	1,111	9,044	11,493	

Railroad: BNSF	Year: 2016	Reporting Week:	Date Week Began:	7/17/2016
	fear: 2016	Reporting week:	Date Week Ended:	7/23/2016

7. Weekly total grain cars loaded and billed, reported by State, aggregated for the following Standard Transportation Commodity Codes (STCCs): 01131 (barley), 01132 (corn), 01133 (oats), 01135 (rye), 01136 (sorghum grains), 01137 (wheat), 01139 (grain, not elsewhere classified), 01144 (soybeans), 01341 (beans, dry), 01342 (peas, dry), and 01343 (cowpeas, lentils, or lupines). "Total grain cars loaded and billed" includes cars in shuttle service; dedicated train service; reservation, lottery, open and other ordering systems; and, private cars. Additionally, please separately report the total cars loaded and billed in shuttle service (or dedicated train service) versus total cars loaded and billed in all other ordering systems, including private cars.

Instruction: Please enter "0" if no data is being reported for a field.

State	Total Grain Cars Loaded and Billed For All Ordering Systems	Total Grain Cars Loaded and Billed For Shuttle / Dedicated Train Service Ordering Systems	Total Grain Cars Loaded and Billed For Ordering Systems Other Than Shuttle / Dedicated Train Service
AL	0		
AR	3		3
AZ	1		1
СА	6		6
со	160	109	51
СТ	0		
DE	0		
FL	0		
GA	0		
IA	832	558	274
ID	25		25
IL I	107	105	2
IN	0		
KS	877	564	313
КҮ	0		
LA	0		
MA	0		
MD	0		
ME	0		
MI	1		1
MN	3,140	2,935	205
MO	0		
MS	0		
MT	1,544	1,251	293
NC	0		
ND	3,390	2,485	905
NE	1,083	793	290
NH	0		
NJ	0		
NM	0		
NV	0		
NY	0		
ОН	0		
ОК	114	114	
OR	6		6
РА	0		

0 0 2,063 0 2,063 0 138 138 138 138 138 0 11 1 0 0 0 0 106 106 136 21 136 21				
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	RI	0		
2,063 2,063 0 0 128 128 128 138 128 0 10 0 106 0 106 0 106 136 107 136	SC	0		
0 0 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 129 128 129 129 129 129 129 129 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120	SD	2,063	1,576	487
128 1 1 1 0 0 106 0 106 107	TN	0		
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ΤX	128	113	15
0 0 0 0 9 106 0 21 1367	IN			
0 0 9 0 106 0 0 21 1367	VA	0		
9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	Ч	0		
106 0 13.67 13.67	WA	6		6
0 21 13.617	MI	106		106
21 13 617	WV	0		
13.617	WY	21		21
and the second	Total	13,617	10,603	3,014

Railroad: BNSF Year: 2016	Vaar: 2016	Penerting Week	Date Week Began:	7/17/2016
	Reporting Week:	Date Week Ended:	7/23/2016	

8. For the aggregated STCCs in item 7, report by State the following: a. running total number of outstanding car orders (a car order equals one car); b. average number of days late for all outstanding car orders; c. total number of new car orders received during the past week; d. total number of car orders filled during the past week; and e. number of orders cancelled, respectively, by shipper and railroad during the past week.

State	a. Running Total Number of Outstanding Car Orders	b. Average Number of Days Late For All Outstanding Grain Car Orders	c. Number of New Car Orders	d. Number of Car Orders Filled	e.1. Number of Orders Canceled By Shipper	e.2. Number of Orders Canceled By Railroad
AL						
AR						
AZ						
CA				9		
со			1	94		
СТ						
DE						
FL				and the second second second second second		
GA						
IA	28	2.5	10	40		
ID						
π				1		
IN						
KS			7	253		
КҮ						
LA						And the second
MA	Provide and a second second second second second					
MD	and the second second second second					
ME						
MI						
MN			7	257		
MO				4		
MS						
MT	24	1.0	5	269	15	
NC						
ND	25	1.0	1	943	18	
NE	1	1.0	48	247	15	
NH						and the second of the second
NJ NM				5		
NV				5		
NY						
OH						
OK				3		
OR				1	A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF	
PA				1		
RI						
SC						
SC			2	235		
TN			۷.	255		
TX			1	126		

UT			1	1		
VA						
VT						
WA				30	1	
WI				83		
WV						
WY				21		
TOTAL	78	1.5	83	2,622	49	0

Deilreed, DNCT	No	Descentione March	Date Week Began:	7/17/2016	
Railroad: BNSF	Year: 2016	Reporting Week:	Date Week Ended:	7/23/2016	
	r Grain Shuttle (Or Dedicat ated To Reflect The Previou	ed Grain Train) Round Trips, By us Four Weeks			
Region (Please Specify Destination Region)	Trip Plan	Trip Performance			
	July Plan	7/23/2016	7/16/2016	7/9/2016	7/2/2016
System	3.0	3.1	3.0	2.9	2.7
CA	2.8	2.2	2.7	2.7	2.4
Gulf	3.2	3.4	1.9	3.7	3.8
Mexico	2.0	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.9
PNW	3.2	3.1	3.0	2.9	2.6
West TX	3.8	3.9	3.6	3.0	4.8

10. Average Daily Coal Unit Train Loadings vs. Plan for the Reporting Week By Coal Production Region						
Region	Loadings Plan	Loadings Average				
Powder River Basin	43.0	40.1				
Illinois Basin						
Uinta Basin						
Northern Appalachia						
Central Appalachia						
Southern Appalachia						
Other	2.0	2.3				

ľ