

**Jill K. Mulligan**Vice President & General Counsel
Regulatory

BNSF Railway Company P.O. Box 961039 Fort Worth, TX 76161 2500 Lou Menk Drive, AOB-3 Fort Worth, TX 76131-2828 (817) 352-2353 Phone (817) 352-2398 Fax

October 26, 2016

Ms. Cynthia Brown Chief, Section of Administration Office of Proceedings Surface Transportation Board 395 E Street, SW Washington, DC 20423-0001 241901

ENTERED
Office of Proceedings
October 26, 2016
Part of
Public Record

Re: STB Ex Parte No. 724 (Sub-No. 3), United States Rail Service Issues—Data

Collection

Dear Ms. Brown:

Enclosed for electronic filing in the above captioned proceeding is the Weekly Report of BNSF in response to the Board's Order of October 8, 2014. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Jill K. Mulligan

# BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

STB	EX	PA	RTE	NO.	724	(Sub-No.	3)
-----	----	----	-----	-----	-----	----------	----

#### UNITED STATES RAIL SERVICE ISSUES—DATA COLLECTION

#### WEEKLY REPORT OF BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY

BNSF Railway Company ("BNSF") provides the following weekly report in response to the Board's Order of October 8, 2014 in the above referenced sub-docket. The Board's Order contained requests for reporting that can be grouped into three separate categories: (i) weekly railroad-specific reporting on performance metrics for that railroad's network; (ii) a weekly overview of the operating conditions in the Chicago gateway including specific metrics regarding Chicago terminal inventories and trains held for delivery to Chicago; and (iii) a report summarizing the current Chicago Transit Coordination Office (CTCO) service contingency protocols, including Alert Levels, with notice of future changes. Covered parties are required to submit weekly reports of data responsive to the Board's requests, which are described as temporary.

Included with this pleading is an electronic spreadsheet containing BNSF's weekly submission of data responsive to the first category of data requests in the Order, which cover BNSF-specific network performance measures. A hardcopy of the spreadsheet is also included herein as Attachment A. Information responsive to the second and third categories of requests is being submitted on behalf of BNSF and the other Class I railroads through the AAR in a separate filing that will also be updated according to the schedule contained in the Board's Order.

BNSF will continue to update the enclosed spreadsheet on a weekly basis, and will continue to review available data sets and definitions as we gain more familiarity with the data sources relied on for this report. We repeat our earlier caution against drawing firm conclusions based on the absolute values reported in BNSF's report or across the various railroads that are also submitting data. BNSF will also continue to engage frequently and substantively with our customers through direct conversations, and through broader communications and letters, customer forums, meetings and broadcasts to provide real-time information around our service challenges, our short-term and long-term plans to increase network velocity, and our progress against those plans, and to ensure we hear their perspectives and feedback.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard E. Weicher

Jill K. Mulligan

BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY

2500 Lou Menk Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76131

October 26, 2016

Railroad: BNSF	Year: 2016	Reporting Week;	Date Week Began:	10/16/2016
Namodd. BNSF	rear. 2010	Reporting week.	Date Week Ended:	10/22/2016
1. System-Average Train Spee Reporting Wee				

orn)
33.5
22.5
23.5
26.2
22.4
24.3
22.4
20.2

#### 2. Weekly Average Terminal Dwell Time Measured in Hours Excluding Cars on Run Through Trains

System Average	24.6

#### 2. Weekly Average Terminal Dwell Time Measured in Hours for 10 Largest Terminals In Terms Of Railcar

Capacity	
Barstow, CA	36.5
Denver, CO	29.9
Fort Worth, TX	17.0
Galesburg, IL	27.6
Kansas City, KS	38.8
Lincoln, NE	30.8
Memphis, TN	14.6
Northtown, MN	29.0
Pasco, WA	29.6
Tulsa, OK	26.3

#### 3. Total Cars On Line by Car Type for the Reporting Week

Box	11,142
Covered hopper	71,768
Gondola	7,869
Intermodal	16,804
Multilevel (automotive)	7,825
Open hopper	56,424
Tank	49,351
Other	9,871
Total	231,054

4. Weekly Average Dwell Time at Origin for Unit Train Shipments Measured in Hours

Grain	5.0
Coal	2.6
Automotive	33.4
Crude Oil	3.7
Ethanol	9.9
All Other Unit Trains	7.5

#### 5. Weekly Total Number of Trains Held Short of Destination or Scheduled Interchange for Longer than 6 Hours by Train Type and Cause

					Cause		
Train Type	Crew	Locomotive power	Track maintenance	Mechanical Issue		Other	Total
	Clew	Locomotive power	Track maintenance	Mechanicarissue	Number	Briefly Explain Cause	IUIAI
ntermodal	15	5	10	0	15	Road, Terminal, Other	45
Grain unit	21	6	11	4	85	Road, Terminal, Other	127
Coal unit	45	3	8	0	91	Road, Terminal, Other	147
lutomotive unit	11	4	4	0	16	Road, Terminal, Other	35
Crude oil unit	11	0	0	0	7	Road, Terminal, Other	18
thanol unit	0	0	0	0	0	Road, Terminal, Other	0
Other unit	0	0	13	3	26	Road, Terminal, Other	42
ll other trains	38	15	25	0	58	Road, Terminal, Other	136
otal	141	33	71	7	298	Road, Terminal, Other	550

6. Weel	kly Total Number of Loaded and Em	pty Cars in Revenue Serv	ice That Have Not Moved	in:
	Greater Than 12	0 Hours	Greater Than 48 bu or Equal to 120	
	Loaded	Empty	Loaded	Empty
Intermodal	35	600	535	2,497
Grain	312	713	1,940	2,006
Coal	20	642	285	541
Crude Oil	2	23	124	292
Ethanol	7	40	491	731
Automotive	65	287	1,495	998
All Other	560	1,270	9,665	13,118

Railroad: BNSF	Year: 2016	Reporting Week:	Date Week Began:	10/16/2016
Nami dau. Bivor	Tear: 2016	Reporting Week:	Date Week Ended:	10/22/2016

7. Weekly total grain cars loaded and billed, reported by State, aggregated for the following Standard Transportation Commodity Codes (STCCs): 01131 (barley), 01132 (corn), 01133 (oats), 01135 (rye), 01136 (sorghum grains), 01137 (wheat), 01139 (grain, not elsewhere classified), 01144 (soybeans), 01341 (beans, dry), 01342 (peas, dry), and 01343 (cowpeas, lentils, or lupines). "Total grain cars loaded and billed" includes cars in shuttle service; dedicated train service; reservation, lottery, open and other ordering systems; and, private cars. Additionally, please separately report the total cars loaded and billed in shuttle service (or dedicated train service) versus total cars loaded and billed in all other ordering systems, including private cars.

Instruction: Please enter "0" if no data is being reported for a field.

State	Total Grain Cars Loaded and Billed For All Ordering Systems	Total Grain Cars Loaded and Billed For Shuttle / Dedicated Train Service Ordering Systems	Total Grain Cars Loaded and Billed For Ordering Systems Other Than Shuttle / Dedicated Train Service
AL	0		
AR	2		2
AZ	0		
CA	1		1
со	46		46
СТ	0		
DE	0		
FL	0		
GA	0		
IA	402	111	291
ID	25		25
IL	109		109
IN	0		
KS	1,170	785	385
KY	0		
LA	0		
MA	0		
MD	0		
ME	0		
MI	0		
MN	1,432	1,363	69
MO	266	228	38
MS	0		
MT	245		245
NC	0		
ND	4,387	3,307	1,080
NE	1,031	903	128
NH	0		
NJ	0		
NM	0		
NV	0		
NY	0		
OH	0		
OK	0		
OR	5		5
PA	0		

[	•		
2	0		
SC	0		
SD	2,246	1,935	311
NI	0		
XT	128		128
TU	0		
VA	0		
TV.	0		
WA	51		51
IW	96		96
WV	0		
WY	55		25
Total	11 697	8 637	3.065

Railroad: BNSF	Year: 2016	Reporting Week:	Date Week Began:	10/16/2016
Railfoau: BNSF	Teal: 2016	Reporting Week:	Date Week Ended:	10/22/2016

8. For the aggregated STCCs in item 7, report by State the following: a. running total number of outstanding car orders (a car order equals one car); b. average number of days late for all outstanding car orders; c. total number of new car orders received during the past week; d. total number of car orders filled during the past week.

State	a. Running Total Number of Outstanding Car Orders	b. Average Number of Days Late For All Outstanding Grain Car Orders	c. Number of New Car Orders	d. Number of Car Orders Filled	e.1. Number of Orders Canceled By Shipper	e.2. Number of Orders Canceled By Railroad
AL						
AR	ENGINEER STATE					
AZ						
CA				6	ADDRESS FOR THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.	
со				6		
СТ	Denote to the second				EZZELENIA MEGAZIE	
DE						
FL						
GA						
IA				154		
ID						
IL.						
IN						
KS				279		Marie Control
ку	The second secon	The state of the s	AVO.		The second secon	
LA		Control of the Contro	100			
MA	A March 201 (1970) The second of the control of the	in terror of the control of the control of		ROMERS CONTROL AND	elektropical in en	ENERGY CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONT
MD	desplay 25 mars and a second					
ME MI			KONTON TO THE STATE OF THE STAT	The state of the s		fa a Transit Destriction and Alberta Market
MN	24	1.0		237		
MO	24	1.0		39	A STEER STORY AND STORY OF THE	
MS				39		
MT	1	2.0	47	324	24	
NC	•	2.0	4/	324	24	
ND ND	1	1.0	3	953	10	
NE	110	3.0	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	94		
NH						
NJ	a serios i i secultare acces acces, acces		ANNUAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE			
NM	of the same of the			2	在1. 据告诉例 "2000年代"的第三人称单	THE RESERVE AND ARREST DATES.
NV						
NY						
ОН						
OK				1		
OR						
PA						
RI						
SC						r control of the second
SD			5	316		
TN						
TX				132		

5				1		
VA						
Vī						
WA				73		
MI				129		
WV						
WY				79		
TOTAL	136	2.6	25	2,825	34	0

Railroad: BNSF	Year: 2016	Depositing Woods	Date Week Began:	10/16/2016
Raiiroad: BNSF	Year: 2016	Reporting Week:	Date Week Ended:	10/22/2016

9. Plan vs. Performance For Grain Shuttle (Or Dedicated Grain Train) Round Trips, By Region, Updated To Reflect The Previous Four Weeks

Trip Plan	Trip Performance			
October Plan	10/22/2016	10/15/2016	10/8/2016	10/1/2016
3.0	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.6
2.8	2.9	3.1	3.2	2.6
3.0	3.5	2.8	3.1	2.8
2.0	0.0	1.0	2.3	1.6
3.0	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.6
3.8	4.2	5.7	5.9	3.1
	October Plan 3.0 2.8 3.0 2.0 3.0	October Plan         10/22/2016           3.0         2.4           2.8         2.9           3.0         3.5           2.0         0.0           3.0         2.3	October Plan         10/22/2016         10/15/2016           3.0         2.4         2.5           2.8         2.9         3.1           3.0         3.5         2.8           2.0         0.0         1.0           3.0         2.3         2.4	October Plan         10/22/2016         10/15/2016         10/8/2016           3.0         2.4         2.5         2.7           2.8         2.9         3.1         3.2           3.0         3.5         2.8         3.1           2.0         0.0         1.0         2.3           3.0         2.3         2.4         2.6

10. Average Daily Coal Unit Train Loadings vs. Plan for the Reporting Week By Coal
Production Region

T Todate to The Storing					
Region	Loadings Plan	Loadings Average			
Powder River Basin	44.0	45.7			
Illinois Basin					
Uinta Basin					
Northern Appalachia					
Central Appalachia	·				
Southern Appalachia					
Other	3.0	2.4			